

# Belle Cooleedge Park

## Comments/Questions

This Park has high hills to walk up. Difficult to use with my bad knee. Also has too much poop not picked up by owners.

Let's use a space already established and planned for a dog park! Parking already here. Common sense.

Very hilly, not enough Senior or disability access.

Belle Cooleedge Park is too distant to promote positive foot traffic and community engagement in Curtis Park.

Belle Cooleedge appears to be easy to add a small dog park.

Why was Belle Cooleedge's existing Dog Park an option?

This Park is not walking distance from my neighborhood!

We go to Belle Cooleedge all the time and love it! I am out of tickets, but think adding a small dog park to the existing park is an option.

This is already a Dog Park. Adding small dog park is great, but not an option for issue at hand.

Any reason the small Dog Park can't be added in addition to the creation of another much needed large Dog Park anywhere else?


# Curtis Park #1

## Comments/Questions

Neither Curtis option is good!

This is a non-starter as those who live on either side of Curtis Park will never allow a Dog Park to go in.

No Way!

This part of the park is where our family and a bunch of other families play everyday! Please don't pick this location!

Shared space builds community, common ground Sierra 2 share!

I believe may Curtis Park neighbors would not accept this change.

People have 2 dogs - one sixe please do not divide!

No! Ruins the aesthetics of the park. Imposes upon historical district and park views. Eliminates space for concerts and festivals. This is not a natural place where people where people with dogs are congregating off leash.

This site will make the usable (non-d.p.) area of Curtis Park further from most residents. Immediately next to several homes. Will force kids and other sto play closer to busy street (Sutterville). Takes away from all the other uses in this area (football, volleyball, picnics, etc.). If a dog park has to go at Curtis Park, it would make sense to have the fenced Dog Park closer to the busy streets, rather than have kids and others forced south. The "Con" listed for Curtis Park option is actually a Pro. This option is the worst part of C.P. to put a dog park, should go

Wouldn't the area south of the tennis courts be a better option? Next to playground, existing fence on one long side.

Of the 2 Curtis Park closest. #1 is better than #2. Better traffic flow at north end of the Park.

Absolutelt NOT! Takes away prime park space that should be used as Park! Ruins entry way to Curtis Park. People and kids live here. Not near other park amenities and no parking here. City events and sports use this 2 areas. People/residents live here - let's use an existing



# Curtis Park #2

## Comments/Questions

Neither Curtis Park option is good!

Curtis Park option 2: Is the map correct? Are you intentionally blocking the running path which is about 25 feet north of the road? Should've

I've been a Curtis Park resident for 25 years. I'm really concerned and strongly opposed to a Dog Park in this area. We already are impacted by traffic, parking issues, and noise. I've had to call 311 on multiple occasions for vehicles blocking access to homes. I love the park, we have been blessed to have lots of people in our community to have use of Curtis Park. The parks are already impacted we need more green space

No parking available unsafe for traffic, neighbors with children, runners use this park regularly.

No to Curtis Park!

Please don't ruin our beautiful historic park with a fence or dog park! Curtis Park is historic and naturely beautiful. It should remain a park for

No Dog Park in Curtis Park!

No Curtis Park! NO!

No Curtis Park!

This option is not really an option. People who live along Curtis Park will never allow a dog park there.

Curtis Park 2: Area already impacted with walkers, insufficient parking, neighbors may need to get a parking permit program in place.

It is inconceivable to have a dog park next to a playground. I have been bit, my daughter has been bit, and another daughter knocked down. This last in Curtis Park, owners are not responsible. Dogs are off leash in Curtis Park everyday.

I live in Curtis Park and have had a dog(s) for the last 21 years. I have walked my dogs around Curtis Park on a leash for more than 2 decades. I have met my neighbors this way and taught my dogs proper leash manners in the process. I have also utilized Belle Cooledge dog

To City Staff: I am a dog owner in Curtis Park. I walk my dog every day at Curtis Park. I have not been able to vote for no Dog Park. There is no dog park now in Curtis Park. No Dog Park, the existing condition should be evaluated.

Completely opposed to putting a dog park in Curtis Park. It's a beautiful park that gets a lot of use. Fencing it off, brings more traffic and is an

We are appreciative of the options in Curtis Park. We need a park that is walkable in our neighborhood. Land Park does not provide walkable options. Hopefully we can continue to share the space w/ soccer.

Opposed to a dog park in Curtis Park. We cannot give up beautiful space that borders so many houses, so dogs can play.

As a family who lives on Donner way very close to the north end of Curtis Park, I would be incredibly disappointed to see so much open park space used every single day by people with their kids, people playing sports, and people picnicing/relaxing. Taken away by a dog park.

It's nuts to give up an urban green open space for dogs! What the heck? No dog park in Curtis Park! Will impact so many residents houses.

My name is Yvette. I have lived in the Curtis Park area for 13 years. I have had the amazing opportunity to be a dog owner and bring my dog here. To the Sierra community park when it was organic understanding of sharing the park space. After the pandemic it has been great to reconnect with members of my community and to engage back. It is not just an outlet for my dog for me as well. I hope we can keep our

# Land Park #1

## Comments/Questions

One of the primary issues with Land Park locations is how isolated they are from affordable areas of town. A centrally located park closer to affordable housing makes way more sense for more roters, who represent the full socioeconomic spectrum.

Land Park 1: A great option! Only 0.9 miles from Sierra 2 Park, closer to S2P than Curtis Park.

Good option closer than south Curtis Park, no children uses.

Parking at the LP seems inadequate. Is there a plan to address this?

Too far from my homes to walk.

Excessive distance to walk with my dog.

Land Park 1: Wait for zoo to move to use some of the vacated property.

Land Park locations do not address Curtis Park residents needs. No parking, not walksafe. Land Park locations may meet the need of Land Park residents. Dog ownership is high, more that one walkable dog park option is needed.

Land Park 1: Off lease and unfenced. This corner of Land Park is perfect for an off lease training park, unfenced, great for training dogs. Is the

These should not be either or options. Yes, small dog park should be added to partner park. Yes, Land Park needs its own community dog park








# Ray Eams

## Comments/Questions

Great to gain 2 soccer fields for kids. Perfect for dogs - case closed!

I live facing the basin and there has been no info. On the Dog Parks or soccer fields. There's NO parking available for anyone but residents.

Yes, add some trees, grass, and other coverage and this would be a great space. Currently unused and wasted space.

The land is undeveloped and dog owners from Curti Park will have another nearby park to go to.

Are the soccer fields at Eams Park already funded?

If this site is chosen, what is the plan for drainage, water, access, shade, and parking?

Definitely NOT! Not available all year round, too costly to retrofit.

This gives 3 soccer fields. Better location for soccer. No for Dog Park.

No close parking. No water for people or pups. Steep ramp - hard on my bum knee.

Good 2nd option, but flooded 1-2 months per year. Land Park sites open year round.

Meets the needs for dog owners and soccer players of various ages.


# Sierra 2 Green

## Comments/Questions

Sierra 2 dog park should be separate from the dog park entrance.

Sierra 2: Keep soccer field and dogs on lease.

Sierra 2: Has been or multiple use dog park, soccer field, picnic area, etc. for over 20 years and we've always managed to deal with each other.

Sierra 2: Yes! This is where the community is naturally congregating with their dogs! As a staff member, this causes too many issues for the city.

Sierra 2: by far my preferred solution. We've been coming to S2 for years and it is a very special and appreciated dog location. We used it 4-6 times a week. We miss it terribly as the time replacement area is wholly terrible!

To take away a soccer field, family area, and green area in an established neighborhood, close to homes, does not make sense, and is not a viable long term solution, it is a lawsuit waiting to happen.

Unfortunate that you did not provide option of continued shared use at Sierra II as former Land Park soccer coach who used this as home game field for years. I'd hate to lose the soccer field But I think it would have been fine to continue historic shared use. With soccer having

Need to include soccer field

We don't want this! We want common ground part dog park. Park soccer field.

We want common ground. Not just a dog park but shared soccer field for kids.

Sierra 2: Dogs barking all day parking on highland ave has become terrible. Dog owners coming and going all hours, dogs using our front lawns

This would be my #1 if large dogs had 1.5 acres.

Soccer is seasonal, the park needs to upgrade for more activities

Thank you to all city employees for working on this presentation!

<p>It seems that we are going to lose off-leash dog activity. At Sierra - can the park be enhanced to include pickleball and bocce to accommodate the many older people who live in Curtis Park.</p>
<p>I would like shared space at Sierra 2 with good space planning and fencing, I'm sure this could be accomplished.</p>
<p>Gate one end and keep Sierra Curtis Park as one entity.</p>
<p>Please don't fix what was NOT broken, common ground, one park!</p>
<p>Not only is Sierra 2 my 1st choice, but it should be reverted back to how it was used the last 25 years!</p>
<p>This should be put back to how it was. Will keep grass growing and can keep soccer. If no soccer this is still my 1st choice.</p>
<p>Sierra II has been a great community resource and one of the reasons I moved to the neighborhood. We walked there everyday to take our dog and felt stronger connections to our community. The temporary facility has not been ideal and would look forward to seeing a</p>
<p>Where is the soccer field? All should be included.</p>
<p>Soccer field? We want to be inclusive.</p>
<p>We need to co-exist</p>
<p>The Sierra 2 green should not be designated as a dog-only park, but it should function as it has for many years (30+), as a mixed-use space for kids, clubs/organized soccer, dogs, and families. Dog off-leash hours used to be from dawn to 9am, and from 5pm to dusk. The rest of the day being devoted to kids, people only activities. This worked for many years before the pandemic when people flooded to outdoor spaces and many got dogs for the first time. A vocal minority is making it seem like it has to be one way or another, but there is room for cooperation. There are many residents who have both dogs and kids. Are they supposed to separate their families by a fence when they go</p>
<p>Although we appreciate the larger areas the configuration of the temporary dog park with the soccer field would be better. You have stacked the deck against the Sierra 2 Green Dog Park = Dishonest</p>
<p>Sierra II: This is unfairly characterized "linked Parking"? There are two adjacent lots and MORE parking here than either of the Curtis Park options</p>
<p>There was an article in the Curtis Park newspaper about not having a dog park in Curtis Park. I think the quote was if other dog parks exist in 3 miles. #1 why can't our community our neighborhood have it's own so we don't have to go to another neighborhood? #2 Many dogs</p>
<p>The 25 year community built here helped me with endless crisis. Please don't move us.</p>
<p>We noticed that there are two soccer fields planned at Crocker Village. Perhaps the kids can play there and let dogs stay at Sierra 2.</p>
<p>Sierra 2: Hundreds for dog owners whose pets need exercise every day, all year vs. 3 month soccer season Mon-Thurs after 4pm and Saturday</p>

Sierra 2 Green: Sierra 2 option should be one 1.5 space, NOT divided into larger + smaller. There is no need for separate spaces judging by pa

Sierra 2 Green: Why hasn't a shared/mixed use option been considered for Sierra 2? Dogs off leash, soccer, and other activities could resume there as it did for decades before Covid, with limited hours, fence modifications, etc., and dog owner willing assistance.

Most dog owners would be happy with a multi-use park. As before Covid, soccer, off-leash dogs, and other activitie can all co-exist, with hour

Sierra 2 banning dogs off-leash would displace hundreds of dog owners, many of whom are seniors or physically limited from a cherished con

Thank you for the opportunity to vote on this. I'm confident we'll find a solution. Thanks for listening to all voices, not just the loudest.

I'm concerned that the shared use option was not available for Sierra 2!

Sierra 2 has been a terrific community resource since we moved to Curtis Park 3 years ago. At the dog park we met friends and neighbors, have had dog birthday parties, chased RC cars, and genrally found a community. This is unlike anything else in the area and the new fenced

The dog area can still exist with a soccer field. It worked well for about 20 years.

We would love to have a community garden near here too. Sierra 2 has a nice space next to the kids playground.

This option is the most accesible to Curtis Park residents. The people who want this option are willing to collaborate with other interests for shared use. This space has build community and gets use.

Where is soccer field? We would like to share Siera 2 with everyone who uses it.

My husband and I would have put our reds (2) here but we put them in another C.P. location (Option 1) Sierra 2 park is also too small for a dc

Worst option, don't take soccer field, too many house, takes away neighborhood park.

Dog Park and soccer field needed combined use. Making this a Dog Park would ruin this park as a neighborhood park. Don't let a vocal few get your Goat, can't please everyone! We need this soccer field!

We need more than one Dog Park. Sierra 2 looses a soccer field. Put a Dog Park somewhere else, no fences. We prefer no fences, why not designate source of the options presented today as off-leash and unfenced? Please look into that especially near trafficed roads!

Not necessary to divide Sierra 2 into large and small dog areas. Our large and small dogs have been happily co-existing for a long time!

One choice? Dog park or Soccer? That's NONSENSE! Shared, common ground is what I want!

Why only 1 option for Sierra 2? Let's respond to the community where 75% want a dog park. It doesn't have to be at the expense of soccer.

This vote is a joke! You want played sports!

Sierra 2: Shared option at Sierra 2 is not included. Why? Revisit the 20 year successful option w/ a new focus. It works in other cities and it works

Sierra 2 is a wonderful neighborhood park! It is at its best when we can all share it together! Kids, sports, dogs! Please consider a shared space. A second dog park in Land Park would help to alleviate crowding.

I really appreciate how the legacy model at Sierra II Green brought so many people from Curtis Park together. I'm disappointed my best option is somewhat win/lose with loss of much of the soccer pitch, but the green remains the best option for families w/ dogs.

The city made zero effort to work w/ the local groups to make these changes. The shared space worked for years. No parking available for full time sports or full time Dog Park. The money spent by the city for sports to happen before a vote was done is very telling! we want it to

Sierra 2 option: This option is disappointing! Why isn't the original setup with shared space and certain dog hours as had been done for decades. Please consider this option! I live in Curtis Park and would love to see this.

If the Sierra 2 Green is going to be used for soccer in the future, what is the city's plan for controlling traffic, parking, and noise? I live across the street and when it was used for soccer in the past, people frequently parked blocking my driveway on weekends when there were

Sierra II plan is the best for 95818 folks it can and should be made to work. Such a beneficial community resource and activity can be locally managed and monitored to benefit both dog people and park people and soccer or recreative people. Please do the right thing and make it

Let's "vote" on all the city parks. Why just here? Move the soccer field. More people were using the Dog Park, it was a success.

Sierra 2 was preferred by 75% of the survey respondents. We have used this as a shared space for years. Continue to use it as a shared space

As a person who owns a home directly across the street from Sierra 2, I find it frustrating that people who do not live in our neighborhood are allowed to have a say in the use of our park. Our homes and daily lives are the ones affected, not the people who live elsewhere.

I don't support any of the Sierra II dog park locations. I don't think the area of Sierra 2 is appropriate for any dog park at all. Too close to residences

At Sierra 2 there were once soccer games on Saturdays, and the park was used as a dog park when soccer games were not in progress. It worked to the satisfaction of all. Let's go back to that. The days when soccer games were played at Sierra 2, the grounds were well maintained. That stopped during Covid, when the games stopped. Which was given the impression that the Dogs are the problem.

We love Sierra II Dog Park as it was. We have been coming for 12 years. We ask that we go back to its original dog training facility. Thank you

The dog facility at Sierra 2 Green operated for 30+ years with very few problems. There is no need for a change now! I strongly encourage the city to add as an option returning to the shared neighborhood green that we have all enjoyed for decades. All the other options are

Please keep Sierra 2 off-leash dog training hours with mixed use for elders who would be challenged to walk to other options.

Please keep Sierra 2 Green as a dog park! It is a lovely community space for people and pets to gather!

I would like to have the Sierra 2 Green return to off-leash training as it was for 20 years before the most recent actions it worked!

Community Garden space on Sierra 2 property would be GREAT!

I think there should still be the soccer field at Sierra 2 because people like playing there.
Survey said 75% of Curtis Park residents want an off-leash dog park at Sierra 2 so let's do it PLEASE!
It looks like the Sierra 2 option does not include a soccer field dog owners are not asking for soleuse only shared space.
Sierra 2 favorite. I feel the Sierra 2 park can ne managed successfully to handle soccer and other sports as well as a dog play facility to serve C
Sierra 2 one big area, no separation of large to small. Maintain even if it involves donations from community. Alternative fencing so it does n
Hello, my name is Zoe and I use the dog park at Sierra 2 Green to win because there is so much connections and positivity there! I live at Sier
I understand that the maps at this vote are just preliminary, but I feel that they will inappropriately bias the voting. I would like a dog park at Sierra 2 center, but I do not support the preliminary map that shows the dog park replacing the soccer field. I voted green for Sierra 2, but I want a solution that balances ll uses of the park, dogs, playground, and sports. "I am Perkins Taylor - iamperkinstaylor@gmail.com"
Sierra 2 option please, but let's get rid of special dog fencing, keep the space available for human recreation, and limit dog off leash training times to morning and evening on days when other events are not planned.
The temporary Sierra Dog area was a good solution at first, but over a few months it became clear that it was simply too small to provide a healthy space for dogs. Ideally we would use the entire green for shared purpose, including an off leash dog park.
The Sierra 2 option: Why is there no option to have the park return to where it was before? As a shared space instead of what you propose. Will you consider adding this as an option? Thank you! "Christina"
As a 25 year resident of Curtis Park, I am concerned that converting all of Sierra 2 Green into a dog park and create a dustbowl/wasteland of dirt and dust like parts of Belle Cooledge, no more egg hunts on the Green or children playing.
My name is Jason. I live near Sierra 2 on Florence place and I believe the Dog Park should stay at Sierra 2. Please keep the Dog Park at Sierra 2. I voted for Rick, but will reconsider my support if the vote of the community isn't met.
Sierra 2 Dog Park: I want to say this is the best dog park in the city. I thin k because it was not fully enclosed for so long only well trained dog and attentive owners frequent their park. I'm glad to see plans for more parks as there should be. With the cost of living being so high, more people will have pet than children and they can't afford to buy a home so they'll have no yards for them! Please continue to open
The issue should be approached as 2 separate transactions. Sierra 2 Green, whether or not a dog park should exist there, in a park that was firstly for all recreation. If people want a dog park, go through city channels, make a proposal, vote, etc. A dog park does not exist via
Sierra 2 is (has been) an incredible unique community space. A rar "third place" in an increasingly isolated society. Ultimately it will be tragic to lose what has been a multiuse shared space for decades. It would be easy to just finish the external fencing and continue to share this resource. If a formal dog park happens, Sierra 2 is the best choice to help maintain the existing community cohesion. With 2 new soccer
Sierra ll Green needs to go back to the way it was. City snactioned as a training there. Designated hours, deference always to the kids and



# Comments

## Comments/Questions

Did you survey Land Park? Will there be parking including handicap parking accessible near the proposed sites? Why do you not list cons on the Curtis Park sites? Land Park sites are also near residents. How will this information be used?

I totally disagree that children were allowed to vote. Why was this decision made? Did you want to "stuff" the ballot box with uniformed soccer players?

Land Park option 2 is away from houses - Good! It is also close to Sierra 2. Belle Cooleedge is kind of far from here. Many, many, many people use Curtis Park for picnics, soccer practices and don't want to step in dog turds. Sierra 2 is too close to homes and kids.

Parks and pets are huge for our mental health. Dog parks help people care for their pets and get outside. If you would like stats on the correlation between parks, pets, and mental health feel free to reach out! "John Drebinger - jdrebinger@ccbha.org"

Object to this process - want to cast 3 red "No's" not 2 votes for!!! Against the dog park!

Please consider running the fence from 4th Ave to Castro along the soccer field with an entrance on both sides for the neighborhood. Or please go back to multiuse space with dogs before 9am and after 5pm now that we have more Rangers.

The size of most small dog park areas is too small for high energy dogs like terriers. My dog is 28 pounds and needs room to run, but he's not allowed.

Why change a good thing? Hundreds were using the Dog Park - Now it's too small. The numbers of users speaks to the need!

Whenever you consider a Dog Park - Think of the surrounding area. Where people will come from. Where some might park, etc. I believe in dog parks.

Please keep the athletics out our neighborhood

Dog Parks should 100% conform to City guidelines most offerings here tonight so not conform. This is a HOAX, a shameful disgraceful act about as Democratic as a Russian election. I am disappointed that the City is colluding with folks who regularly defied off leash dog ordinances. Dog Parks need to be sited far from residences as per existing guidelines. "John Bailey"

I refuse to accept and/or fund (contributing tax) anything that restricts my "right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness", by a pet owner.

Do away with separate little/big dogs. They get along just fine in a large space. STOP treating our dogs poorly. Current standards of dog spaces are still primitive! You can do much, much better.



With any of the options selected will there be further public input for design? Or are these proposals/designs set in stone? Thank you! "Lauri

Can we please have a new community garden? Thanks!

"Bill Westerfield; wwwesterfield@gmail.com" An obvious legacy of Covid is the large population of dogs in the neighborhood. The need for another large area for these dogs to run free is essential for their mental health, and this the safety of the residents. So my comments are - Create more than 1 new dog park. Create parks that are large enough for the dogs to run. Create parks that are shaded and attractive to Sierra 2 has worked well for decades for local, off-leash training. Curtis Park North displaces too many current activities. C.P. South is workable. Ray Eames has too many issues with parking access. Land Park ideas are good in ADDITION to Sierra 2. Sierra 2 should be SHARED

I'm mystified about this process. How does a child's vote - an adult w/ perspective, etc. How else can residents participate?

The lack of commenting on the issue of NO PARKING in the majority of options is problematic.

My overall comment - These are excellent proposals. You have laid it. Great Job on the project! Love the maps! Love the community voting a

It concerns me that YPCE may not have vetted these sites through the City attorneys office before presenting them publicly. There is serious risk to people and animals in some of these designs. Not too long ago, a dog running out of the temp structure at Sierra 2 was hit and killed. Children could also be at risk of injury. The City attorney should have been consulted first.

The old park worked. Please let this dog park issue end and go back to the unofficial park for dogs that it was. A couple of hours in the mornin

I am concerned children who do not have the mental capacity to judge site suitability are being given equal votes to adults on use of City pro

Input on surfacing, sharing of space, and access points are vital as we move forward. A dog park in this community is very necessary.

Shade and water are essential for a dog park to be useable in summer. There is also clearly demand and need for a large dog park accessible to Curtis Park and nearby residents. Ray Eames Park is a wonderful place for soccer fields, but would be unusable as a dog park much of the time. This process is flawed - why are children and people outside the CP community voting on our neighborhood park? Why do these proposals not match the survey, which was targeted to the CP community? Will/would there be an alternative off-leash area for flooded times if Ray Eames is selected? Why is the City skewing the selector process by allowing/encouraging Land Park soccer youth to vote? They are the most privileged people in Sac and have the most resources of anywhere. Why are you not looking at undeserved areas? Why is multiuse at Sierra 2 not an option?

Land Park too far away from Curtis Park - people can't walk to it. Sierra 2 preferred but must be kept up through 501 (c) 3 - donations to City

Why didn't all options have pros/cons? Eames is seasonal - not usable all year.

We (2 people) are against all CP locations. Dog parks should be planned not stuck on existing residents.

