

Memo

Date: September 26, 2025

To: Jennifer Donlon Wyant and Jeff Jelsma, City of Sacramento

From: Erin Ferguson, Sonia Anthoine, and Ashlee Takushi, Fehr & Peers

Subject: Top Collision Profiles and Countermeasures

Introduction

The purpose of this memorandum is to identify countermeasures that will help the City of Sacramento address the top collision profiles described in the previous crash analysis memo. The crash analysis memo identified six top collision profiles using contextual data as well as crash data from 2013 to 2022.¹ A recap of those collision profiles are noted in a subsection below for easy reference. Following that recap is an explanation of how the countermeasures in this memorandum were identified. Subsequent sections of the memorandum describe each collision profile and the priority countermeasures to help address those profiles. The countermeasures contained in this memorandum are intended to be used on the City's High Injury Network, primarily arterial and collector streets.

Recap of Collision Profiles

A total of six collision profiles were identified from the most recent 10 years of collision data, and many of them were similar to collision profiles identified in the 2018 Action Plan, as summarized in **Table 1** below.

¹ Please refer to the memorandum "Collision Landscape Summary and Collision Profiles" submitted separately to the City for detailed data source information.

Table 1: Collision Profile Comparisons: 2025 vs 2018

2025 Collision Profile	2018 Collision Profile ^a
1. Collisions Involving People Walking and Biking	60+ Year Old Pedestrians; Pedestrian Crashes Near Transit Stops; Broadside Crashes – Bicycle Involved; Driver Making Left or Right Turn – Bicycle/ Pedestrian Involved; Pedestrian Crossing Outside of an Intersection or Crosswalk
2. Broadside Collisions at Intersections in Commercial Areas	Crashes in Commercial Areas; Broadside Crashes – Bicycle Involved
3. Lack of Conflict Management at Intersections	Driver Making Left Or Right Turn – Bicycle/ Pedestrian Involved
4. Collisions Near Transit Stops	Pedestrian Crashes Near Transit Stops
5. Collisions Near Parks and Schools	<i>[Not included]</i>
6. Unsafe Speed Collisions on Arterials	Unsafe Speed on Non-Local Streets; 35+ MPH Streets

^aSource: City of Sacramento Vision Zero Action Plan (2018).

Approach to Identifying Countermeasures

The Safe System Approach² (SSA) was used as the basis to inform the countermeasure toolbox and selection described further below. The SSA identifies kinetic energy risk as the primary factor in the number and severity of crashes and as a result, effectively managing kinetic energy risk on the street network is a key step in reducing the number and severity of crashes. Kinetic energy risk is made up of three components:

- **Exposure:** Where and how far people travel generally on the street network as well as, at a street or intersection level, the distance road users must travel to cross a street or intersection. The more people travel, particularly by vehicle, and the wider the streets, the greater the exposure road users experience.
- **Likelihood:** Where conflicts occur along a street and at an intersection. A conflict or conflict point is the location where two or more paths of travel of any mode cross. The more conflict points present the greater the likelihood a crash could occur.
- **Severity:** Determined by vehicle speed and vehicle mass. The higher speeds and heavier vehicles results in more severe injury outcomes particularly when people walking or biking are involved.

² An overview of the Safe System Approach is provided on the U.S. Department of Transportation's website: <https://www.transportation.gov/safe-system-approach>

The countermeasures discussed below were selected based on their effectiveness in addressing one or more of those three components.

Countermeasures can be implemented through a hierarchical approach of reducing demand, severity, then conflict. By doing so, locations will be designed to prioritize crash prevention and not just mitigation. Countermeasures should begin by reducing demand, which include supporting mode shift, shortening trips, or eliminating trips. Reducing demand directly decreases the number of potential conflicts and lays the foundation for a safer system.

Once demand is minimized, the next priority is to reduce the severity of potential collisions. This is typically achieved through speed management strategies that bring vehicle speeds closer to context-sensitive target speeds with geometric and operational changes. Lower speeds not only reduce the risk of serious injury or death but also create safer conditions for all road users, especially those who are most vulnerable.

After addressing exposure and severity, conflict management strategies should be employed to separate users in space and time. These strategies focus on separating or simplifying movements—such as through access management, dedicated turn lanes, or signal phasing.

Countermeasure Toolbox

The countermeasure toolbox was created to equip the city with meaningful strategies to eliminate transportation fatalities and severe injuries. Countermeasures can be categorized into engineering and non-engineering strategies.

Engineering Countermeasures

Based on the collision profiles, Fehr & Peers drew on industry best practice resources, such as the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Safe System Design Hierarchy, FHWA Proven Safety Countermeasures, Caltrans Local Roadway Safety Manual, and Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse to identify and prioritize engineering countermeasures. Each countermeasure documents relevant information such as implementation considerations, effectiveness of the treatment, and relative cost. Countermeasures, or “tools,” from the Engineering Countermeasure Toolbox are organized into tables in this memo based on the collision issue addressed. The full Engineering Countermeasure Toolbox is included in **Appendix A**.

The countermeasures are generally organized by their relative effectiveness based on the Safe System Roadway Design Hierarchy (Hierarchy). The Hierarchy provides guidance in contextualizing and assessing infrastructure-based countermeasures and strategies on their alignment with the principles of the Safe System Approach, classifying countermeasures into four tiers, from most to least aligned with Safe System principles:

1. **Removing severe conflicts**, which can act to eliminate high-risk conditions that involve users with different speeds or moving in different directions sharing space. This tier can include countermeasures that remove potential points of conflict (for example, removing conflicting turning movements), and those that separate vulnerable users from vehicles in space (for example, protecting people biking through a separated bike lane).

2. **Reducing vehicle speeds**, which reduces the kinetic energy present within systems and thereby reduces the severity of crashes that do occur. As driver behavior, especially when it comes to speed, is highly influenced by roadway features, countermeasures that reduce prevailing speeds can include lane narrowing and features that channelize vehicle traffic such as median islands.
3. **Managing conflicts in time**, which covers instances (such as intersections) where space needs to be shared between different users, but where they can be separated in time. An example is the Leading Pedestrian Interval, which allows people walking to have a “head start” at a signalized intersection before conflicting vehicle traffic enters the crosswalk.
4. **Increasing attentiveness and awareness**, which involves alerting users to conflicts and potential risks, can involve such countermeasures as intersection daylighting and warning signage.

Non-Engineering Countermeasures

Non-infrastructure countermeasures include policies, process, and programmatic changes that support safety education, encouragement, enforcement, and evaluation. These strategies draw on best practice information from FHWA, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration’s (NHTSA) *Countermeasures that Work*, and other national guidance. **Appendix B** contains a description of these types of non-engineering countermeasures.

Collision Profile Countermeasures

This section outlines the key characteristics of each collision profile and presents targeted countermeasure³ recommendations for each.

#1: Collisions Involving People Walking and Biking

Collisions where at least one party was walking or biking

Figure 1 shows where collisions within this profile occurred in the City of Sacramento.

Statistics

- 18% of injury collisions (3,581) involve people walking or biking
 - 9% of injury collisions (1,709) involve people walking
 - 9% of injury collisions (1,872) involve people biking
- 46% of KSI collisions (682) involve people walking or biking
 - 31% of KSI collisions (455) involve people walking
 - 15% (227) involve people biking

Engineering Countermeasures

For **Collisions Involving People Walking and Biking**, the strategies below focus on eliminating the most severe types of conflicts through physical separation, kinetic energy reduction, and enhanced crossing safety. Vulnerable road users are at the highest risk in environments where they must share space with motorists. The selected countermeasures aim to create dedicated, protected spaces for walking and biking, while also improving crossing design and visibility.

Recommended engineering countermeasures are organized by the subtopics below.

Separate Road Users in Space

Construction of sidewalks, shared-use paths, and separated bike networks for people walking and biking, with connection to the existing networks, will enable a continuous and protected environment regardless of vehicle speed or vehicle sizes on the street. This includes building protected intersections to provide for the separation of people walking and biking up to and through an intersection.

³ Engineering Countermeasures are referenced from Fehr & Peers' Safety Countermeasure Toolbox, and Programmatic Countermeasures are reference from *The Countermeasures That Work: A Highway Safety Countermeasure Guide for State Highway Safety Offices*, 11th Edition, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), 2023.

Countermeasures to Separate Users in Space	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Protected Intersection	✓	✓	✓	✓
Add Sidewalk	✓			
Shared-Use Path	✓			
Separated Bikeway	✓			
Buffered Bike Lane	✓			
Bike Lane	✓			
Bicycle Ramp	✓			
Landscape Buffer	✓			
Driveway Consolidation	✓			
Through Bike Lane at Intersection	✓			✓
Curbside Management			✓	
Conflict Zone Striping				✓
Door Zone Markings				✓
Upgrade Striping				✓

Manage Vehicle Speeds

Lowering vehicle speeds through slower signal progression (e.g., 20 MPH ‘green waves’), installing roundabouts, constructing slow-turn wedges, installing speed feedback signs, and a variety of other countermeasures can encourage drivers to slow down and thus reduce the likelihood and severity of crashes when drivers interact with people walking or biking. At certain times, especially at nighttime, traffic signals can be designed to stay red until a vehicle approaches (semi-actuated). These signals can be designed to reward drivers who follow the speed limit, so that the light stays red until the driver comes to a stop if a car is driving too fast. On the other hand, for drivers driving at or below the speed limit, it will turn green before the car arrives at the signal and allow for smooth passing. Speed management is especially critical when separate space is not provided for people biking (e.g., bike routes or bike boulevards⁴) or people walking.

⁴ The City’s recently adopted Neighborhood Connections Plan also has a traffic-calming toolbox that details these countermeasures: https://www.cityofsacramento.gov/content/dam/portal/pw/Transportation/streets-for-people/SacramentoNC_Final_Report_WithAppendix.pdf

Countermeasures to Manage Vehicle Speeds	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Roundabout	✓	✓		
Protected Intersection	✓	✓	✓	✓
Road Diet/Lane Reduction	✓	✓		
Speed Limit Reduction		✓		✓
Slow Turn Wedge		✓		✓
Signal Interconnectivity and Coordination / Green Wave		✓	✓	
Speed Lumps		✓		
Speed Hump / Speed Table		✓		
Splitter Island				✓
Speed Feedback Sign				✓
Bicycles May Use Full Lane Sign				✓

Separate Road Users in Time

Adjusting signal timing at traffic signals to allow for things like leading pedestrian intervals, right-turn-on-red prohibitions, camera detection for people walking and biking for automatic recall of the signal, extend time push button, and/or separate signal phases so people walking and biking can cross without conflicting vehicle turning movements.

Countermeasures to Separate Users in Time	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Traffic Signal			✓	
Pedestrian Scramble	✓		✓	
Pedestrian Signal			✓	✓
Prohibit Right-Turn-on-Red	✓		✓	
Leading Pedestrian Interval and Pedestrian Recall			✓	
Prohibit Turns During Pedestrian Phase			✓	

Countermeasures to Separate Users in Time	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Separate Right-Turn Phasing			✓	
Extend Pedestrian Crossing Time			✓	
Bicycle Signal/ Exclusive Bike Phase			✓	
Pedestrian Countdown Timer				✓
Extend Time Push Button			✓	
Shorten Cycle Length			✓	
All-Red Signal Time			✓	
Flashing Beacon as Advance Warning				✓

Enhance Crossing Infrastructure

Continued application of the City's *Pedestrian Crossing Guidelines* (April 2021) will be helpful to steadily improve crossing conditions for people walking and biking. Features such as refuge islands, curb extensions/bulb-outs, bus bulbs, all shorten the crossing distances to cross the street. These features also help to slow motorists' speeds by physically and visually narrowing the street width. Raised medians and refuge islands allow pedestrians to cross one direction of traffic at a time, reducing exposure to vehicles. These can be supplemented with additional traffic control like pedestrian signals at locations with higher vehicle volumes, higher vehicle speeds, and/or multiple vehicle lanes to cross. Improvements should also consider enhancing sight lines and visibility of people walking and biking, particularly near intersections and crossings. Citywide implementation of Assembly Bill (AB) 413, which prohibits parking within 20 feet of crosswalks (or 15 feet if a bulb-out is present), will support these goals by improving visibility and safety at crossing infrastructures generally.

Countermeasures to Enhance Crossing Infrastructure	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Refuge Island	✓	✓		
Bicycle Ramp	✓			
Curb Extensions		✓		✓
Raised Crosswalk		✓		✓

Countermeasures to Enhance Crossing Infrastructure	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Raised Intersection		✓		✓
Pedestrian Signal			✓	✓
Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon			✓	✓
Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon			✓	✓
Pedestrian Detection			✓	
Extend Pedestrian Crossing Time			✓	
Bike Detection			✓	
Extend Green Time for Bikes			✓	
High-Visibility Crosswalk				✓
Conflict Zone Striping				✓
Remove Obstructions for Sightlines				✓
Yield To Pedestrian Sign				✓
Bicycle Crossing				✓
Straighten Crosswalk				✓
Yield Markings				✓

New or Improved Lighting

Installation or upgrading street lighting at intersections helps to improve the visibility of people walking or biking to drivers when there is insufficient natural light.

Countermeasures to Improve Lighting	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Street Lighting				✓
Intersection Lighting				✓
Upgrade Lighting to LED				✓

Non-Engineering Countermeasures

Recommendations for non-engineering countermeasures include:

Automated Enforcement

Pending state legislation that allows broader use of speed safety cameras and red light cameras, such technology could be used to help manage vehicle speeds particularly in the areas of the city where people are walking and biking.

Pair Education with Engineering Countermeasures

Educational materials can be used to help people become more familiar and comfortable with less frequently used countermeasures, such as roundabouts or separated bikeways.

Education & Public Awareness Campaigns Targeted at Increasing Motorists' Awareness of People Walking and Biking

Coordinating with public communications staff/public information officers to help establish ongoing public education on social media.

#2: Broadside Collisions Near Intersections in Commercial Areas

Broadside-type (“T-Bone”) collisions within 150 feet of an intersection and within 250 feet of a commercial area

Figure 2 shows where collisions meeting this profile occurred in the City of Sacramento.

Statistics

- 25% of injury collisions (4,758 collisions)
- 17% of KSI collisions (244 collisions)

Engineering Countermeasures

For **Broadside Collisions Near Intersections in Commercial Areas**, the strategies below focus on reducing the number of conflict points on streets in commercial areas, improving management of the conflict points present, slowing vehicle speeds, and supplementing with treatments intended to increase road user awareness and attentiveness. Commercial corridors often feature multilane roadways with multiple driveways and larger signalized intersections, all of which increase the risk of broadside crashes.

Recommendations for engineering countermeasures include:

Enhance Conflict Management at Intersections

At existing signalized intersections, implementing protected left-turn phasing separates left-turning vehicles from opposing through vehicle movement in time and has been found to significantly reduce the likelihood of broadside crashes. At existing unsignalized intersections, implementing roundabouts helps significantly reduce the number of conflicts or crossing paths of travel at intersections, which has been found to improve safety outcomes. Roundabouts also facilitate U-turn movements which are beneficial on commercial corridors with raised medians and access management. Finally, roundabouts have notably lower maintenance costs than traffic signals.

Countermeasures to Enhance Intersection Conflict Management	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Roundabout	✓	✓		
Protected Intersection	✓	✓	✓	✓
Reduced Left-Turn Conflict Intersection	✓			
Prohibit Left Turn	✓			

Countermeasures to Enhance Intersection Conflict Management	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
All-Way Stop Control			✓	✓
Traffic Signal			✓	
Protected Left Turns			✓	
Bicycle Signal/Exclusive Bike Phase			✓	
Leading Pedestrian Interval and Pedestrian Recall			✓	
Advanced Dilemma Zone Detection			✓	
Flashing Yellow Turn Phase				✓
Time-Based Turn Restriction				✓
Striping Through Intersection				✓

Reduce Conflicts between Intersections

Installing raised medians (with landscaping when feasible) and consolidating access driveways help limit the number of vehicle interactions and turning movements between and near intersections, thereby reducing the likelihood of broadside crashes.

Countermeasures to Reduce Conflicts between Intersections	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Raised Median	✓			
Driveway Consolidation	✓			
Directional Median Openings to Restrict Left Turns	✓			
Median Barrier	✓			

Reduce Vehicle Turning Speeds at Intersections

Countermeasures such as centerline hardening, tighter curb radii, and slow turn wedges help slow vehicles and improve visibility at conflict points. Removing right-turn slip lanes also helps slow vehicle speeds through intersections.

Countermeasures to Reduce Intersection Vehicle Turning Speeds	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Centerline Hardening	✓			✓
Close Slip Lane		✓		
Raised Intersection		✓		✓
Slow Turn Wedge		✓		✓
Intersection Reconstruction and Tightening		✓		✓
Upgrade Striping				✓

Enhance Guidance and Visibility of Traffic Control Devices

Treatments like retroreflective tape on signals, upgrading intersection pavement markings, and high-visibility crosswalks can improve driver's awareness and compliance.

Countermeasures to Enhance Guidance and Visibility of Traffic Control Devices	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
High-Visibility Crosswalk				✓
Upgrade Intersection Pavement Markings				✓
Upgrade Signs with Fluorescent Sheeting				✓
Upgrade Signal Heads				✓
Red Light Camera				✓
Retroreflective Tape on Signals				✓
Supplemental Signal Heads				✓

Non-Engineering Countermeasures

Recommendations on non-engineering countermeasures include:

Automated Enforcement

Automated enforcement devices such as red-light cameras installed at intersections or speed safety cameras installed between intersections, can help improve motorists' compliance with traffic control devices and speed limits. The use of speed safety cameras is dependent upon state legislation allowing for broader use in California.

Signal Timing and Phasing Countermeasures	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Prohibit Right-Turn-on-Red			✓	
Bicycle Signal/ Exclusive Bike Phase			✓	
Leading Pedestrian Interval and Pedestrian Recall			✓	
Prohibit Turns During Pedestrian Phase (Blank-out Signs)			✓	
Protected Left Turns			✓	
Advanced Dilemma Zone Detection			✓	
Red Light Camera				✓

Enhance Visibility and Clarity of Traffic Control Devices

Improvements such as retroreflective tape on signals and upgraded intersection striping are designed to make traffic signals and signs more visible to motorists. These treatments can improve motorists' awareness and attentiveness.

Traffic Control Device Visibility Countermeasures	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Upgrade Intersection Pavement Markings				✓
Retroreflective Tape on Signals				✓
Upgrade Signal Head				✓

Non-Engineering Countermeasures

Recommendations for non-engineering countermeasures include:

Automated Enforcement

Automated enforcement devices such as red-light cameras installed at intersections or speed safety cameras installed between intersections can help improve motorists' compliance with traffic control devices and speed limits. Vehicle speeds on approach to and through intersections directly determine the severity of a collision when they do occur. Speed management on approach to and through intersections is critical for improved safety outcomes since intersections are where all modes cross paths of travel with other modes. The use of speed safety cameras in Sacramento is dependent upon state legislation allowing for broader use in California.

Education Campaigns about New Intersection Treatments

Coordinating with public communications staff/public information officers to use existing social media accounts (e.g. Facebook, NextDoor, Instagram, etc.) can help establish an ongoing public education campaign focused on making motorists aware of new no right-turn-on red rules and how to use roundabouts, both of which are not regularly encountered in the City.

Improve Visibility of and for Transit Riders and Reduce Crossing Distance

Countermeasures such as bus bulbs and bus boarding islands help reduce crossing distances and also improve visibility of and for people accessing transit. Bus boarding islands help maintain separation between buses and people biking by removing the conflict that can otherwise occur when buses cross a bike lane to pull to the curb at a bus stop.

Countermeasures to Improve Transit Rider Visibility	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Floating Transit Island or Bus Boarding Island	✓	✓		
Curb Extensions		✓		✓

Provide Sidewalk and Bicycle Facilities to Bus/Transit Stops

Countermeasures such as building sidewalks or implementing bicycle facilities that connect to bus/transit stops help create separate space for transit riders who are walking or biking to access transit service. Constructing those complete connections within a ¼ to ½ mile of any given bus/transit stop helps reduce the likelihood of crashes involving people accessing transit via active modes.

Sidewalk and Bike Connection Countermeasures	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Add Sidewalk	✓			
Bike Lane	✓			
Shared-Use Path	✓			
Separated Bikeway	✓			

Non-Engineering Countermeasures

Recommendations for non-engineering countermeasures include:

Continued Automated Enforcement

SacRT has recently deployed automated bus stop parking enforcement with bus-mounted camera systems. Unauthorized parking in bus stops makes it challenging for bus operators to safely pull up to curbs, often forcing passengers to board or exit buses away from the designated stop area, which can increase crash exposure for riders, create accessibility challenges, and generally increase inconvenience for transit riders.

Education Campaigns for Motorists

The City can partner with SacRT to develop and implement education campaigns to raise motorist awareness about proper behavior around buses, bus stops, and light rail stations. This could include messaging on buses and/or on signs at stops or bus shelters as well as via social media or other media outlets.

Countermeasures that Separate Users in Space and Time	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Pedestrian Scramble			✓	
Pedestrian Signal			✓	
Bicycle Signal/ Exclusive Bike Phase			✓	

Manage Vehicle Speeds

Managing vehicle speeds with signal progression, installing roundabouts, installing speed lumps or humps,, and creating landscape buffers can encourage drivers to slow down and thus reduce the likelihood and severity of crashes when drivers interact with people walking or biking. Speed management is especially critical when separate space is not provided for people walking or biking (e.g., bike routes or bike boulevards).

Countermeasures that Mange Vehicle Speeds	Safe System Hierarchy Tiers			
	1 – Remove Severe Conflicts	2 – Reduce Vehicle Speeds	3 – Manage Conflicts in Time	4 – Increase Attentiveness and Awareness
Protected Intersection	✓	✓	✓	✓
Roundabout	✓	✓		
Road Diet/Lane Reduction	✓	✓		
Landscape Buffer	✓			
Signal Interconnectivity and Coordination/ Green Wave		✓	✓	
Speed Limit Reduction		✓		✓
Slow Turn Wedge		✓		✓
Speed Lumps		✓		
Speed Hump or Speed Table		✓		
Speed Feedback Sign				✓
Splitter Island				✓

Non-Engineering Countermeasures

Recommendations for non-engineering countermeasures could include Safe Routes to School or Safe Routes to Parks programs. Currently, the Sacramento City Council has declined to support City-managed SRTS programs, but non-profits and school districts could step in to provide programming in the future.

Programming could include: Walk to School Day and Bike to School day, safe walking and biking maps, community walks and rides, creation of promotional materials, parent champion training, and more to promote active transportation and reduce traffic-related injuries and fatalities among school-aged children. The more children walk and bike to school, the less vehicles are on the roadway network, thus decreasing exposure of kinetic energy risk for all on the network, with the goal of creating a healthy, active, and safer transportation culture.

Conclusion

Each profile is designed to target specific contributing factors and contextual conditions, such as right-of-way violations, unsafe speeds, pedestrian conflicts, and proximity to schools, parks, transit stops, and intersections. The related recommended countermeasures focus on eliminating kinetic energy risk through a combination of eliminating or improved management of conflicts, slowing vehicle speeds, and/or increasing road user attentiveness. These are consistent with the Safe System Roadway Design Hierarchy. Additional countermeasures as well as greater detail on the countermeasures mentioned above are available in **Appendix A** and **B**.

