

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:37PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alia Last Name: Dimos
Email	alia.we.gave [REDACTED]
Comment	The idea of giving police officers military-level equipment is honestly terrifying. It's expensive, and completely unnecessary. We should not be militarising the police. They already misuse and abuse their current equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 12:19AM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Carlson
Email	thegreatglobeitsel [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I saw a video of an officer involved shooting where the undercover officer was injured by his own boss. After being shot 9 times, the officer at fault can be heard saying "i thought you were a bad guy." Not that Sac PD has ever been one to refuse a gift horse, these aren't exactly gifts. LRADs (even more than drones) are a commitment to policing against your own community, and a fairly expensive one at that. I think it is pretty significant that the public is even being notified. The story of police militarization in Sacramento for me goes right back to the WTO protests post 9-11. Everyone was so excited at PD that we might have cause to use the new fangled suppression devices; paintball guns with mace and tear gas canisters. All of this for what ultimately was a few activists in trees and a naked march through town. Then came the semi swat military vehicles. It's just too much. It's a depressing slide in the direction of principles you can't bear to actually discourse on (government protection of property vs. people. injustice maintained by a heavy state) and all to protect "good guys." The world is more than a game of cops and robbers, and the public pays for these rc toys and methods of headache and hearing loss and skin discomfort and pain just so we can say we can "handle" our rowdy people. Windows breaking and stores being robbed doesn't mean your police have failed. The police have failed when they stop policing the rich. These vehicles and drones arent to police the city fairly or equally, they are methods of controlling a hungry, disadvantaged, and disorganized public. Police the rich. Fill the prisons with wealth. Leave activists and protesters alone. Nobody with a salaried position and benefits should be interfering with the appeal for justice in any city anywhere, especially not with military weaponry (approved or no).</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 01:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: Shannon Last Name: Weber
Email	femstgrad [REDACTED]
Comment	I am entirely against the Sacramento PD owning or operating any military equipment. I don't see why this is necessary and I don't think they can be trusted given their track record of gunning down unarmed people of color in our communities with little to no repercussions whatever. The police should not be given even more options to enact their violence, whether instigated by ineptitude or malice.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 07:01AM PDT

Name	First Name: Martiann Last Name: Parfitt
Email	mparfit [REDACTED]
Comment	Sacramento's police have shown themselves to be incapable of using restraint and common sense with the most basic weapons. Allowing them to have access to military equipment will be disastrous. Military equipment is meant to be used against a foreign invader, not American citizens. Keep it out of the hands of Sacramento's trigger-happy cops.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 09:54AM PDT

Name	First Name: Alicia Last Name: Edmunds
Email	alicia.a.edmunds [REDACTED]
Comment	It is absurd on its face that Sac PD even has military equipment to begin with. In the absence of the protocol for contact used by the military (such as the prohibition of opening fire on a human being who isn't even armed) the brandishing of this equipment amounts to domestic terrorism against the community you are supposed to serve and protect.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 10:24AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I do not want our tax dollars being used to purchase military equipment for the police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 10:42AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ryan Last Name: Greenlaw
Email	ryangreenlaw [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't think it's a good idea to give the people who were gassing Sacramentans two summers ago drones and tanks
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 09:15AM PDT

Name	First Name: Chad Last Name: Thurston
Email	chadthurston [REDACTED]
Comment	You shouldn't have military equipment. Your policy should be to not use military equipment ever since you are a civilian police force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 11:26AM PDT

Name	First Name: Emily Last Name: Watkins
Email	oxyemily [REDACTED]
Comment	NO MILITARY EQUIPMENT! There are so many other and better ways to spend money. Please do not reinforce a military state. thank you
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 12:29PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kara Last Name: Synhorst
Email	karasynhorst [REDACTED]
Comment	Our police do not need military equipment, they should not have military equipment, they cannot be trusted with military equipment, and I have deep concerns about what having the military equipment might do to the mindset of the officers.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/MSH3TeEyLv

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 02:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Theresa Last Name: Taylor
Email	msttaylor1 [REDACTED]
Comment	military euipment is and should only be used for those purposes and durning war time. I dont agree that it would be needed for the purposes of safety. The laws on the books protect the police department when they wrongfully harm the public that they are supposed to protect and the public suffers in those instances!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 06:59AM PDT

Name	First Name: Matthew Last Name: Ampersand
Email	Herbiehatman [REDACTED]
Comment	My family opposes the purchase of any military grade equipment by the police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 09:43AM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Engelgau
Email	crengelgau [REDACTED]
Comment	Yeah, lets give the police military weapons-.nothing seems wrong with that. The police are too dangerous now, stupid idea- whom ever thought it was a good idea must hate people.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 10:17AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jon Last Name: Rogers
Email	jontheartguy [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not. Invest your money in counselors instead, for both the people being arrested and the police themselves.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 10:51AM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Yount
Email	cjyount [REDACTED]
Comment	More police funding!!! Studies show less police funding means more crime. Fund law enforcement and hold all thugs accountable
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 11:28AM PDT

Name	First Name: Alexander Last Name: Leslie
Email	sharxbyte [REDACTED]
Comment	The sac police department should be allowed use of helicopters but no other military surplus weapons. We're people not enemies.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 12:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Robert Last Name: Finch
Email	finchrobert [REDACTED]
Comment	I say get whatever you can and do whatever you need to do to stay safe and keep us safe.....Your doing a great job!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 03:52PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jason Last Name: Warren
Email	warrjd486 [REDACTED]
Comment	Police have a difficult job. If there is equipment that will make their job safer and help the community we should grant them the equipment. Groups that dislike the Police will be submitting negative comments about the Police and the needed equipment. These hate groups are a very small portion of our City. They will send mass emails to make it look like lots of people disagree with the life saving equipment. Take that into consideration.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 05:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Hannah Last Name: Jenks
Email	jenks.h.r [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The use of military equipment by Sacramento Police will undermine what is left of community trust in policing and the trust between civilians and police officers. As a high school teacher, I want to feel as though I can rely on Sac PD as a network of support in the community. Educators and Law Enforcement serve the community and this service relies on public trust. The use of military equipment erodes this trust immeasurably and irreparably by reinforcing the assumption that the community and its members are the enemy. Both educators and police officers have been entrusted by public with a responsibility that relies on their confidence in us in order to do our jobs well. Militarizing Sacramento Police against its own community is a line there is no coming back from and will permanently damage what remains of the relationship between police and the public. Please consider alternatives.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 05:38PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	No
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 05:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jason Last Name: Brunet
Email	jeffthefish [REDACTED]
Comment	Hell no, you goddamn lunatics. Police use of military equipment only leads to the death of civilians at the hands of cops. It has no part in our city.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 08:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Erik Last Name: Mallory
Email	kristanmallory [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment has no legitimate use in law enforcement. It was designed to be used in the context of war, and even then, not against civilians for any reason. If there is a threat present in a community that is significant enough to warrant the use of military weapons, then the military should be deployed, not the police and this separation is important.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 09:57PM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Benson
Email	fordaluvomike [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment is designed for the theater of war. For a police department to purchase or use former military equipment is to convey the message that they intend, at some point to go to war with the very population they have sworn to protect. This is not okay.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://m.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 04:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Megan Last Name: Cherinka
Email	cherinkam [REDACTED]
Comment	Please use all equipment necessary to quell, stop and prevent violence, gangs, etc. Sacramento needs help and so does your department. Military equipment so be it. Protection for you and citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 04:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Daniel Last Name: Rickards
Email	danielrickards101 [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm all for you guys using any and all the equipment that you can get your hands on military or otherwise
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 04:40PM PDT

Name	First Name: Nikki Last Name: Ramos
Email	nikkiramos97 [REDACTED]
Comment	They should be outfitted with the equipment they feel is necessary to perform their jobs. To ask the public to comment on something that they're generally not educated enough to provide valuable input on seems like a waste of time but I assume it has to do with transparency relating to the budget. To use the word "military" is sure to freak people out though.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 02:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: Derrick Last Name: Cook
Email	firebyrd400 [REDACTED]
Comment	Use all resources available to keep our community and law enforcement officers safe.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 02:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: Margaret Last Name: Lane
Email	mclane9994 [REDACTED]
Comment	Police should be able to use and have access to,any equipment needed. Not only do they protect us,need to keep themselves and each other as safe as possible.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 02:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kent Last Name: Quong
Email	kentquong [REDACTED]
Comment	Use any equipment required and if it's discounted or gifted military equipment, even better especially given the current environment. Social workers, unlike what many think, can't handle all situations.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 04:49PM PDT

Name	First Name: Annette Last Name: Miranda
Email	almiranda95838 [REDACTED]
Comment	Need more info
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 02:56PM PDT

Name	First Name: Thomas Last Name: Baker
Email	tb@██████████
Comment	Our police already act as a street gang. Handing them weapons and utilities of war would be disaster for the already down trodden citizens of the city.
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 05:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Latecia Last Name: Breedon
Email	luckylady6369 [REDACTED]
Comment	I totally understand why you need the items. Protecting yourselves as well as the public comes with a hefty price tag. That robot, and the drones. Yes please do. You have to be a step ahead in strength and technology over criminals and crime. You don't go into a war zone with the goal of breaking even or being equally powerful. Whatever it takes to be effective and on top. I wish you the best.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:00PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I think that military equipment for a police department is over the top. What type of equipment are you contemplating and why?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 02:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sarah Last Name: Lightfoot
Email	funnyfrogfarm [REDACTED]
Comment	Your policy is great. Law enforcement departments should be allowed to use whatever equipment is necessary to keep communities safe. I believe that the "outcry against the militarization of our law enforcement" is made up by the media. Armor, vehicles, and weapons are basics that no one should complain about.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stephanie Last Name: Hill
Email	stephiehill1 [REDACTED]
Comment	Equip yourself with what you need to keep your officers and our community safe
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Cheryl Last Name: Nelson
Email	Thenelson5 [REDACTED]
Comment	I am 100% in support of ANYTHING that SPD feels is necessary to keep its officers and citizens safe.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 05:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: brendan Last Name: sanford
Email	brendansanford66 [REDACTED]
Comment	i feel the annual maintenance budget per item it's a bit excessive i mean 500\$ for a shot gun a year when it cost less than that to maintain it. if the individuals are trained to take care of such equipment your operating cost drop significantly. when you talk about civilian protection with the armored vehicles not once when i lived in north highlands did the police ever offer me a safe place from the violence weather it be drive bys or even an armed intruder in my house. so i'm having a very conflicted views as to why all this equipment is even necessary.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 05:24PM PDT

Name	First Name: JOSHUA Last Name: CAGLE
Email	JoshCagle001 [REDACTED]
Comment	Open & Free reign to stop these shootings! Give our Sacramento Officers, Deputies, Detectives, and Proactive Gang Units the power of the NSA and Home Land Security intelligence and equipment! No privacy or rights are deserved when people are dying! Don't tell the public about it if you have to do so. Our citizen lives are worth so much more than what these people take for granted! They need help from themselves! Please help them! When the shootings are over, have the control not to continue and abuse the power! Only when there is a fire do you spray water.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Monday Last Name: L
Email	
Comment	No i would not approve. Which equipment? For what reason?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:29PM PDT

Name	First Name: Anand Last Name: Kaviraj
Email	ddkavira [REDACTED]
Comment	I fully support the great Sacramento Police Department acquiring whatever equipment it deems necessary to keep us citizens safe. The policy sound fine to me and well thought out.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Rebecca Last Name: Carter
Email	sewlittlescovers [REDACTED]
Comment	No use of military equipment! Teachers have to buy their own supplies. This is grossly excessive.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:49PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dave Last Name: Tarr
Email	dj_tarr [REDACTED]
Comment	Buy and use everything available to you to keep officers safe and criminals in jail/prison. I could care less if you use military weapons to stop these scumbags. They sure don't care, match their aggression.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 05:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Shane Last Name: Caldwell
Email	j.life901 [REDACTED]
Comment	Ill advised. Sacramento city and county is not in the middle of some third world country; in desperate need of some reform from dictatorship or drastic overhaul, nor are we in war times. All you will cause from this is further division and fear from the residents of the city and county.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:56PM PDT

Name	First Name: Joseph Last Name: Atienza
Email	Joe_atienza60 [REDACTED]
Comment	I approve the procurement and use of all military vehicles and equipment for the Sacramento Police Department. With the increase of crime, homelessness, and civil unrest in our city, I believe military equipment is the least of our worries. I support the Sacramento police and all military equipment that will keep our officers safer when protecting our wonderful city.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 04:03PM PDT

Name	First Name: Christipher Last Name: Schoonover
Email	erinpher21 [REDACTED]
Comment	No police force needs military weapons. That's what the national guard is for when needed or our regular military. Only some highly trained police should even be carrying guns let alone some advanced military weapon. This is why people want to defund the police department. Stop trying to be the military.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 05:59PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	There is absolutely no need for this police department to use/need/have MILITARY equipment. Military equipment is for WARS, not "protecting and serving" communities. No military equipment solves crime and police playing dress up as military is disgusting and pathetic. Fuck y'all.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 05:59PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kerin Last Name: Gould
Email	kerinlg[REDACTED]
Comment	1. Even the appearance of military gear in the hands of police marks the public as "the enemy", as if the police were soldiers fighting a war against us. 2. Any situation that calls for such heavy duty gear should be bumped up to exclusive emergency teams that are trained for extreme situations and that are not involved in day to day policing or regular event policing. 3. The use of such gear can only incite a hyped up, adrenalized, macho, bellicose attitude from police, and that is only useful in extremely rare cases - where a city might actually face a large group of heavily armed attackers, for example, or a large scale terrorist attack. In all other cases it is a terrorizing, dangerous, often deadly energy that should never be used on or near citizens. 4. This kind of gear and appearance only attracts more hostility toward the police and may interfere with citizen confidence and thus with regular community police work.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lindsey Last Name: Knapp
Email	Buggie6237 [REDACTED]
Comment	Myself and my family would not feel comfortable having our home look more and more like a war zone. I am also curious why we would need this weaponry.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dave Last Name: Evans
Email	evansdave223 [REDACTED]
Comment	I n the interest of public safety. Obtain whatever is available.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jack Last Name: Reichel
Email	jack [REDACTED]
Comment	In no way shape or form should the PD be using military equipment!!! It is absolutely ridiculous to think it's a good idea to arm the police with that power. Having an occupying army in my city is not what I want or thousands of residents of this city want either. Don't ARM THEM
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tobie Last Name: Klibansky
Email	tobieklibansky [REDACTED]
Comment	The city of Sacramento should not be acquiring military equipment. There are no situations under which it would be appropriate for the city police force to use military equipment. Our city has a significant stockpile of national guard vehicles and other military equipment that would be easily available if there were a credible military threat. Currently, police-owned military equipment in other cities is essentially exclusively used for crowd control and protest disbursement, which is not only unethical, but has resulted in significant and numerous legal settlements from civilians injured by these weapons.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Shannon Last Name: Enrico
Email	shannonlee1229 [REDACTED]
Comment	This community is not safe if the police are armed with military grade weapons. The community needs restoration not reinforcements. I love calling Sacramento home, but if the police are continued to be allowed to terrorize civilians and given bigger and stronger weapons, we will not stand for it. Sacramento has a history of over investing in a militant police force and under investing in making the city a truly safe place. No. The community has no interest in adding military equipment to the police force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:09PM PDT

Name	First Name: Daniel Last Name: Colby
Email	daniel.colby [REDACTED]
Comment	I live in East Sacramento and I do not think our police should be using significant military equipment. They are peace officers and military equipment is used against our own citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:12PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dawnie Last Name: Andrak
Email	dawnie [REDACTED]
Comment	While I generally completely disagree with the purchase of military equipment by the Sacramento Police Department, I will focus on only G. FUNDING. I would include words to the effect, " . . . The Department shall NOT make any attempt at application for, purchase of, or promise of purchase, without explicit approval by the council." I would remove item G.1. entirely. Thank you.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jordan
Email	Thejordanleigh [REDACTED]
Comment	I strongly disagree with the purchase of military grade equipment. Including assault rifles, drones, flashbangs and other explosives. We already spend \$165.8 million on the police budget. And this couldn't even protect us from the recent mass shooting. Even today another two were murdered today on T Street. Why do we need to militarize our police? So they can take away our rights to peacefully protest? What happens when a heavily militarized police mistakenly break into the wrong house with all of this new gear?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: Constance Last Name: Clark
Email	ladyc333 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment is for the MILITARY, not for community policing.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:33PM PDT

Name	First Name: kas
Email	jumbo_owls.0c [REDACTED]
Comment	No. Absolutely not under any circumstances. The Sacramento police department does absolutely nothing to help this community. They are racist, and do not prevent crime- they show up after it happens and waste taxpayer dollars standing around.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:01PM PDT

Name	First Name: Isabel Last Name: Manwaring
Email	imanwaring [REDACTED]
Comment	a 2017 study showed, in law enforcement agencies that use military equipment, officers are more likely to display violent behavior and are more likely to kill the civilians they are supposed to protect and serve. I believe this to be a threat to my constitutional rights and public safety.
Upload File(s)	inbound4290113218172983999.pdf
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://m.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Rachel Last Name: Miller
Email	rachelcmillers@ [REDACTED]
Comment	I am vehemently against military grade weapons in our community. I am a NO for this bill in it's entirety. I can see the defensive reasons for items 1-9, but even those are too overpowered and can be turned against the community. As for items 10-15 they have absolutely no right to be in our community: 10. Combined Systems LC5 40MM Launching Cup: Cups that attaches to 12 gauge less lethal shotguns which allow officers to launch canisters of chemical agents or smoke. 11. Distraction devices 12. Rifles: Guns that are fired from shoulder level, having a longer, grooved barrel intended to make bullets spin and thereby have greater accuracy over a long distance. 13. Chemical Agent and Smoke Canisters: Canisters that contain chemical agents that are released when deployed. 14. Explosive Breaching Tools: Tools that are used to conduct an explosive breach. 15. PepperBall Launcher: A device that discharges irritant projectiles.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:07PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	there's absolutely no reason for the police to have military equipment. this is just going to make the public trust the police department even less. if police officers can "accidentally" kill people now, what will they be able to do with military equipment? a despicable waste of money that is only going to worsen social issues.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 09:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: Claressa Last Name: Lantz
Email	claessarojas [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not use the money for something else this is ridiculous we were in a teacher strike for several weeks as a city we suffered immensely we don't need to militarize are police force we need to invest in our future our children
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 09:16PM PDT

Name	First Name: Seliena Last Name: Torney Baker
Email	srtorneybaker [REDACTED]
Comment	Militarization of the police force is a move towards further and worsened violence.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 09:17PM PDT

Name	First Name: Adrianna Last Name: Lucero
Email	lucero.adrianna [REDACTED]
Comment	I would like to see the items outlined prohibited and a plan created for decommissioning existing items. Specifically the armored vehicles, projectile launchers, and chemical weapons that this document describes as being permissible to purchase to use against civilians. I do not want my tax dollars spent on vehicles that cost hundreds of thousands when that money could be invested into the community in ways that prevent crime and improve people's lives. Nor do I want my tax dollars used to suppress protest by using chemicals against civilians, or to use drones to monitor civilians. This document needs to take a strong stance against militarizing our police force. It appears to create safeguards, but then outlines long list of items that appear at best wasteful and at worst horrifying that we think they are appropriate to use against Sacramentans.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 09:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Hien Last Name: Pham
Email	phamth27 [REDACTED]
Comment	Think it's worth the money. It is necessary and the dept. should use them.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: Heather Last Name: Lyon
Email	
Comment	I strongly disagree with the use of military equipment by the civilian police force of Sacramento. I have particular discomfort for the military weaponry included in the list and am concerned about how these weapons may be used in the context of protest against citizens exercising their civil rights.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Chrysanthe Last Name: Vidal
Email	chrysanthevida [REDACTED]
Comment	There's no reason for the city of Sacramento's police department to need military equipment unless they were waging war against its citizens. The money that is going towards the purchasing of a tank and any other munitions can go towards social services or directly into the communities the police claims to protect. If the money must stay in the department, put it towards more robust training on deescalation, racial sensitivity, and towards testing rape kits. There are far better uses for money than assuaging the fears of those in power.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:17PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dennis Last Name: Domondon
Email	dennis.domondon [REDACTED]
Comment	Let's spend our money more wisely on program that help to create less criminals rather then a militarized police force
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:28PM PDT

Name	First Name: Benjamin Last Name: Richey
Email	richey.ber [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Sacramento Police are already over-militarized, and I do not support the vast majority of the equipment included in this list. I am especially opposed to several items whose main purposes are at opposition with the constitutional rights of City residents. These include: - UAVs, which are unreasonably invasive and make it far too easy for the Department to conduct illegal searches without probable cause. - LRADs, which are used to illegally deny the right to peaceable assembly by violence. - 40mm launchers and "less lethal" shotgun rounds, which are also used to illegally deny peaceable assembly and which are called "less-lethal" despite their frequent misuse causing serious injury and even death. - The hundreds of rifles and tens of thousands of rounds of ammunition included in the list. The last thing we need on our streets is more guns. Policing methods should be focused on de-escalation, not outfitting our medium-sized force with more weapons than many national armies. - All chemical agents, including CS gas and "pepper balls". These chemical weapons are illegal in war, but are often used by police to deny the right to peaceable assembly. I also oppose the provisions to allow the department to automatically restock military equipment when their provisions fall below arbitrary levels without City Council approval. This establishes a right for the Department to procure military weaponry without oversight and removes the public's ability to object to these purchases under law. This would appear to violate AB 481's intent.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:28PM PDT

Name	First Name: T Last Name: Rooker
Email	t_13_1977 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment is for the military. For use in full scale combat situations involving an opposition force. The only "opposition force" you would ever face are the tax payers that pay your salaries! I am strongly opposed to the use of military equipment by city and/or county law enforcement. SERVE AND PROTECT! Not combat and criminalize! This money should be allocated to community services that actually diminish crime, like libraries, family planning/assistance, and school budgets!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 09:37PM PDT

Name	First Name: Maryam Last Name: Afaq
Email	maryamafac [REDACTED]
Comment	Demilitarize the police force. Provide de-escalation training, nervous system healing, trauma informed training. You don't need more violent toys for socially emotionally under developed men. Please reconsider.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 09:42PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dannah Last Name: ODonnell
Email	oddannah [REDACTED]
Comment	It's unreasonable for Sac PD to have militaristic weapons/ tech. They can't be trusted with what they already have. They have a history of using excessive force against peaceful protesters. This kind of weaponry does not belong in our communities. This money needs to be diverted to things that actually work to reduce crime- support for oppressed people and communities, violence diversion programs for at risk youth, housing and poverty prevention.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:37PM PDT

Name	First Name: Brent Last Name: Shultz
Email	brentshultz [REDACTED]
Comment	I am in full support of providing our police with any equipment that will make them safer and give them the ability to apprehend, prosecute, convict and put away the criminals in our society.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ada Last Name: Ckyou
Email	hahafuckyou [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>There is no reason why the police should EVER have or use military equipment. Any such equipment in their inventory MUST be removed. It is obscene to suggest anything else. The Sacramento Police Department has shown they should not even been allowed to have regular firearms. What are they going to use military equipment for? Take a MRAP to go knock down some more homeless tents? What, is there a sudden IED/AT mine epidemic I haven't heard about? Do they need a ROOK to murder more black kids in their back yards? Your working draft says you intend to use M4 carbines indoors, but I don't see any frangible rounds on that list. An M4 can and will penetrate multiple walls and pose a risk to other officers and civilians in adjacent rooms, houses, and apartments. You people clearly do not have safety in mind. LRAD area denial? These devices cause PERMANENT HEARING LOSS, and affect everybody in a wide area. How exactly are you protecting the public when you're physically disabling people with a weapon that bounces in random directions? I am more afraid of the "peacekeepers" in my city than I am the criminals, and I hate that my taxes go to this horrid affair. You are all shameless.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Eliot Last Name: Olson
Email	gloriafork [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>SacPDs militarization is a total violent disgrace. SacPD used COVID relief funding to buy more weapons and tanks while almost a million Sacramento community members died of the virus. They also are completely inadequate at actually stopping violent crime with these militarized weapons. I have only ever seen these tanks used at lawful protests downtown against police brutality. Never used at violent Proud Boys rallies, because many officers are a part of the Proud Boys organization. They are clearly a symbol of authoritarian police power. SacPD needs immense defunding, not more heavy weapons.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:07PM PDT

Name	First Name: Andrea Last Name: Johnson
Email	
Comment	Non-military groups should not have access to, or use, military weapons unless they are bound by the conventions that govern the use of these weapons by the military. The weapons which the police regularly use against protesters are already classified as war crimes (and chemical weapons such as pepper spray are war crimes when used even against enemy combatants). The police force's continued use of internationally banned weapons on unarmed civilians leads one to believe that approving additional items such as grenade launchers would be inappropriate at best.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Shaun Last Name: Shukayr
Email	the.shaunster+sacpo [REDACTED]
Comment	Has anyone weighed out the differences of leasing/renting equipment rather than buying? My thoughts are: - lifespans on some items with extreme use/practice might not last as long as expected. - equipment failures on older items could jeopardize the safety of officers. - I've heard of other agencies leasing items (Glocks for example), which allows the manufacturer to resell, and the officers to always have the latest and greatest. I believe cost was about the same. Lastly, would it make sense to have the sheriff's and other PD's share budgets for larger purchases, like some of the expensive vehicles? Thank you for letting us send in our input! Regards, Shaun Shukayr
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:44PM PDT

Name	First Name: Hannah Last Name: Tesch
Email	hannahtesch7 [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not. It has been historically misused by officers and we should not be giving any of the officers here access to military equipment. They do not have the training nor the mentality needed to operate military grade equipment
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mark Last Name: Gedney
Email	markgedney [REDACTED]
Comment	The use of military equipment by Sac PD would definitely help maintain law and order. Armored vehicles, attack helicopters and small arms are needed to fight back against violent gangs in our communities.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:38PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	It appears all the gear received is defensive equipment designed to help officers protect citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:52PM PDT

Name	First Name: Matthew Last Name: Coker
Email	mccoker237 [REDACTED]
Comment	Sacramento Police should not be acquiring or using "military equipment" under any circumstances, nor should any other civilian police department. The public is not served by giving law enforcement access to military-grade equipment. Police serve a different purpose than military and police access to military equipment further threatens the civil liberties of the Sacramento public.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:55PM PDT

Name	First Name: Aidan Last Name: Guy
Email	aidanguyca [REDACTED]
Comment	I think you should have whatever Equipment you need to keep yourselves and the community safe. The military also issues camel backs, sleeping bags etc so there is a wide range of types of "military equipment." MRAPs, Bearcats, and ballistic gear you for sure need.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 11:37PM PDT

Name	First Name: Baby Last Name: Beam
Email	poprocks72 [REDACTED]
Comment	No, I do not want the police department using military equipment in my community. Please use the funds to educate regarding nonviolent deescalating measures and tactics.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:13AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ryan Last Name: Gerberding
Email	rwgerberding [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>When all you have is a hammer, everything looks like a nail. When the police become armed like the military, the city they are tasked with protecting begins to look like a war zone. The police should not be launching war against the citizens, they should be protecting them. Studies have shown that heavily arming the police will not lead to less crime. Instead, the police should follow the data on how to actually protect people, instead of playing out their soldier boy fantasies on the streets of Sacramento.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:32AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jeffrey Last Name: Nicholson
Email	guitarscreams95 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment in law enforcement should be illegal as they are not even held to the same standard as the military given that they already have access to equipment that has been deemed a war crime. How can something be illegal in war yet okay to use on your own citizens? Police don't make people feel safe, they make them feel afraid. Giving them military equipment is only going to make that worse.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:04AM PDT

Name	First Name: Andrew Last Name: Deer
Email	chargeofbot [REDACTED]
Comment	I've lived in Northern California my entire life. The only group of people I've seen behave so dangerously as a person would need battlefield grade military equipment to defuse the situation were wearing county sheriff shirts at the time. Your city has a housing crisis, a substance abuse crisis, and an economic crisis, the solutions to which is not to equip an occupation army, which as it is you have already thoroughly done. Actually address the problems and you won't have to figure out how to get municipal to repair the street after you've rolled bradleys on it to fight rioting homeless people.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:26AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kyle Last Name: Morales
Email	
Comment	People are dying on the streets. This city does not need more military toys, it needs to do the bare minimum for its most vulnerable citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:46AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Many people in my community automatically feel fear when seeing a police officer, not safety. Please form closer connections with the community. Militarizing will only make your relationship with the community more strained.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:19AM PDT

Name	First Name: patrick Last Name: kitt
Email	pat [REDACTED]
Comment	I am all in on SPD's use of military equipment. Use whatever equipment you feel is needed to keep up with the "bad guys!"
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://www.cityofsacramento.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:08AM PDT

Name	First Name: Evan Last Name: Minton
Email	mintonevar [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The reason I started paying attention to City politics is because our Sacramento PD bought an army tank. I was so startled. Up to then, I felt that Sacramento was fine. That because our City Council was comprised of self-identifying Democrats, we were in basically ok hands. But when I saw that the police owned an army tank? I thought to myself, who are they going to use that against? There's no invading army, they would use that against us. And I knew that not all Democrats are created equal. And that I needed to start paying more consistent attention. Policing is supposed to be about trust. About building relationships with us, fostering trust among community members, among police and the community. Communities are at their best when people feel peace and are at ease. People whose needs are taken care of don't generally commit acts of violence that needs military equipment to suppress. This expensive equipment you continually are granted permission to buy to use against us accomplishes none of those goals. I am going to have to strongly request that you reconsider equipping our police department with even more military equipment, despite the City Council giving you their full support for many years. It was never the right thing to do.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:37AM PDT

Name	First Name: Treighton Last Name: Mauldin
Email	treighton [REDACTED]
Comment	This is bullshit, i absolutely do not want the police in my community to be using military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alexis Last Name: Abel
Email	lexabel2 [REDACTED]
Comment	Every other year or so we get a story out of sacramento about police using excessive force. Every week I read about people calling the police for break ins, burglaries, etc with police putting their calls on low priority. They don't need military weapons, they need training on how to actually do their jobs and not harass homeless people and people of color. Put the money towards groups that actually deserve it and aren't magically above the law. SacPD is a disappointment at best, and an explicit danger at worst.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ben Last Name: Robinson
Email	benrob1988 [REDACTED]
Comment	Police departments do not need military equipment to carry out effective policing of a community. Stop wasting our tax dollars to make you feel better about your little dicks.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:40PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ben Last Name: Robinson
Email	benrob1988 [REDACTED]
Comment	A police department does not require military equipment to effectively police a community. Please stop wasting our tax dollars on these unnecessary pieces of equipment and instead channel funding into more effective areas such as the provision of mental health care and low income housing in order to solve some of the problems in this city.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:37AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I would sooner prefer to move out of the city than allow this to happen here. Military Equipment Use is just going to increase violence even more and I will not raise my family here if this gets passed.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 03:09AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kristan Last Name: Culbert
Email	kristan.culbert [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>As a private citizen of Sacramento, I do not approve of the procurement and use of military-grade weapons in my community. Instead, I support police forces' use money otherwise spent on procurement of weapons of war on obtaining surplus medical supplies for hospitals, and diverted to support youth training/opportunity programs, gang intervention programs, affordable housing programs, and counseling/wrap around services for individuals struggling with homelessness, substance abuse and mental health issues. I am especially disappointed in the police force's consideration of the procurement of chemical weapons (see pdf page 18 of current working draft of policy) that have been banned in warfare by the 1925 Geneva Protocol (https://www.un.org/disarmament/wmd/bio/1925-geneva-protocol/). Thank you for the opportunity to comment.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:53PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kelly Last Name: Lloyd
Email	k.j.llyd [REDACTED]
Comment	I am strongly opposed to the police using military equipment. We need more community services and community based policing, not military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:53PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	As a citizen of the city of Sacramento, this is something I strongly disagree with. The Sac PD needs to focus on rebuilding community trust and bringing in military grade weapons to a non-military force will do the exact opposite. We already do not feel safe with police presence and bringing in more powerful, deadlier weapons will further enforce that feeling. I would instead love to see this money used not on weapons, but on better training to facilitate community relation improvement, better threat assessment training, and better training for de-escalation, amongst other improvements
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 01:04AM PDT

Name	First Name: Shelley Last Name: Breidenstein
Email	breidenstein4 [REDACTED]
Comment	It is not appropriate for a City to use military equipment on its people. Those are weapons of war and must not be tolerated to be used against our own people. Sacramento Police already kill too many people every year and it needs to stop. No more purchasing military equipment, and no more USING military equipment in Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:59PM PDT

Name	First Name: Camille Last Name: Martinez
Email	cfranmartinez [REDACTED]
Comment	The county of Sacramento should not adopt/purchase/loan/rent any military equipment. No increased militarization of any Sacramento county police, public health, or public safety resources. No further funding should be allocated to militarizing any public services. The county should be working on decreasing the budget for such military measures or processes and re-allocating that budget towards life-affirming and community-supportive resources and services, such as public education, city roads and public transportation, and public health mental health services for veterans and the unhoused populations of Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:03PM PDT

Name	First Name: Erik Last Name: Carney
Email	erikkcarney [REDACTED]
Comment	Please, do not use military equipment. We don't need a militarized police force
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Matthew Last Name: Kessing
Email	matthew.kessing [REDACTED]
Comment	Municipal police departments should not have access to any military equipment. These are our communities, not a warzone. The United States military rules for engagement are far stricter than the department procedures, and until the Sacramento Police department can hold themselves to the same standard they don't need additional weapons and armor to be used against the citizens of Sacramento. The budget spent on military equipment should be spent on increasing the headcount of officers trained in threat assessment and non-violent de-escalation. Stop wasting taxpayer dollars on military LARPing and start training officers to be better community members.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: emanuel Last Name: villa
Email	ezvilla [REDACTED]
Comment	yes use it, keep the streets and yourself safe. Thank you for looking out for us even if people's opinions paint you in a bad light for doing your job.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tucker Last Name: Gandy
Email	gandy.tucker [REDACTED]
Comment	I strongly oppose the use of military equipment on our community members by SPD.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:17PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I am 100% OPPOSED to military equipment use by the Sacramento police and so are my household family members. Thank you!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://m.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:22PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ryane Last Name: Henning
Email	ryane22henning [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not. Unsafe and unnecessary
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:22PM PDT

Name	First Name: Nicole Last Name: Lang
Email	nicole.lang25 [REDACTED]
Comment	I strongly oppose police departments using military equipment in any way. Money would be much better spent on de-escalation and social work.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 12:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Anne Last Name: Vierra
Email	ams0731 [REDACTED]
Comment	Please consider the reason Sacramento would need military grade weapons and address the roots of those issues, not arm the police to their teeth.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 12:29PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ryan Last Name: Kaika
Email	ryankaika11 [REDACTED]
Comment	The only piece of equipment in this document that police forces should be able to use, is a robot. An unmanned drone is absolutely unnecessary, and quite possibly could be used to infringe upon individual privacy. Any of the weapons listed (less-lethal shotgun, LRAD, etc.) or vehicles should not be granted to the Sacramento police department. Given the lack of trust in police, increased militarization would only decrease the limited trust that exists.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:28PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kelly Last Name: Hendergart
Email	khendergar [REDACTED]
Comment	I am vehemently against this. More funds should be spent on social services and community engagement to prevent crime. Further weaponizing an already undertrained police force will only cause more harm. I don't mean this with any anti-police sentiment. I just cannot fathom more funds being spent on weaponry when there are so many more effective solutions to crime that continue to be ignored.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 01:40AM PDT

Name	First Name: Donald Last Name: Zimmer
Email	d.joseph.zimmer [REDACTED]
Comment	I am strongly against the entire concept of this policy. No police department needs or should have most of the equipment listed in current draft of this policy. The militarization of police over the last 20 years is disgusting and a shame for our country and our local community. These tools are not necessary and are only used by a police force fetishising the military. The training with and use of these items helps to engender the us versus them mentality for police which has lead to an increase in police violence and abuse of power. This entire policy should be withdrawn and legislation preventing the militarization of police should be enacted.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 12:30PM PDT

Name	First Name: Verbal Last Name: Adam
Email	verbala [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not. Hard no. The community already has a lack of trust and engagement with Sac PD.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:29PM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Cartagena
Email	dcartaj[REDACTED]
Comment	I do not think any police force needs to become anymore militarized than it already is. We as taxpayers do not want to pay to turn our neighborhoods into battlefields.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Shannon Last Name: Southwood
Email	shannonsouthwood [REDACTED]
Comment	Sounds excessive. Seems like funds would be better spent on de-escalation and other types of training to better use (or not use) the current roster of LE equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:49PM PDT

Name	First Name: Matthew Last Name: Vycital
Email	monks_floaty0 [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: William Last Name: Manchester
Email	williammanchester [REDACTED]
Comment	No military equipment should be used by our law enforcement officers. Weapons and equipment for war should not be used to police communities. It will further instill distrust in the police. Send those items to Ukraine.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 12:45PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>These 2 points contradict eachother. Is there no reasonable other solutions, or are they too expensive? Either way, reducing harm to citizens should be your priority. If your snowflake officers can't do their job without all the military gear, they need more training and/or to find a more suitable job for their mental/emotional capacity. "a. Necessary because there is no reasonable alternative that can achieve the same objective of officer and civilian safety. b. Reasonably cost effective compared to available alternatives that can achieve the same objective of officer and civilian safety." Either way this seems like nothing more than another cash grab by a corrupt PD that cares only about lining their pockets and staying in power.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 12:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dylan Last Name: Barnes
Email	barnes.dylan.thomas [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no safety to be found through violence. Do not use military weapons and equipment. The police are not at war with our citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:56PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tanner Last Name: Kural
Email	tannerkura [REDACTED]
Comment	Supplying cops with military-grade equipment does not make us feel any safer. It is a waste of public funds that would be better spent on social programs and community welfare. If the police department views itself as an occupying military force at war and Sacramento as its battlefield, maybe that mindset is the problem that needs to be addressed. I don't expect that Sac PD would be using this equipment to protect us from militant alt-right fascists anyway.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tierra Last Name: Towne
Email	tierratowne [REDACTED]
Comment	This is VERY unnecessary. Our neighborhoods are NOT war zones.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 12:53PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kerri Last Name: Smith
Email	kerrworld [REDACTED]
Comment	The police do not need to be outfitted like the army, you need to spend money on training them not to be murderers, not make it easier for them to kill a bunch of people.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 12:53PM PDT

Name	First Name: Arthur Last Name: Morgan
Email	
Comment	Military equipment has no place in police forces
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 12:55PM PDT

Name	First Name: jessica Last Name: reed
Email	charlieismydog [REDACTED]
Comment	I live near the capitol and I'm AGAINST the use of military equipment by our UNTRAINED police officers.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 12:57PM PDT

Name	First Name: Christian Last Name: Berrios
Email	ccberrios89 [REDACTED]
Comment	They're peace officers not military. They need to be more educated, trained in de-escalating not escalating the situation more. Remove immunity. No one is above the law.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Renato Last Name: Lim
Email	lim.renato375 [REDACTED]
Comment	No military equipment use for facist, freedom hating, nonwhite person hating, nonmale hating, Proud Boys loving, taxpayer funded thugs
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kalen Last Name: Edwards
Email	edwardskalen [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment does not necessary for the mission of community service and protection. It seems more to me to serve the limited mission of a minority in police that seek to oppress and exert power. Those people that feel that Military grade materials are necessary should probably not be allowed to be police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mitchell Last Name: Duncan
Email	mitchelld916 [REDACTED]
Comment	No, the police bring suspects to the courts to be charged. At no point in the function of the institution of policing does military equipment ever be called for. So ridiculously idiotic to think about using MILITARY equipment against US citizens let alone asking citizens of it's ok. We live in a community not a warzone.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Renato Last Name: Lim
Email	lim.renato375 [REDACTED]
Comment	No military used by taxpayer funded, Proud Boys loving, thugs
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:07PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stefan Last Name: Schonsheck
Email	sschonsh[REDACTED]
Comment	The use of LRADs for crowd control is under review in several courts and is likely to be made illegal in the next few years. This is an extremely wasteful purchase request. 750K rounds of ammo for 700 officers is ridiculous. If these are being used for training purposes the officers should pay for them the same way other public employees (Nurses, Teachers, etc) have to pay for their training materials. Your officers do not follow the rules of the road on bicycles, I do not trust them with military vehicles. If there's a situation that requires an MRAP or other armored vehicle, the national guard or state police should be responding.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:06PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tyler Last Name: Cardwell
Email	tylerhcardwel [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:07PM PDT

Name	First Name: Malcolm Last Name: Rivera
Email	malcolmrivera926 [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't trust Sac PD with the equipment described.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: J Last Name: D
Email	hiding [REDACTED]
Comment	This is an absolutely terrible idea. Police should be taught de-escalation techniques and we should be investing in a branch that handles mental service emergency calls.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:07PM PDT

Name	First Name: Steven Last Name: Melone
Email	steven.melone [REDACTED]
Comment	Yes please buy as much as you need to prevent another officers from bleeding out while pinned under fire and nothing her fellow officers could do
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:15PM PDT

Name	First Name: jenny Last Name: holistand
Email	virind [REDACTED]
Comment	why do you need military equipment to kill black people?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: Carlos Last Name: Martinez
Email	j.c.martinez010 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgment on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military maneuvers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Name	First Name: Aaron Last Name: Colby
Email	aaron.b.colby [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I have some concerns that some of the provided purposes within the draft Military Equipment Use Policy do not match the actual purposes of the equipment described. For example, the Long Range Acoustic Device (LRAD) is described as "primarily used as a communications device". Its stated purpose is to "issue dispersal orders". However, there are vague references to "non-kinetic escalation of force" and a "warning tone" that are hits to what this equipment actually does. According to multiple academic and journalistic sources, this equipment is a sonic or acoustic "weapon" that can cause permanent hearing and neurological damage. It only gets worse from there. Stated purposes of military grenade launchers, munitions, grenades and explosive devices, and other equipment, all of which bear significant risk of death or permanent injury include "to limit the escalation of conflict where employment of lethal force is prohibited or undesirable." The theme I'm noticing is that the subject escalating force is Sac PD itself. I don't see why Sac PD is looking to escalate force, especially with the substantial equipment already at its disposal. While some of these items, such as crisis response vehicles, and armored vehicles for hostage negotiation teams, would be welcome tools of protection and community support, having such tools in the toolbox would risk their actual use. Then, there's items of actual lethal force, such as shotguns and rifles. While I recognize the regrettable, occasional necessity for law enforcement use of lethal force to prevent an imminent threat of death or for serious bodily injury, equipping law enforcement as a military or paramilitary sends the message to the community that the law enforcement is an occupying force, rather than members of that community. Send this equipment to Ukraine, where it can actually be used to defend people against an armed incursion against an actual, hostile, invading army.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dustin Last Name: Leale
Email	dmlleale [REDACTED]
Comment	MILITARY EQUIPMENT is not appropriate for any use on civilian community members. The police are supposed to be a part of the community, use of this gear is unacceptable.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:24PM PDT

Name	First Name: Elias Last Name: Lehrman
Email	elias.lehrman [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The suggestion that the heavy military equipment used by Sac PD and paid for by taxpayers is a bare necessity is not just false, but absolutely asinine. The armored vehicles and heavy weaponry covered in this bill are seldom used, and when they are, they're spectacularly overkill, or used in a way that is completely ineffective, and results in further expenses. The police department, even when armed to the teeth as though the city were a warzone (it is not) is completely ineffective at preventing any kind of violence. You should not be rewarded with bigger toys when have done nothing short of fail in your duties every single year.</p> <p>Requisitioning military equipment does not keep anyone safe, it keeps people intimidated, and that is neither your job nor a good thing. Even if, in the impossible circumstance that some kind of civil combat on a wide scale occurred, armored vehicles are consistently doomed to fail in urban engagements anyway. They may not be easily destroyed, but they can be impeded or disabled with relatively simple methods, as could be seen in Hong Kong years ago and in Ukraine now. Especially considering our proximity to National Guard armories and Air Force bases, there is no reason for the Sac PD to try and fulfill the role of the military. We have a military, and they don't kill people in Sacramento nearly as frequently as you do. The Sac PD not only has no great need of military equipment, it is too desperately incompetent to utilize and of what it does have already.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:24PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jim Last Name: Johnson
Email	jomjohnson [REDACTED]
Comment	Giving military-grade equipment to SacPD is like giving gas and matches to monkeys. A bunch of barely literate, spouse-abusing morons who barely passed grade school aren't responsible enough to use this equipment. They're likely to murder the first person of color they see. Also, since when are the citizens they are supposed to protect enemy combatants? Isn't that who this shit is supposed to be used against?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:29PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	No. Leave the military equipment to the military, there is no need for the police to have equipment to invade another country.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:33PM PDT

Name	First Name: Treg Last Name: Buckner
Email	treg.buckner [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>To whom it may concern, I am a military veteran and a supporter of law enforcement. I have both friends and family who serve. This being said, I am against the proposed use of military assets in the public service. I understand these assets are offered at premium discounts as insensitive, and the thought of their value in the field is appealing.. however, these were designed to be used for the defense of this country, not to enforce the law upon its citizens. The training requirements for the proper use of these assets, the protocols which must be developed to justify their use, the maintenance costs to upkeep, as well as the psychological desire to use the "new toys" are all factors to weigh in these considerations. Please, I request you abstain from this temptation. Thank you, Treg Buckner Jr</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:30PM PDT

Name	First Name: Elizabeth Last Name: Dionne
Email	lizdionne341 [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not support this. A policeman is a job in service to the people and community, or a military. There is no war here and police will be more likely to kill civilians. Police clad in military garb undermines the trust of the people. More equipment to hurt more people is not the answer, especially so when it is the same department that will investigate itself when accusations of needless killing civilians are brought about. Less lethal is still lethal. Again, I cannot support this request for military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Joshua Last Name: Hinkle
Email	
Comment	Fuck no. You guys already have issues operating the current equipment properly. God forbid you get actual military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alex Last Name: D
Email	laymen.bumper_0y [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment has no place in policing. A police force that believes otherwise does not have the community's best interests at heart.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: Trista Last Name: Zepeda
Email	tristazepeda [REDACTED]
Comment	The use of military equipment should be VERY LIMITED. A city police force is not the military. Unless there's war or some national security threat or other threats at that level, military equipment should not be necessary. Weapons escalate conflicts and instill fear in the community.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:37PM PDT

Name	First Name: Christian Last Name: Asuncion
Email	christian_asuncion [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento Police Department should abso-fucking-lutely not use military equipment in any way, shape, form, or instance. Last I checked, police are law enforcement, not military. Get the fuck out of here with this.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kaylee Last Name: Griffith
Email	kleeroseg[REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment belongs with the military- the purpose is to maim, injure, kill. The local police does not have the same mission or purpose as the military. Instead of costly equipment that will be used against citizens in a gross abuse of power and community trust, training on conflict assessment, management, and diffusion should be the focus of spending in Sac PD.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:41PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	This—police with even more military equipment—is absolutely not something I feel comfortable with in my community. The police have not shown themselves to be responsible with the amount of force they already currently have.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:40PM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Liberti
Email	christopher.libert [REDACTED]
Comment	I am fundamentally opposed to use of military weapons on civilians. You sidestepped posse comitatus. This is why people want to defund the police. Keep pushing this agenda and continue to have a public that hates the police.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:43PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mona Last Name: Smith
Email	mlonasmilh [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not think our police need military equipment. We are not at war. Please use funding to work on community outreach, on defending and protecting our communities, and on police training.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jamie Last Name: Davis
Email	cynon767 [REDACTED]
Comment	I am definitely opposed to the expansion of the Sacramento City Police arsenal with military equipment. This is a wasteful overreach and these weapons systems have no place on our streets. The community would be much better served by directing those resources into personnel, training, and standard equipment for better enforcement of traffic, personal crime, and community outreach. Armored vehicles are not only expensive to purchase, they are vastly more expensive to maintain than standard vehicles, and the weaponry for both tactical situations and crowd control is far beyond what is warranted within a civilian context. No level of crowd control requirement exists which could justify the use of this level of military weaponry against civilians.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:49PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kevin Last Name: Kirkpatrick
Email	kirkpatrick.kevinm [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not support the police buying and using military equipment to patrol our streets. There are plenty of jobs out there that are capable of de-escalating situations without requiring military weapons and equipment and officers need to be able to have the same skills if they are going to actually protect the citizens. The military is meant to fight enemies of the state. Police are meant to protect the citizenry. When the police become like the military then the citizens begin to look like enemies. Sacramento is better than that.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:49PM PDT

Name	First Name: Skylar Last Name: Murphy
Email	skymaymurphy [REDACTED]
Comment	NO. We do not want this. They should not be using military equipment
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:53PM PDT

Name	First Name: Abigail Last Name: Vaughan
Email	ajvaughan [REDACTED]
Comment	Sacramento Police Department should not bring military equipment into the county to use against its own citizens. No increased militarization of any Sacramento county police, public health, or public safety resources will lower crime, it will only serve to further the harm in communities being brutalized by police currently. No further funding should be allocated to militarizing any public services. The county should be working on decreasing the budget for any existing military measures/processes, and be re-allocating that budget towards life-affirming and community-supportive resources and services, such as public education, city roads and public transportation, and public health mental health services for veterans and the unhoused populations of Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Vincent Last Name: Tremblay
Email	vltremblay [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento PD do NOT need military equipment. More expensive and deadly equipment does not create more safety. Invest instead on programs and solutions that work, instead of countering with violence.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:57PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jared Last Name: Barry
Email	jbarrydesign@██████████
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military maneuvers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:57PM PDT

Name	First Name: Todd Last Name: Flinders
Email	flinde[REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I'm writing to oppose issuing military gear, equipment, and weapons to the Sacramento Police Department. If Sacramento finds itself in a situation where military action is required, such as a foreign occupying military force, I propose it be the duty of the National Guard or other branches of our military as appropriate, not the local police department, that shall be utilized to handle such a situation. Furthermore, SacPD's arguably indiscriminate use of "non-lethal" weapons during last summer's protests, including the shooting of Legal Observers, shows the importance and strong need of training our officers on nonviolent deescalation. It also demonstrates our need to invest in other social services better trained and able to handle emergencies where police aren't necessarily the best fit as first responders, particularly in areas of health and poverty. Furthering arming the police without this training and investments would be terribly irresponsible and dangerous. I strongly oppose such a plan.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jennifer Last Name: Jennings
Email	donandjen97 [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not. We don't need to militarize the police. We do not need to give these people more power over civilians. Sac is turning into a police state.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:56PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dafina Last Name: McCree
Email	dmcree1 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment should only be used by the military.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Shehab Last Name: Ammar
Email	shehabammar13 [REDACTED]
Comment	The police are supposedly “peaceful” government entities used to maintain order in society. Go join the military if you want to play soldier so much. Please look at civilized police departments in Europe that don’t even carry fire arms. The Sacramento Police department couldn’t care less if they murdered a thousand civilians and the fact that we continually allocate money for military equipment in our streets instead of helping our unhoused community and investing in infrastructure shows the lack of humanity in our governance. Defund and demilitarize the police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:30PM PDT

Name	First Name: J Last Name: A
Email	braces.apothem_0 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:33PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kevin Last Name: Adamski
Email	jollumpy [REDACTED]
Comment	No. Unnecessary. Ridiculous. Absurd. Serve the community, don't attack it. This is outrageous and unthinkable.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jeanette Last Name: McCracken
Email	Jmccrack125 [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not agree with the overall approach of having blanket approvals for acquiring military equipment. Sac PD should not be acquiring 12 different types of rifles, including automatic weapons, and providing one sentence of justification and general requirements for trainings. No where in this plan does it discuss training staff on the limited use or de-escalation techniques to prevent use of force. No where in this policy does Sac PD address why military level equipment is needed, and why current equipment is not sufficient. The purposes for each equipment category is so general that it is basically meaningless. The people living in Sacramento are civilians and should not have military grade equipment leveled at them for domestic crimes by law enforcement officers who are not trained to seek alternatives to violence.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sam Last Name: Drown
Email	samdrown2.0 [REDACTED]
Comment	The police should not have access to military equipment under any circumstances.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Laia Last Name: Menendez Diaz
Email	laia.surf321 [REDACTED]
Comment	I am against using police funding for military equipment. There is absolutely no need for this kind of machinery.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: J Last Name: Parker
Email	mixmeup4 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military manoeuvres.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:42PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jeffrey Last Name: Brainerd
Email	Jbrainerd@██████████
Comment	Military equipment is entirely inappropriate for use by police in all but the most severe situations (situations in which military force is required, such as an enemy invasion.) The citizens of this city are not your enemies. They should ALL be able to rely on you. Please instead spend money on teaching de-escalation classes and teach police how to handle these situations non-violently. I urge you to read the statistics coming from efforts like Denver's STAR program. There are ways to keep people alive, and they do not involve military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:45PM PDT

Name	First Name: James Last Name: Stewart
Email	jamesrs916 [REDACTED]
Comment	No, I disagree with military equipment being used by SacPD.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Gommenginger
Email	cgommenginger [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not believe that law enforcement should have access to military style equipment. I think funds used for military equipment should be spent on mental health services, crisis intervention specialist that police departments could employ, sans the high powered guns, water cannons,
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:11AM PDT

Name	First Name: Merritt Last Name: Beckett
Email	merrittbeckett@██████████
Comment	A description of how “no reasonable alternative” is determined for military equipment will help the public understand how you decide military equipment is the only option and what alternatives you considered. Also, the annual report could include information on where the equipment was used, what communities are most impacted by the use of the equipment, including racial and ethnic groups disproportionately impacted by the use of military equipment, and the social and emotional impacts of the use of military equipment in those communities, to better understand if/how the benefits to the community outweigh the harms to the community.
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 05:12AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ian Last Name: MacMillan
Email	ian_macmillan [REDACTED]
Comment	Enforce the laws, use as much 1033 program stuff as you want. Screw the woke politicians and also screw any work administrators you may have!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Thomas Last Name: Tipton
Email	thomaskipton [REDACTED]
Comment	I agree. Our police force should be fully protected. After all, gang members don't follow the law. A full auto pistol is over the top. No to gangs and drugs. Yes to protecting those who protect us.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mya Last Name: B
Email	
Comment	This is not how you build relation with the public
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 05:13AM PDT

Name	First Name: Brandon Last Name: Fry
Email	brandonfry98 [REDACTED]
Comment	If you fail to protect and serve, be it violence or disaster, because you did not have the correct tool you have failed since the beginning. It is no different than leaving out pepper spray, tazer or medical kit.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:08PM PDT

Name		First Name: Brandon Last Name: Jarvinen
Email		brandon.jarv[REDACTED]
Comment		This is completely off base. We should be investing in significant more training for officers, not military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/	

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:10PM PDT

Name	First Name: Carlaina Last Name: Brown
Email	carlainab[REDACTED]
Comment	1. The military equipment acquired and authorized by the Department is: a. Necessary because there is no reasonable alternative that can achieve the same objective of officer and civilian safety. So police waging war in our city is the only "reasonable" alternative. No, no, and no again! The city of Sacramento needs to scrap the idea of militarizing its police. That is an act of war against the people of this city. I am by no means safer when military equipment falls into the hands of police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: Elizabeth Last Name: Steinbach
Email	esteinbach [REDACTED]
Comment	Use of military equipment doesn't make me feel more it makes me feel unsafe. During a time when community has less trust in police than ever before, now is not the time to further risk this trust.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:26AM PDT

Name	First Name: Melani Last Name: Adachi
Email	fenchurchforever [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not condone the use of military equipment by the Sacramento P. D. P. D. Should invest in de-escalation training, not expensive war machines.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ray Last Name: Fawcet
Email	
Comment	You bastards are out of control
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:15PM PDT

Name	First Name: Zahid Last Name: Ali
Email	zahid1 [REDACTED]
Comment	I would rather not see military equipment in use by the Sacramento PD, or by any municipal or county law enforcement. While I can imagine there are scenarios in which it would be valuable, those are outweighed by my certainty that it would create more of a divide between the police and the populace, and would raise the appearance of disproportionate use against different segments of the community.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jonathan Last Name: Sanders
Email	j.s.anders18 [REDACTED]
Comment	After reviewing the current draft policy I've come to the conclusion that the language preventing the misuse of such equipment is extremely weak and can easily be skewed to justify use of such equipment when no justification is warranted. This is an all too frequently recurring pattern exhibited by several law enforcement agencies when seeking new equipment or expanded powers. This includes Sacramento PD. I'm coming at this from the perspective as a former policy analyst and a current security consultant. The city should take steps to strip Sac PD of equipment that can be categorized as "Military equipment," and should also scale back or strip the department of the authority of using it. This is a massive burden on taxpayers, and all existing evidence, reviews, and studies demonstrate that having all the equipment and authority in the world does not prevent or protect against crime. The city should instead divest from the PD and increase its funding in health and social services, a move which evidence shows actually does deter and prevent crime.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:18PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Police should not be militarized. LRADs should never be used against citizens. Instead of spending 4.7 million dollars on "military equipment", spend 4.7 million dollars on vetting good officers and better training. Arming officers anymore would seem as an act of aggression towards the people and incite violence instead.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Siobhan Last Name: Reid
Email	dragonshardz [REDACTED]
Comment	No, no, a thousand times no. What do you need military equipment for? Do you expect to truly need MRAPS or other tools to put down (read: kill) an insurgency? No, no, a million times no.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Scott Last Name: Hunter
Email	scotthunteris [REDACTED]
Comment	With an already poor response time and lackluster track record of community engagement I don't see how our police department could possibly justify the staffing and maintenance costs required to operate any additional equipment of this nature. The police department needs to focus on traffic enforcement and other basic safety needs before acquiring more equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:21PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>The City of Sacramento does not need to be under the control of a paramilitary police force. This isn't Russia. What the community needs is for the problems in the department that have led to a shortage in officers to be addressed. Let me be clear: I am not advocating for more tax payer dollars be given to SacPD. They have more than enough. What we don't have are officers on foot patrol or conducting traffic enforcement. Please address the cultural issues in the department that lead to high turnover and the lack of basic community enforcement in our city. Military equipment will not do that.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:36AM PDT

Name	First Name: Carl Last Name: Frimberger
Email	carl.freebeing [REDACTED]
Comment	The police should have less weaponry, not more.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:23PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	No. Sacramento does not require military equipment use by police. These items only seem to be useful in potential collision between citizens and the police. Police already have the upper-hand in these scenarios. And, once again, Sacramento does NOT require this. This is a pretty quiet city, especially for California. The police having more advanced weapons only escalates any present tension and/or distrust between citizens/police personnel.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:42AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kenneth Last Name: M Armand
Email	karmandj[REDACTED]
Comment	No. The sac pd is awful and giving them military grade anything is beyond the pale.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 05:34AM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Mensinger
Email	c14476 [REDACTED]
Comment	I feel it would be foolish to not use surplus military equipment! The items in question have already been paid for through federal taxes thus creating relief for the city, state, and county tax base. To outlaw any surplus equipment would amount to recklessness on behalf of the governing body!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: reid Last Name: roshdy
Email	reidroshdy [REDACTED]
Comment	Police aren't at war with anyone so no, they shouldn't be getting military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:30PM PDT

Name	First Name: K. Last Name: Craycraft
Email	kcraycraft7 [REDACTED]
Comment	Our local police are not the military, and our communities are not war zones. I very strongly oppose the use of any military equipment in local law enforcement. Instead of further militarizing our PD, invest in community services and resources that address the root causes of crime, such as poverty, addiction, and mental health issues.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: elaine Last Name: carmona
Email	unffyeah [REDACTED]
Comment	unnecessary.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:35PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I opposed the use of military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:38PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stephen Last Name: Medina
Email	medinamax3 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military manoeuvres.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:45PM PDT

Name	First Name: Wes Last Name: Watson
Email	wesmw [REDACTED]
Comment	Militarizing the police doesn't do anything to solve the crimes of desperation that are plaguing the city. Homeless people aren't out there with machine guns. Unless we're talking about military grade shelters it's not necessary.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Katrina Last Name: Skidmore
Email	k.skidmore25 [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not on the acquisition and use of military equipment. Thanks.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/t3_ubt3gg?url=https%3A%2F%2Fforms.cityofsacramento.org%2Ff%2FPD_MilitaryEquipmentUse%3Ffbclid%3DI

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:53AM PDT

Name	First Name: John Last Name: Shaban
Email	john.shaban [REDACTED]
Comment	It is wholly inappropriate for a civilian police/sheriff's department to obtain or use military equipment for any reason in the frame of community policing. Just stop. I know it's free, we still don't need it. "When the only tool you have is a hammer, every problem starts to look like a nail."
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Hailey Last Name: P
Email	goldenartis [REDACTED]
Comment	The county of Sacramento should not adopt/purchase/loan/rent any military equipment. No further funding should be allocated to militarizing any public services. The county should be working on decreasing the budget for any existing military measures/processes, and be re-allocating that budget towards life-affirming and community-supportive resources and services, such as public education, city roads and public transportation, public health mental health services for veterans and the unhoused populations of Sacramento, and HOUSING for unhoused persons. These expenditures are engorged and so is the mentality that they are needed.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tammy Last Name: Nguyen
Email	tndancer246 [REDACTED]
Comment	NO TO SAC PD MILITARY EQUIPMENT USE.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:59AM PDT

Name	First Name: Cheryl Last Name: Skare
Email	crankypants60 [REDACTED]
Comment	No military equipment. Equipment that looks too much like our armed forces evokes the feeling like the army is attacking them.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:53PM PDT

Name	First Name: Monique Last Name: Savala
Email	savala88 [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no need for the police department to be given millions of dollars worth of military grade weaponry! Look at crime statistics over the last 40 years and you will see that violent crime has been trending down. We're living in one of the safest times in our history! So why do police need to be armed to the teeth with robots??? Unmanned drones??? This is absolutely absurd! Lets put this money into EDUCATION or AFFORDABLE HOUSING or how about fighting POVERTY. Many of the factors that lead to violent crime in the first place!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 08:06AM PDT

Name	First Name: J Last Name: P
Email	jp.raymusic [REDACTED]
Comment	Acquiring military equipment for the police department is a massive waste of taxpayer money. The goal is to de-escalate the violence in our community and providing officers with the equipment and mentality that they are working in a war zone will have the opposite effect. Spent the money on housing the homeless
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:01PM PDT

Name	First Name: Emily Last Name: Webber
Email	emwebber [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't agree with police having military weapons
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:53PM PDT

Name	First Name: Aaron Last Name: Shackelford
Email	grayjackel5 [REDACTED]
Comment	fuck no. The police is the police, not the military.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Joe Last Name: Dirt
Email	joedort69 [REDACTED]
Comment	If the cops can have it and I can't. We have a major problem in my book
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/t3_ubt3gg?app_name=ios&token=AQAAQJZnYun6puKt5GEF8KYm4TgC_0-oOWf7iqW-H1wP7T1LM2sC&url=https%3A%2F%2Fforms.cityofsacramento.org%2F%2FPD_MilitaryEquipmentUse%3Ffbclid%3DIwAR0M1rtNzp

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Nathan Last Name: Nurss
Email	nathanwnurss [REDACTED]
Comment	I would greatly desire to see more efforts in community outreach and building up public trust, rather than further accumulation of military hardware in the hopes of being able to use it on the citizens you are meant to protect. Very disappointed to see a push for this given the current trends in policing in this community.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:06PM PDT

Name	First Name: Clay Last Name: Templeton
Email	wunderbre[REDACTED]
Comment	PD needs training on threat assessment before being given more weapons. Is proper training part of the plan?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Eve Last Name: Banas
Email	ejbanas [REDACTED]
Comment	Our communities are not war zones. This is unnecessary and a waste of money.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 06:10AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kylan Last Name: Kegel
Email	kkege[REDACTED]
Comment	Our community is already over-policed. Acquisition of military equipment for policing will further existing distrust and fear of law enforcement in our community, and lead to overuse of force and authority. We need to protect our police by supporting them with other programs (e.g. increased use and scope of DCR), and focus on preventing crime, not heavy-handed response to it
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 06:24AM PDT

Name	First Name: Emily Last Name: Edmond
Email	ejedmond [REDACTED]
Comment	Why in hell would we ever need this? Do you hate your citizens that much?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Coralie Last Name: Border
Email	coralieborder [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento Police Department should not be authorized to use military equipment at any time or place. Militarization of the police is one of the best ways to increase civilian opposition and distrust of the police, since it shows an ability to conduct violence beyond that which is necessary, humane, or even beneficial to public image of the Sac PD. Military equipment has no place outside of the military.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Aidan Last Name: Healy
Email	healyfaidan [REDACTED]
Comment	No. Police aren't military. Military weaponry should not be used on any civilians let alone your own.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 08:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: Shayne Last Name: Stacy
Email	shaynestacy [REDACTED]
Comment	Use of this equipment is ok in dearch and rescue applications. They should never be used against civilians. Ever.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:21PM PDT

Name	First Name: Aaron Last Name: Walker
Email	ajw.devoker [REDACTED]
Comment	I disagree with the funding of police for the use of "military equipment". If the Sacramento PD is incapable of policing the community without military equipment, then request the governor deploy the military. Or maybe find a nonmilitary solution such as better training and funding services that are actually trying to addresses the root of the problem.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: android-app://com.laurencedawson.reddit_sync/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:19PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>No, I DO NOT support the Sacramento Police Department getting more military equipment and further militarizing it's police force because such equipment and mindset will only further escalate violence against citizens. Military equipment and force are for OTHER actual invading or hostile military forces, NOT for targeting ordinary citizens in our very own community whom the police are sworn to protect and serve. Police militarization is a dangerous precedent that only escalates violence and harms the community fabric by worsening distrust towards police. How can citizens trust that police are here to protect and serve when they are equipped to use excessive force and disproportionate military equipment against ordinary citizens? Again, NO to Sacramento Police Department - you should NOT be getting more military equipment.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Daniel Last Name: Lawrence
Email	dlawrencehome [REDACTED]
Comment	A bearcat and a fleet of drones for police use is an extraordinary waste of taxpayer money. Sacramento is not under threat of invasion and the police have already demonstrated that they are unwilling to cooperate with health orders DESPITE taking the majority of pandemic funding. Clearly the police need to budget better and stop buying avocado toast
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Danny Last Name: Eccles
Email	donnyeh [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm very against the police using military equipment. The police are supposed to be approachable and supportive of the community and anybody in need. Militarizing the police only further solidifies the idea that they are not here for the community, rather they are here to instill fear and intimidation.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:28PM PDT

Name	First Name: Wayland Last Name: Lee
Email	mr.wayland [REDACTED]
Comment	Police departments do not need military equipment. That is way beyond the scope of their jobs. Please stop wasting taxpayer money. They are the POLICE, not the military.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:42PM PDT

Name	First Name: Simone Last Name: Rickford
Email	simoneerickford [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely unwelcome, unneeded and unnecessary in our community.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stephanie
Email	adragonlover5 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The county of Sacramento should not adopt/purchase/loan/rent any military equipment. There should be no increased militarization of any Sacramento county police, public health, or public safety resources. There should be no further funding allocated to the militarization of any public service. Sacramento county should be decreasing the budget for any existing military measures/processes. That budget should be reallocated toward life-affirming and community-supportive resources and services, such as public education, city roads and public transportation, and public mental health services for veterans and the unhoused populations of Sacramento.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: caroline Last Name: deasy
Email	cfdeasy [REDACTED]
Comment	The county of Sacramento should not adopt/purchase/loan/rent any military equipment. No increased militarization of any Sacramento county police, public health, or public safety resources. No further funding should be allocated to militarizing any public services. The county should be working on decreasing the budget for any existing military measures/processes, and be re-allocating that budget towards life-affirming and community-supportive resources and services, such as public education, city roads and public transportation, and public health mental health services for veterans and the unhoused populations of Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Evan Last Name: Mapson
Email	thisoneperson [REDACTED]
Comment	No military equipment is appropriate for police use. The police are not alternative to or above "civilians", the police _are_ civilians, and it is wholly inappropriate to provide military equipment, of any sort, to these minimally trained non-military personnel.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dr. Seumas Last Name: Raibéart Coutts
Email	srcoutts [REDACTED]
Comment	Should not be. Period. Sacramento is not a war zone. And besides they can not put out fires. The solutions are also well known. Prior tragedies have resulted in a string of independent, blue-ribbon commissions—Wickersham (1929), Kerner (1967), Knapp (1970), Overtown (1980), Christopher (1991), Kolts (1991), Mollen (1992), and the President’s Task Force on 21st Century Policing (2014), the Toronto study — Militarization fails to enhance police safety, the publics safety, or reduce crime. It threatens civility and trust. Policing measures that were once designed for the exceptional case become normalized, problem of police militarization is not found in its actual use of military-style violence, but rather in the presumption of threat that it implies. The presumption of threat through the tacit acknowledgment that the possibility of violence is present. It also carves out a domestic enemy, one which is excluded from the political order. Regardless of the over-site, regulatory constraints and safeguards, the city government and police in Sacramento have already show they can not be trusted in any way.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:12PM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Smith
Email	cdizzledog0808 [REDACTED]
Comment	I think the amount of money spent on this would be much better spent on the pensions, benefits and training of our officers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:21AM PDT

Name	First Name: Robert Last Name: Berry
Email	retrocrush [REDACTED]
Comment	This is not a good use of our money and I would prefer this was spent on outreach and helping our community find safe shelter.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 09:15PM PDT

Name	First Name: Amanda Last Name: Johnson
Email	eden900573 [REDACTED]
Comment	I am against this spending. Spend the money on mental health care for homeless people that is what we really need not more weapons.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 09:16PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sarah Last Name: Carroll
Email	enteral_swift_0c [REDACTED]
Comment	I disagree with the use of military equipment against our own citizens. Please keep the police at their current level of equipment. These funds are sorely needed to address the worsening homelessness problem. Mental health care, addiction services and permanent housing would benefit Sacramento far more than military drones in our neighborhoods. Thank you.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:30AM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Young
Email	djcolossa [REDACTED]
Comment	The police need to use militarized weapons against white domestic terrorism and their related protests (e.g. proud boys, atomwaffen, MAGA, successionists, Christian extremist terrorists organizations, the KKK). Police should continue to use the militarized weapons in situations with gunmen robbing stores or holding people hostage. Militarized weapons should not ve used on protests for equal rights like black lives matter. Militarized weapons should be used on other police who support white domestic terrorism and police who harm the public on duty when thar harm is not necessary.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://m.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 09:23PM PDT

Name	First Name: S Last Name: M
Email	
Comment	You are POLICE, NOT MILITARY. You dont need military gear to do your job. That money should go toward education/schools or something more useful to the community, not excess lethal toys for power trippers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: Nicolas Last Name: Loret de Mola
Email	nickdemola [REDACTED]
Comment	Sacramento PD should not be utilizing weapons and armored vehicles from military sources. Militarized police departments are more likely to kill civilians, as shown in a study here: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2053168017712885 Utilization of drones for the purposes of finding missing persons and overseeing dangerous situations is an appropriate use of military equipment. Police departments need to have their jobs streamlined to true protection in cases of public danger. Funding for militarized weaponry needs to be redistributed to communities to replace police presence in non-criminal activities. Sacramento should reject any use of the 1033 program and move forward with community-based solutions for a majority of what police currently do.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:34AM PDT

Name	First Name: Lizz Last Name: Fisher
Email	lizzyrock [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>On the subject of giving Sac Police new weapons: ABSOLUTELY NOT! Our police have too much power as it is and they tend to use it on innocent Black people and the unhoused. Sacramento's police force is aggressive enough, they do NOT need more weapons to use against citizens. Teach the officers PEACEFUL conflict resolution and situation de-escalation instead or use the money to send social workers out on certain calls. If you must spend money on the police, make it so they don't take 20 minutes to answer 911 calls of people who really need help!</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:30PM PDT

Name	First Name: Matthew Last Name: H.
Email	
Comment	I am in support of our police force receiving drones, robotics support, and vehicles as mentioned in the attached policy. I am unsure as to Sacramento PD's current access effective armaments and if that warrants receiving more less lethal weapons. However I do support our police officers through and through. I recommend that they receive what they need to ensure the safety of our community while maximizing their own safety.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:39AM PDT

Name	First Name: Christopher Last Name: Cappa
Email	chriscappa [REDACTED]
Comment	Cops don't need military equipment. The policy should be "no military equipment."
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:39AM PDT

Name	First Name: Matt Last Name: S.
Email	mbs74 [REDACTED]
Comment	Why is this unnecessary equipment needed when there are far more essential services our community versus militarizing the police department? Two weeks ago a SWAT armored car tried to drive down my father's street after the officers bought some Starbucks. First, it could barely fit on the street. Second, as a community member, seeing something like that doesn't make me feel safe. It makes me feel intimidated and disgusted resources are being spent on that and not on education, after school programs, assisting the unhoused, supporting mental health treatment, and eliminating poverty. Stop supporting the military industrial complex and support human beings.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 09:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jean-Luc Last Name: Ducatillon
Email	lucducatillon4 [REDACTED]
Comment	I would not like there to be an ordinance allowing the Sacramento police to use military grade weapons.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:44PM PDT

Name	First Name: Annabel Last Name: Vu
Email	annabelv21 [REDACTED]
Comment	The usage of military equipment for police officers is absolutely outrageous. Police are suppose to protect and serve the public, what the hell do they need military equipment for?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 09:42PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	No. Hell no. Hell fucking no. There is absolutely no reason for this.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 09:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Maribel Last Name: Betancourt
Email	betancourtmaribel217 [REDACTED]
Comment	No to use any military equipment in law enforcement. Direct services elsewhere for the community and mental health.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:00AM PDT

Name	First Name: Dave Last Name: Smith
Email	gornzilla [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>When I lived in South Korea I watched friendly police at work. Even when the Prime Minister of Korea visited my class, the police were friendly and polite. Yet in Sacramento, I had the police tell my speed dealing neighbor that I was the one who called the cops on her. She retaliated with her meth friends breaking into my apartment. The police response was, "We'll send someone out to take a report" which never happened. An untrustworthy police department doesn't need military equipment. They can't identify a phone, so what would they do with military equipment.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:12AM PDT

Name	First Name: Thomas Last Name: Nguyen
Email	thomasnguyen0693 [REDACTED]
Comment	This is a disgusting proposal. No police force in America needs military equipment at any time.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:15AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kathleen Last Name: Kimberling
Email	singingwater [REDACTED]
Comment	NEVER! No Approved, nor unapproved use of military weapons on citizens. , This is appalling, only undemocratic, authoritarian governments us military weapons against Citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:07PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	There is absolutely no reason to further militarize Sac PD. Perhaps focus on mental health and additional training. Support officer mental health and encourage care.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:15AM PDT

Name	First Name: jason Last Name: bariel
Email	basalt51 [REDACTED]
Comment	most people that com.ent probably aren't going to actually read CA Code 7070. My co.me t is that i do not support the use of armored vehicles or explosives. Other than that i encourage the PD to use whatever tools make you safer and better at catching and stopping criminals.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:15AM PDT

Name	First Name: Cassidy Last Name: Sheen
Email	cssdycass [REDACTED]
Comment	I am strongly opposed to sacramento PD receiving more military equipment. They have not shown integrity when utilizing much less destructive methods of enforcement and frankly it is scary.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: Joseph Poon Last Name: Renegade
Email	sashyevsk[REDACTED]
Comment	Ho ho. Hey hey. Please don't buy used military equipment? Whose streets? I don't know, someone owns them, but I don't think it matters because I don't want to see apcs and bearcats rolling down them. El pueblo, unido, would still get rolled down by some armored vehicles is all I'm saying. We will overco-ome, we will overco-ome, but only if we don't get gassed first. It ain't 1942 anymore buddy, you can't just do that kinda stuff anymore What do we want? Not police officers that look like alien reptiles doing to us what Saddam did to the Kurds! When do we want it? Sometime preferably sooner rather than later please! Anyway, thanks so much chaps, buh bye.!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:21AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	ABSOLUTELY NOT
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:21AM PDT

Name	First Name: Benjamin Last Name: Van Domelen
Email	benvandomeler [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not approve of the Military Equipment Use Policy. I do not believe that funding should go to high tech military equipment for the police. I am strongly opposed to such purchases and procurements.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:19AM PDT

Name	First Name: thomas Last Name: campbell
Email	tomquinncampbel [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not. There is no need for police to use any of the mentioned equipment. Police can barely be trusted with the pistols they carry.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:25AM PDT

Name	First Name: GINGER Last Name: CLEARY
Email	GINGER [REDACTED]
Comment	Police are supposed to serve the community and protect the community, not kill the community. Police need better training in de-escalating situations, not killing people, because they are not given said training. Why is more violence the only way you people know how to think? Get help for that. If I wanted to live in Russia, I would move there. Please don't bring it here.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:25AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I do not believe our police force needs military equipment for the following reasons, excessive force. And it would only be used on we the people. Absolutely not ok.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:30AM PDT

Name	First Name: Richard Last Name: Levitt
Email	rdlevit48 [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not support the the police being outfitted as if they are the military
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:33AM PDT

Name	First Name: Leticia Last Name: Mirelez-Wong
Email	leticia.mirelezwong [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no need, whatsoever, for any PD to have military grade weapons to complete their jobs. Its a gross overreach and wasteful with the city's taxes.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:43AM PDT

Name	First Name: Willem Last Name: Dannenbaum
Email	topangawil [REDACTED]
Comment	Sac PD seems to do okay doing violence to citizens with the equipment is already has
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:35AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kevin Last Name: Killion
Email	kevinzkillion [REDACTED]
Comment	Hey, with all due respect I find it troubling that the police force is militarizing in such a way I hope that this gear is coupled with the appropriate training to respect the rights of the people they serve
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:48AM PDT

Name	First Name: Shannon Last Name: Armand
Email	
Comment	No, no, no. Your job is to serve and protect people not treat them like foreign terrorists. If things have gotten so bad that you need to bring in Military Equipment then you need to start investing elsewhere. Use the money for schools, programs for kids and communities. Actually be a Public servant.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:38PM PDT

Name	First Name: Richard Last Name: Befay
Email	rbefay [REDACTED]
Comment	The police should NOT be using military equipment. LEOs should only have access to the same equipment as US citizens. This includes magazine size, and California roster limitations. Police should have to abide by the same laws as citizens and police exemption to laws should be revoked.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:55AM PDT

Name	First Name: Thomas Last Name: Hill
Email	tom [REDACTED]
Comment	The police are not the military. Stop trying to make them a paramilitary organization. They don't need tanks nor weapons that kill people at a higher rate. The police should fear the population not the other way around. This is why so many people distrust them. The more militant you make the police, the more people will see them as jack booted thugs
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:01AM PDT

Name	First Name: Doug Last Name: Vincent
Email	digthemlows [REDACTED]
Comment	Please do not use military equipment. This policy will hurt the community, not help it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Onna Last Name: Nelson
Email	onna.nelson [REDACTED]
Comment	Please no. Use the money for mental health response training, more beds for the homeless, road repairs, or literally anything else.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:08AM PDT

Name	First Name: melissa Last Name: peterson
Email	iheartjuicenewton [REDACTED]
Comment	i think it is a disgrace. it does not encourage community or safety. it's scary for our kids and disrespectful to everyone else. we used to be a close knit community and this kind of stuff does not encourage that
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:05AM PDT

Name	First Name: Eliseo Last Name: Guerrero
Email	trillusionz [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm not okay with this. This is infringing on peoples right to privacy. I don't agree with this decision. I'd ask for this to be abolished.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:00PM PDT

Name	First Name: william Last Name: kleemann
Email	william.kleemann [REDACTED]
Comment	You should not purchase or aquire military equipment
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:53PM PDT

Name	First Name: Locksley Last Name: Russ
Email	katherineruss [REDACTED]
Comment	It's never acceptable to use military equipment in civilian territory, so please don't.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alex Last Name: Severson
Email	severefts [REDACTED]
Comment	This is a terrible idea, a misuse of funding, and in no way supports the Sacramento community. If anything it amplifies the already dangerous behavior of the police force further militarizing them and treating citizens like foreign invaders. Terrible plan. You should feel bad about it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:17PM PDT

Name	First Name: Matthew Last Name: Whitley
Email	matthewwhitley3LP [REDACTED]
Comment	No. Local police should NOT be turned into military armed forces. Money should be spent on working with communities, dialing down the violence, mental health, community outreach. Communities already fear the police, giving them military weapons will not make things better instead far, far worse.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://m.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Destiny Last Name: Wright
Email	orisrb33 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment is for the military. This type of equipment does not belong in the hands of police or on city street. Are you at war with us??????. Btw, dismantle the blue wall of silence & rid your organization of corrupt officers. You know who they are & they make you all look bad.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:39PM PDT

Name	First Name: Megan Last Name: Fidell
Email	mfidel[REDACTED]
Comment	What on earth?! Are you planning to invade West Sacramento? Are you occupying foreign territory and subduing a hostile native population? Considering that the hostile native population is paying your salaries, I think you should re-think having any military equipment at all. That's nuts. If you must have it, in which case your vision of policing is inherently at odds with "helping the public", you should have to report to the public on your training to use military equipment, on every use of it, on whether you use military equipment against POC more than white people, on how expensive the upkeep and fueling are. YOU SHOULDN'T EVEN BE CONSIDERING THESE. Free military equipment is a curse and a poison between you and the public.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Ngo
Email	CNGO2010 [REDACTED]
Comment	okay. hear me out. What about no?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:43PM PDT

Name	First Name: Brittany Last Name: Cords
Email	bcordspage [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely against using any part of the budget to purchase military equipment. Nor do I feel it is safe for our community for police to use military equipment in any capacity. The cops are not at war with the people they are here to serve. Under no circumstances is this ok.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:38PM PDT

Name	First Name: Aaron Last Name: Catledge
Email	acatledge [REDACTED]
Comment	The various vehicle requests are an affront to fiscal responsibility. They'll most certainly used in conjunction with the requested drones to violate civil rights. It's so very shameless to claim you need the 'Rook'. Consider firing whoever came up with this list. If it was an elected official, they could improve the department by resigning.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:52PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ian Last Name: Finch
Email	ianpatrickfinch [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not support the Sacramento Police utilizing military equipment. It would be a drastic waste of tax payer money. There are so many larger issues our city is facing like homelessness, rising housing costs, lack of access to healthcare, and so many more. Those would be a much better use of funds than to militarize our police when their budget is already inflated well beyond what it should be.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:45PM PDT

Name	First Name: Aiden Last Name: Keller
Email	aidenkeller1991 [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not, this is a terrible idea.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 12:13AM PDT

Name	First Name: Sam Last Name: Brick
Email	samssspam1987 [REDACTED]
Comment	This is abhorrent. The community doesn't need a militarized police presence. The community needs mental health resources and non-violent responders.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 01:21PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sacramento Last Name: Citizen
Email	
Comment	Local law enforcement does NOT need military equipment. You're here to serve the community not wage war on it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 02:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Karen Last Name: Solberg
Email	karensolberg [REDACTED]
Comment	The gangs and other outlaws have been the ones who have militarized their operations. The police need to be ahead of them. Give the police anything they need!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 01:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Suzanna Last Name: Naramore
Email	snaramore [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not approve of the police department spending taxpayer money on military equipment. Sacramento, please house the homeless, feed the hungry, and attend to the sick.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 02:23PM PDT

Name	First Name: Casey Last Name: Thompson
Email	caseyasherthompson [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>As a constituent of District 4, I am vehemently opposed to this proposal. The militarization of our police force, and those around the country, is out of control. Our police department already views itself as an occupying force in our city and this will only serve to exacerbate the problem. Further, police armed with military weapons will invariably find excuses to use them regardless of the appropriateness of the situation (and I am hard pressed to think of any scenarios in Sacramento that would have justified the use of tanks, sniper rifles, grenade launchers, etc.). Lastly, it is nothing short of a theft of public funds to purchase this unnecessary equipment in order to funnel our tax dollars to arms dealers. Please use good sense and put an end to this disgusting practice here in Sacramento, and perhaps we as a city can lead the charge in dismantling an oppressive police state which exists across this country.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 02:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lorena Last Name: Castillo
Email	lccastillo [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely NO military equipment!!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 12:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ivan Last Name: Hueramo
Email	ivanhueramo39 [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not support military grade equipment funding for Sac PD. I would much rather see that tremendous amount of money allocated to affordable housing, subsidized childcare, local businesses revitalization, sponsorship of art installations, mental health services and environment protection. Providing Sac PD with military grade equipment is among my least concerns in regards to the city budget.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 01:22AM PDT

Name	First Name: Hunter Last Name: Carlson
Email	hunterjcarlson [REDACTED]
Comment	No. The police are not the military. Police should not even have guns. If anything, the police need an ego check. How about we put some of this budget that would be used on this equipment to help the transient problem in sacramento. How about help with affordable housing? How about making sure everyone in sacramento has access to safety and food and clean water? The police have enough. They have too much already. This is absolutely a ridiculous suggestion and who ever suggested it should have been laughed out of whatever room they were in.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 03:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: MaryAnn Last Name: Holst
Email	poonieholst [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't like military equipment use at all and would not like any purchases towards these items. I would prefer more training on how to de-escalate and use other strategies to help people.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 02:25AM PDT

Name	First Name: Riley Last Name: Gilbert
Email	towmmy369 [REDACTED]
Comment	I'd much rather these proposed funds go to homeless outreach programs than robots and cameras. Maybe make it so that police are incapable of turning off their body cams while on shift before buying useless military grade surveillance equipment. Not to mention that this opens the doors for military weaponry to eventually be available to the idiots on our police force. I heavily disagree with the implementation of this proposed assembly bill.
Upload File(s)	IMG_0389.MOV
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 01:45PM PDT

Name	First Name: Matthew Last Name: Edd
Email	norcaltuna12 [REDACTED]
Comment	This is absolutely absurd. The police DO NOT need to use military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 03:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Shawn Last Name: Mainville
Email	spm08588 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>All actions the SPD and City of Sacramento undertake regarding military equipment shall be made public, exceeding the specific requirements of AB481. Data shall be tabulated and made public regarding the use of military equipment. Disallow any policy which allows SPD to apply for military equipment purchase grants without prior approval. Disallow the purchase of any armaments that do not comply with the Geneva Convention (see https://www.icrc.org/en/document/geneva-conventions-1949-additional-protocols). Eliminate stockpile and future purchase of long range rifles and grenade launchers. Require officers authorized to use enhanced military equipment to receive additional equipment specific training, as well as mandated training in de-escalation and mandatory periods of service as community officers, prior to military equipment certification. Traditional views of increased militarization of police are proven not to reduce crime. A shift to more supportive services to traditionally underinvested communities is a far better allocation of resources. A smarter addressing of the root causes of poverty will have a much more dramatic effect than rolling down the street in armored personnel carriers.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 03:07AM PDT

Name	First Name: Oliver Last Name: Reams
Email	
Comment	Sacramento is not a war zone and it's citizens are non-combatants. Use of military equipment encourages a militaristic approach to community engagement. In a situation that threatens escalation, military equipment encourages it, rather than defusing the situation.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 04:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Diana Last Name: Cox
Email	gecdl[REDACTED]
Comment	I can't believe that I read most of it (skipped over the technical stuff)...But the GO sounds good and solid.....
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 04:33PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Police shouldn't use military equipment, this isn't a warzone
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 04:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Helen Last Name: Hobart
Email	hdhobar[REDACTED]
Comment	thank you.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 04:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jordan Last Name: Nunes
Email	jmnunes [REDACTED]
Comment	This city is insane for considering to allow police to use military grade weapons. These weapons Will be used on the citizens of the city and undoubtedly won't be used responsibly. Sacramento will be worse off because of the availability of this equipment. What else does law enforcement need? The city and county already gave the police and sherriff millions of dollars of covid relief intended for the community. This city couldn't possibly run worse than it already is. Might as well throw in some war materials. Disgusting.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 05:12PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jason Last Name: Gibbs
Email	jason [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I am strongly opposed to the purchase of #8 "40 MM Launchers and Rounds" through #10 "Combined Systems LC5 40MM Launching Cup" and #13 "Chemical Agent and Smoke Canisters". The Sacramento Police have repeatedly shown a lack of restraint in using these types of weapons against peaceful non-combatant civilians, resulting in an escalation of violence. I would much prefer that a force with greater training and defined rules of engagement that include greater restraint (e.g., National Guard) be called in to maintain peace in situations where the Sacramento Police is suggesting these weapons be used. I have no faith that the Sacramento Police can change their culture through increased training in the proper use of these weapons.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 05:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Williams
Email	mgwilliams71199 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment for the police is a waste of taxpayer money and the opposite of investing in community trust.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Name	First Name: Annelies Last Name: Veldman
Email	anneliesje95 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Hello, I think the use of funding to militarize our police force in Sacramento is a wild misuse of funding, when there are so many clear deficits within the department. There has never been a time in my life as a lifelong Sacramentan, that I have ever wished "if only our police had more access to military equipment." However, what I have thought, many times over, is I wish the police force was more well equipped and trained for the various calls they get whilst on the force. Domestic violence calls need psychologists, trauma counselors, people who are equipped to handle those in traumatic or emotionally manipulative situations with grace and care. Understanding the training and the length of it, and what is covered, due to a family friend being in the force, I am well aware that what the police truly need is more required training in the avenue of mental health, domestic violence, trauma, and psychology. Being able to me more emotionally and mentally equipped to handle scenarios could change the future of Sacramento for the better. I encourage whoever is reading these to pass on the information to read articles such as (I'm not including links because I would assume you might think they re scam or something) "How can psychology advance police-community relations? Using psychological science and advocacy to contribute to solutions" by Erlanger A. Turner, PhD on the American Psychological Association website from June of 2018, and "How can psychology improve policing?" written by Rebecca Wheeler and Sarah Cox in November of 2015 on the Goldsmiths University of London website. Both of these articles and essays highlight the benefit for the communities, that come from psychological training in officers, but also the departmental advantages, and most importantly to the folks who write the budget, the amount of money that can saved on wrongful convictions and excessive interrogations, when officers are properly trained in the realm of psychology. If you paste any of those titles into Google I'm sure they will come up right away. With all this being said, please, we don't need more weapons in sacramento. We need more care, and I pray that the SACPD puts that at the forefront instead of military force. Please read all of these comments you receive with care. You are the ones who are supposed to protect us, and we want you to be able to do so, without any abuse or casualties in the process. Proper required education and training is the number one way to do that, and the number one way to truly protect and serve. Thank you for your time.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 06:40PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jason Last Name: Doe
Email	mccabejames8 [REDACTED]
Comment	The internet was made by the military and your city government should not use that military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 05:57PM PDT

Name	First Name: Joy Last Name: Yates
Email	eternaljoyates [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>There is no reason police need use of military equipment. According the the laws in our US constitution every single person killed by the police were innocent, we are all innocent until proven guilty in a fair court trial by a jury of our peers. The police are not judge, jury, or executioner and should take accountability for the crimes they have committed instead of hiding behind a badge. The police have shown time and time again they are not fit to do their jobs with the weapons they have now there is absolutely no reason for the police to have more weapons. The heavier they are armed the more innocent lives will be lost. We need to fix the police we have instead of throwing money at a problem as we have in the past decades</p>
Upload File(s)	inbound9042088539042162467.tmp
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 06:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Cole Last Name: Silkman
Email	nsilkman [REDACTED]
Comment	The police shouldn't have military equipment. The police are supposed to protect the people, not attack them.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kailyn Last Name: Kyosuke
Email	kailynkyosuke [REDACTED]
Comment	Police should not have military equipment. The distinction between military officee and police officer becomes less specific, the more they are permitted access to this type of gear. It makes our city a much more dangerous place when police patrol like soldiers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/MSH3TeEyLv

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kyle Last Name: Jackson
Email	kjack30 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment should only be used in war zones. Using this type of equipment against civilians only worsens already tense relationships between law enforcement and the communities they're supposed to serve.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 05:13AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kathleen Last Name: Sullivan
Email	katsullivan [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no justifiable reason to use equipment that was intended to devastate an enemy in wartime against citizens of Sacramento. Please, no.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ashley Last Name: Williams
Email	ash.eliz.wil [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no scenario in which the use of military equipment is ok in a civilian setting. The police are become a military and are no longer a part of the community they're supposed to protect, rather they are an occupying force. I strongly oppose our local police force having access to and using military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:43PM PDT

Name	First Name: Joanna Last Name: Phillips
Email	joannalphillips [REDACTED]
Comment	After how you all handled peaceful protests, ABSOLUTELY NOT. Police need better training in de-escalation. I do NOT want my hardworking money to go to this BS that will CERTAINLY be abused. I hope someone sues WHEN it is used abusively. I know this "feedback from the community" is just a dog and pony show.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 06:38AM PDT

Name	First Name: Maria Last Name: Pulido
Email	maria.e.pulido92 [REDACTED]
Comment	Our community is not a war zone, and therefore we do not need million dollar equipment. We need funding for community support that law enforcement is l'll equipped to provide. Do better.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 06:47AM PDT

Name	First Name: Doug Last Name: Smith
Email	hambarger [REDACTED]
Comment	This is cute, asking for community input. An empty gesture designed to make this feel like a decision of the people. When a government decides to use military force against its own citizens, it is a failure of the government, not of the citizens. There is no doubt in my mind that this equipment will be used to hurt and kill black people. It will hurt and kill people with mental disabilities. It will hurt and kill gay and trans people. I know this because the police do this every day with their current arsenal and don't need more help. Keep tools of war out of police forces. Take away all of their guns. Fire all the cops and hire social workers. Invest in public education, housing, reparations, etc. A weak government hurts its citizens, a strong one helps.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 07:10AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Sac PD isn't even responsible enough to use standard issue police gear. If there's a situation that requires military gear, get out of your tiny cop ego and call the professionals (National Guard). Who are the cops going to be using this gear on? Citizens. Why would I be in favor of deploying military gear for use against our own citizens? Whoever came up with this idea should feel ashamed for even asking.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 08:37PM PDT

Name	First Name: P. Last Name: C.
Email	
Comment	With how our world is going, I think it's smart to start looking into using military equipment for specific circumstances. You can have a small group of officers highly trained to be able to use it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:45PM PDT

Name	First Name: Annabelle Last Name: Wilcox
Email	Annabelle.wilcox1995 [REDACTED]
Comment	Fund more social work, demilitarize the police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 07:30AM PDT

Name	First Name: Amora Last Name: Harding
Email	amoraharding [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military manoeuvres. I'm not interested in my tax dollars going to this. I'm interested in more resources for the county regarding mental health, support services etc.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/t3_ubt3gg?url=https%3A%2F%2Fforms.cityofsacramento.org%2F%2FPD_MilitaryEquipmentUse%3Ffbclid%3DI

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 07:56AM PDT

Name	First Name: Brendan Last Name: Stahl
Email	brendan_stah [REDACTED]
Comment	How about we get some beat cops and stop harrassing drivers instead of providing the city security. This is a waste of resources that should be put towards community developement; social safety nets; and daily, alternating, beats to make criminals think twice. Police aren't military, they aren't at war with citizens (which they also are). This would be a disgusting show of power to intimidate an already frightened of police populace.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 10:08AM PDT

Name	First Name: Oksana Last Name: Apodaca
Email	oksanaapodaca2 [REDACTED]
Comment	Enough money goes to the military and we clearly have tons of other crises that need addressing
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 10:16AM PDT

Name	First Name: aranza Last Name: gutierrez
Email	monalunaacx [REDACTED]
Comment	No. Military approved gear to manage a small city with no major crazy crime changes is ridiculous. The gross amount of money would be better spent with more trainings of deescalation practices, situation reading practices, money towards helping fund mental health services for those who are trouble and seeking help. No military grade gear is necessary to help serve the city. You cannot fight violence with more violence.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 10:13AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ava Last Name: Rinard
Email	agrinard [REDACTED]
Comment	I would not feel comfortable with sac pd using military equipment or spending money on it that could be put to better use. I am against the draft policy
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:43PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kerry Last Name: Enright
Email	enright.kerry [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not feel safe when my community is treated like a war zone. Military equipment in local law enforcement is unacceptable. There is no legitimate use for it. Please eliminate its use by local law enforcement.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 10:42AM PDT

Name	First Name: Cecelia Last Name: Smith
Email	cecemariasmith[REDACTED]
Comment	The police should not have access to military grade equipment and if they do find themselves in need of that excessive force, it has to be a transparent action that the people of Sacramento are aware and agree it would benefit us for said use.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 08:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: C Last Name: Kurtin
Email	
Comment	Your budget is entirely too large, no you should NOT have access to military grade equipment and no you should not be able to harass and sometimes kill those who you are supposed to protect and serve. There is literally no need for you to have such equipment. When you sign up for that pension, you are signing your life away as a possible casualty to save the rest of us, not signing up to become a storm trooper.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 08:38AM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Hanks
Email	hankostar [REDACTED]
Comment	Use of military equipment by Sacramento PD makes me feel less safe, and contributes to an "otherness" between police and "civilians". Please reject this policy. Thank you.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 08:46AM PDT

Name	First Name: Anastasia Last Name: Salmon
Email	anastasiathesalmon [REDACTED]
Comment	I absolutely do not want this in my community. This will do more harm than good and waste money that could be spent on harm reduction for the community. Please hold off on this.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jaynia Last Name: Anderson
Email	jayniaanderson [REDACTED]
Comment	As a Sacramento resident, law enforcement having increased military equipment does not make me as a resident any safer, it makes me terrified and mistrusting of the intentions of law enforcement. I firmly believe that military equipment has absolutely no place in non-military zones.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:05PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	If an issue is severe enough to warrant the use of military equipment, then the city needs to contact the National Guard. Claims of police violence and excessive use of force are already a major hot-button issue. It seems like a public relations nightmare to enact this practice. The use of military equipment in community policing makes me extremely uncomfortable. Especially combat-oriented weaponry and equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:06PM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Langton
Email	thechrislangton [REDACTED]
Comment	If an issue is severe enough to warrant the use of military equipment, then the city needs to contact the National Guard. Claims of police violence and excessive use of force are already a major hot-button issue. It seems like a public relations nightmare to enact this practice. The use of military equipment in community policing makes me extremely uncomfortable. Especially combat-oriented weaponry and equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 09:01AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	No military grade weapons, funding could be used to go more in depth in training in the academy, provide more mental health counseling to those on the force (including drug and alcohol treatment if not already included) and social workers to respond to calls of mental health crisis and homeless so we aren't overwhelming police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: P. M. Last Name: Cottrell
Email	mxn.cottrel [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I have read the policy, and while it is commendable that you are thinking through the details, given the history of how unequally police force has been used in Sacramento against disadvantaged and poor people I have grave concerns that police leadership, let alone rank and file peace officers, will adhere to the details of the policy. To be more specific: 1. The appearance alone of military gear in the hands of police marks the public as "the enemy", and gives the police the mindset that we, the public, are the enemy. Military gear in police use engenders more violence and works against actually keeping peace. 2. Any situation that calls for military equipment should be bumped up to exclusive emergency teams that are specially trained and vetted to handle stress and extreme situations without resorting to knee jerk terror and hair-trigger reactions that wind up harming civilians or other officers. 3. The use of military equipment has been seen in myriad cases to incite a hyped up, adrenalized, oppressive, bellicose attitude from police. If this is ever actually useful it is only in extremely rare cases, such as foreign invasion or an organized attack. But the police force tends to turn a blind eye to organized attacks perpetrated by light-skinned people. 4. Military equipment attracts more hostility toward the police (see point #1) and is likely to interfere with citizens' trust and confidence in the police organization and impede regular community police work. The alleged need for military equipment is rather a need for better training and discipline in the police force, organization wide, and for better interactions with the community as a whole. You and we, the citizens of Sacramento, do not need military equipment, we need better conflict de-escalation training, vastly improved anger management practices for officers and department leadership, better weapons training (where officers are retrained not to shoot to kill, but only to temporarily immobilize if one must deploy a weapon at all), and better, sincere, real outreach and connection and interaction with the people whose taxes pay for the department.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 09:08AM PDT

Name	First Name: james Last Name: kopperud
Email	Stepintotheight [REDACTED]
Comment	I appreciate community protection and the services provided but please leave the big guns at home. I'm unarmed and I can't feel safe if you become more deadly. I realize others do have big weapons but we can't reduce service to only the most dangerous. Thanks for asking.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:23PM PDT

Name	First Name: Delanie Last Name: Harrington
Email	delharrington3 [REDACTED]
Comment	Vote no. This is intended to intimidate citizens out of their right to protest at free speech. The police is not the military and should not be weaponized against its own people. Waste of our tax money.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 09:15AM PDT

Name	First Name: Victoria Last Name: Williams
Email	victoriawilliams916 [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not agree that the police are doing their job correctly if they need to be militarized. I do not agree with this policy at all and hope to not have a police system that has more power than the power. That does not equal safety, it just doubles the risk for an authoritarian police force
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 07:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Erik Last Name: Dubey
Email	egggi [REDACTED]
Comment	The local police department absolutely does not need military equipment. We have a military for that. We need to demilitarize our police and get back to community engagement. Several years ago, I called the police to help with a wellness check for an individual who was having a mental health crisis. This was responded to with violence. Our police need to learn how to engage with our community instead of terrorizing them.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 10:10PM PDT

Name	First Name: Amanda Last Name: Poczulp
Email	heymandypoczulp [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not want my tax dollars spent on dangerous and unnecessary gi joe toys. This equipment is expensive, not used for peacekeeping and has nothing to do with the vandalism, gun crime, drugs and homelessness actually plaguing Sacramento. The budget should be used to better train and staff foot patrols, public outreach and prevention. If the police who already make sac citizens feel unsafe, have these toys, they're only inviting a reason to use them. We do not need escalation in sac, we need peacekeepers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 28, 2022, 11:01AM PDT

Name	First Name: Richard Last Name: Diltz
Email	richdiltz [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I understand the need for Police to be prepared for issues with seriously armed individuals, but I think the military equipment needs to be saved for when it is deemed ABSOLUTELY necessary. When police respond to smaller crimes or non-violent protests with military gear it only serves to intimidate, escalate, and alienate when often what is needed is De-escalation. I think it also does not help police officers maintain a sense of community and puts them in a more "military" Us vs. Them mentality. So I think SOME military gear may be warranted, but it should only be brought out in times of extreme danger to the public.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 28, 2022, 11:20AM PDT

Name	First Name: Maxwell Last Name: hopson
Email	maxwell.hopson [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>It is disappointing, though unfortunately not surprising, that the police department is hungry for more weapons/equipment. whoever reads this I want you to take a moment to think of the kinds of countries who have peacekeepers that more look like infantryman than police officers. Are those countries you fancy living in? We're not North Korea or Russia (I don't think) but our police forces in the US, according to this proposal, are clearly very eager to imitate those countries' guard. I, on the other hand, am not. It's superfluous, it's paranoid, it's irresponsible, and it's a shameful waste of money. I'm not gonna stand here, insulting myself and my community, by funding something so bogus and unnecessary. If you want to pay for this boondoggle through private funding, fine, but you will keep me out of it.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 28, 2022, 01:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: alex Last Name: tafoya
Email	actafoya24 [REDACTED]
Comment	F*** off. Go back to the military if you want to PLAY WAR. Most Cops are worthless why would we give you money for more useless toys.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 28, 2022, 01:59PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sophia Last Name: Del Core
Email	sophdelcore [REDACTED]
Comment	This money would be better spent on de-escalation services or bias intervention & respond training.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 28, 2022, 02:37PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	This is an abhorrent misuse of funds that should be allocated to literally anything else. The police are not the military and should not have access to military grade equipment. Switch professions if you want to handle missiles so bad.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 29, 2022, 02:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Laurie Last Name: Williams
Email	moxiereflexology [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not support the use of military equipment by the police force of Sacramento County. This is an overreach of authority and enforcement which overlooks the root cause of actions like civil unrest and rioting. Money should be allocated for training and community outreach. We have a race issue in this community and military equipment throws fuel on the fire but won't solve the core problem.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 03:51AM PDT

Name	First Name: Harold Last Name: Koehncke
Email	hiway [REDACTED]
Comment	Support. Please use whatever is necessary within constitutional boundaries to keep the peace and maintain the safety of citizens and your staff.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 07:27AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jinnelle Last Name: Fong
Email	jinnelle [REDACTED]
Comment	Yes. I support this use of equipment to protect law abiding citizens. Empower the police not the criminals.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 08:24AM PDT

Name	First Name: Brian Last Name: Powers
Email	jbpowers52 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The document appears to comply with Government Code sections 7070 et seq and contains appropriately specific descriptions of the equipment and their expected use. Many items such as unmanned aircraft, robots, armored vehicles and firearms seem clearly to comply with Government Code sec. 7071 d (A), (B) and (C) (see below) and to promote safety of the public and Sac PD officers. Some, however, such as the Mobile Incident Command Vehicle at a cost of \$1M and the Crisis Negotiation Team Vehicle at a cost of \$297,000 should be evaluated critically in light of subsection d (C) and the evaluation should include how often it is expected such equipment will be used based on past experience in Sacramento and other areas similar to Sacramento versus the costs of the equipment. (A) The military equipment is necessary because there is no reasonable alternative that can achieve the same objective of officer and civilian safety. (B) The proposed military equipment use policy will safeguard the public's welfare, safety, civil rights, and civil liberties. (C) If purchasing the equipment, the equipment is reasonably cost effective compared to available alternatives that can achieve the same objective of officer and civilian safety. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Brian Powers</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 09:29AM PDT

Name	First Name: Diane Last Name: Schellbach
Email	dlschellbach [REDACTED]
Comment	I support any/all equipment that provides safety and protection of law enforcement officers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 09:55AM PDT

Name	First Name: Samantha Last Name: Sanchez
Email	ssanch29 [REDACTED]
Comment	We do not need weaponry to improve our communities!! Too much money goes to the police as is. Police brutality is a huge problem and police need more diversity training and training on deescalation, NOT weapons. The money is better spent on the community itself, more socioeconomic programs, parks, infrastructure. That's how taxes SHOULD be spent.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 08:42AM PDT

Name	First Name: Todd Last Name: Percival
Email	rockfox916 [REDACTED]
Comment	Buy away. The only ones worried about mil grade tools used by law enforcement either are law breakers or worried about protecting law breakers. Play stupid games, win stupid prizes. Criminal wants to toe up with law, law should not be restricted in tools allowed to complete their jobs. Enjoy the new toys, hope you never have to use them, but in reality, you will.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 11:15AM PDT

Name	First Name: Martha Last Name: Paterson-Cohen
Email	mpaterson-cohen [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Militarized law enforcement agencies become an occupying force in their own city. It is a form of fascism that the Black population has experienced for a couple of hundred years in this country. The definition of military is: relating to soldiers, arms, or war. This "Military equipment use policy" reflects a city at war with its population. The millions spent on the equipment purchase, maintenance and training for its use, takes away from the wealth of the city that it should use to supply services for the people, not against them. This "defense technology" does not belong in our law enforcement agency. Do not approve the use of this equipment. Do not approve a 10% replacement policy without approval. Absolutely NO MORE PUBLIC FUNDS for weapons of war. Redirect funds to libraries, community programs, parks, mental health support, city beautification, services for elderly residents, truly affordable housing. In special situations that require such equipment, do not use local law enforcement. Building public programs is the "reasonable alternative" to defensive weapons build up as if living in Sacramento is living in a war zone.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/2022/05/04/sacramento-pd-seeks-community-feedback-on-military-equipment-use-policy/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 11:30AM PDT

Name	First Name: dan Last Name: jacobson
Email	dmoritz [REDACTED]
Comment	i don't think the police should use any military equipment even if it's free!!!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 11:55AM PDT

Name	First Name: Martha Last Name: Paterson-Cohen
Email	mpaterson-cohen [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Militarized law enforcement agencies become an occupying force in their own city. It is a form of fascism that the Black population has experienced for a couple of hundred years in this country. The definition of military is: relating to soldiers, arms, or war. This "Military equipment use policy" reflects a city at war with its population. The millions spent on the equipment purchase, maintenance and training for its use, takes away from the wealth of the city that it should use to supply services for the people, not against them. This "defense technology" does not belong in our law enforcement agency. Do not approve the use of this equipment. Do not approve a 10% replacement policy without approval. Absolutely NO MORE PUBLIC FUNDS for weapons of war. Redirect funds to libraries, community programs, parks, mental health support, city beautification, services for elderly residents, truly affordable housing. In special situations that require such equipment, do not use local law enforcement. Building public programs is the "reasonable alternative" to defensive weapons build up as if living in Sacramento is living in a war zone.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/2022/05/04/sacramento-pd-seeks-community-feedback-on-military-equipment-use-policy/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 12:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dennis Last Name: Hunter
Email	wender [REDACTED]
Comment	If the equipment can be used to help keep our city and Police Officers safer, then by all means do it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 02:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sally Last Name: Barton
Email	sallyrun[REDACTED]
Comment	I could care less on labels of any type regarding equipment that will help in your safety or the publics when doing your jobs. Just thank you for all you do for us.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 04:40PM PDT

Name	First Name: Zola Last Name: Chihombori Quao
Email	zchihomboriquao [REDACTED]
Comment	Upon review, it seems many of the descriptions of "purpose" may be leaving things out like use for surveillance or use against crowds, particularly the gas and chemical canisters which is a health hazard and a 1000% no, on top of huge expense pieces of equipment for...supporting critical structures that it may also take part in disrupting? Many of these weapons will not contribute as much to public and officer safety as much as it claims. I'm not even necessarily against all of the equipment, but some pieces are heinous and/or next to useless AND/OR will primarily be used AGAINST the citizens of Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 3, 2022, 11:48AM PDT

Name	First Name: Joseph Last Name: Cavazos
Email	cazcavazos [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not believe further militarization of our police force is beneficial to anyone in the community or the state. The police are hear to solve crimes and help victims . No no no further militarization
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.caprado.org/articles/2022/05/02/sacramento-police-have-a-new-policy-for-using-military-equipment-heres-how-to-give-feedback/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 06:23AM PDT

Name	First Name: Richard Last Name: Ware
Email	warerichard [REDACTED]
Comment	I believe SPD should accept the equipment from the military. Anything that helps law enforcement do their job in a just and civil way I'm for.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 04:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: James Last Name: Elam
Email	james.elam.1 [REDACTED]
Comment	Hi, I think that we are going to see repeats of the riots that took place a few years ago and I urge the city to spend on anything that will help protect our officers and the normal citizenry from injury and promote their safety and effectiveness.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 09:04AM PDT

Name	First Name: Sabina Last Name: Knight
Email	sabinaknight [REDACTED]
Comment	I fully support any of these items for the safety of our community and officers. These can help make the officers' jobs easier and safer. We should always embrace the new technology available to assist law enforcement. Thank you for the opportunity to comment and the transparency.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.msn.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 09:19AM PDT

Name	First Name: Stephanie Last Name: Collins
Email	stephanie.p.collins1 [REDACTED]
Comment	Hi I was just able to read about this and this opportunity this morning and I for one think it's a great idea not only for the community's safety but most importantly the officer's safety I have and will always back the blue and anything that will help protect our officer's I'm 100% for it. Also I just want to say with how the world is turning scary day by day I want to say a big thank you from me and my family to the officers. Thank you all so very much for protecting all of us during these times....
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 09:26AM PDT

Name	First Name: Karaline Last Name: Goldmark
Email	goldmark17 [REDACTED]
Comment	Based on the outline of items and their need, it makes sense for the police to have these items in possession.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 09:28AM PDT

Name	First Name: Elizabeth Last Name: TenPas
Email	beth.tenpas [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment should NEVER be used by domestic police agencies. It is intended for war, not to control citizens. Even displaying such equipment in a defensive mode is terrifying for a democratic country.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.msn.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 09:46AM PDT

Name	First Name: Thonas Last Name: Blanford
Email	tcblan [REDACTED]
Comment	No on military equipment for Sacramento police. It just creates a police state atmosphere and enforces an authoritarian military mindset in the individual members of the police force. Not good for a democracy.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 09:48AM PDT

Name	First Name: JB Last Name: Gee
Email	987trs [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The "civilian" police dept. should absolutely NOT have military grade weaponry. These "weapons of war" if retained, will be utilized against the civilian public, no doubt. As a Republican form of government, the power of government is in the people. When "government" color of law agencies possess weapons utilized in war, then the power shifts into the hands of un- elected agencies who have in the past abused their power and who will again in the future use these military weapons to force "policy (police)" that often times does not comport with constitutional norms. Its the ultimate hypocrisy to push public disarmament while escalating the weaponry of civilian agencies with military grade weapons and military psy-ops and tactics.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 10:01AM PDT

Name	First Name: Grant Last Name: Grable
Email	ggrable [REDACTED]
Comment	I am sick and tired of the dumbing down of our law enforcement agencies . We have illegal guns being used by lawless thieves, murderers, rapists, etc. who care little for the law and District Attorneys that don't enforce the laws that we have. I want a police force that can show force in the face of real danger, mob riots, murderous armed gang members. There has to be a deterrent. No consequences + No fear of repercussions = the law abiding public get hurt! Bring back common sense! This is a "Police Force" not a social services department!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 10:12AM PDT

Name	First Name: L Last Name: San
Email	chickengrease [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I wholeheartedly support the acquisition of any and all military equipment needed by police to keep them safe while doing their jobs. We, as a community, should back police in the work they do and recognize their jobs are dangerous. Oftentimes, they have a split second to make a life or death decision and they don't have the advantage of reviewing footage from multiple angles over and over again before being forced to do so. Case in point: Stephon Clark. He had already been videoed via helicopter for more than 20 minutes committing various felonies, breaking into cars, jumping over residential fences, and fleeing from police. He was a fleeing felon. I don't care what he had in his hand. If in that split second officers thought he had a gun and their lives were in danger, they were justified in shooting him as such. If he had stopped when they first asked him to instead of giving chase, he'd probably still be alive today. All those protestors turned a blind eye to that, though. Yes, I wholly support acquiring military equipment for the police. They're people and they matter, too! Part of training teaches you the goal is to go home safely at the end of your shift and if military equipment is needed to make that happen, so be it. You've got my vote!</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.msn.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 08:20AM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Agnew
Email	agnew.michael19 [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm in favor of the SPD acquiring surplus military equipment for use in their mission to protect and assist the public in Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 08:26AM PDT

Name	First Name: Nicholas Last Name: Masinter
Email	nick.masinter [REDACTED]
Comment	No military equipment whatsoever! The police should be masters of de-escalation not exacerbating the problem by doubling down on fear and force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 08:25AM PDT

Name	First Name: Marji Last Name: Miller
Email	archosaur99 [REDACTED]
Comment	NO!! Police should NOT use "Military Equipment." They seem to be able to be highly lethal with the weapons they already have. Let's have fewer, not more.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 10:40AM PDT

Name	First Name: Scott Last Name: Shurson
Email	scott_shurson [REDACTED]
Comment	I support law enforcement using whatever means necessary to maintain a safe and thriving community. For too long now, Democrats have been selling our safety for votes and police have been persecuted for violent criminals electing to resist arrest. It's time we take back our communities and support the people risking their careers and lives to protect us.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 10:48AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ryan Last Name: Weinberg
Email	ryanhweinberg [REDACTED]
Comment	The police department should not have access to military-grade equipment such as pepper balls, beanbag shotguns, or flash bangs. These tools are unnecessary to interact with the public on a local scale, are primarily used to assault unarmed protesters and the homeless population, and are often used without justification or accountability.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 12:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Philip Last Name: Kalstrom
Email	philkalstrom [REDACTED]
Comment	Should the Sacramento Police use military equipment? Absolutely not, under any circumstances. It is time to move away from the armed-to-the-teeth mentality of police departments. Let's expend resources on community policing, not on macho shows of force, which often result in innocent people being injured or killed. For example, how would military equipment assisted in dealing with the recent mass shooting in downtown? Not in the least. We need to, as a society, move away from the thought that might is right, and that more guns are the answer to any question. Let's pass sensible gun safety legislation as the first step to making this country a safer place to live. Adding to the number of weapons and equipment on the streets to an already toxic mixture is a horrible way to save lives and make the streets safer.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 12:28PM PDT

Name	First Name: Paul Last Name: Smith
Email	pbs340 [REDACTED]
Comment	All for it. Give the department whatever it needs to support its members and the public. Law enforcement should not need governments approval for such use. We need law and order not soft on crime policies.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.msn.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 01:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tia Last Name: Strong
Email	tiaflags [REDACTED]
Comment	I can understand the need for these kind of supplies. However, unless I knew that Sac PD is also hiring a large number of social workers and other crisis mental health providers to provide direct assistance, I do not agree with these purchases.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 02:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Maryann Last Name: Hopson
Email	maryann.hopson [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I do not think the Sacramento Police Department should acquire any military equipment that could be used against lawful protests for civil rights, including protests against the police. Its well and good to say that you will not operate military grade equipment without training, but I read this list and see words like "less lethal" and all I can think is that police officers want to be able to commit violence against anti-police protestors and still keep their jobs. The unmanned drones can be used to unlawfully invade people's privacy, but that genie's out of the bottle when it comes to private entities so while I do not love it for government entities, at least it evens things out. Some of the vehicles are concerning, though most seem to acceptable. But really, please do not purchase "less lethal" or supposedly "non lethal" offensive weapons just so officers can be violent without killing people. I actually trust SPD (way more than I trust the Sacramento Sheriff's department) but arming yourselves as if you were the military when you are not (serve and protect, remember?) is not the way to gain trust from the citizens of Sacramento.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 04:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: Justin Last Name: Stein
Email	nohandz [REDACTED]
Comment	I am very much not in favor of this policy. We should not be arming our police with military weapons, that seems like it should be pretty obvious. Strongly disagree and we as a city should not be moving in this direction.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/SXRDMUF0i8?amp=1

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 06:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: tim Last Name: yee
Email	tyee1439 [REDACTED]
Comment	If the department can save money & be more safe, yes, I believe the Sacramento Police Department should use military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 07:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: GREGORY Last Name: MIYATA
Email	greg_miyata [REDACTED]
Comment	If city police feel that full military gear is required to protect their safety as they carry out their sworn duties and safeguard law-abiding citizens, then the City of Sacramento should be required to provide such equipment at whatever the cost. The jobs of police officers are difficult and NOT providing the resources is irresponsible of the city. I would be in favor of hiring more police officers for our community. Criminals are able to arm themselves with weapons and do not hesitate to use them to threaten and harm anyone who gets in their way.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.msn.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 10:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: David and Susan Last Name: Link
Email	d_linkeg [REDACTED]
Comment	Police departments should NEVER use military gear. You are supposed to protect, defend, and SUPPORT citizens, not KILL them! Spend money on mental health support expansion, negotiators, and better training so officers think and plan, rather than shoot first. And make certain that police departments support an END TO THE CULTURE OF THE GUN in the USA!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 8, 2022, 11:49AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ronald Last Name: Warren
Email	warrenrp5 [REDACTED]
Comment	With the continued increased number of gang related shooting I believe our police departments need to increase their ability to protect the public with increased military type equipment. When one has gangs converting pistols to full automatic, our police departments need to adjust their equipment accordingly, if they do not they will be at a greater disadvantage.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.msn.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 8, 2022, 01:22PM PDT

Name	First Name: Carlex Last Name: Gnanamani
Email	carlexthimas [REDACTED]
Comment	One speed bump is sufficient. Two are too much in a very short distance.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 8, 2022, 05:17PM PDT

Name	First Name: BARBARA Last Name: GRAHAM
Email	carex [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm all for it... we need to take a WAR ON CRIME stand and we need it yesterday. It has become so dangerous to go out after being locked up with covid. When I was in Italy in 1981 there were armed guards on every corner and I felt totally safe. We need something similar here. Barb
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 8, 2022, 07:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stephanie Last Name: Shiu
Email	topazskate[REDACTED]
Comment	The police shouldn't be using military weapons. Unlike in war, citizen are not "the enemy". Have there been any studies to show that the use of these military weapons decreases injuries and deaths to citizens and police? Look at the tactics and the violence of the police against protestors during Black Lives Matter protests in 2020 - how is adding military grade weapons to the mix going to help? Read the book "Rise of the Warrior Cop" by Radley Balko.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 8, 2022, 07:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Thomas Last Name: Edwards
Email	twmedwards [REDACTED]
Comment	The police are a civilian force and should NEVER be allowed to own or possess military equipment under any circumstance. If crime becomes so bad that it's necessary then the general public should also be allowed to openly carry weapons. When the police department thinks it has the right to have military equipment then we are a police state and we have lost our democracy
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 08:57AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kristine Last Name: Metzker
Email	kristinemetzker [REDACTED]
Comment	Sacramento PD should spend money on community policing, foot patrol beat officers, and other ways to engage directly with the people of Sacramento. The militarization of this local police force is unnecessary and the opposite of what should be occurring.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.capradio.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 8, 2022, 06:15PM PDT

Name	First Name: Patrick Last Name: Cassady
Email	Pcassady1 [REDACTED]
Comment	Any tools that can protect the officer, and the public should be purchased.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 07:42AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ross Last Name: Rojek
Email	ross [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no need for the Sac PD to purchase or use military equipment for policing. I strongly oppose this issue.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:47AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jeremia Last Name: Kimelman
Email	jbkimelman [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sac Police Department isn't for military purposes and should therefore get absolutely no gear or equipment from any military, including the CA National Guard. We need fewer guns on the street and that includes the police. In no case, should the police get intelligence training, materials, or information from the military or other intelligence services.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:47AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kathleen Last Name: Winkelman
Email	winkusa1 [REDACTED]
Comment	Buy it, use it and I am good with that. Criminals need to know that they are not in charge, contrary to some political beliefs and using equipment that protects our police, puts the criminals on notice and keeps the public safe is always a good thing.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:48AM PDT

Name	First Name: Craig Last Name: Shortley
Email	craigshortley [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm 100% all for the use of military equipment for our police force. If it saves just one police officer or civilians then it's a great idea. The cost savings has to also be tremendous.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:53AM PDT

Name	First Name: Molly Last Name: Flores
Email	mflores422 [REDACTED]
Comment	Our city should not put another cent into the militarization of our police force. The Sacramento PD should NOT have access to/ use military equipment. It is an unnecessary use of funds and causes greater issues of safety for our communities.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:51AM PDT

Name	First Name: Mark Last Name: Miller
Email	mbmiller [REDACTED]
Comment	I think the city police department should take advantage of any surplus military equipment offered by the federal government. In addition to continuing the use of military equipment previously acquired.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:55AM PDT

Name	First Name: Stephen Last Name: Werner
Email	swerner800 [REDACTED]
Comment	I support this policy. Anything that helps/supports LEO is OK with me. I noticed that several items are Less Than Lethan.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:55AM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Beech
Email	mbeechfs25 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>From the police departments website: "The mission of the Sacramento Police Department is to work in partnership with the Community to protect life and property, solve neighborhood problems, and enhance the quality of life in our City". The acquisition of military hardware for the police department to use against the citizens of Sacramento is contrary to the stated mission of the police department. I oppose any police department use of any military hardware or tactics. Especially anything armored or that fires any projectiles. The use of military hardware by the police department demonstrates a desire to oppress the citizens of Sacramento. You should be looking for better ways to work in partnership with the community.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:56AM PDT

Name	First Name: Dorothy Last Name: Fibiger
Email	dfibiger [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no reason for the police to have any military equipment. The only acceptable use policy is a total ban on military equipment for the police. They are insufficiently trained to use the equipment and there is no reason they need it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:58AM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Cook
Email	ccook [REDACTED]
Comment	No. Put this money into better training. If you buy toys like this, you're itching for an excuse to use them. If we need the military, we can call in the military. Enough!!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:57AM PDT

Name	First Name: Theresa Last Name: Lown
Email	Sashalown [REDACTED]
Comment	I support the Sacramento Police department to use equipment deemed necessary to keep our city safe. Less battering rams and tanks though, perceived threat to our lower income communities
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:07PM PDT

Name	First Name: Bob Last Name: Kelley
Email	Kayakpi1 [REDACTED]
Comment	Gangs and criminal elements are increasingly well armed and very defiant thanx to the "soft on crime" philosophy practiced by the current administration. The PD needs all the good hardware and software it can get to keep up standards of safety in the community. Lets put the "soft on crime" thoughts away for a bit. Green light purchases when possible.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:00AM PDT

Name	First Name: Maria Last Name: Moleski
Email	drmmolesk[REDACTED]
Comment	We find your increased use of military equipment shameful, scary, and unConstitutional. It's even more worrisome that the FBI and most police departments have received training in Israel, a criminal state that openly brutalizes the native Palestinians. Is that what you intend to do to us? Think about what you are doing. No actual citizen of the U.S. of America wants this kind of Orwellian present and future.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:58AM PDT

Name	First Name: Donovan Last Name: Kasel
Email	donovankase [REDACTED]
Comment	I support this and it will in the long run save taxpayers for acquiring the equipment this way. It also can be used for all types of emergency responses, to provide support. Such as fires, earthquake, flooding, etc.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:01AM PDT

Name	First Name: Maria Last Name: Moleski
Email	drmmolesk[REDACTED]
Comment	We find your increased use of military equipment shameful, scary, and unConstitutional. It's even more worrisome that the FBI and most police departments have received training in Israel, a criminal state that openly brutalizes the native Palestinians. Is that what you intend to do to us? Think about what you are doing. No actual citizen of the U.S. of America wants this kind of Orwellian present and future.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:04AM PDT

Name	First Name: Alicia Last Name: Pankiw
Email	aliciakathleen12 [REDACTED]
Comment	I support the acquisition of military equipment for the Sac PD, as the majority of equipment in the policy is aimed at de-escalation of conflict, surveillance, and using technology in place of actual officers which will reduce risk of casualties.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:04AM PDT

Name	First Name: Lawrence Last Name: Fox
Email	fox [REDACTED]
Comment	what is total budget? have other cities implemented? in California and other states? how many current helicopters reduced crimes? how many crimes would it have been used in this past year? why is this being done through police rather than independent agency? or is this an attempt to identify depth of police support ? is this also on NextDoor? what are the big issues that this is needed for in Sacramento ? crimes ? drugs ? does Sacto police support Portugal's program to decriminalize drugs ?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/2022/05/04/sacramento-pd-seeks-community-feedback-on-military-equipment-use-policy/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:02AM PDT

Name	First Name: Robert Last Name: Amaro
Email	ramarc [REDACTED]
Comment	I think it is in our community to use the surplus which Sacramento can obtain.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:05AM PDT

Name	First Name: Lawrence Last Name: Fox
Email	fox [REDACTED]
Comment	what is total budget? have other cities implemented? in California and other states? how many current helicopters reduced crimes? how many crimes would it have been used in this past year? why is this being done through police rather than independent agency? or is this an attempt to identify depth of police support ? is this also on NextDoor? what are the big issues that this is needed for in Sacramento ? crimes ? drugs ? does Sacto police support Portugal's program to decriminalize drugs ? guess one bottom line is why is this needed in Sacto ?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/2022/05/04/sacramento-pd-seeks-community-feedback-on-military-equipment-use-policy/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:06AM PDT

Name	First Name: steve Last Name: holmes
Email	stevor_h [REDACTED]
Comment	the current policy seems reasonable
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:03AM PDT

Name	First Name: Sarah Last Name: Johnson
Email	s.illini[REDACTED]
Comment	Please limit the amount of Military Grade Equipment used in Sacramento. We don't need our officers adopting the behavior of soldiers in a war.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:07AM PDT

Name	First Name: Lawrence Last Name: Fox
Email	fox [REDACTED]
Comment	what is total budget? have other cities implemented? in California and other states? how many current helicopters reduced crimes? how many crimes would it have been used in this past year? why is this being done through police rather than independent agency? or is this an attempt to identify depth of police support ? is this also on NextDoor? what are the big issues that this is needed for in Sacramento ? crimes ? drugs ? does Sacto police support Portugal's program to decriminalize drugs ? guess one bottom line is why is this needed in Sacto ?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/2022/05/04/sacramento-pd-seeks-community-feedback-on-military-equipment-use-policy/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:08AM PDT

Name	First Name: Celeste Last Name: Garden
Email	ynggrlinsac [REDACTED]
Comment	There is a disconnect between police officers and the community. I do not trust that all of the equipment will be used correctly and at times, to harm on purpose. I would like law enforcement to embrace the idea of moving away from using military equipment or looking like the military. What is being done to create a better relationship with the community you are protecting? I do not have the answers, but this is not it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:09AM PDT

Name	First Name: Charles Last Name: Pickrell
Email	charleypick[REDACTED]
Comment	Less than lethal projectiles (sponges, bean bags, plastic balls, etc) have been misused by police forces around the country usually resulting in serious injury. Our police force should not be engaging crowds so large that they need this type of weapon. That is why we have a national guard. I'm fine with all the other items in the proposal.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:12PM PDT

Name	First Name: James Last Name: Shepherd
Email	ths916m3 [REDACTED]
Comment	I approve use of any military type equipment for our officers for our (the people) and their (officer) safety.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: Esther Last Name: VONESSEN
Email	evonessen [REDACTED]
Comment	Of course, fund this. Keep our streets and officers safe. Also protect the brave people involved in crisis intervention!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: Louis Last Name: Chavez
Email	casa-chavez [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm a yes. It a great deterrent and to keep our police safe in our city. In todays world police need more equipment to combat lawlessness .
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:17PM PDT

Name	First Name: Julia Last Name: Tomassilli
Email	jtomassilli [REDACTED]
Comment	The only things on this purchase list I would be ok with the SPD purchasing are the UAS and Robots.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:15AM PDT

Name	First Name: Rick Last Name: Stevenson
Email	stevenson-richard [REDACTED]
Comment	Use all types of equipment that best suites policing needs.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:15AM PDT

Name	First Name: Nakita Last Name: Price
Email	nprice226 [REDACTED]
Comment	I agree that PD should be able to use these military grade tools in the field. These tools give the officers a safe option in the course of their duties. Funding SHOULD be allowed for these tools.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:22AM PDT

Name	First Name: Sean Last Name: Eakins
Email	skeakin [REDACTED]
Comment	I think it's a bad idea. Militarizing police is not the direction we should go. Coming from someone that grew up with a 25 year officer and lieutenant. Thank you
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:21AM PDT

Name	First Name: Daphne Last Name: Reimer
Email	daphnereimer [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I do not support the use of military equipment by our police force. I am not a "defund the police" advocate but do support alternative solutions to many issues that police have to spend their time on. Given the right wing affiliations and the authoritarian bent of many who join the police (surveys have shown this), adding military hardware to the mix further emboldens these individuals paramilitary inclinations. Also, the cost is astronomical. You'll always need to increase your budget because of the new toys available to "make us safer." You should spend your time gaining the trust of the communities you police. That said, there are so many guns (ghost guns, modified guns etc.) on the streets these days, I support the use of equipment that protects our officers.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:21PM PDT

Name	First Name: Linda Last Name: Rutledge
Email	lrutledge [REDACTED]
Comment	I approve of the current working draft and am opposed to the use of military equipment by any local law enforcement agency - anywhere.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:23PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Will the military equipment be used against peaceful protesters, like President Trump did against Black Lives Matters participants?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:25AM PDT

Name	First Name: ANTHONY Last Name: NIETO
Email	toddn64 [REDACTED]
Comment	The more equipment needed has more support
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kelly Last Name: Price
Email	kellyjosephprice [REDACTED]
Comment	Sell it all and build some housing.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:28AM PDT

Name	First Name: Dale Last Name: Keller
Email	dtk3253 [REDACTED]
Comment	In today's world of high threats that involve both local criminals and foreign terrorist organizations, our LEOs need to have the ability to meet these increases threat actors. This doesn't mean the community policing is gone, it means that the LE can meet the new increased threats of today.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:30AM PDT

Name	First Name: Gregory Last Name: Jamnetski
Email	greggjski [REDACTED]
Comment	“Military Equipment” is an unspecific rather loose description. A howitzer is military equipment, so is an empty holster. The issue is degree of lethality. A more precise description of what is being purchased is necessary.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:33AM PDT

Name	First Name: James Last Name: Armstrong
Email	jm.armstrong1207 [REDACTED]
Comment	We should not be using military equipment for our police to the extent as are using. The existence of this equipment causes damage to the reputation of the police where people are scared of them. It also has psychological effects to the officers where they will resort to violence more often. These two things are well studied and researched.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:41PM PDT

Name	First Name: John Last Name: Lauppe
Email	jdlauppe [REDACTED]
Comment	This seems a little one-sided. If you're going to go to the trouble of quantifying all the acquisition and maintenance and other costs of this equipment for the public to view why don't you try to quantify the benefit side? Lives saved, injuries avoided, vandalism reduced, etc.?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:41PM PDT

Name	First Name: Helen Last Name: Hobart
Email	hdhobart [REDACTED]
Comment	For the love of our community safety and well-being, do NOT use Military Equipment in Sacramento police budget and actions! Over and over, the brutality of local and national police is meeting the truth of injustice and dehumanizing not only the public but also the culture of police forces, individuals hired there in (eg high DV rates, high suicide, high levels of rage within individual officers) and organizations. Our country is desperately in need of police who are public servants supporting our safety with pro-active measures to address underlying causes of violence. Mental health, alienated youth, drug abuse, rage -- all these speak loud and clear of the need not for force but for wisdom, understanding, and far more skillfull responses. NO MILITARY EQUIPMENT -- stop the war in our communities, in which especially people of color are harmed.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:39AM PDT

Name	First Name: Gina Last Name: Di Napoli
Email	ginabrit[REDACTED]
Comment	Having the ability to acquire and use military surplus equipment saves the Department and the City money and time as the equipment used is equipment that aids them in their work and would be equipment they would buy anyway. By having access to military surplus they have assets they either wouldn't normally have or would have to pay an extortionate amount for. Many of the rotor blades for the helicopter came from military surplus allowing Depts to run an Air Ops program. Without that surplus many programs wouldn't exist. In the long run, the better equipped the department is, the better equipped it is to serve its citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:42AM PDT

Name	First Name: Manuel Last Name: Morales
Email	mitomorales [REDACTED]
Comment	I think that military equipment is necessary in some cases and it make sense for the SPD to own some. I also think it is a good idea for the public to know which equipment has been purchased. I DONT think it is a good idea for the public to decide which military equipment is needed. That decision should be SacPDs and the City Council.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:49AM PDT

Name	First Name: Debra Last Name: Sanchez
Email	garteiz80 [REDACTED]
Comment	Lethal military grade equipment should not be used on citizens. If the military has developed nonlethal tools that help save lives of Sac PD officers and citizens that would be appropriate to use when necessary.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:50AM PDT

Name	First Name: Champelle Last Name: Brown
Email	champb89 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment in the hands of non-military personnel, to be used against people in the community absolutely does not increase my sense of safety. I am strongly opposed to this idea and wonder what in history leads Sac PD to believe that this will increase public trust and safety. It's also concerning that there is not more consideration for the trauma that these sorts of things inflicts on the communities that the city claims to want to protect.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:57AM PDT

Name	First Name: Alberto Last Name: Plantilla
Email	aplantilla [REDACTED]
Comment	Use of military equipment while necessary should be limited. A bi-annual report should be made available to determine continued use of military equipment as well as effectiveness in meeting the intended objectives.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Yuri Last Name: Gagarin
Email	
Comment	There is no reason for any law enforcement agency to have military equipment. The presence of military equipment will only escalate conflicts further and lead to more killings by police and more civil suits the city will have to pay out because their officers can't seem to control themselves and stop brutalizing the citizenry. Is this military equipment really worth the millions the city will have to pay out in settlements to people who are going to be brutalized by the Sac PD?!?! Think about it...
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:03PM PDT

Name	First Name: Joe Last Name: Zucco
Email	jazucco [REDACTED]
Comment	Use of military equipment by the Sacramento Police department should not happen. Use of military equipment is unnecessary and only escalates incidents where it is used. It is a primary driver of the Defund the Police movement. Rather than spending money on military equipment, spend money hiring more officers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: DARIAN Last Name: CALHOUN
Email	jockscot [REDACTED]
Comment	cursory review of the proposed order begs the question, is it appropriate to list equipment "...sought...." with that already acquired/maintained as in Section E., item 6.?? I have mixed emotions about militarization of local law enforcement. On one hand it's tempting to acquire military equipment/capabilities. On the other, expansion into these activities may invite counters by those who're deemed to be "undesirable" or criminal. More to follow....
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:59AM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Cambridge
Email	
Comment	Military equipment is used in war. Unless you've declared war on civilians and your own citizens, you have no need for this equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:59AM PDT

Name	First Name: Brenna Last Name: Rizan
Email	brennarizan [REDACTED]
Comment	My main concern in the repetitive use of the term "critical incident" without a working definition of what a critical incident is. I recommend that this language be included and if there is a different policy and procedure that outlines this please reference that policy and procedure and provide a copy for the community as reference so the exact deployment nature of these devices can be better understood in practical terms.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:02AM PDT

Name	First Name: Joshua Last Name: Ehrhardt
Email	joshua.ehrhardt [REDACTED]
Comment	I am in strong support of our law-enforcement. I believe there are situations that would require a military type vehicle for our law-enforcement to respond and stay safe.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:05AM PDT

Name	First Name: Marleen Last Name: HOFFMAN
Email	hoffman.marleen [REDACTED]
Comment	Yes, the Police must have such equipment to better protect our law abiding citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:11AM PDT

Name	First Name: Gwynnae Last Name: Byrd
Email	gwynnaeb[REDACTED]
Comment	I read the policy. don't understand the need for armored vehicles - ever - in an urban setting. The city hasn't made its case for the purchase of such vehicles. Also, I would really like to city to invest just as much money on social welfare/mental health resources as they do on military and police resources.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:09AM PDT

Name	First Name: Molly Last Name: Lewis
Email	molly [REDACTED]
Comment	The use of "military grade" equipment is not in keeping with the goal of protecting and serving our community. Unfortunately, we've seen that the use of that equipment is frequently targeted at peaceful protesters, not criminals. This is eroding trust in our law enforcement community, and is presenting an image of a militarized police force acting against its citizens, not for them.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:12AM PDT

Name	First Name: Addy Last Name: Sakler
Email	sushichic69 [REDACTED]
Comment	NO military equipment of ANY kind should be used by Sacramento PD or any police department. Police are not the military. The police and military serve two different functions. Spend the money on mental health advocates
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:17AM PDT

Name	First Name: Gail Last Name: Ryall
Email	gryall [REDACTED]
Comment	I am against military equipment use by the Sacramento police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:24PM PDT

Name	First Name: Bob Last Name: McCaw
Email	bobmccaw [REDACTED]
Comment	Getting military equipment saves money, SPD's job description is not the same as the military. At least paint your vehicles non-military, wear police looking uniforms, police don't need camo. DJI UAS's are well made, I have one, but as reported in the Ukraine conflict China can control the DJI company and monitors all DJI UAS's uses. I recommend getting USA drones.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:34AM PDT

Name	First Name: Steve Last Name: Miller
Email	SMiller851 [REDACTED]
Comment	Whatever it takes to deal with these soul less, life disrespecting criminals (like the "gang" thugs, self serving idiots that thought K Street was the "OK Corral")! Just keep the leftist government from using them against law bidding citizens and the police preserve our 2nd Amendment rights, not trample on them with this equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:38AM PDT

Name	First Name: Francesca Last Name: Wander
Email	fewander [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment has NO place in use by civilian police forces on the streets of our community. NONE WHATSOEVER!!!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Name	First Name: Jessica Last Name: Sorensen
Email	jlbucey [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The total cost is unclear, but my understanding is that this includes: Armored vehicles: Lenco BEARCAT, G3, cost: \$404,521 Rook- Modified CAT compact Track Loader chassis, cost: \$400,000 Unmanned ground vehicles: REMOTEC ANDROS F6-A, cost: \$250,000 x2 = \$500,000 QINETIC DRAGON RUNNER ROBOT, cost: \$90,000 Armored vehicles: Lenco BEARCAT, G2, cost: \$315,518.65 Lenco BEARCAT, G3, cost: \$404,521 Weapons: REMINGTON 870 LESS LETHAL SHOTGUN, cost: \$946, quantity: 619 = \$585,574 (wtf is "less lethal? When would you use a SHOTGUN on civilians?) Colt M16A1 RIFLE, Converted to Semi-Automatic AR-15, received from military at no cost. cost: \$280,000 to convert and outfit rifles for police use, quantity: 322 = \$90,160,000 (is this correct???) MILLIONS of city dollars on military equipment. This seems like a gross misuse of funds that could be used to hire full-time staff for housing services, addiction case workers, mental health services, youth education, job training, and many many other services that the city claims it does not have the funds to hire enough people for. In the event that this equipment is necessary - Sac PD can't call in the National Guard? Actual military? Police are not supposed to be an army against civilians. OPPOSED.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:42AM PDT

Name	First Name: Pamela Last Name: Hamon
Email	pamela [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I do not support the use of new or surplus military equipment for local policing. Unfortunately, equipment like pepper balls, bean bag shotguns, flashbangs, and armor-style vehicles like Mobile Incident Command Centers and Crisis Negotiations Team vans are disproportionately deployed to police black and brown bodies. In 2020 and 2021, these equipment were deployed in my front yard against Black Lives Matter protest participants but NOT against white-bodied supporters of reinstating Trump who terrorized my neighborhood around Southside Park and R Street during the summer of 2020. I did not feel safer on the streets!! I didn't even want to leave my home-- mostly because of all the huge armored vans and heavily armed police and backups who were called. The black and brown people on the street were exercising their 1st amendment rights. If black and brown protesters are going to have such resources deployed against them, the pro-Trump-reinstatement crowd needed the same level of response. I cannot support disproportionate use of force supported by military-style equipment. Neither of these groups need that kind of escalation of force to meet them.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 03:32PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>Our police department does not need military-grade equipment. It needs to rethink its approach to violence and collaborate with organizations working to address root causes (poverty, homelessness, racism, opioid abuse, the mental health crisis, etc.). Police alone should not respond to any call. A response comprised primarily of social workers, mental health professionals, emergency medical personnel, and mediators should be deployed. Not primarily police. I was recently witness to a minor non-injury car accident to which a dozen different police officers responded, so don't tell me there isn't the resources to have that many people respond to any situation (as the racism of police departments across the country has taught us, even the most seemingly benign situations can turn violent in an instant).</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 04:02PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Hell no. There's no reason police need military equipment. You are not a military, you are civil servants. You are not an occupying force, you work for us. Handing military equipment to a bunch of jagoffs with minimal training, questionable ethics, and little oversight or accountability is a terrible idea.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:57PM PDT

Name	First Name: Frank Last Name: Casanova
Email	FrankC4 [REDACTED]
Comment	I can appreciate that any police dept., "wants" military vehicles. After all, a police dept. IS a paramilitary organization. And that's the problem... Military grade equipment further cements the paramilitary culture within a police dept., and moves it away from a community service security dept. There needs to be a balance, but we can't just rubber stamp "the toys for the boys".
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 02:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Deborah Last Name: Wolf
Email	
Comment	DO NOT MILITARIZE THE POLICE FORCE!!!!!! State sanctioned violence is NOT a human value and local communities SHOULD NOT participate in militarizing local agencies with weapons that could be used against citizens. State sanctioned murder of citizens is NEVER appropriate. If government wants to eliminate violence in our communities, the first place to start is by setting an example by being non-violent. There are other more effective ways to deal with violent behaviors such as negotiation, or at a more fundamental level, addressing the sources that contribute to violence such as the mental health disturbances that arise from a legacy of omnipressive media portrayals of violence, state sanctioned violence against citizens, domestic violence, as well as social and economic injustice. Weaponizing the police force further undermines all attempts at creating peaceful communities. It is NOT an accident that countries with strict gun control measures have minimal incidents of violence compared to the US. Instead of purchasing military equipment for Sac PD, use these funds instead to invest in peacefully resolving the issues around violence in our communities, such as eliminating portrayals of violence in the media, eliminating income and racial disparities, creating affordable housing, funding community groups that seek to peacefully resolve socioeconomic issues and promoting legislation that eliminates gun ownership among private citizens and government funded organizations.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 02:16PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ron Last Name: Donez
Email	RDDONEZ [REDACTED]
Comment	I fully support the Sacramento Police Department's use of this policy, even though the bulk of the mention equipment is nowhere near "military grade".
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 04:38PM PDT

Name	First Name: Joseph Last Name: McGonagle
Email	DaemonStarksSR [REDACTED]
Comment	As a citizen with a family, I believe the police department should purchase more military grade equipment and as much as allowed in the budget. Its your budget, we voted for it. I recommend you share vague "category" lists. Only offer a broad scope of information. Limiting specifics is in the best interest of Public safety. Please do not give itemized details for criminal organizations to use in planning a defense. Also, civilians will never understand what you need and liberals will jump at the wrong words used. Keep it close to the vest gentlemen!!!!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 04:44PM PDT

Name	First Name: Rodney Last Name: Louie
Email	rodneylouie [REDACTED]
Comment	I agree that the police should have any equipment available to maintain law and order so long as reason boundaries are followed.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 02:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: J Last Name: McCormick
Email	mccormickjm [REDACTED]
Comment	Nice to live in a war zone?! Has the Ukrainian adopted Sacramento?!?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/2022/05/04/sacramento-pd-seeks-community-feedback-on-military-equipment-use-policy/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 05:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: Carrol Last Name: Jackson
Email	
Comment	Greetings, Urban police crisis conflated several issues, economic and social, and given the strain on the economy the current medical climate with the COVID and Urban stress stress is real, also add to all of this chaos, yet my views on policing today it is not to defund the police department but a call to reallocate funding streams that could better facilitate the community at Large through social and with mental health services, we are now stuck on the side to be for policing or do we do not like police, a sad commentary. We are for policing yet we're not for policing that use military style armory on it's citizens. How come, that we cannot create an environment with policing is our Ally and and not feared and despise. I am not in favor of military style police and equipment in the neighborhood that has been overlooked for decades and are heavy police. These equipment will be ideal for any community in the county and city of Sacramento providing that it be across the board.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 03:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sean Last Name: Santos
Email	sean.santos_cota [REDACTED]
Comment	We have a full on gang war going on in this city. Five Sac PD all of the tools they need to destroy these monsters
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 03:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ruth Last Name: Melrose
Email	ruthjoy [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>1. The primary purpose of local government is the safety and protection of the public and to provide essential infrastructure such as water, sewer, roads, etc. 2. This draft appears to be thoughtful, concise and precise. 3. I may have missed it, but there does not seem to be any emergency provisos. Examples might be when acquisition (particularly borrowing) of covered equipment without prior authorization might be legal. Since I have not read any state or federal statutes or regulations on this, SPD policy may be identical to those laws and regs. You may not have had any leeway in what your policy will be. 4. I am a liberal / not a "law and order type." Nevertheless I think SPD is fabulous. I just wish you had the funding for more uniforms on the street and more special units.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 05:53PM PDT

Name	First Name: Angelica Last Name: Khera
Email	bubbles99_101 [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm all for use of military grade equipment within the police force WITH accurate training. I fully support our officers but can also envision issues with these equipments due to misuse. Drones can be used to invade privacy (where it is uncalled for) and should be used with a warrant specific to an incident. But, with that said, I'm all for protecting our officers and sending them into high risk situations accurately equipped for the best outcome to a precarious situation.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 06:17PM PDT

Name	First Name: Amy Last Name: Ruiz Fritz
Email	amy_ruiz [REDACTED]
Comment	No. If you need military equipment, then you need to use the National Guard. The city police do not need these things. Who or what are you fighting en mass? Put the money towards something more useful like addiction programs or for all kids to have free lunches at school.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 07:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Martha Last Name: Kudlacik
Email	marthak816 [REDACTED]
Comment	I heartily favor less use of force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 07:55PM PDT

Name	First Name: Celeste Last Name: Lane
Email	cc [REDACTED]
Comment	Money would be better spent on customer service skills training for public facing employees especially police officers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 06:42PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ken Last Name: Poppers
Email	kenpop[REDACTED]
Comment	I object to the SPD having access to any military grade equipment, especially when it appears that officers use the equipment indiscriminately when facing protestors. Using "non-lethal" munitions that seriously injure people using their 1st Amendment right to protest should be a firing offense and followed by criminal charges. Police riots are never permissable.
Upload File(s)	1C58657D-9EC1-41A4-B63E-F83B159B9E3D.jpeg
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:03PM PDT

Name	First Name: RACHEL Last Name: GREGG
Email	rachelgregg [REDACTED]
Comment	I'd like to see the police department report twice per year on the purchase, use, and costs associated with military equipment. I'd also like the PD to report cost comparisons on non-military grade alternatives to whatever military equipment was used. Additionally, I'd like real-time public reporting on the deployment of UAS similar to the helicopter notifications.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Gregory Last Name: Lyons
Email	greg.lyons2205 [REDACTED]
Comment	My opinion is that the police department should take advantage of all military grade equipment available for the safety of officers and public.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:34PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dawn Last Name: Healy
Email	healy1214 [REDACTED]
Comment	I support the use of military equipment for the police department. Anything to help the men and women who risk their lives for our safety.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 05:49AM PDT

Name	First Name: Robert Last Name: Tronvig
Email	judgebob[REDACTED]
Comment	All professionals need the best and most effective tools to perform their job. Law enforcement needs these tools like any other professional.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 03:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kelsey Last Name: Long
Email	kelsey.g.long [REDACTED]
Comment	I absolutely do not support the use of military equipment by the police. This is a terrible use of tax payer dollars, which should be used to support the health and wellness of our communities rather than punishment. The use of military equipment on American streets is something we should be ashamed of.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 07:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Naomi Last Name: Gould
Email	naomigould10 [REDACTED]
Comment	No SacPD is not the military and thus does not need more military grade equipment. We could call in the military if needed and not use our budget for this nonsense.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 09:43PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lori Last Name: Gustafson
Email	guphy.gustafson [REDACTED]
Comment	Any equipment that distances police from the community is dangerous. The police are part of the community, not above it. Excessive fire power, shields and militarized vehicles interrupt the interactions with the people and the city.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 11, 2022, 07:43AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	The police do not need military equipment. They are not in a war zone and this is the wrong message to send to any citizen.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 11, 2022, 08:40AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Military equipment is not necessary in Sacramento. People are already fearful of law enforcement. Military equipment would only make for that fear to increase. It's bullying tactic that's not needed. Sacramento needs more out reach programs for youths. Homelessness needs to be addressed.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 11, 2022, 11:38AM PDT

Name	First Name: Laurie Last Name: Litman
Email	llitman [REDACTED]
Comment	Giving the Sac PD military equipment is insanity. What is their job again—to protect people? You don't protect people with military weapons. Absolutely NO!! Don't do it!!!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 11, 2022, 11:51AM PDT

Name	First Name: Debra Last Name: Parker
Email	grogdawg [REDACTED]
Comment	As a former Dept of Defense Contracting/Administrative Officer, Weapons and Systems Procurement. I have one concern with military weapons being used by civilian agencies and that is, "these weapons maintain accountability and are stored in a highly secured site". The weapons and equipment are highly effective dependent upon the skill of the individual using them. However, if the procurement of the military hardware will provide local enforcement a "step-up" against crime, then by all means use military technology to deter crime and an extra layer of safety for ALL law enforcement. Salute to the Men and Women in Blue - God Speed.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 11, 2022, 09:47AM PDT

Name	First Name: Eileen Last Name: Swanson
Email	eyedduck [REDACTED]
Comment	I heartily approve.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 11, 2022, 12:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Christiaan Last Name: Havelaar
Email	chavelaar [REDACTED]
Comment	No need for military equipment for a civilian police force. It just makes people feel police are even more scary and unapproachable than they already are.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 11, 2022, 01:25PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I do not believe the Sacramento Police Department ought to be in possession of any of the Military Equipment mentioned in the draft policy. The SPD has a history of abuse against citizens, particularly those who are Black and Brown, and it seems likely that allowing them to acquire additional weaponry with which they can harass citizens is a poor idea with historically negative outcomes.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 11, 2022, 10:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Larry Last Name: Schapiro
Email	larry.schapiro [REDACTED]
Comment	Having military equipment available increases opportunities for keeping people safe.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 12, 2022, 06:53AM PDT

Name	First Name: Shawnecee Last Name: Schneider
Email	ms.shawnecee [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Hello, I am a tax payer and public school teacher in the area. First off I wanted to say thank you for making this available. My Econ seniors have been going through the proposed military equipment budget as a class project. I highly do not approve of this type of Military equipment budget for our city. There is much better use of funding than this. First off having more officers who are trained social workers, having a more robust police academy (I had to go to school for 6 years plus a masters to become a teacher and I make \$56,000) that promotes a bigger commitment to education and crisis intervention. Building More parks and have community safety officers who are unarmed in those parks every day. Making sure all of our citizens are housed and fed, and making sure our students have safe places to do school Work. At the least improve the parks in our neighborhoods and our speed lumps in neighborhoods that are not "fancy". Build a few community centers and hire new offers to work in them as their first year of training. These millions of dollars should be spent on hiring officers that have higher degrees and focusing more on prevention than making our police force militarized. Also Asking folks to fill out a comment form for the police with their email is just ridicules. People don't feel safe giving their information to the police when they are critical of them. Have an an anonymous space for people. Thank you.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/2022/05/04/sacramento-pd-seeks-community-feedback-on-military-equipment-use-policy/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 12, 2022, 09:34AM PDT

Name	First Name: John Last Name: Goldberg
Email	johngoldberg [REDACTED]
Comment	I am opposed to police having military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 12, 2022, 10:59AM PDT

Name	First Name: Megan Last Name: Elsea
Email	meganelsea [REDACTED]
Comment	Much military equipment is used to intimidate non violent protesters Please avoid acquisition and use of armored vehicles, flash bangs, non lethal weapons, robots. This equipment does not build good community police relations. The crises negotiations vehicles could be ok. Drones could be ok if privacy laws and norms are respected. Drones may be better than current use of helicopters which are annoying and burn more fossil fuel.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 12, 2022, 12:03PM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Mandel
Email	dlmandel@██████████
Comment	While some of the equipment listed in the 25-page document may be of limited use, the whole mentality of militarism that pervades this wish list is the opposite of true public safety. Money should be spent instead on programs that serve people's social and health needs, with community participation in dealing with problem behavior that endangers people.
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 12, 2022, 12:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Mandel
Email	dlmandel@██████████
Comment	While some of the equipment listed in the 25-page document may be of limited use, the whole mentality of militarism that pervades this wish list is the opposite of true public safety. Money should be spent instead on programs that serve people's social and health needs, with community participation in dealing with problem behavior that endangers people.
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 12, 2022, 08:42PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lamaia Last Name: Coleman
Email	lamaia@coleman [REDACTED]
Comment	The crossing of lines between military and civil is deeply concerning. Even if some of the equipment listed may be of limited use, the crossing of the lines is problematic. Public safety is created when everyone has their needs met - both social and basic economic needs. We should spend our money on things that improve housing affordability and access, mental health, and that focus on prevention. Behavior that endangers people does have to be dealt with, but reducing the volume of these behaviors in the first place is a much better solution.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 12, 2022, 09:52PM PDT

Name	First Name: James Last Name: Griffis
Email	
Comment	I live and work downtown. Please do as little harm as possible in your duties. I know these are turbulent times but this isn't a warzone. Stay safe of course but this us our home. Perhaps its yours too. I dont know. I what I do know is you arent soldiers or at least you should be you're wardens of peace. We are your charges to guard not potential combatants. Please spend the money you have set aside for more millitary equipment and weapons on more training with mental illnesses scenarios and de escalation tactics; also, better pay for the police force as a whole (none of y'all are paid enough) Anyway, I wish all of you the best; pleasant nights and safe shifts to each and every one. Just remember the better angels of your nature
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 11:18AM PDT

Name	First Name: Julian Last Name: Rojas
Email	jrojas989 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>As a resident of district 4, I still have nightmares about the helicopters, APCs and armed guards patrolling the Capitol for weeks last winter— a response that could be argued as warranted for uprisings and riots like after George Floyd, but is absolutely —not— warranted as a precautionary measure after January 6th riots that happened 3,000 miles away. I spoke to officers who spent weeks and long nights being marched around the Capitol in riot gear, armed to the teeth, in neat little rows— and do you know what they told me? They weren't doing it for our protection, but to collect overtime. They joked about it— that collectively they were earning thousands and thousands of overtime hours to the tune of millions from the city, over \$6,000,000 in just overtime alone for the first weeks of the year. And they explicitly admitted that it was not necessary, just a way to collect a buck by dressing up as an army-man and walking around downtown. Many will argue that over-policing and militarization of the police is a safety issue, and this might be true— but I recommend that we do not purchase ANY new equipment for our police force in Sacramento, not just because crime has gone up, not down, proportional to the amount of militarized policing in our county— but because it is simply, from the mouth of our officers to god's ears, a tremendous waste of money!!!</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/news/local/article261148502.html

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 01:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lawrence Last Name: Fox
Email	fox [REDACTED]
Comment	no explanation as to why sacramento needs this especially since many other cities do not have it. this seems simply packaged as a survey for "defund the police" - possibly the a similar budgeted amount could be used to help the homeless.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 01:42PM PDT

Name	First Name: Brian Last Name: Miller
Email	brianmiller1 [REDACTED]
Comment	Equipment that helps law enforcement enforce the law while maintaining officer and public safety is welcome.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 04:37PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Yes the police should buy or be given military equipment everything but spying on people
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 02:43PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jean Last Name: Kerins
Email	padmajean [REDACTED]
Comment	Against military weapons for law enforcement
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 14, 2022, 06:53AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I support the acquisition and use of these items
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: android-app://com.google.android.gm/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 19, 2022, 05:17AM PDT

Name	First Name: Heile Last Name: Gantan
Email	gantanh[REDACTED]
Comment	The proposed policy does not clearly define procedures for documenting the actual use of military equipment. Transparency requires reporting on any deployments visible to the community, not only uses of force or discharging the weapon. The proposed policy should clearly state to whom deployments will be reported and how records will be kept.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 19, 2022, 07:41AM PDT

Name	First Name: Eve Last Name: Imagine
Email	eveimagine [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I'm a community college professor. I believe in educating and supporting my fellow community members so that they have the knowledge, skills, and access to navigate the challenges they face, as mostly working and low socioeconomic people, often people who have been disenfranchised. The increased militarization of our police force makes it clear that the police department does not see themselves as being leaders, educators, or even fellow members of our community. Much of this new equipment implies that the police view their role is one that is at war with the regular, every day members of their city. The money they're spending on emboldening this definition of the police role should be spent on creating actual community between police and citizens. Spend the money helping police pay for their own houses in the neighborhoods they serve. They should be on foot in their neighborhoods, not in a threatening stance and uniform but as leaders who we see as here to keep us all safe, not just themselves and the powerful people who see police as their own security. I understand the need for some equipment, like the helicopter, but it's as if police go out ready to do battle on our streets. We need more funding to go into social services for homelessness, drug addiction, and to support the cops as human beings who love and have empathy for all our neighbors. Then when crimes occur they will have so many more Human Resources, as the culture will shift.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 19, 2022, 04:03PM PDT

Name	First Name: Robin Last Name: Runck
Email	marsbnfire [REDACTED]
Comment	It all looks good to me. I would add: Knife-proof, flexible Fras body armor or insert panels in a variety needed for both sexes and different body sizes. Four-eyed NV/IR Gen 4 hemet-mounted goggles. SAKO M10 or M42 rifles with night vision scopes. Mil grade tourniquets and upgraded driver-side windows (to Armormax)
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.cityofsacramento.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 19, 2022, 07:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jay Last Name: Franco
Email	connect [REDACTED]
Comment	I OPPOSE any increase of military equipment at this time.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 16, 2022, 10:32AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jessica Last Name: Gotsch
Email	Jg12389 [REDACTED]
Comment	Stop spending tax payer dollars and government funding on weapons designed to kill and maim on the battlefield. You are supposed to protect and serve your community, and you can do that best by spending less money on your weapons, tactical equipment, and military gear and more on community services like mental health resources, substance abuse disorder services like a safe needs exchanges, and helping our unhoused neighbors get the help they need to get back on their feet. Stop spending money on equipment to watch and disperse protests and start using it for good.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 19, 2022, 10:38PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lynne Last Name: Goldsmith
Email	lausmith2again [REDACTED]
Comment	It is appalling to me to hear that the City of Sacramento would even consider the acquisition of military equipment. How could this possibly be justified. I would no longer want to live here under those circumstances. I SINCERELY URGE YOU TO VOTE NO!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 16, 2022, 07:01PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dan Last Name: Fuchs
Email	dmfuchs [REDACTED]
Comment	The answer to the question, "How should Sac PD use military gear?" is "NOT." The Sac PD should not accept, pay for, maintain, or use any military gear. If there's a situation where someone at the Sac PD thinks they need to use military gear, stop right there. If Russia invades Sacramento, we can call out the National Guard. Short of that, there is no imaginable circumstance that would support use of military-level force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 16, 2022, 07:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mary Last Name: Taylor
Email	mary.taylor [REDACTED]
Comment	Police are meant to serve and protect the community. They are not at war with our community and they do not need the weapons of war to do their job.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 20, 2022, 01:05AM PDT

Name	First Name: Marshal Last Name: Arnwine
Email	marwine [REDACTED]
Comment	Greetings Sacramento Police Department: The ACLU of Northern California's feedback is attached in the PDF document below. Thank you for creating this opportunity to provide feedback and foster collaboration for public safety. Best regards.
Upload File(s)	ACLU Nor Cal_SPD Military Equipment Policy Feedback_5.20.22.pdf
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 20, 2022, 09:38AM PDT

Name	First Name: Randall Last Name: Rodda
Email	snock7 [REDACTED]
Comment	Sacramentans should be very wary about plans to militarize their police department. It's damn near impossible to kindle public trust in a police presence poised for shock-and-awe. Science and technology do have a role in policing but only when tempered with common sense.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 20, 2022, 11:07AM PDT

Name	First Name: Toni Last Name: Newman
Email	toninewman27 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I oppose the purchase of military equipment for local police. I sincerely hope funds are directed to more community policing, and recruiting a diverse police force. I would like to see training in diversity, implicit bias training and how to work with disabled community members, with mental health issues and the Deaf community. Training is not one and done. Training must be on going with conversations to deepen respect for our diverse community members. I support the police. Typically, my personal experiences have been very good with the Sacramento Police. I am an African-American woman who lived in Meadowview for 28 years. I can tell of several positive interactions with Sacramento Police. In contrast, in other jurisdictions, I have been stopped for driving while Black or my concerns have been dismissed.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

<p>Name</p>	<p>First Name: Glayol Last Name: Sahba MD</p>
<p>Email</p>	<p>gsahba [REDACTED]</p>
<p>Comment</p>	<p>SacPD comments on militarization of the police. Dear Sac PD, I am a family physician and a resident of Sacramento for over 30 years. I would like to say first of all that I do not believe that the militarization of our police is appropriate. The advanced weapons that military has are to be used in the case of war and defense of our territorial integrity against foreign agents. The availability of highly lethal weapons must be reserved by our military. The use of such weapons against American citizens by our military is the reason why the US government does not have authorization to use the military against our own citizens. It is for this reason that I do not believe that local police departments should have military weapons such as the ROOK and the sinister LRADs which can lead to permanent auditory damage. As we have seen over the last two years after the murder of George Floyd, our nation's police force has a severe problem with correcting internal racism and bias. Until there is proven evidence that these deficiencies and issues have been dealt with in our police forces we must not equip them with any new and more lethal or destructive weapons that can be used against BIPOC citizens which studies have shown are more vulnerable to harmful police racist actions or others. Additionally all of our citizens deserve to be treated fairly and not to be intimidated with sinister military weapons that are often used in autocratic societies which infringe on people's human rights. As American Citizens we must defend the right to legal protest and the exercise of our freedom of speech and assembly without escalating methods of intimidation by city police in riot gear brandishing automatic weapons, tanks such as the ROOK, and weapons of mass harm and destruction such as LRADs as were used in Tiananmen Square in China! And just because the military weapons manufacturers are able to come up with more advanced methods of killing and disabling people does not mean that the city of Sacramento or any city in the US needs to "update" the means of intimidating and killing their own citizens. Below are more specific comments about the use of policies regarding Militarized weapons in our community: Given how even the display of military equipment can be interpreted as aggressive, how will communication with persons experiencing a mental health crisis or non-English speakers be conducted when using military equipment? We need more mental health providers that can de-escalate and teach officers to de-escalate situations, not more weapons that will escalate the fear in the situation by their mere presence! How will an officer determine that a community member is able to understand law enforcement or is physically able to respond prior to deployment of military equipment? The use of military equipment that is likely to be interpreted as threatening can escalate a situation. Seeing a tank at a demonstration or in a neighborhood, observing officers wearing riot gear when no obvious crime or violence is in view, seeing guns held or pointed without knowing whether they will shoot bullets or less lethal ammunition can cause panic or encourage aggressive behavior from those in the area. How will you address risks not only to officers, but risks to community members, including trauma, physical harm and property damage, from the sight of or the deployment of the equipment. The proposed policy should not include new equipment that has not been reviewed by the City Council, including the ROOK. The "ROOK" is an armored bulldozer used by SWAT teams that is capable of destroying the walls of houses and transporting up to five snipers who can fire from gun ports in an armored platform. The proposed policy says SPD will apply to the California Office of Emergency Services for the \$400,000 this vehicle costs, and that the grant proposal will document the need for the ROOK. The proposal says use of the ROOK would be governed by California Penal Code 13652, state law that covers documentation of use of force and rules for chemical agents and impact projectiles, not armored vehicles. SPD's armored vehicle policy says armored vehicles like this are not authorized for routine patrol or most crowd control, but that policy is not included in what the City Council is considering and can be changed by SPD at any time. SPD should not acquire this highly militarized vehicle. The proposed policy must not include blanket approval without specifying quantities or cost of new military equipment. A number of items in the inventory include language like "Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD.", "Proposed annual procurement costs TBD." The current language in the proposed policy fails to be transparent about actual quantities or costs. The policy should include enforcement of policies via litigation by community members. Adequate enforcement of military equipment policies requires ordinances that have provisions for</p>

community members to go to court over violations of the policy, known as a private right of action. AB 481 relies heavily on the vigilance of elected officials to ensure that military equipment policies conform to AB 481 and that agencies comply with the policies as adopted. If agencies violate AB 481 or the adopted policies, community members have little recourse. For these reasons, this proposed policy must include a private right of action to ensure compliance. For a model, see the provision for a private right of action in Oakland's military equipment ordinance, Oakland Municipal Code Section 9.65.040, "Enforcement".

Deficiencies of militarized equipment - focus on specific types of equipment

The proposed policy for assault rifles neither define when they are authorized for use, nor when they are not authorized for use. Assault rifles are deadly and must have clearly defined prohibited and authorized use cases. The proposed uses of Long Range Acoustic Devices (LRADs) not be purchased or used by the City Police as they are known to cause permanent hearing damage. If there is such severe problems with protests that are uncontrolled the police in the past has used tear gas which is available to you already and it should be more than sufficient. LRADs are hailing devices for speaking from a long distance, but they can also be used to issue an extremely loud, high-pitched "warning tone" that can lead to permanent hearing loss. When police departments, such as in Oakland and New York, have used LRADs at high decibels and within close range or a crowd, it has caused long-term pain and trauma. A federal court found that LRADs used in protests constituted excessive force. The proposed policy authorizes use of this dangerous warning tone "as needed by SWAT Teams and other reasons determined by the incident commander", making authorized uses limitless. The use of drones (including to collect photos inside buildings, or record video or voice) should not be authorized. The policy must fully consider AB 48 (different from AB 481) which limits police use of kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents during protests or to disperse crowds. AB 48 states "Kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents shall only be deployed... if the use is objectively reasonable to defend against a threat to life or serious bodily injury to any individual, including any peace officer, or to bring an objectively dangerous and unlawful situation safely and effectively under control" The Military Equipment Use Policy must specify when something is not allowed to be used, remove phrases like "included but not limited to", list the actual and inclusive allowed uses, and remove "crowd management" and "crowd control" from allowed uses. The Sacramento PD First Amendment Assembly Manual (RM 532.11) was recently updated to comply with AB 48. I suggest that phrasing from that manual (or directly from AB48) be included in the Military Equipment Use Manual to clarify use of military projectiles and chemicals in crowd situations, including demonstrations and protests. For instance, "Projectiles shall not be aimed indiscriminately into a crowd or group of persons". In conclusion we must not have militarization of our police force. The police should be there to keep the peace as well as protect the rights of those exercising their freedom of speech and assembly. Sincerely Glayol Sahba MD

User's Session Information

IP Address: [REDACTED]
Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 20, 2022, 02:12PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>I generally object to the militarization of law enforcement agencies through both the purchase of military equipment and related trainings and policies. Military equipment like armored vehicles are intended for use in battlefields for protection and offensive measures against opposing military forces. The use of these in law enforcement is inappropriate for community protection which is outside the scope of equipment's use. Chemical irritants and "less lethal" projectiles have been used to attack civilians in inappropriate settings and situations. The City of Sacramento should be listening to and implementing the community input by reallocating funds to invest directly into the community rather than investing in weapons to use against the community. Law enforcement must modernize to support their community. Continued use of military equipment and chemical irritants and the current practices of using "less lethal" equipment to severely injure the public will continue to erode public trust and reduce safety.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.caprado.org/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 20, 2022, 02:29PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ione Last Name: Fullerton
Email	ione.fullerton [REDACTED]
Comment	I absolutely do not support the Sacramento Police Department (SPD) receiving funding for military equipment. When Sacramento funds military equipment, it is showing its values. So many Sacramento community members are in need- of food, water, shelter, education, transportation, financial support, mental health services- and more. If Sacramento values its community, its funding should reflect that. If SPD values its community, it should reject funding for military equipment, refuse to utilize more equipment, and return all current equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 20, 2022, 03:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: sheri Last Name: newman
Email	newman.sheri [REDACTED]
Comment	I strongly oppose the Sacramento Police using military equipment. I oppose the military use policy. Studies have shown that police forces that receive military equipment were more likely to have violent encounters with the the public. The Sacramento Police focus on community policing, not militarization. Use of military equipment will erode the public trust. It endangers the public and does not keep officers safer. Officers are less safe when they escalate matters, as they are more likely to do with military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

<p>Name</p>	<p>First Name: John Last Name: Lindsay-Poland</p>
<p>Email</p>	<p>jlindsay-poland [REDACTED]</p>
<p>Comment</p>	<p>“Military equipment is more frequently deployed in low-income Black and Brown communities, meaning the risks and impacts of police militarization are experienced most acutely in marginalized communities.” –AB481 May 20, 2022 American Friends Service Committee offers the following comments on the Sacramento Police Department’s military equipment policy proposed for implementing AB 481. We appreciate the opportunity to share these recommendations, and hope you will bear with the length of them. 1. The proposed policy doesn’t identify who or what will exercise independent oversight over implementation of the military equipment policy. AB 481 requires in Section 7070(d)(6) that the proposed policy must clearly identify: “The mechanisms to ensure compliance with the military equipment use policy, including which independent persons or entities have oversight authority, and, if applicable, what legally enforceable sanctions are put in place for violations of the policy.” The proposed policy must clearly describe the independent oversight that will be used. 2. The proposed policy uses “include but are not limited to” language in portions of policy on “authorized uses”. This phrase “not limited to” makes the list of authorized uses meaningless, since it suggests that these are examples and that the authorized uses are limitless. This is true of proposed policies for: drones, command vehicles, 40mm launchers, rounds, and launching cup, less lethal shotguns, chemical agents, and pepperball launchers. The vagueness of these statements will also make it difficult if not impossible to determine whether a particular use of the equipment complies with the policies. Instead, the policy should name specific authorized uses (not just users) for each type of equipment, as required by AB 481. 3. The proposed policy should describe uses for each type of equipment that are not authorized. For example: • if use of drones for criminal investigations is not authorized without a warrant; • if use of rubber bullets and chemical agents is not authorized when vulnerable persons are present, or for crowd control; • if use of armored vehicles for arresting a suspect is not authorized without consideration of alternatives. 4. The proposed policy should not include new equipment that has not been reviewed by the City Council, including the ROOK. The “ROOK” is an armored bulldozer used by SWAT teams that is capable of destroying the walls of houses and transporting up to five snipers who can fire from gun ports in an armored platform. The proposed policy says SPD will apply to the California Office of Emergency Services for the \$400,000 this vehicle costs, and that the grant proposal will document the need for the ROOK. The proposal says use of the ROOK would be governed by California Penal Code 13652, but that state law covers documentation of use of force and rules for chemical agents and impact projectiles, not armored vehicles. SPD’s armored vehicle policy says armored vehicles such as this are not authorized for routine patrol or most crowd control, but that policy is not included in what the City Council is considering and can be changed by SPD at any time. SPD should not acquire this highly militarized vehicle. However, if acquisition of this vehicle is approved, it should be accompanied by a revised armored vehicle policy. We recommend the policy for armored vehicles adopted by Oakland PD, at: https://public.powerdms.com/oakland/tree/documents/2269007 5. The policy must fully incorporate the restrictions in AB 48 (Penal Code § 13652 (b)) on police use of kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents to disperse crowds. The Sacramento PD First Amendment Assembly Manual (RM 532.11) was recently updated to comply with AB 48. We recommend that phrasing from that manual (or directly from AB48) be included in the Military Equipment Use Manual to clarify use of military projectiles and chemicals in crowd situations, including demonstrations and protests. For instance, “Projectiles shall not be aimed indiscriminately into a crowd or group of persons” and “ascertaining if there are participants present who are at risk of serious effects related to the use of chemical agents (children, elderly, disabled). The Davis PD included the full language of AB 48 in its document for proposed AB 481 policy: Kinetic Energy Projectiles & Chemical Agents – Assembly, Protest, or Demonstration (Penal Code § 13652) Except as provided for in this section, kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents shall not be used by any law enforcement agency to disperse any assembly, protest, or demonstration (Penal Code § 13652 (a)). See PP 2.59-A, First Amendment Assemblies for further guidance). 1. Authorized Use (Penal Code § 13652 (b)) Kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents shall only be deployed by a peace officer that has received training on their</p>

proper use by the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training for crowd control if the use is objectively reasonable to defend against a threat to life or serious bodily injury to any individual, including any peace officer, or to bring an objectively dangerous and unlawful situation safely and effectively under control, and only in accordance with all of the following requirements: 1. Deescalation techniques or other alternatives to force have been attempted, when objectively reasonable, and have failed. 2. Repeated, audible announcements are made announcing the intent to use kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents and the type to be used, when objectively reasonable to do so. The announcements shall be made from various locations, if necessary, and delivered in multiple languages, if appropriate. 3. Persons are given an objectively reasonable opportunity to disperse and leave the scene. 4. An objectively reasonable effort has been made to identify persons engaged in violent acts and those who are not, and kinetic energy projectiles or chemical agents are targeted toward those individuals engaged in violent acts. Projectiles shall not be aimed indiscriminately into a crowd or group of persons. 5. Kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents are used only with the frequency, intensity, and in a manner that is proportional to the threat and objectively reasonable. 6. Officers shall minimize the possible incidental impact of their use of kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents on bystanders, medical personnel, journalists, or other unintended targets. 7. An objectively reasonable effort has been made to extract individuals in distress. 8. Medical assistance is promptly provided, if properly trained personnel are present, or procured, for injured persons, when it is reasonable and safe to do so. 9. Kinetic energy projectiles shall not be aimed at the head, neck, or any other vital organs. 10. Kinetic energy projectiles or chemical agents shall not be used by any law enforcement agency solely due to any of the following: a. A violation of an imposed curfew. b. A verbal threat. c. Noncompliance with a law enforcement directive. 6. The proposed policy for assault rifles neither define when they are authorized for use, nor when they are not authorized for use. Assault rifles are both deadly and have a chilling impact on community trust, and must have clearly defined prohibited and authorized use cases, including at peaceful protests, on foot and bicycle patrol, and for public relations purposes. 7. The proposed uses of Long Range Acoustic Devices (LRADs) must be tightly defined, with attention to protecting vulnerable populations. LRADs are hailing devices for speaking from a long distance, but they can also be used to issue an extremely loud (170 decibels), high-pitched “warning tone” that can lead to permanent hearing loss. When police departments, such as in Oakland and New York, have used LRADs at high decibels and within close range or a crowd, it has caused long-term pain and trauma (<https://www.acentech.com/resources/2020/08/long-range-acoustic-devices-lrad-and-public-safety/>). A federal court found that LRADs used in protests constituted excessive force (<https://www.fastcompany.com/40585221/piercing-sound-can-be-excessive-police-force-federal-court-rules>). The proposed policy, including in General Order 580.17, authorizes use of this dangerous warning tone “as needed by SWAT Teams and other reasons determined by the incident commander”, making authorized uses limitless. Use of the warning tone should be prohibited or highly restricted. Other questions about LRADs that should be addressed in the proposed policy: - How “short duration” defined? - How will officers ascertain whether children who are at risk of serious ear injury are present? - How will bystanders be warned or protected from the LRAD warning tone? - SWAT Teams are often entering buildings; where is the prohibited use designation in a residential area where many people and animals can be harmed? 8. The policy should include enforcement of policies via the right of community members to litigate. Adequate enforcement of military equipment policies requires ordinances with provisions for community members to go to court over violations of the policy, known as a private right of action. AB 481 relies heavily on the vigilance of elected officials to ensure that military equipment policies conform to AB 481 and that agencies comply with the policies as adopted. If agencies violate AB 481 or the adopted policies, community members have little recourse. For these reasons, this proposed policy must include a private right of action to ensure compliance. For a model, see the provision for a private right of action in Oakland’s military equipment ordinance, Oakland Municipal Code Section 9.65.040, “Enforcement”. 9. The proposed policy does not require adherence to Sacramento standards by outside law enforcement agencies operating within Sacramento in the event of “mutual aid”. Adding a mutual aid clause into the AB481 policy will give Sacramento residents the right to have their AB481 policy applied to any relevant military equipment deployed in their city, regardless of which law enforcement agency is using it. Sacramento should adopt the same policy as that adopted by many other cities and counties, including Santa Cruz PD , Santa Clara PD, Hayward PD, Fresno County Sheriff’s Office: “Coordination with Other Jurisdictions: Military equipment should not be used by any other law enforcement agency or member in this jurisdiction unless the military equipment is approved for use in accordance with this policy.” 10. The proposed policy must clearly define procedures for documenting the deployment of military equipment. Transparency requires reporting on any deployments visible to the community, not only uses of force or discharging the weapon. Since AB 481 requires law

enforcement agencies to publish an annual report on use of the equipment, the proposed policy should clearly state to whom deployments will be reported and how records will be kept. We again refer to the Oakland PD armored vehicle policy as an example of how this can be incorporated into these policies. To respond to this comment, please contact: John Lindsay-Poland, jlindsay-poland [REDACTED]

Upload File(s)

AFSCreSacPDmilitaryequippolicy20May2022.docx

User's Session Information

IP Address: [REDACTED]
Referrer URL: https://forms.cityofsacramento.org/f/PD_MilitaryEquipmentUse

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 20, 2022, 09:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Albert
Email	davidalbert1717 [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no excuse whatsoever for police to even HAVE military equipment. Rule:You can't use it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 17, 2022, 03:30PM PDT

Name	First Name: Megan Last Name: SHUMWAY
Email	meganshumway7881 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military grade weapons have little place in normal city police policy. Often these weapons are used against communities of color or against non-violent resistance demonstrators. It is the opinion of many that this is a misuse of law enforcement monies and should be reserved for the National Guard and the National Military. The money would be better spent on protective gear and training on deescalation practices. Excessive expenditure on military grade weapons and vehicles lead the public to believe that the police are over funded and that they view the public as the enemy- not as those they protect and serve.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 17, 2022, 05:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Eric Last Name: Chase
Email	Eric.chase99 [REDACTED]
Comment	I completely agree with utilization of military equipment within SPD. Please consider taking advantage of this opportunity. Now more than ever we need this equipment to protect our city residents.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 17, 2022, 07:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mark Last Name: Westbrook
Email	mwca44 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Military equipment usage by the police department is not justified. This excessive equipment should not be purchased as it has only been used against peaceful protestors and did not increase safety on the streets. The police are ineffective at controlling crime with this equipment as we have seen a horrific mass shooting this past year. There is not a positive correlation between military equipment and safety. Safety is what the community needs, but police instead speed through the streets and increase their stock of weapons. Instead I would like to see more money diverted away from violent intimidation tactics and directed to a third party first responder that is psychology-focused and unarmed. This would be in comparison to CAHOOTS in Oregon which responds to crisis situations and doesn't escalate tension by remaining unarmed. We would have less officer-caused deaths if we had more groups that focused on deescalation instead of violence and intimidation that police portray now. Purchasing this equipment will continue an attitude that favors keeping police violent instead of diverting that needed funding to another party that could instead deescalate violent situations. I oppose the purchasing of military equipment.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 18, 2022, 01:20AM PDT

Name	First Name: Anthony Last Name: Flores
Email	atrain.wintun [REDACTED]
Comment	The police department should not have access to military grade equipment. The police department struggles with ethical policing. To add military equipment would be damaging to the security of the civilian population.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 18, 2022, 07:59AM PDT

Name	First Name: ilonka Last Name: zlatar
Email	ilonka.zlatar [REDACTED]
Comment	We do not militarized police in Sacramento. This equipment will endanger the public instead of having police do their jobs: protect and serve, not batter and harass. What assurances would we have that this type of equipment would not be used against peaceful protestors exercising their rights to free speech and assembly?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 18, 2022, 08:55AM PDT

Name	First Name: Muriel Last Name: Muriel
Email	auntym [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The council should review PD procedures for SWAT teams. Generally, these teams have manifested mission creep over the years, and are being used much more than originally planned. Requests for more powerful guns should be accompanied by specific descriptions of the mass and force resulting from projectiles and the severity of foreseeable injuries, especially to peaceful protesters. As well, the council should be aware the tear gas is prohibited by the laws of war, and should be removed from police armories. What critical incidents specifically would be appropriate for an armored personnel carrier? Please note that such vehicles use large quantities of fossil fuels which as we all know are endangering our present and future. If there is concern about civil disturbances, prevention, such as actually having a meaningful conversation about grievances as prescribed by the constitution, would be the best policy. If such situations did arise, the California segment of the National Guard would likely be a more appropriate responder. The Bee reports that Chief Lester wants to fight violent crime. But using violence against violence just intensifies that problem; prevention is in order and also more cost-effective. Prohibition and criminalization of natural human activities such as substance use and sex work is a recipe for black markets which are a recipe for violence. Such counterproductive laws also induce informant abuse. We need decriminalization, and regulation of such activities by health professionals. And all police should have nonviolence training as part of the police academy to maximize their de-escalation skills and the patience required for peace-making.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 18, 2022, 01:16PM PDT

Name	First Name: Briana Last Name: Mullen
Email	brianakmullen [REDACTED]
Comment	The fact that SPD has military equipment is deeply concerning. These make me feel less safe as a community member. After watching them be used during the summer of 2020 I deeply feel that our local police should not have access to these tools against their community members.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 18, 2022, 03:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Nicholas Last Name: Liedtke
Email	ndliedtke [REDACTED]
Comment	Beyond the drones, robots for bomb removal and building access, and the mobile command/incident centers most of the equipment that is suggested in the document seems extremely unnecessary for a municipal police department, especially one with such close ties and access to the CHP's resources. The sound canon is especially troubling as it does not seem capable of discerning between those engaging in unlawful content, peaceful protesters and innocent bystanders. Furthermore, it's very unclear why the city needs more armored vehicles, much less one on a dump truck chassis as detailed in the document. Most of the equipment seems like a waste of taxpayer dollars to provide cool looking toys to a police force that has repeatedly demonstrated suspect decision making when dealing with the public, especially large, yet peaceful, demonstrations on topics that make the police unhappy.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 18, 2022, 09:01PM PDT

Name	First Name: Robert Last Name: Reyes
Email	robreyesofficial[REDACTED]
Comment	I have a concern about multiple drones and cameras that have a five mi it's flight capability. Why would so much be spent on equipment that does not have a sufficient battery life? There are other such concerns with the cost of equipment that seems to do much of what lower cost items do. Why is the department spending exorbitant amounts of money on equipment that seems to do the same or less than others on the list? Also, these non-lethal launchers, why are they requesting so many? Can they provide rationale based on past incidents where all this military equipment was used effectively? I am currently unconvinced that this entire list is needed or necessary to protect officers and the community at large.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 11:17AM PDT

Name	First Name: Akash Last Name: Pratap
Email	9972akash [REDACTED]
Comment	I agree with the use of military weapon due to the fact it will lessen the use of lethal force in suspect with deadly weapon and maintain the safety of any surrounding members neighborhood.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 11:27AM PDT

Name	First Name: Maria Last Name: Ramos
Email	mgramos_7 [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento Police Department doesn't need Military Equipment and the community doesn't want this.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 11:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: SGT (RETIRED) Henry Last Name: Ossentjuk US ARMY
Email	robzombiegw [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military manoeuvres.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 11:45AM PDT

Name	First Name: Beau Last Name: Manley
Email	beaumanley [REDACTED]
Comment	As a home owner and tax payer in the city of Sacramento, I do not approve of the use of military style equipment by any police force. The use of such equipment is excessive and not needed by a municipal police force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 11:56AM PDT

Name	First Name: Lanea Last Name: Wise
Email	LaneaWise [REDACTED]
Comment	No. This is over kill. Money would be better invested in building people up not waiting until thier desperate and then unleashing violence on thier violence.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 11:56AM PDT

Name	First Name: Carina Last Name: G
Email	careenagee [REDACTED]
Comment	We don't need this.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 02:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Brendan
Email	
Comment	no
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 12:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: Amber Last Name: Stout
Email	ambersgotmail [REDACTED]
Comment	We don't need to militarized police. We have a military already! They are supposed to be public servants. Our tax money should be going to the community, not local government.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 12:37PM PDT

Name	First Name: Shane Last Name: Harris
Email	shane.g.harris [REDACTED]
Comment	This is a terrible use of money. There is absolutely no need for that many deadly weapons to exist in this city.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 02:49PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mila Last Name: Corvino
Email	mila.corvino [REDACTED]
Comment	I believe the use of military grade equipment on citizens to watch after citizen is in poor taste and we should be allocating resources to helping the homeless population in Sacramento. Like building affordable housing and better shelters and putting up food banks and working towards harm reduction.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 12:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jenna Last Name: Harris
Email	theblondeacrobat [REDACTED]
Comment	It is unnecessary for a city government to own military equipment and would be absolutely heinous for it to use said equipment on it's constituents.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 02:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Deshawn Last Name: Mason
Email	deshawn.mason [REDACTED]
Comment	I disagree with the use of lethal military equipment. It seems that Sac PD has just fine without the need for more LETHAL equipment. Why not use the budget for these items, for improved officer training. Maybe Brazilian Jiu Jitsu training for officers, to ensure that officers can properly handle suspects without the need for deadly force. I'm all for replacing any old and unusable/outdated equipment. But we don't need full on military vehicles.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 07:10PM PDT

Name	First Name: Denise Last Name: Schweinfurth
Email	dschweinfurth4132019 [REDACTED]
Comment	ABSOLUTELY NOT. Drones I can understand but when you start talking 40mm launchers and armored vehicles absolutely not. Any incidents that would require military weaponry should be addressed by our. National Guard anf NOT. our police department.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: android-app://org.telegram.messenger.web/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 10:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Audrey Last Name: Florman
Email	audrey.florman [REDACTED]
Comment	ARE YOU KIDDING. This is absurd. No police department needs military equipment. What are they protecting that needs the power to injure people so intensely. This is so upsetting.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 07:16AM PDT

Name	First Name: Darrell Last Name: Lahman
Email	Darrell.lahman [REDACTED]
Comment	The cheaper drones with video might be a good investment, but more CCTV coverage would be better in my opinion. Cameras at every intersection, constant recording of traffic. Police don't need military weapons and vehicles. The problem is too many guns, adding more ways to kill people is not the answer.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 07:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ryan Last Name: Altschuh
Email	raltschuh [REDACTED]
Comment	Respond to any threat with equal force. If the perpetrator has a knife, you don't need a M16. If the perpetrator has a handgun, you don't need a tank. Militarized police create unsafe conditions for the community. Period.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 08:12AM PDT

Name	First Name: Tait Last Name: White-Rasmusson
Email	tait [REDACTED]
Comment	The military equipment requested by the Sacramento Police Department is beyond excessive and would only deepen the problems the equipment is intended to resolve in the proposal. Millions of dollars spent on SWAT tanks rigged from bulldozers, all weather drones, 40mm steel rubber bullet guns, chemical weapons, rifles/shotguns, and long range sound dispersement devices are all examples of equipment used by militaries in war crimes from the US and around the world (especially Russia and Cuba). The proposed training is also wildly insufficient! This is a horrible proposal and would turn the community against the police in a profound way that the department would only know how to respond to with violence, which should not be the objective of peace officer training. This proposal is a disgusting call to arms, and should be rejected outright!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 02:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jeremy Last Name: Aguilar
Email	jrm_y_aguilar [REDACTED]
Comment	Hello and thank you for opening this survey to the public. It's my honest belief that military equipment is designed for military situations, not public policing. I'm not sure what encounters with the public a police force would need lethal deterrents for and I'm worried that a police force would not feel able to keep the peace with the already authorized equipment. Thank you for your time.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 2, 2022, 04:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jonathan Last Name: Mortimer
Email	jonathanmort[REDACTED]
Comment	It's absurd we even have to tell you that this is a bad idea. We have a military. We have a National Guard. We have SWAT. All of these groups are trained to use military equipment and advanced weapons. That's why they exist. The militarization of the police is a terrible idea. It will do nothing except straddle the taxpayers with more debt to pay off when the police force inevitably abuses the use of this equipment and loses the associated lawsuits. We have seen it play out time and time again. Perhaps if you all stuck to actually policing the communities you say you are here to protect and serve, we can move on from such an adversarial relationship, to one of trust and community building. You all look like washed up high school football stars living out dreams of being Rambo. Put down the body armor and engage with regular people for God's sake.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 2, 2022, 05:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: Rebecca Last Name: Hu
Email	rebeccahu45935 [REDACTED]
Comment	As a long term resident of Sacramento and as someone with close family members in the city, I absolutely abhor the use of military equipment. We reject the use of public funds to be used in such an egregious, immoral manner. The fact that we have lack of funding in education, affordable housing, and welfare and this is where money is going to is absolutely ridiculous. Please DO NOT continue the use of this policy.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 07:09AM PDT

Name	First Name: Joseph Last Name: Ingersoll
Email	ijoeey12 [REDACTED]
Comment	I am strongly against the acquisition and or use of military equipment in the city of Sacramento. This is not a war zone and money should be used to improve the lives of citizens and not for expanding the systems of control.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 07:12AM PDT

Name	First Name: Lisa Last Name: Howard
Email	talktolisah [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not want police funds to go to the purchase of any military equipment. It is inappropriate for the job and training.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 09:41AM PDT

Name	First Name: Donna Last Name: Bettencourt
Email	donnab1045 [REDACTED]
Comment	My only input is that all behicles including the Lenco Bearcat 63 should have video cameras installed and be operating during engagements. All military equipment should be able to be operated my any officer regardless of their height or weight so that women are not precluded from operating the equipment because of their height or weight. Most vehicles and heavy equipment are not designed for women to be able to operate.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://www.cityofsacramento.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 10:15AM PDT

Name	First Name: Vivian Last Name: Wauters
Email	vmwauters [REDACTED]
Comment	The city of Sacramento should not use military equipment. Our law enforcement agencies should not be equipped for military engagement. It is against the purposed goal of the police which is to "protect life and property, solve neighborhood problems, and enhance the quality of life in our City". Military engagement, using military weapons, will not make our city safer and will not enhance life in our city. Military equipment has no place in a city police equipment arsenal.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Name	First Name: Angela Last Name: Gilmette
Email	agilmette [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I seldom have this much to say: Leave military weapons in the hands of the military. Call the National Guard if the police require militarily armed assistance. Military weapons are intended for mass casualties and harm, regardless of expendable civilian innocents and other soldiers. Some "government officials" exhibit extremely bizarre behavior, bringing their mental health into question. They may be more of a danger to the public with military weapons too convenient. The current legal definition of exactly who can use exactly what, upon civilians for possibly misguided reasons, is all open for interpretation depending upon one's point of view. These military weapons will require more lethal weapon upgrades, just like current weapons do, except these are military weapons for local law enforcement to use on civilians. What if your teenager is harmed at the mall by a military weapon while shopping? Do you know that local agencies in other states are known to have tanks to use on their civilian population? Some are concerned that these tanks may fall into the hands of insurrectionists. Militarizing local law enforcement makes our citizens vulnerable to dictatorship. If an officer may be inclined to misuse his current weapon causing death, that same officer will misuse a military weapon, with greater damage. The weapon does not manage the officer. Which current or future politicians can persuade, or give orders to, the Chief of Police or the County Sheriff? Local law enforcement using military arms against civilians is unacceptable. A bean bag can be lethal if it knocks an unsuspecting person down suddenly, causing their head to hit the pavement. What if it hits a martial arts lethal point on someone's body? The lethal force of the bean bag blow will be determined by officer firing distance, aim, crowd concentration, etc., and the receiver's awareness, health, weight, point of contact, etc. What if the bean bag hits someone with blood clots or a neighborhood child unexpectedly zooming by on his tricycle? Bean bags cover more surface than a bullet. If you are trying to avoid killing one, disable one's physical participation instead. Daily living will keep that one extremely busy. If one is about to use, or has used, any dangerous weapon, including hands or feet, to do great bodily harm upon another, shoot to kill. Flash bangs can cause permanent inner ear damage, loss of balance, tinnitus, disorientation, extreme trauma and burns to anyone nearby, including officers. Imagine if the officers were unable to hear orders given after a flash bang. We should be asking our disabled veterans what these weapons did to them, and their ability to support their families. Can a child bystander suffer hearing loss that affects their ability to learn? Are you considering that our neighborhoods have Ukrainians living in them? Haven't they heard enough war sounds? What do you think flashbangs in our neighborhoods can do to our PTSD suffering Veterans? Are you considering that we have seniors living in our neighborhoods who are WWII bombing survivors and are frightened easily? Have you considered that they might suffer a cardiac event? How would you distinguish police action from an actual attack? Do you really need to upset entire neighborhoods instead of just the intended subject? We know that crime keeps officers busy. But, why do you want to change peaceful Sacramento into a war zone? "We need law enforcement to keep the peace."</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/2022/05/04/sacramento-pd-seeks-community-feedback-on-military-equipment-use-policy/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 08:39AM PDT

Name	First Name: Matt Last Name: Suprun
Email	matts [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Thank you for your hard work and posting this information for the public. We live in mid town area and were affected by the riots few years back and understand that these things are necessary in specific situations. Just a few comments. I was looking at the Items and the Cost associated with the item listed and based on what I was able to find online and in warehouse stores the costs estimated were substantially higher then actual, some almost \$7000 higher. How is the Department looking to make sure that they are getting the best price? Are they accepting various bids or using only a few suppliers? And should there be some Warranty or Guarantees included in the items as most of these items have various maintenance fees associated to them. Thank you for your time!</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 08:40AM PDT

Name	First Name: Matt Last Name: Suprun
Email	matts [REDACTED]
Comment	Thank you for your hard work and posting this information for the public. We live in mid town area and were affected by the riots few years back and understand that these things are necessary in specific situations. Just a few comments. I was looking at the Items and the Cost associated with the item listed and based on what I was able to find online and in warehouse stores the costs estimated were substantially higher then actual, some almost \$7000 higher. How is the Department looking to make sure that they are getting the best price? Are they accepting various bids or using only a few suppliers? And should there be some Warranty or Guarantees included in the items as most of these items have various maintenance fees associated to them. Thank you for your time!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 01:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Rebecca Last Name: Hall
Email	rebeccahall258 [REDACTED]
Comment	I thought a form out and put it in your guises box on Thursday and if you please give me a call back it's regarding the city of Sacramento one of their drivers hit my car the pocket meters
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 11:13AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kara Last Name: Johnson
Email	kara.merliss [REDACTED]
Comment	municipal police forces should not have militarized equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 11:19AM PDT

Name	First Name: Marcus Last Name: Smith
Email	marcus.smith94 [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento Police do not need funds for more military equipment, those funds should be used to provide resources to individuals who live in impoverished communities.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/news/local/article261148502.html

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 01:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: Allison Last Name: Ramirez
Email	allisonjramirez [REDACTED]
Comment	I can only think "what the fuck do you need that for??!" But that isn't constructive feedback. The city already thinks the police is too militarized as it is. This will further that fear, distrust, and hatred towards police. The money is better spend towards involvement and preventative programs.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 11:38AM PDT

Name	First Name: Noel Last Name: Leckemby
Email	nleckemby [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>In light of Sacramento Police Department's reaction to peaceful displays of community action in the last 2 years, I believe the budget that is going to be used on this militarization would be better served invested into community services. SPD has shown that they cannot be trusted to protect the people they swore to. I don't believe military weapons or equipment have any place within a municipal police force. Among the catalogued items: "Firearms designed to launch explosive projectiles." These will not "protect and serve" civilians. They'll further protect the current racist system of oppression that is widespread throughout the country. The amount of money that our community needs for mental health services, shelter and education far outweighs our need for "long rang sonic" devices or more battering rams. Then there's the proviso about raising money from any source to pay for these regardless of what the citizenry feels. Money that can essentially come from anywhere- and this proposed within the wake of the PPP funding scandal Sac PD used to pay bonuses to staff?! The citizens are not likely to forget and forgive those misgivings anytime soon. Demilitarize and defund SPD.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 11:37AM PDT

Name	First Name: Yajaira Last Name: Martinez
Email	
Comment	No, Sacramento police should not be accepting or using military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 04:39PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tonya Last Name: Zuniga
Email	tazuniga [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment is used in war and our neighborhoods and streets are not war zones. A militarized police presence looks like an occupying force. This equipment costs a lot of money and needs maintenance and repairs. I saw a militarized police force shoot rubber bullets and use batons and bean bags against peaceful protestors exercising their 1st Amendments rights in the daytime, and that militarized force then stood in a line and watched while looters destroyed and burned property! Let's get back to serving and protecting our communities. The police should protect people and property, and enforce laws, but they should not be a hostile, militarized presence in those communities. I think this is a good start in building better community relations. Communities need good police officers and those officers need the community's support. Thank you for your time.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 03:51PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lavinia Last Name: Phillips
Email	vdubgrlphillips [REDACTED]
Comment	No to combat gear for law enforcement.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 06:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Laura Last Name: Blosser
Email	laurablosser [REDACTED]
Comment	The city should not be accepting any military equipment, period. It is inappropriate and terrifying.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 11:22PM PDT

Name	First Name: Shannon Last Name: Lewis
Email	meshannon [REDACTED]
Comment	I have no problem with the use of this equipment. Anything that can be used to deal with bad situations and protect officers at the same time is absolutely welcome. Those who are intimidated by it can stay away or stay indoors when deployed. I am so happy that we can get some. I never want to see mayhem on our streets or see an officer being physically assaulted by a mob.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 12:52AM PDT

Name	First Name: Steven Last Name: Spilmer
Email	steveandcarol532 [REDACTED]
Comment	I fully support the Sacramento Police Departments use of whatever military equipment they deem necessary to perform their duties to protect the citizens of our community and to protect themselves.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 09:37AM PDT

Name	First Name: Steven Last Name: Maviglio
Email	vesparado1 [REDACTED]
Comment	Use whatever you need to use to keep our families and businesses safe, using it in an appropriate fashion. Thank you for keeping us protected.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 12:34PM PDT

Name	First Name: Taiga Last Name: Yamaguchi
Email	ytaiga [REDACTED]
Comment	Please no. The police shouldn't need military weapons to keep us safe.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 01:01PM PDT

Name	First Name: Martha Last Name: Paterson-Cohen
Email	mpaterson-cohen [REDACTED]
Comment	testing to see if this is submitted. already submitted comments but not sure it went through.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 11:57AM PDT

Name	First Name: Harlan Last Name: Glines
Email	harlan.glines [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I am generally opposed to the acquisition of military-grade equipment by local policing agencies. While I could be convinced of certain items (non lethal), many of the items described in the draft policy are high-end weapons that do not belong, in my view, at the local policing level. One of the biggest issues that seem to be facing Sac PD is the ability to handle mental health crises that often lead to violent encounters with police. I would prefer to see funds allocated to additional training for officers and other steps, such as hiring skilled professional social workers or other professionals trained to assist with deescalation of these situations, to address these very real challenges faced by police officers. Thank you for the opportunity to provide input and for your consideration</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://www.cityofsacramento.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 12:09PM PDT

Name	First Name: Patrick Last Name: Jones
Email	pjones83 [REDACTED]
Comment	Sac PD doesn't need any of this equipment. SacPD doesn't look like the people of Sac, they don't live in Sac, and far too much time and resources are spent on occupying poor neighborhoods in Sac. Stop busting people for petty crimes and solving serious crimes. We've got rape kits that we can't afford to test and you want to spend that money on maintaining helicopters and tanks? Get real.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/news/local/article261148502.html

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 14, 2022, 09:00AM PDT

Name	First Name: Tim
Email	
Comment	The desire for military equipment once reserved for occupying forces shows exactly what the police believe themselves to be: an occupying force. Communities need actual aid not more angry occupiers ready to punish the slightest imagined infraction so they can try out their newest toy.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 14, 2022, 12:19PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	No military equipment should be used by police. Full stop. Spend the money to train police in de-escalation.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 14, 2022, 12:16PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jennifer Last Name: Thompson
Email	thisismyfoo [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not. The police budget is already insanely high, and the police already can't control their brutality issues and aren't held accountable for murdering unarmed people. Local law enforcement has zero need for military weapons. That's what the military is for. If we need military grade weapons to handle a threat to the citizens, we call the military in. Forgive me for not wanting people that spent fewer hours in training than my damn hairdresser did to have military grade weapons when they already aren't addressing systemic racism or held accountable for anything.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 14, 2022, 06:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: John Last Name: Richard
Email	johnfredrichard [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento Police Department does not need to be spending money on Military Equipment. With the exception of some of the drones, which could serve search and rescue functionality, none of the equipment helps the community that the Police Department serves, nor does it serve to foster better relations between the community and the Police Department. Additional Military Equipment will likely increase the tension between the community and the Police Department, which means additional Military Equipment purchases should be avoided at all costs.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 14, 2022, 07:34PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alicia Last Name: Ward
Email	alicia.1230 [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely do not have any military equipment. It is completely unnecessary for officers to use military equipment against civilians. The report claims it is necessary but doesn't explain why. In the past sac pd has only used this gear to brutalize protestors and unarmed black folks. I am fully against this draft policy.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 14, 2022, 09:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: Henry Last Name: Gordon
Email	henry.gordon [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The proposed policy should describe uses that are not authorized under particular conditions. The use of drones should not be allowed without a warrant The use of rubber bullets and chemical agents is dangerous and should not be allowed for crowd control when children and other vulnerable people are present. The proposed policy does not require adherence in the event of mutual aid; when outside law enforcement agencies are operating within Sacramento they should be bound by Sacramento rules. Other cities have insisted on such a provision. The policy should not allow new equipment that has not been reviewed by the Council. New equipment must not receive blanket approval without specific information about cost and quantities being obtained. The proposed uses of Long Range Acoustic Devices (LRADs) is particularly alarming as they may be harmful to vulnerable populations and this type of equipment has been misused in crowd control situations in other cities.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.google.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 15, 2022, 07:23AM PDT

Name	First Name: Marvin Last Name: Hamon
Email	mhamon [REDACTED]
Comment	I have reviewed the working draft policy on military equipment use. I find the adoption and use of armored vehicles, military-style uniforms and protective equipment, and less than lethal weapons that have proven to cause grave injuries by police officers to be disturbing. While using these items may make officers feel safer they will escalate situations where they are used. We do not need to treat the streets of our city like they are a war zone and police do not need to be engaging with citizens like they are an invading military. I am against the acquisition and use of military equipment by our police department.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 10:58AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kimberly Last Name: Moran Fuentes
Email	kimberlymoranfuentes [REDACTED]
Comment	This is the most ridiculous request and there is absolutely NO need for military grade equipment
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 03:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: Maris Last Name: Samsel
Email	marissamse [REDACTED]
Comment	Sac PD is incompetent with their current budget and equipment. Who in their right mind would allow a militant gang of bumbling fools to help them in a crisis situation? I do not call the police when I am in need of help, the fire dept will do just fine, thanks. How's the guy that killed Stephon Clark? What are you doing to decriminalize drugs and homelessness so people can get the help they need? Try being less of a piece of shit vigilante group, and give this money to the school districts. They might actually make good use of it, instead of buying some armored riot squad bullshit. Fuck off and die.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 05:21PM PDT

Name	First Name: Breanna Last Name: Jaco
Email	
Comment	<p>There is little to no reason for Sac PD to be using funding on military equipment. Sacramento is not a war zone, nor is Sac PD a military (or at least it shouldn't behave that way). One part of this document states " The military equipment acquired and authorized by the Department is: a. Necessary because there is no reasonable alternative that can achieve the same objective of officer and civilian safety." We have seen time and time again officers utilizing lethal and physically harmful forms of tactics for the control of peaceful protests and there was absolutely a reasonable alternative. We have not seen that police departments in general can be trusted to make this kind of judgement call, and Sac PD is not an exception. It's a gross misuse of already too high funding to have more of this kind of equipment in our community. Funding should be diverted to community care and resources, de escalation training, supportive programs, mental health professionals to handle emergent mental health crises, the list goes on. It's inexcusable to pass this off as for the "publics safety" when it is rarely ever used to enhance public safety. The use of military grade equipment in communities is, without a doubt, unnecessary and possibly inexcusable. You don't have my support.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 05:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: T Last Name: Jones
Email	dressagegirl233 [REDACTED]
Comment	Sac PD has no need for this level of spending that could be far better used for community outreach than creating a local militia. This is a horrific and assinine proposal that absolutely should not be codified. To do so is a gross misappropriation of tax dollars and I am shocked that this even being proposed in seriousness.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 2, 2022, 10:41AM PDT

Name	First Name: Blake Last Name: W
Email	
Comment	Yes. Give them whatever they need to be safe!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 10:46PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Unless Sac PD becomes a gendarmerie, they should not have military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 05:54AM PDT

Name	First Name: Bishop Chris Last Name: baker
Email	chrissenior64 [REDACTED]
Comment	IF IT'S NEEDED TO KEEP SACRAMENTO SAFER THEN WHY NOT HAVE IT , WITH HOW CRIME HAS SPIKED I SEE NO REASON TO DENY IT ACTUALLY IT IS TIME TO GET BACK TO LAW AND ORDER IN SACRAMENTO ENOUGH IS ENOUGH...
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 02:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Aslanian
Email	daslanian [REDACTED]
Comment	As a life long Sacramentan I have seen the escalating use of military equipment by the SPD as a threat to the public. In 2005 every street corner from my house in Southside Park to my job on K st was blocked by several armed officer, police cars and armored tanks, helicopters blared and flew over my neighborhood for days, for at most a thousand protesters on J and I streets. Yet, when there were violent white supremacist organizations gathering around the capital and attacking locals, the SPD sat on their hands, no tanks. no riot squads. So, when I see the use of military equipment by SPD I see a growing para military ideology with regular citizens as the enemy to be feared and oppressed with military equipment, while radical right wing groups are escorted in safety.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 02:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Aslanian
Email	daslanian [REDACTED]
Comment	As a life long Sacramentan I have seen the escalating use of military equipment by the SPD as a threat to the public. In 2005 every street corner from my house in Southside Park to my job on K st was blocked by several armed officer, police cars and armored tanks, helicopters blared and flew over my neighborhood for days, for at most a thousand protesters on J and I streets. Yet, when there were violent white supremacist organizations gathering around the capital and attacking locals, the SPD sat on their hands, no tanks. no riot squads. So, when I see the use of military equipment by SPD I see a growing para military ideology with regular citizens as the enemy to be feared and oppressed with military equipment, while radical right wing groups are escorted in safety.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 14, 2022, 04:39PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ann Last Name: Williams
Email	ann.williams744 [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not support purchasing military equipment for the Sacramento Police Department. We are not at war with our citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 14, 2022, 11:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Max Last Name: Winn
Email	maxtwinn.888 [REDACTED]
Comment	The police should not be using military weapons, or buying more. They should have better training, trained people for de-escalation, crisis negotiators, an IQ requirement with testing, weekly therapy sessions, sensitivity training, anger management courses, autism/disability training. If they are worried about protection they should wear a bullet proof vest all the time, and helmets. No firing unless fired upon should be protocol. That's what the vest is for.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 05:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jaze Last Name: Wharton
Email	jaze [REDACTED]
Comment	The police don't need to be militarized. Use that money to build low cost housing for homeless people
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 01:24PM PDT

Name	First Name: Trevor Last Name: Jones
Email	trevorelkheart@██████████
Comment	Keep Police and military separate. "Peace officers" shouldn't be equipped with military gear for war. Sacramento already showed they're more than willing to call in the national guard if the City or it's officers need military level response. Get officers trained and educated in community response instead, please.
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 12:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Breanne Last Name: Howard
Email	bree.howard21 [REDACTED]
Comment	Use all the military equipment you need to - I'm all for it after no longer feeling safe in my community!!! YES!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 02:33PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dick Last Name: Robison
Email	drobison49 [REDACTED]
Comment	As a 73 year old and a Vietnam vet, I think that the militarization of our police force is a step too far. Policing and the military are two different things. I feel more and more threatened by the perceived increase in military garb and military tactics in today's policing. Serving and protecting citizens is the goal.. not scaring them.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 02:37PM PDT

Name	First Name: Daniel Last Name: Best
Email	Danielthomasbest [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Thank you for soliciting input on this. I read through the draft and the Department does indeed address all of the civil liberty concerns but then proposes to do performative actions and use loose language that still gives the Police the right to use the weapons on a policing capacity. The over-militarization of our police force does not create a safer environment. It creates an "us vs them" mentality. In many instances, police with military weapons have more leniency that our military does in occupied territories. It is my opinion that military weapons do not belong in a policing capacity.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 04:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Casey Last Name: Nielsen
Email	parkranger34 [REDACTED]
Comment	The police should have EVERY available tool to protect our communities and themselves. If they need military tools and can get them at a reasonable rate they should have and use them. Crime is out of control. Show support to law enforcement by giving them the tools they need to do their job.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 04:49PM PDT

Name	First Name: Georgia Last Name: Giere
Email	jng6433 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I agree that law enforcement should have all the latest equipment and training necessary to protect citizens and solve crimes. However, I also strongly believe Sacramento's children from pre-K to 12th grade should have all of the latest equipment, training, and interventions necessary to become productive, educated members of our Community. I would respectfully request that the amount proposed for military equipment be split in half with Sacramento City Unified School District. The funds would be used primarily for elementary schools in the following ways: trained teacher's aides (3 hours in each classroom), a school nurse (1 for each school) a psychologist (or similarly trained staff) at each school for crisis intervention and home visits, a room set aside and staffed for students that cannot remain in the classroom (detention?) at each school, free after-school programs that are open to all (No waiting lists) and free Summer School (available at every school) mandatory for students behind or failing core subjects. Summer School afternoons would be similar to Summer Camp activities: Arts and Crafts, Dance, Sports, Cooking, Theatre Arts, Music, etc. These are just some of the ways the money could be used to help our children so they don't become the problem of the Police Department. Thank you for asking.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Daniel Last Name: Enrico
Email	thedanielenrico [REDACTED]
Comment	No fucking thank you. I do not want to see military grade equipment in the hands of the police force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: Bret Last Name: Barkley
Email	bretbarkley11 [REDACTED]
Comment	I have no problem with the police using military equipment to protect themselves and the public. Don't worry about the criminals feelings! Please take back our streets! Criminals need to be held accountable!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 08:05AM PDT

Name	First Name: Tricia Last Name: Chen
Email	tpearring [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment makes everyone less safe, i don't want to see more military equipment in Sacramento
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:14AM PDT

Name	First Name: Christy Last Name: Savage
Email	christy [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>As a long term member of this community, I vehemently oppose our local law enforcement agency having or acquiring additional military grade weapons and equipment. Our local law enforcement officials have proven repeatedly that they are not capable of the judgement or restraint necessary to handle such weapons by consistently using them against unarmed citizens, many of whom are simply in the wrong place at the wrong time or just exercising their rights to peaceably assemble. Far too many innocent citizens have fallen to this unnecessary display of strength. Weapons of war have no place on our city streets being used against the citizens of our community. Please invest that money in alternate policing programs designed to HELP the most vulnerable amongst us rather than kill them in cold blood. Programs all over the country prove community programs are much more effective than dressing up our police in military gear to waging war in the community. I urge you to stop making the streets more unsafe by injecting military weapons into an already powermad system. Please use common sense here and stop throwing money toward INCREASING the violence in our community, which the mere existence of this sort of equipment does. Thank you for your time and your efforts to make our community stronger and LESS violent.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:46AM PDT

Name	First Name: Brienne Last Name: Fugate
Email	bhardie8[REDACTED]
Comment	I would like to voice my opposition for the use of military equipment. We do not live in a fascist country, therefore should not have a militia as public servants. The police already have a bad reputation with the public currently, there is no need for such excessive spending and use of force. We need this money in our schools and in our public health. I repeat, we are not fascists. We should not be militarizing our public servants.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:06AM PDT

Name	First Name: Tessa Last Name: Green
Email	tessagreen1828 [REDACTED]
Comment	As an educator and parent I feel like this is an awful waste of money. I feel that it is reactive instead of proactive. To make communities safer we need mental health, teen centers, affordable sports teams for youth, safe places for kids after school. To protect and serve law enforcement should start looking at ways to solve problems before they start instead of using force. Money should be spent to support communities, not line the pockets of private prisons and manufacturers of military weapons.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://m.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 02:33PM PDT

Name	First Name: Brooke Last Name: Bivens
Email	berkie144 [REDACTED]
Comment	Please don't militarize our police. That money should be put back in our community in better ways.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 11:49PM PDT

Name	First Name: Christina Last Name: Musser
Email	clmusser [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not believe the police should be owning military equipment. The police are designed to protect the public, using military equipment against the public creates a military state that's unacceptable in a free country
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 04:30AM PDT

Name	First Name: Julia Last Name: Croft
Email	julia.ballam [REDACTED]
Comment	PLEASE do not obtain or utilize military equipment to provide peacekeeping in civilized society. This is not a war zone. Citizens are begging for an equitable, rational relationship between the police and those that are deemed "criminals," not to escalate tensions. Please spend whatever resources and time you would use for the acquisition of this equipment toward further training in de-escalation and non-violent resolutions. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this. The police are not the military, and do not stop crime before it starts. Let's focus this massive budget into proven methods of alleviating the burdens on those that feel like crime is necessary to get through life and stop wasting resources on creating an even more terrifying place to live. Sacramento is the capital, and deserves to show a GOOD example to the rest of the state. This will only show that your police force hasn't figured out how to actually help society.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:34PM PDT

Name	First Name: Nina Last Name: Delgado
Email	ninadelgado94 [REDACTED]
Comment	the excessive use of military grade equipment for a police force, that should simply be protecting the people, shows me just how much the police are scared of the community. they are not trained to deal with the community on a one-to-one basis and instead need to lean on fear tactics. it's shameful and the money being used towards these military supplies would be better redirected towards community outreach programs, setting up mental health first responders and getting these wack ass cops some proper training for working with the public
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:56PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jennifer Last Name: Clayton
Email	claytonj23 [REDACTED]
Comment	While many of these supplies are expected, lots of crowd control devices and armored vehicles is a very bad sign. I don't trust law enforcement with many of these ordinances and other weapons. More needs to be said about how they will be used, particularly UAV usage against unarmed people who have not been convicted of a violent crime.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:00AM PDT

Name	First Name: Cassandra Last Name: Moore
Email	cassy.l.moore [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not support Sacramento Police using military equipment in the community.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 12:02AM PDT

Name	First Name: R Last Name: L
Email	unicornucopia2 [REDACTED]
Comment	City police departments are meant to "protect and serve" the public. They are employed as "civil servants" whose jobs are to keep the peace. They are NOT "soldiers" nor should they consider themselves as such. Those who want to be soldiers and engage in warfare (which is what military equipment is for), should join one of the branches of the United States military. Military equipment does not belong in the hands of police officers, as they are not employed to be at war with the public. Therefore these departments have no business acquiring military equipment of any kind.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 05:00AM PDT

Name	First Name: Mae Last Name: Dean
Email	maelyndean [REDACTED]
Comment	YOU ARE POLICE, NOT MILITARY. In short, that's gonna be a big fat NO to the use of military equipment. You're not fighting a war, you're serving and protecting. The second you start using military equipment, you start thinking you NEED military equipment, and that is a really, stupendously bad idea.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 07:58AM PDT

Name	First Name: Samuel Last Name: Denison
Email	samiam098 [REDACTED]
Comment	Provide the police/law enforcement/sheriff with any and all tools they need! They are the ones who put their lives on the line daily for an increasingly ungrateful public. It's a thankless job that's gotten far riskier in recent years.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 09:16AM PDT

Name	First Name: James Last Name: Harrold
Email	jimbharrold [REDACTED]
Comment	Send your military equipment to Ukraine! They actually need it there.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 12:51PM PDT

Name	First Name: Matt Last Name: Meron
Email	mathew.meron [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't believe SUAS should be considered military equipment. It's commercial product used by many different companies. If we are deciding to call them such, wouldn't that also mean everyone else using those same pieces of equipment should be considered military?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 08:36AM PDT

Name	First Name: Chelle Last Name: Temple
Email	chelletempleking [REDACTED]
Comment	Please do not adopt this policy. Police officers do not need military weapons. Consider instead using a portion of this funding for non-violence training. Too many people are injured or killed by officers already.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 09:35AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ciara Last Name: Spanne-Cordosi
Email	ciaraspanne [REDACTED]
Comment	Police should not be allowed to use military equipment, period. It's unnecessary unless the goal of Sac PD is to go out and kill someone. Last I checked, the goal was to protect the city.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:16PM PDT

Name	First Name: Randall Last Name: McCarley
Email	rmccarley76 [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't feel comfortable with military equipment being used against civilians. I'd prefer emphasis on de-escalation techniques and community action to reduce the appeal of gangs.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Turner
Email	mikethewease@██████████
Comment	yeah, no. You don't need military equipment to do your job. Thanks
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:16PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tony Last Name: Skuse
Email	tskuse [REDACTED]
Comment	Yeah let's not. If you need military equipment your fucking doing it wrong. Police by consent.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:10PM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Hanley
Email	pasaqual[REDACTED]
Comment	This is the exact opposite direction our police force should be taken... In the entirety of my adult life, I have never seen equal treatment of protests and have always seen a very common theme of local police playing political favorites. This gear is not only unnecessary but blurs the line of the job of our local police force. They are not a militia and should not be treated as such. The budget for this should be spent on community policing... More foot patrols, bike cops, DE-ESCALATION TRAINING, TRAFFIC DUTY, and most importantly hiring more police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Annette Last Name: Schimof
Email	annetteschimpf85 [REDACTED]
Comment	I think this is an idiotic idea, why does the police need military equipment?? What a total waste of taxpayer money. We need more funds for schools and community engagement, not tools of death. The idea of living in a community where the police need or want military equipment makes me sick to my stomach. What kind of training do the police even need??? Not a 4 year degree, why not? I can't even continue writing.. What kind of hope for humanity can we have? So sad. We don't need more guns or tools of death. That's surely NOT the answer and NOT going to make anything better.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Robert Last Name: Lynn
Email	roblynn949 [REDACTED]
Comment	Fucking don't. How about you jackasses actually learn to work with the public, rather than scheming different ways to control and hurt us. If you have so much surplus funds, allocate those funds to your piss poor social and community outreach programs. Jesus Fucking Christ, this is fucking disgusting.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jordan Last Name: McClain
Email	jem0825 [REDACTED]
Comment	Allowing for the police to have access to military equipment will be detrimental and overpowering against Sacramento's safety and communities. I would prefer for Sacramento's funds to be allocated toward providing funds for public schools and resources for the homeless such as hospitable shelter (i.e. warming centers for oncoming storms; resting areas that are not located on pavement), accessible food/water, and access to public mental health services.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:29PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kensey Last Name: Nichols
Email	kenseynichols123 [REDACTED]
Comment	I feel uncomfortable with funds being distributed to military grade weaponry and equipment. I would prefer money be targeted at training police offers in deescalation tactics, encountering folks with mental illnesses or special needs, and community outreach. Funds need to be distributed to support our officers in their daily tasks, not toward protesting/crowd dispersement equipment that will be used far less frequently. The national guard should be called in to support in case the need for military equipment arises.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Name	First Name: Alexander Last Name: Hess
Email	alexandervhess [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I believe that our police force should be treated as you treat the civilians you are supposed to serve. Officers are already considered a special class here with more privileges than the average citizen, with LEO exemptions and various other special statuses enshrined into law. Military equipment further cements this divide; You are not an occupying force, your mission is not peacekeeping in a warzone. It is inherently abhorrent for you to use military equipment, especially things like LRAD's, 40mm launchers with less lethal ammunition, and violating our 1st, 4th, and 5th Amendment rights with Drones and technology like Stingrays. You are not encountering IED's, MRAPs do not belong on our streets. Why are breaching robots needed? You aren't storming armored compounds, and No Knock Raids are dangerous for both civilians and police and should be minimized, if used at all. As for the 750,000 rounds of .308, what justification does the department have for needing a Battle Rifle/Marksman's round for protecting and serving a civilian populace? If the rounds are simply for training a force for a Rapid Response Team/Crisis Unit and stockpiled against future ammunition shortages it is one thing, but it is highly unlikely that the force is going to encounter heavily armored individuals on our city streets. You may cite growing unrest and dissatisfaction with the police and governance as a reason for needing these items, but ask yourself; Is the unrest not growing because your force escalated tensions in the first place? Spend the money on training, fitness, mental health care, and De-escalation training for the force, rather than wasting it on pretending to be an occupying force, because if you do continue as you are, people will become further and further polarized.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Elizabeth Last Name: Shoemaker
Email	lizzyshoe[REDACTED]
Comment	I do not want my tax money going towards militarizing the police. This does not make me safer. Instead invest in schools and better housing options.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:38PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stefan Last Name: Voss
Email	mr.stefan.voss [REDACTED]
Comment	Policing should be about serving and protecting the community, not escalating violence towards it. Use of equipment designed for war zones, killing enemies, and subduing entire populations of people is absolutely not appropriate for a domestic police force. It would be far more intelligent to spend the money requested on better training for police and more reasonable policing activities like good ol' walking the beat, getting to know the community you're policing, and generally being of SERVICE to the community, rather than a dangerous and terrifying force meant to intimidate.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 10:41AM PDT

Name	First Name: Michelle Last Name: Kizner
Email	uremailingme [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no reason that a police department should have military equipment. Officers are tasked to protect and serve. Civilians are not enemies to fight.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Josh Last Name: Oren
Email	joshoren.jo [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment should only be used by the military, not for the purpose of 'policing'.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Rachel Last Name: Lowry
Email	rlowry912 [REDACTED]
Comment	This is a ridiculous idea that needs to be shut down before it even gets off the ground. I oppose this vehemently and I guarantee that if the general community was made aware of this survey, the majority of them would share similar thoughts
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:52PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	No. You are not the military. I would rather your resources go toward training your officers to see non-police as humans.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:24PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>1. Any mis-use of any of the military equipment must result in prison time for the officer(s) involved. The thug who tear gassed the UC Davis students some years ago should have spent time in prison. As long as actions like that occur we can't trust police departments. 2. All use of military equipment will be recorded and available to the public within 30 days. No using drones to spy on ex-significant others or creep on people officers find cute. 3. Military equipment can only be used when there is imminent and extremely credible danger of a multiple casualty event. NOT at demonstrations, NEVER at demonstrations. EVER. Worst case, a few broken windows and some lost goods. Hey, maybe instead of spending 400K on a tank reimburse the store owner. 4. Also, no military equipment. That's what the military is for. The police aren't trained or organized to follow the proper rules of engagement and so far, can't be trusted.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:59PM PDT

Name	First Name: Zachary Last Name: Grant
Email	zacharygran[REDACTED]
Comment	Funds would be better utilised to psychiatric trained police force to bridge the gap between social work and police. Hell I'd say use the money to give everyone better pensions. Until I see a gang member Rollin in with military grade equipment you don't need it either, and if it exists use that money to create a task force to secure and dismantle said illegally obtained equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 11:24AM PDT

Name	First Name: Tymeri Last Name: Asada
Email	t_asada [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not believe Sac PD needs military equipment. You need more training in de-escalation, not more weapons to use against citizens. After everything that's happened the last couple years, the community does not have trust in the police to use this equipment responsibly. The risk for excessive use of force is too great. Why do you need military equipment to handle citizens? You are not fighting a war and you are not in the military. You are trying to protect the community of Sacramento and you do not need military equipment for that.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:12PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jonathan Last Name: Hill
Email	jono.rees [REDACTED]
Comment	This is a terrible idea and the exact opposite of community policing.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: jacob Last Name: sloan
Email	sacobjloan [REDACTED]
Comment	don't fucking militarize
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Javier Last Name: Hernandez
Email	alex5857 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military maneuvers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 02:00PM PDT

Name	First Name: Robert Last Name: Bradshaw
Email	rb3junk [REDACTED]
Comment	What is the current military situation in the city of Sacramento that requires a heavily armed police force to be patrolling with more arms? Has Sacramento been invaded?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:03PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I do not support militarized police forces. I live in Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 03:37AM PDT

Name	First Name: Neil Last Name: Downing
Email	
Comment	I don't thing Sacramento PD should be using military type equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 08:28AM PDT

Name	First Name: Nicole Last Name: Genger
Email	gengernicole [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>For context, I have worked in Sac County within the juvenile justice system, mental health and housing fields. Not once in my career would I ever think that increasing the military presence in a community would equate to increase safety for its citizens. From a psychological perspective, when police has increased its intensity and power, you as an individual are left feeling powerless and I imagine people would only increase their fear response and distrust in police. It also takes the humanity away from the police officers that are supposed to be a part of the community and elevates them to a more threatening role in the community. I know it sounds dystopian but when we turn police into robots, we lose so much of the empathic response needed from human to human interactions in the community and I believe this move to be a very slippery slope, one that may start with the best of intentions, but could have very severe consequences. I was on a panel within the last couple years advocating for mental health workers to be deployed with police officers, a tactic that has been proven to help deescalate situations in other cities, and I believe this militarization is in direct opposition to that objective. Reading through the list of machinery and weaponry listed I just kept thinking to myself, "when and why would this be used in a community-based operation? Is it because there are increased civilians using weapons, is it that civilians have made reports feeling unsafe in the community, is it that there is documentation of these tactics working in other cities?" Otherwise, to me, seeing armored structures or "non-lethal" shotguns in the street will only serve to intimidate and escalate situations, such as what we saw with protesting in previous years. Put simply, if departments of higher authority treat the city as though it is a battlefield, I believe the feelings of safety and security will decrease as the citizen population sees their city become a battlefield. Thank you for taking into account the public's opinions on this matter.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 09:43AM PDT

Name	First Name: Carson Last Name: Dacus
Email	carson.dacus [REDACTED]
Comment	I disagree with Military Equipment use. The cost associated with acquiring and maintaining military equipment as well as proper training for the officers would be better spent on de-escalation training and support services staff. Likewise, the money could be better used to pay for foot patrols in heavily crowded areas such as Downtown's K St clubs at 2am.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 09:51AM PDT

Name	First Name: Daniel Last Name: Rosinsky-Larsson
Email	sonofkey [REDACTED]
Comment	An oft-repeated maxim (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Law_of_the_instrument) is that provision of a tool will result in its overuse -- "If one has a hammer, one tends to look for nails". A 2017 study on use of military-grade equipment in policing found a correlation between provision of military-grade equipment and civilian casualties in policing (see: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317581659_Militarization_and_police_violence_The_case_of_the_1033_program). Sacramento must focus on integrating its police force with the communities it serves -- instead of reading itself for war. Daniel Rosinsky-Larsson [REDACTED]
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 28, 2022, 12:43AM PDT

Name	First Name: Maegan Last Name: Housley
Email	crem2057 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory, typically of another governing nation, with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that is the current situation within the homeland, within Sacramento, they need to stop being police. Their job is not that of a soldier's. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances by using intimidating or lethal force. It is not the job of the police to be the judge, jury and executioner to someone who is BY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT: Innocent Until Proven Guilty. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. As citizens we are not Guilty Until Proven Innocent, we are INNOCENT Until Proven Guilty. Non-lethal means need to be put into use to subdue a combative suspect. The job of the police is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military maneuvers used by soldiers. Gross over-use of MILITARY-grade weapons is obscene when in the hands of domestic law enforcement officers, and needs to end. There is absolutely no reason those types of weapons should be armed against civilians of the homeland. Stop the abuse of power.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 12:52PM PDT

Name	First Name: Whitney Last Name: Delgado
Email	whit893 [REDACTED]
Comment	As a Sacramento community member I do not approve of the use or purchase of military-grade weapons in my community. The money proposed should instead be diverted to support for training programs to help the community who are houseless or having mental health crisis, gang intervention programs and affordable housing. What we have seen recently is houseless people being kicked out of temporary housing and a rise in overdoses. The money should go to helping the community, not to buying military equipment to be used on the people of this community. The fact that this is a proposal is so disappointing, let alone the proposal to purchase chemical weapons (page 18), which were banned by the 1925 Geneva Protocol.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 01:17PM PDT

Name	First Name: Andee Last Name: Sunderland
Email	staring.blue [REDACTED]
Comment	The police are supposed to be public servants not an occupying military force. If you want to build trust and goodwill this is not the way to do it! Military equipment will never make us safer, it will only turn out streets into a war zone. Please reject this attempt to further militarize public safety in Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 02:40PM PDT

Name	First Name: Claire Last Name: Palmer
Email	clairepalmer14 [REDACTED]
Comment	Sac PD should not use chemical weapons banned during wartime that are abortifacients especially as a form of crowd control. The people of this city do not want a more militarized police. DO NOT PROCEED WITH THESE PURCHASES OR THIS POLICY.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 28, 2022, 02:05PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Sacramento needs its communities funded and cared for, NOT militarized police. Cops are not the military and Sacramento is not a warzone. This is a shameful policy!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 2, 2022, 11:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Erin Last Name: Reynolds
Email	E_danielle_ [REDACTED]
Comment	I wholeheartedly believe that the use of military equipment is not necessary and further contributes to the over policing of black and brown communities. We are not in a war zone and our children and community should not be subject to the use of military equipment for infraction that should be handled otherwise. Our law enforcement persons should be trained to handle disturbances without the military equipment. That is excessive force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:02AM PDT

Name	First Name: Yekaterina Last Name: Dvoretzkikh
Email	kdvor88 [REDACTED]
Comment	NO! Our streets are not a warzone! Military equipment is never used for de-escalation, it ends up being used against peaceful protestors. instead of spending money on more weapons you should invest it into the community, homelessness and mental health help. Get out of here with that tank and instead buy some housing or hire social workers. Including military equipment will lead to military action and our streets are not a warzone. i do not want even a cent of my tax payer money to be used for this or for any weapon for that matter. there are a million other things that would be better to spend government money on. militarizing police will cause more and more deaths and they dont have a great rack record as it is. NO NO NO i am completely against this policy.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:27AM PDT

Name	First Name: Andy Last Name: O'Neil
Email	aconei [REDACTED]
Comment	I support the police getting whatever equipment they deem necessary to do their job. I have no problem with military equipment, etc. The safety of our police officers is paramount.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Portanova
Email	michael.portanova [REDACTED]
Comment	The police should not be issued military equipment. Why would they need that? What problem would giving the local police military gear solve?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:35AM PDT

Name	First Name: Tamara Last Name: Martfeld
Email	ashiegrooviesinge[REDACTED]
Comment	Please use whatever equipment is needed to stop crime in general, illegal blocking of roadways, tagging, vandalism, etc. I feel this city and county have been overtaken by criminals and I would like to see it made safer.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:14AM PDT

Name	First Name: Amanda Last Name: Watson
Email	amanda [REDACTED]
Comment	I am all for having law enforcement to have all the resources needed. I support this.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:33AM PDT

Name	First Name: Selysa Last Name: Marshall
Email	SelysaLove [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not believe that police should have possession of Military Equipment. There is a history of this equipment being used on civilians, which truly does not protect the peace that the police claim to do.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: Carol Last Name: Howard
Email	chwolf lady [REDACTED]
Comment	Yes we need to have our Officers equipped with the best .
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:24PM PDT

Name	First Name: Richard Last Name: Chelini
Email	r-c_chelin [REDACTED]
Comment	If it saves money go for it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/2022/05/04/sacramento-pd-seeks-community-feedback-on-military-equipment-use-policy/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 09:15AM PDT

Name	First Name: Desiree Last Name: Caruthers
Email	Dragon_tale_98 [REDACTED]
Comment	After reading the proposal, I feel that these purchases are a good forethought for the future as criminals are seeming to get bolder.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 11:02AM PDT

Name	First Name: Silas Last Name: Thomas
Email	silas.thomas [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Giving our local law enforcement more heavy equipment and militarized vehicles will only further deepen the divides between law enforcement and the community in which they serve. Studies have shown that this heavy equipment does not reduce crime, or foster trust in law enforcement. "Law enforcement cannot build community trust if it is seen as an occupying force coming in from outside to rule and control the community." Having such equipment will only encourage its use more broadly, further escalating community tensions between the police and community, and further allowing an us vs. them mentality to set in among law enforcement, creating a deeper perceived threat of the community which they're supposed to serve. Law Enforcement is not supposed to be a para-military organization... but more it seems officers have taken an attitude and given leeway to train and respond to situations in escalator fashion. This heavy equipment can only been seen as tactic approval to allow more aggressive and violent policing - which can and will results in deaths of both the public and police officers. I vehemently, with ever fiber of my being, oppose the acquisition of heavy equipment for police use.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 01:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: chris Last Name: halm
Email	Cchinca [REDACTED]
Comment	Do I understand this correctly that while Sac PD is in a continuous struggle to regain the public's trust (after numerous instances of poor decision-making and institutional racism), and thinks the use of military equipment is something that people of color will support? My interactions with officers has been mixed at best, and I have no trust that military equipment will help de-escalate dangerous situations.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 03:45PM PDT

Name	First Name: Deborah Last Name: James
Email	debbie [REDACTED]
Comment	I am opposed to all military use devices by Sacramento City Police. They have proven themselves unworthy and unable to protect taxpayers. Rather they are arming themselves as though taxpayers are "the enemy." As a Black woman, I am constantly aware that I cannot attend rallies and I am in danger of being under siege by military enhanced police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 04:07PM PDT

Name	First Name: Patti Last Name: Michel-Evleth
Email	pmichevleth [REDACTED]
Comment	I think the use of military equipment on the citizens of the City is atrocious. Military equipment, by definition, is manufactured to be used on enemy combatants with whom we are at war. The use of military equipment by the City police creates a situation where the citizens are the enemy. You all know, as do I, that this equipment has been used to attack peaceful protestors, most notably at Black Lives Matter demonstrations. It escalates the situation and increases the violence and does NOT de-escalate, as your draft states.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 01:56PM PDT

Name	First Name: Donald Last Name: Scheppmann
Email	donandrhonda [REDACTED]
Comment	I believe the equipment is needed and will be used responsibly. I have confidence that our police know when it is appropriate to use this equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/us/how-should-sacramento-police-use-military-gear-the-city-wants-to-hear-from-you/ar-AAX14rM?ocid=hplocalnews

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 03:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: Elicia Last Name: Wallace
Email	alliewallace510 [REDACTED]
Comment	I think it's a very bad idea there would only be more violence and alot more problems I know for a fact nobody wants to deal with I strongly don't agree with this
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 09:10AM PDT

Name	First Name: patrick Last Name: wheeler
Email	patrickwheeler0302 [REDACTED]
Comment	Simply put, as they see fit.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.msn.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 04:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Fred Last Name: Kindel
Email	f.kinde [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely yes. Crime is out of bounds. Our police need all the tools they can get. I wouldn't support using an atom bomb—but everything else: Yes!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 10:39AM PDT

Name	First Name: george Last Name: seagulis
Email	
Comment	our cities are in deep attack everyday by enemies. we have to defend our country with all our means. Please help our communities all over the country
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 11:35AM PDT

Name	First Name: Javier Last Name: Martinez
Email	jasama79 [REDACTED]
Comment	Yes please!! And then some... not to be used only on riots, protests, crowd control. But, also to be used against the every day out of control crime. Criminals dont ask for permission to obtain better, bigger weapons. They just get them... if they could have a rocket launcher or a tank, they would and use it against police and civilians.. Consequences for committing crimes must be revised and enforced! We, the citizens, are walking around grabbing our butts with both hands while criminals easily take what they want whenever they want. We should just be grateful that our lives are sometimes spared!! Really??? Wild West is back...SMH...
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 06:44AM PDT

Name	First Name: Blythe Last Name: Blue
Email	bluevelvet81 [REDACTED]
Comment	No. Military type gear goes entirely against police being there to protect and serve. And its loud. No thank you.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://edition.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 15, 2022, 03:55PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ash Last Name: Ha
Email	ashbratt18 [REDACTED]
Comment	It's designated as "military" gear for a reason. It Is not needed in a community setting by local law enforcement. Military gear should be used by the military who are trained to use it for situations that require it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 04:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alexandra Last Name: Lamirande
Email	alexandra.lamirande [REDACTED]
Comment	No more military equipment! It shouldn't exist for police in the first place.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 11:52AM PDT

Name	First Name: Emma Last Name: Burke
Email	elburke4 [REDACTED]
Comment	I am strongly opposed to Sacramento Police Department obtaining any additional military equipment. There has not been a demonstrated need for increased military grade weaponry or vehicles in our community and it's use only deepens a divide between our government and the people of Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 12:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Bill Last Name: Spurgin
Email	bspurgin1 [REDACTED]
Comment	I support this.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 11:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: Nick Last Name: Brunner
Email	nickcandigi [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I don't believe military weapons or equipment have any place within a municipal police force. The Sac PD continues to enjoy a bigger and bigger budget each year and I question the merit especially given the response to peaceful Black Lives Matter protests. I'm frankly furious at the departments callous, reckless and violent response especially over the last two years. Among the catalogued items: "Firearms designed to launch explosive projectiles." These will not "protect and serve" civilians. They'll further protect the current racist system of oppression that is widespread throughout the country. The amount of money that our community needs for mental health services, shelter and education far outweighs our need for "long rang sonic" devices or more battering rams. There are vehicles listed at 300k & 400k to "disperse crowds." Which crowds, I wonder. Then there's the astonishing "mobile command" vehicle at 900k. This reeks of cowardice and contempt for the public the department claims to serve. Then there's the proviso about raising money from any source to pay for these regardless of what the citizenry feels AND in the wake of the PPP funding scandal Sac PD used to pay bonuses to staff. Disgusting. If you haven't guessed by now, I'm a no on all of this.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

<p>Name</p>	<p>First Name: Steve Last Name: Wirtz, PhD</p>
<p>Email</p>	<p>wirtzsjw [REDACTED]</p>
<p>Comment</p>	<p>I wanted to express my deep concern and opposition to the required Sacramento City Police proposed policy for the acquisition, funding, use and reporting of "military equipment" - 410.06 - MILITARY EQUIPMENT USE 4-20-22. I have reviewed the 25 page policy document and find so many reasons to be concerned and opposed to the militarization of city police. The foremost reason is that the Sacramento Police should be focused primarily on the safety and well-being of law abiding residents and should be reformed to address its historical, structural and institutional racism! The second reason is that the funds to support this more appropriate role for police in our communities should be focused on the preventive and community based aspects of policing, NOT the militarization of city police. I am concerned that the display of military equipment is inherently escalatory and can easily be interpreted as aggressive. The actual use of military equipment is likely to be interpreted as threatening and will likely escalate a situation. Seeing a tank at a demonstration or in a neighborhood, observing officers wearing riot gear when no obvious crime or violence is in view, seeing guns held or pointed without knowing whether they will shoot bullets or less lethal ammunition can cause panic or encourage aggressive behavior. The Military Equipment Use Policy is vague and incomplete. It is missing clear language on multiple aspects of the policy. The proposed policy must clearly describe the independent oversight that will be used. It does not clearly define procedures for documenting the deployment of military equipment. It doesn't identify who or what will exercise independent oversight over the policy and uses of military equipment policy. The proposed policy does not require adherence in the event of "mutual aid", when outside law enforcement agencies are operating within Sacramento. For example, Sacramento could adopt the same policy as that adopted by many other cities and counties: "Coordination with Other Jurisdictions: Military equipment should not be used by any other law enforcement agency or member in this jurisdiction unless the military equipment is approved for use in accordance with this policy." Finally, the proposed policy must not include blanket approval without specifying quantities or cost of new military equipment. Also there are uses that should not be allowed. The proposed policy should specify when something is not allowed to be used, remove phrases like "included but not limited to", list the actual and inclusive allowed uses, and remove "crowd management" and "crowd control" from allowed uses. The use of drones should not be authorized without a warrant (including to collect photos inside buildings, or record video or voice). The use of rubber bullets and chemical agents should not be authorized when vulnerable persons are present, or for crowd control. The use of armored vehicles for arresting a suspect should not be authorized without full consideration of alternatives. For example, the proposed policy should not include new equipment that has not been reviewed by the City Council, including the ROOK, an armored bulldozer used by SWAT teams. SPD should not apply for or acquire this highly militarized vehicle. Further, the proposed uses of Long Range Acoustic Devices (LRADs) must be tightly defined, with attention to protecting vulnerable populations. When police departments, such as in Oakland and New York, have used LRADs at high decibels and within close range or a crowd, it has caused long-term pain and trauma. A federal court found that LRADs used in protests constituted excessive force. In addition, the policy must fully consider AB 48 (different from AB 481) which limits police use of kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents during protests or to disperse crowds. The Sacramento PD First Amendment Assembly Manual (RM 532.11) was recently updated to comply with AB 48. The phrasing from that manual (or directly from AB 48) should be included in the Military Equipment Use Manual to clarify use of military projectiles and chemicals in crowd situations, including demonstrations and protests. Most important, the policy should include enforcement of policies via litigation by community members. Adequate enforcement of military equipment policies requires ordinances that have provisions for community members to go to court over violations of the policy, known as a private right of action. For a model, see the provision for a private right of action in Oakland's military equipment ordinance, Oakland Municipal Code Section 9.65.040, "Enforcement". If policy makers are truly serious about having the police accountable to the communities they are supposed to be serving there are a further set of questions they should be reviewing and assuring the policy addresses. Please see the questions raised at this web site:</p>

User's Session Information

IP Address: [REDACTED]
Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 01:37AM PDT

Name	First Name: ad
Email	
Comment	These sound like very useful and positive acquisitions and I hope they are approved'
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 02:38PM PDT

Name	First Name: Marlon Last Name: Cuellar
Email	marlon.cuellar [REDACTED]
Comment	There should be no military equipment by local law enforcement use under any circumstances in the city of Sacramento. Thank you.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 03:00PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I don't think the police should use military equipment on the city's citizens and should not have access to them for any other use. They are not the military.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 03:23PM PDT

Name	First Name: Annabelle Last Name: Ross
Email	ahross71 [REDACTED]
Comment	Yes I approve of this.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 04:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Phyllis Last Name: Beyrer
Email	isabelayfernando [REDACTED]
Comment	I am in support of using any equipment that will protect our police officers and at the same time can be used to de escalate a dangerous situation.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/2022/05/04/sacramento-pd-seeks-community-feedback-on-military-equipment-use-policy/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 08:07AM PDT

Name	First Name: Monica Last Name: Ruiz
Email	mr.eg916 [REDACTED]
Comment	I support the Police Department in acquiring whatever equipment is needed to defend our streets. They have to be able to have stronger weapons and gear to protect themselves from the criminals.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 11:03AM PDT

Name	First Name: Silas Last Name: Thomas
Email	silas.thomas [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Giving our local law enforcement more heavy equipment and militarized vehicles will only further deepen the divides between law enforcement and the community in which they serve. Studies have shown that this heavy equipment does not reduce crime, or foster trust in law enforcement. "Law enforcement cannot build community trust if it is seen as an occupying force coming in from outside to rule and control the community." Having such equipment will only encourage its use more broadly, further escalating community tensions between the police and community, and further allowing an us vs. them mentality to set in among law enforcement, creating a deeper perceived threat of the community which they're supposed to serve. Law Enforcement is not supposed to be a para-military organization... but more it seems officers have taken an attitude and given leeway to train and respond to situations in escalator fashion. This heavy equipment can only been seen as tactic approval to allow more aggressive and violent policing - which can and will results in deaths of both the public and police officers. I vehemently, with ever fiber of my being, oppose the acquisition of heavy equipment for police use.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 10:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Fred Last Name: Gardon
Email	fredgardon [REDACTED]
Comment	Police do not need military weaponry. Period!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 6, 2022, 12:09PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Much better to be prepared and have the equipment then to wish you had the equipment when lives are at stake. When it is a agency's task to protect the public you must first be prepared for alll scenarios.....
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 06:06AM PDT

Name	First Name: Dave Last Name: Aaronson
Email	davensac [REDACTED]
Comment	Get whatever equipment you need to keep us safe from all the lunatics out there.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 06:32AM PDT

Name	First Name: Mischa Last Name: Purcell
Email	makear[REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I don't think Sacramento should be spending money on expensive and dangerous riot control equipment including all items 8-15. I also think a super expensive military vehicle is not appropriate for domestic deployment and do not want the city investing in any vehicles listed in item 3. I think the other items like use of UAS drones, robots and things like a mobile command center are good ideas generally, although I feel this document lacks context. I don't know what the city currently has and at what cost as a percentage of overall budget. That's especially true for the very expensive vehicles and robots. But as long as these are fiscally sound investments, I think the use of the technologies is good.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 07:02AM PDT

Name	First Name: Eve Last Name: Nyren
Email	evealisonnyren [REDACTED]
Comment	Please do not use military equipment. Use more resources to quicken response time to 911 requests instead if possible.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 07:03AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	No. I don't want the police department of this city to obtain military equipment. Spend your money elsewhere like mental health or homeless services or maybe some bikes and walking shoes so they are more visible in the community. But outfitting them like the military? No thanks.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/news/local/article261148502.html

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 07:21AM PDT

Name	First Name: Anthony Last Name: Price
Email	anthonydnprice [REDACTED]
Comment	The police department should only use "military equipment" in an active shooter event or other "war zone" like environment. A couple of protesters with signs is not a war zone.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 08:12AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ron Last Name: Alvarado
Email	alvaradoron [REDACTED]
Comment	I am in support of the Department's military equipment use policy. Our local law enforcement, with the proper training and experience outlined the the draft policy, needs these materials to help protect both themselves and the public which they are pledged to protect. The policy provides sufficient guidance, oversight and reporting requirements to avoid potential misuse of these materials. The City should take full advantage of its opportunity to obtain these materials from the military.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 08:17AM PDT

Name	First Name: Daniel Last Name: Shcherbanyuk
Email	danielshcherbanyuk [REDACTED]
Comment	I support Law Enforcement using military equipment when needed. The police SHOULD be funded. The more police we will have on the streets the less crime will be committed.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 08:30AM PDT

Name	First Name: Paul Last Name: Jorjorian
Email	pauljorjorian [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear on police is intimidating and only escalates the interactions between citizens and police. I would urge the SPD to only use it in extreme situations where the lives of police officers are at risk.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 08:35AM PDT

Name	First Name: Scott Last Name: Kellogg
Email	sgkellogg [REDACTED]
Comment	Thank you for this opportunity to comment. I'm originally from Los Angeles County, I have witnessed the militarization of the LAPD. There is nothing on your current list that leads me to think you are going down that path. Nothing to complain about there. However, I though it was important to mention: *Community style policing has a far greater impact and positive return than military style policing does. Feel free to contact me for further input. Thanks again for the opportunity to give feedback.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.msn.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 08:41AM PDT

Name	First Name: Sam Last Name: Michael
Email	smuhareb [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no need to spend energy time or money on acquiring military equipment. That energy should be allocated on creating equitable housing and social programs
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 08:59AM PDT

Name	First Name: Julia Last Name: Mullen
Email	juliaandcharlene [REDACTED]
Comment	The use of surveillance drones, unarmed, is okay with me. I fail to see the need for armored personnel carriers and other mechanized equipment. In fact, the descriptions of this equipment, especially the lethal equipment, scares the heck out of me. Conclusion: do not need lethal or potentially lethal, equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 08:47AM PDT

Name	First Name: Sarah Last Name: McFarland
Email	lilsarah414 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment has no business being used on the general public in everyday policing encounters. It's only place is in a war used by extensively trained military personnel.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.msn.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 09:23AM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Dragash
Email	dragash65 [REDACTED]
Comment	Give the police the equipment and training needed to effectively do a job most people can't do in situations most people don't want to be in. It is ridiculous to have an opinion about something you know nothing about. Gather opinions from other law enforcement agencies Federal and State regarding needed equipment and training. I never had an opinion regarding the equipment my cardiologist had in the office.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.msn.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 09:15AM PDT

Name	First Name: Dustin Last Name: Stetina
Email	dustints [REDACTED]
Comment	Clear homeless encampments.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 10:11AM PDT

Name	First Name: Diane Last Name: Schroeder
Email	dischroed[REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I understand that use of some of this military equipment is necessary during extreme attacks and emergencies. But I had personally seen when, less than 10 years ago, Bay Area police had put many of their "toys" on full display during a small peaceful anarchist rally. It was disgraceful. Bringing out military equipment has both a chilling and inflammatory effect on public discourse and is toxic to democracy. Sometimes the "good guys" can make you feel just as unsafe as the "bad guys". I don't have a problem with most of the equipment in general, but we shouldn't have too much of it. And the document suggests their is use up to the disgression of senior officers. I think our effort should really be focused on checks, balances, and personality assessments on those senior officers. They matter more than the machines.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.capradio.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 10:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Whitehall
Email	cambermichae [REDACTED]
Comment	The police are NOT a military unit. The function of a military is to defend the country by defeating an enemy. That is NOT the function of a police department which is to provide for the safety its citizens. There are no circumstances where the police should be dressing up like a 'banana republic' military.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 11:12AM PDT

Name	First Name: Autumn Last Name: Newman
Email	autumn.newman26 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I do not want our police department to obtain military equipment. More money and more equipment does not make our communities safer. We have seen this over and over across our country. What makes our communities safer are programs that help people in crisis and that help prevent crisis. We need better mental health services. We need better homeless and jobless services. We need better addiction services. We need better domestic violence services. We need programs that lift people out of poverty. Helping people and communities is what we need to be spending money on, not a militarized police force with increased fire power that will result in more loss of life, particularly in our communities of color. To quote Assembly Bill 481: "(a) The acquisition of military equipment and its deployment in our communities adversely impacts the public's safety and welfare, including increased risk of civilian deaths, significant risks to civil rights, civil liberties, and physical and psychological well-being, and incurment of significant financial costs. Military equipment is more frequently deployed in low-income Black and Brown communities, meaning the risks and impacts of police militarization are experienced most acutely in marginalized communities." Clearly, this is not what we need. Thank you for seeking community feedback.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 12:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Shelley Last Name: Hudson
Email	pooh7411 [REDACTED]
Comment	In everyday patrol I think military garb should be available. In swat situations yes military gear should be used. For safety mostly. Many LEA's are out gunned by criminals.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 12:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Philip Last Name: Kalstrom
Email	philkalstrom [REDACTED]
Comment	Should the Sacramento Police use military equipment? Absolutely not, under any circumstances. It is time to move away from the armed-to-the-teeth mentality of police departments. Let's expend resources on community policing, not on macho shows of force, which often result in innocent people being injured or killed. For example, how would military equipment assisted in dealing with the recent mass shooting in downtown? Not in the least. We need to, as a society, move away from the thought that might is right, and that more guns are the answer to any question. Let's pass sensible gun safety legislation as the first step to making this country a safer place to live. Adding to the number of weapons and equipment on the streets to an already toxic mixture is a horrible way to save lives and make the streets safer.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 01:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: B Last Name: Gylor
Email	rpculver[REDACTED]
Comment	Sacramento Law Enforcement should use the equipment to catch the bad guys who are currently terrorizing our community. The equipment should be used to keep our community, our businesses, our families, our children, and our neighbors safe. thank you.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 01:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: clay Last Name: newman
Email	claynew44 [REDACTED]
Comment	NO MILITARY EQIPMENT FOR POLICE ! Do the right thing, Keep the Military and Law Enforcement separate.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 02:40PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I am very concerned this equipment will be misused and cause harm to innocent civilians. I would like to see more details on how this will be prevented. I would also like to see details on alternative solutions to handling situations without the use of military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 04:51PM PDT

Name	First Name: John Last Name: Podlipnik
Email	johnpodlipnik [REDACTED]
Comment	Additional medium size federal arms storage depot? -joint
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 07:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: Cherrish Last Name: Castaneda
Email	Cherrishcastaneda [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely NOT WE do not consent to Military equipment being purchased and or used by local authorities. PERIOD
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 04:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: Eric Last Name: Bender
Email	ebbeattle [REDACTED]
Comment	This comment is regarding the Sacramento PD and its proposed use of United States military gear. Absolutely do not!!!! This is an abysmal policy for both local police departments as well as our Federal Government who should end this ridiculous policy immediately. American citizens are not your enemy and you are not at war. Full stop!!! It's policies like these allowing police departments to roll through American neighborhoods geared up as stormtroopers preparing to assault Fallujah which serve no purpose other than to alienate and enrage the communities these folks are supposed to serve. This is America, police have plenty of options for gear that does not involve military weaponry. This constituent votes NO to this nonsense.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 08:00PM PDT

Name	First Name: Hunter Last Name: Wood
Email	hunterJwood202 [REDACTED]
Comment	Stop funding police groups. ACAB
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 09:06PM PDT

Name	First Name: munir Last Name: azam
Email	munirazam [REDACTED]
Comment	I strongly believe there is no need of military equipment by our police. i served 16 years in the armed forces and have lived in many countries. Some countries have unarmed foot patrol and have not felt the need for the police to carry arms.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 7, 2022, 09:07PM PDT

Name	First Name: Margaret Last Name: Cardoza
Email	emjaye [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I'm tempted to say NO to military equipment of any kind EVER! But common sense says that there are certain items short of armored vehicles and grenade launchers that would aid LEOs in achieving control without crossing the line. Police forces should always keep in mind that whatever can go wrong will go wrong and any equipment that escalates rather than calms a situation is going to go wrong. If a police force is careful about who they hire and if the force is well-trained, there should always be "reasonable alternatives" to military force. Military equipment is always perceived as going to war with citizens and that should never happen. There used to be a concept of a "thin blue line" which has recently been taken over by right-wing extremists. Police forces should take it back. So I say in general NO on military equipment because if it is acquired, you can bet it will be used.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/news/local/article261148502.html

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 8, 2022, 08:00AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kurt Last Name: Malchow
Email	kverb66 [REDACTED]
Comment	It is imperative that the Sacramento Police Department have access to and training for whatever scale of equipment is needed to address the growing levels of violent crime that the city is experiencing.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 8, 2022, 10:37AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	The use of military equipment should not exist in local law enforcement.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 8, 2022, 10:55AM PDT

Name	First Name: Diane Last Name: Steed
Email	engineerd [REDACTED]
Comment	I support this policy. I believe in using non lethal force when possible to deescalate any potential violence or assault. But I also want the police to protect themselves first and foremost.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 8, 2022, 01:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: John Last Name: Muschetto
Email	jmuschetto5 [REDACTED]
Comment	Police departments should have access to military equipment as a force option. The sophistication of military weapons can help in preventing loss of life and property.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 8, 2022, 04:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Karen Last Name: Ives
Email	alpineives [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Who is the "governing body" - city manager or city council? The current draft basically says they can order anything they want instead of what, how many & at what cost. Unacceptable. How does the TBD list compare with what the dept. already has - how much is replacement of equipment that is beyond it's useful life? How much of each is additional or new? How does the volume of each product compare to staffing? What percentage of the dept. budget is being spent? Prior use of like-kind materials needs to be detailed. The dept. was unable to use pepper balls & other projectiles correctly during the protests a few years ago. People were needlessly injured and cost the city \$. How much (either legal costs or payouts) have each of the products (or similar) cost the city in the past? How many lives saved or injuries reduced with each product type? Are they cost effective (people & money)? What analysis was done for each type of product. It must not be just a gift or wish list! Transparency and accountability. The drone policy needs to be to be much more specific. All video/audio must be reviewed by persons/group NOT on the force to ensure that footage NOT related to specific police activity is deleted and ALL footage that is related, stored for eventual public availability. Privacy and accountability must be very specific in the policy. Increased support for and safety of our officers comes with increased transparency and trust.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 8, 2022, 05:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: BARBARA Last Name: GRAHAM
Email	carex [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm all for it... we need to take a WAR ON CRIME stand and we need it yesterday. It has become so dangerous to go out after being locked up with covid. When I was in Italy in 1981 there were armed guards on every corner and I felt totally safe. We need something similar here. Barb
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 8, 2022, 07:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Mongeau
Email	davidrmongeau [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The military equipment you list makes sense. THings liek MRAPS, grenades, and higher powered weaponry should not be bought by the Sacramento Police Department. There needs to be an acknowledgement that some of the organizations the police face are national or international and the US should address those threats with its own national focus. Arming all of the nations police departments' (including Sacramento) with the fire power to fight national organizations is incorrectly matching the equipment with the what a local police department should be focussed on. I get a sense that in the territorial realities of law enforcement and budgets, a lot of what I am saying is fantasy. I think the focus of each department (and level of law enforcement) should be clear, and that mission should inform the equipment needed. Items like operational centers and unmanned drones (that are also unarmed) make sense for the department and should be able to easily integrate with other levels of law enforcement that are likely to be using surplus from the same supplier. The integration ideally would allow forces to work together so local police departments are not small armies.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 07:38AM PDT

Name	First Name: Joe Last Name: Kirkland
Email	jbkirkland477 [REDACTED]
Comment	If the city and the PD can save money by acquiring surplus military equipment then by all means do it. Give the police department the tools they need to uphold the laws, protect the community, and protect themselves during enforcement operations.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 02:43PM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Mayhew
Email	chrismayhew65 [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm all for the use of military style equipment for use to protect Officer and civilian lives. I don't think it should be the first line of defense unless the totality of circumstances warrant it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 08:22AM PDT

Name	First Name: Emily Last Name: Whitfield
Email	emwhitfield [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sac PD does NOT need ANY military equipment. It's in the title - military equipment. The POLICE force does NOT need MILITARY equipment. Zero% of the budget should go to the acquisition of such equipment. From the draft: "MILITARY EQUIPMENT USE CONSIDERATIONS 1. The military equipment acquired and authorized by the Department is: a. Necessary because there is no reasonable alternative that can achieve the same objective of officer and civilian safety. b. Reasonably cost effective compared to available alternatives that can achieve the same objective of officer and civilian safety. 2. Military equipment shall only be used by a Department employee only after applicable training, including any course required by the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training, has been completed, unless exigent circumstances arise." Pray tell, when has the POLICE force ever been called to protect citizens and use said military equipment? I'd bet that when Sac PD has used this unnecessary equipment it was ON citizens. If we needed the MILITARY to protect us, then the National Guard would be called in. Sac PD needs to stop trying to play GI Joe and leave the military equipment with the military!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 02:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: Rosemarie Last Name: Diaz
Email	rarie68 [REDACTED]
Comment	I believe the police department should use whatever military equipment is available to them to protect the citizens of Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 02:52PM PDT

Name	First Name: Harold Last Name: Newkom
Email	htnewkkm [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment should be used.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 02:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Bert Last Name: Sousa
Email	Snakedrvr [REDACTED]
Comment	As one who was largely involved in obtaining the Original Helicopters and spares for the City, I am totally in favor of the use of Surplus Equipment. As a retiree now, my hobby is buying Military Surplus and it never fails to amaze me what the taxpayer pays for items I pay almost nothing. So in the case of Surplus the taxpayer has already been billed, now for the items to be used again is a great benefit to the City. I would be surprised if the city does not have a person dedicated to searching the surplus chains for items to save City taxpayers money. Im available for questions and have no dog in the hunt.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 08:42AM PDT

Name	First Name: Matt Last Name: Suprun
Email	matts [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Thank you for your hard work and posting this information for the public. We live in mid town area and were affected by the riots few years back and understand that these things are necessary in specific situations. Just a few comments. I was looking at the Items and the Cost associated with the item listed and based on what I was able to find online and in warehouse stores the costs estimated were substantially higher then actual, some almost \$7000 higher. How is the Department looking to make sure that they are getting the best price? Are they accepting various bids or using only a few suppliers? And should there be some Warranty or Guarantees included in the items as most of these items have various maintenance fees associated to them. Thank you for your time!</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 02:56PM PDT

Name	First Name: Geoff Last Name: Kniesch
Email	gkniesch81 [REDACTED]
Comment	You should look into DJI products and why a large company like Best Buy stopped selling their products. How DJI has been caught using the flight maps from users here in the US for something in China. Just look into it. Pretty interesting. Coming from a person who owns a Mavic Pro.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 09:02AM PDT

Name	First Name: Taylor Last Name: Mount
Email	tmount1031 [REDACTED]
Comment	The police should NEVER use military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: Cisco Last Name: Gutierrez
Email	maaze916 [REDACTED]
Comment	If it makes the Police more safe in dealing with the predators and violence democratic policies have caused, then let them do it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:16PM PDT

Name	First Name: Noah Last Name: Willsmore
Email	nwillsmore [REDACTED]
Comment	All of these seem to be assets that are necessary in today's environment for law enforcement and not trusting the Sacramento Police Department to know what they need and responsibly obtain it without the oversight of a governing body is ridiculous and demeaning to the officers in the field who face situations every day where "military" ordinance would make their lives and their jobs better and easier.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:42PM PDT

Name	First Name: Katharine Last Name: Davis
Email	Daviskatharine [REDACTED]
Comment	I think there's definitely a need for our local PD and Sheriffs department to have military equipment to help protect the citizens and our city. There needs to be more resources and funds available too.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Talya Last Name: Raphaella
Email	archangel.sandalphon [REDACTED]
Comment	It looks excellent to me! Please continue doing what you are doing to keep our communities safe. I am supportive of providing/agreeing to any equipment needs in order to combat threats to public safety.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 04:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Austin Last Name: Hays
Email	
Comment	You don't need a damn helicopter
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 04:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Linda Last Name: Faiello
Email	faiello5 [REDACTED]
Comment	I support military equipment being used by trained law enforcement to prevent law enforcement and or the public from being harmed or killed. The equipment if used properly could/would be an additional tool for law enforcement.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 10:29AM PDT

Name	First Name: Lonnie Last Name: Anderson
Email	bestnanaever74 [REDACTED]
Comment	police report
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://www.cityofsacramento.org/police

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 04:33PM PDT

Name	First Name: Derek Last Name: Matthews
Email	dereksilverio88 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>As a combat veteran, I don't think we need more military equipment in our streets. MRAPs are mine resistant. That was a problem in Iraq. I don't think I've ever heard of an IED on the road in Sacramento, nor rocket propelled grenades or other things an MRAP was designed to protect against. Assault rifles and accommodating equipment like PEQ-2s similarly have a function in combat zones where we're frequently ambushed day or night by high caliber heavy fire, but are rarely needed in Sacramento. We need a sober threat assessment and to procure equipment in proportion to the threats officers are facing everyday. Not the theoretical threats or worst case scenarios. The appearance of overmilitarization erodes public confidence and hurts community relations. I think the onus should be on the police department to justify necessity and a lack of viable equivalent alternatives before we start buying up more military equipment. The bar should be high. For instance, MRAPs should not meet this standard. Uparmor your vehicles. It's cheaper, meets the need, is less conspicuous, and the raw weight of the vehicle won't be damaging our public roads.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 04:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jeff Last Name: Klugman
Email	blondcalifdude [REDACTED]
Comment	Support 100%
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 04:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: Robert Last Name: Vasquez
Email	vasquezh96 [REDACTED]
Comment	Whatever force or equipment is necessary to effectively and safely do your job, i while heartily approve of it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 05:12PM PDT

Name	First Name: Steve Last Name: Favis
Email	steve.favis [REDACTED]
Comment	DO NOT USE DJI CHINESE MADE DRONES OR CLOUD SERVERS because of supply chain issues and its a foreign entity controlled product. Look at AUTEL for domestic drone sources. You need thermal drones for night, AND they can see through crowd control smoke/gas-get lots of batteries for them too. In bad events run them in shifts while one is charging another is flying. Perfect for getting bad guy evidence, but you can't easily see faces with thermal. Your officers need 360 helmet mounted camera's too. Front facing cameras are now obsolete. 5.56 is obsolete get 6.8 x 51 fury https://www.sigsauer.com/blog/us-army-selects-sig-sauer-next-generation-squad-weapons-system You are not buying enough ammo. You need soft tipped bullets and metal peircing bullets depending on how bad the situation is, i.e. Vehicle or bad guy.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:28PM PDT

Name	First Name: jeffrey Last Name: roberts
Email	lefthandar [REDACTED]
Comment	donate all military equipment held by the Sacramento Police to the Ukrainian Defense Forces in Ukraine.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 03:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: KATHLEEN Last Name: Mahan
Email	katemahan [REDACTED]
Comment	I support the city police using military equipment to get criminal activities shut down.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 04:53PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kevin Last Name: Stead
Email	norcalcop[REDACTED]
Comment	I hope that the Cirt of Sacramento continues to use military equipment when it's available and also is a financial savings to all of us.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 05:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Corey Last Name: Claflin
Email	
Comment	I fully support SPD on their use of military equipment. Without it, our officers are at risk from violent criminals.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 05:44PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lloyd Last Name: Light
Email	
Comment	The police do not need military equipment, and as such should be denied or refuse any equipment, firearms, vehicles, armored personal carriers or other military equipment not readily available to the citizenry of the State of California.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 05:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: James Last Name: Brashear
Email	jb_soldier [REDACTED]
Comment	If the equipment will protect our officers and save tax payers money than we should be utilizing it. With the recent increase in gun violence in Sacramento we need to make sure our police officers are properly trained and equipped to handle the current world we live in.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:10PM PDT

Name	First Name: Paloma Last Name: Begin
Email	palomabegin [REDACTED]
Comment	I am opposed to SacPD using military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jesse Last Name: Mattson
Email	mattson [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely no military equipment should be funded, acquired or used by police or other law enforcement under any circumstances or justifications.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 09:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alonzo Last Name: Enriquez
Email	
Comment	No, Sacramento PD should not be getting funding nor using military equipment. It already pulls weapons on unarmed civilians which leads to innocent people dying. They are not trained or responsible enough to use military weapons.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 05:59PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lisa Last Name: Madrid-French
Email	farfetched1111 [REDACTED]
Comment	Yes please you need all the resources you can get!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:00PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ben Last Name: Wilhelm
Email	Ka1serw1ll1 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Your police states that military equipment can only be purchased if a. Necessary because there is no reasonable alternative that can achieve the same objective of officer and civilian safety. This policy is flawed because any use of military equipment by police is a detriment to civilian safety. Please update your police to remove the civilian part from that section and acknowledge somewhere in the policy that the intent is not to increase public safety. LRAD: will be used by law enforcement as crowd control with extremely harmful consequences. Please explain in your policy the harm that will occur from what you describe as "a warning tone" Military Rifles: Why? The "purpose" section doesn't give any explanation about how that will improve civilian safety. This is highly inappropriate for peace officers to be using weapons designed for eliminating hostile targets in war on American citizens. Is there some indication that law enforcement's current arsenal is insufficient? Chemical agents/smoke/CS grenade: what is this for? Again, explain how that will help protect civilians. Most of the items listed seem to be riot control related. Unless you explain how this is good for public safety, this looks like an attempt to ensure that African Americans cannot protest police brutality.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:04PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	This is the stupidest idea ever and Sacramento police are incompetent nightmares that absolutely don't need more weapons and tools to brutalize citizens. No military equipment for NON-MILITARY folks. If police want to cosplay as military, do it on their own time or actually enlist.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:24PM PDT

Name	First Name: Richard Last Name: Tellyer
Email	4rickl[REDACTED]
Comment	You should obtain and use anything that makes your officers job safer.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://m.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Amanda Last Name: Diamond
Email	manda1547 [REDACTED]
Comment	THE CITY SHOULD NOT HAVE ACCESS TO MILITARY EQUIPMENT TO USE AGAINST THE PUBLIC EVER. PERIOD.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:39PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lyle Last Name: Hayden
Email	tbonzalez [REDACTED]
Comment	I'd like to highlight the passage "My model suggests a more than 1% increase in civilian deaths for every \$100 worth of military surplus transferred per officer." Even if this is an overestimate, the fact is that this equipment has only one purpose: harm. As much as people like to hide behind words like "defense," "protection," or "security," there is a 100% chance is that someone is going be killed by this equipment. After all that's what it's built for. Look up what a 40mm sponge round does to a human face and think about how that makes the city a safer place to live. Please, I beg you, take this money and spend it on something good. Spend it on something that helps people.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:40PM PDT

Name	First Name: Christy Last Name: Foster
Email	cafoster83 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment should not be used by police. They are there to serve the community, and military equipment implies the police are taking an offensive stance rather than a defensive one.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:44PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tarrant Last Name: Hightopp
Email	madhatter2914 [REDACTED]
Comment	Sac PD needs to learn to use the already effective weapons they have! Sounds like scare tactics
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Monique Last Name: Wild
Email	mowild [REDACTED]
Comment	There us no need for "military equipment" it sends a message that police are at war with their community. There should be more investments in community programs where police officers are directly engaged with people in their community to "lift them up" not beat them down.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Annejulie Last Name: Bullock
Email	annejulie.bullock [REDACTED]
Comment	You are not the military. You are the police. Taking money out of the people's mouths to pay for the bullets you plan on spraying into them is a bit excessive
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 06:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: KRYSTAL Last Name: KWONG
Email	KWONG_KRYSTAL [REDACTED]
Comment	The department doesn't have a great record. The new equipment looks like the department is militarizing and may terrorize the community. There will likely be constant concern about misuse.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 09:57PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lynn Last Name: Wiley
Email	lynnadele [REDACTED]
Comment	No military equipment! I want a police force that is educated on the history of institutional racism, and one that practices compassion and mediation. Tanks and big guns are for bullies who can't figure out a better way to solve the problem.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 12:07PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mike Last Name: Reeves
Email	tightscion [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm all for the use of whatever equipment the department seems necessary, assuming that costs or maintenance and procurement are not excessive. State government has tied the hands of police officers enough. There shouldn't be so many hoops to jump through.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jennifer Last Name: Brewer
Email	queenginr [REDACTED]
Comment	Police should never use/have military equipment. They should however, have implicit bias training, anti-racism training, mental health training, and more. They should also have crisis teams, mental health professionals, and counselors that respond to to calls.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:07PM PDT

Name	First Name: Andrew Last Name: Goettle
Email	thegoettle [REDACTED]
Comment	I oppose these purchases for the city department by use or tax payer funds. There are far more pressing issues to address and better use of tax payer money than arming the police department with robots and drones and other items inconsistent with a free society. Thank you for your inquiry to the public and allowing public buy in regarding this decision.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:24PM PDT

Name	First Name: Christina Last Name: Bartell
Email	christinashaw [REDACTED]
Comment	Do whatever it takes to serve and protect. Uphold our constitution!! God bless our LEOs.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:17PM PDT

Name	First Name: Paul Last Name: Coccovillo
Email	paul_coccovillo [REDACTED]
Comment	I am deeply opposed to the police departments procurement and deployment of LRAD technology as well as armored vehicles. LRAD technology has been shown to cause traumatic brain injury and is not necessary to deploy in civil environments. The role of the police department is not to suppress its citizens. During protests the role of the police is to monitor and ensure laws are not being broken, but lately in many regional districts the role of law enforcement has extended into disbursing protests that are inconvenient for politicians. The armored vehicles the police department is seeking to obtain also are unnecessary as they create a state of mind that the role of the police is to drive compliance and suppress those they view as hostile. The role of police and their influence on the community is defeated the moment they take the position of the suppressor. At that point they only escalate violence, which is ultimately counter productive to the departments mission.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 07:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kim Last Name: Garrett
Email	envirogirl3 [REDACTED]
Comment	As aUSAF veteran, I feel that military-style equipment may be necessary in a very limited number of circumstances for the general police forces. There is very strict criteria for such use of force and weaponry in designated combat zones. Similar and more stringent criteria should be implemented within our own country and with our own citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:01PM PDT

Name	First Name: Züs Last Name: Navarrete
Email	ddcjulianavarrete [REDACTED]
Comment	Abolish the police
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:07PM PDT

Name	First Name: Adrian Last Name: Mckown
Email	adrisnmckown [REDACTED]
Comment	Buy as much equipment as your budget allows. It's rough out there. You can't fight Glock automatics with .38 specials.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:12PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sara
Email	sara.ysen344 [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no reason to waste taxpayer money on this garbage. Continuing to treat citizens like terrorists will not prevent crime. It will only lead to further militarization and an even more fascist state. Do not spend money on perpetuating and escalating violence. Spend it on providing actual permanent housing- not tents or shelter beds- for the over 11,000 unhoused people you harass and neglect on the daily. Maybe then you'll lose the excuse to use my military weapons. Pretty sure it's a war crime to use military weapons on citizens anyway
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:28PM PDT

Name	First Name: Amy Last Name: Tanner
Email	aktanner84 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Tear gas is a chemical weapon and is banned from international warfare. It is in appropriate for use on civilians. It is troubling that our police force continues to use it when it's banned from actual warfare. LRADs do indiscriminate damage. Completion of POST training is an insufficient safeguard from abuse. If SPD wants to build trust in the community, they could start by viewing themselves as members of the community, rather than paramilitary. Stockpiling military weapons, chemical agents, and vehicles/aircraft is a counterintuitive use of public funds if the goal is to build trust in the community and repair relationships. This is a clear signal that SPD has misinterpreted its mission of serving and protecting. This does nothing to serve or protect the community. This only serves the SPDs inflated budget and protects themselves from accountability. SPD sees itself as if it were at war against its community. Military weapons will do nothing but escalate tensions and the "us versus them" rot at the heart of police culture.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Anthony Last Name: Bondi
Email	abondi1984 [REDACTED]
Comment	The police are NOT military and shouldn't be equipped as such. This isn't Russia, let's not try to imitate that. You are to protect the public. That doesn't require military force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:33PM PDT

Name	First Name: Paul Last Name: Andrews
Email	saucokls [REDACTED]
Comment	All military equipment should be returned to the agency it was received from. The police are here to protect and serve. They are not here to treat us like military combatants. I do not want any military equipment here in my city. This money could be better spent investing in historically disadvantaged communities, not in arming up our police so they feel more like an occupying force than fellow citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Colin Last Name: Meinrath
Email	colin.meinrath [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>There should be no military equipment. There should be a small supply of more advanced weaponry and armor for hostage situations ONLY. Military vehicles and riot gear are not serving the public any good, and they never will. If such things were ever truly needed, they should be in the capable hands of the National Guard, not city police. Please no more no-knock warrants either unless there is a potential hostage situation. It's uncalled for.</p> <p>Demilitarizing the police dept. would be a step that most Sacramentans would welcome and would build back a lot of trust. What there should be MORE of a focus on for SacPD & the City of Sacramento:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- investigating / finding missing persons- catching sexual and other predators- busting human traffickers (including non sex, labor traffickers)- busting Johns (not prostitutes)- Preventing outbursts of political violence without favoring one "side" over another- Identifying likely to offend persons and offering them economic and psychological support to prevent crime- suppressing organized crime (hard drug & gun smugglers, not just users)- de-escalation training- training on disarming people with knives (etc) without using a gun- making unsafe areas safe
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:38PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sean Last Name: Santos
Email	sean.santos_cota [REDACTED]
Comment	Yes, use any equipment necessary to destroy these gangs
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:42PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stephen Last Name: Woodhouse
Email	woodyzx12 [REDACTED]
Comment	I believe this is a good policy for the residents of Sacramento and I approve of its application and use.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Eric Last Name: Hughes
Email	vaughn668 [REDACTED]
Comment	Let's spend our money more wisely on programs that help to create less criminals rather than a militarized police force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Christine Last Name: Miller
Email	christinemillerdvm [REDACTED]
Comment	Is this really the best use of funds? Does use of this type of equipment de-escalate and actually reduce harm for the public and police? I am not in support.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 08:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: Cass Last Name: Shinn
Email	cassideeshinn [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't want my tax payer money supporting the militarization of my local Police force. This isn't the direction our community needs or wants to move towards.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 02:55PM PDT

Name	First Name: Maria Last Name: Reyes
Email	kingsme [REDACTED]
Comment	The overwhelming message from reading about the military equipment sought by the Sac PD, is that the Police Department is about to launch a war against the citizens of Sacramento. Where is the justification and data which demonstrates the need for this equipment? This should be part of the plan--data based needs assessment of why and what existing problem in the community the equipment is intended to solve.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 09:09PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lisa Last Name: Thompson
Email	llavagn [REDACTED]
Comment	We are a city of people. We are not a military organization. Get the military equipment and tactics out of our police dept!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 09:10PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dominic Last Name: Cooper
Email	dominic.cooper1984 [REDACTED]
Comment	Update your equipment to keep up with the current threats felonious criminals are willing to use against LEO's. Buy as much as the budget allows. Also, it might be worth looking into Tyr Tactical Shields for high risk warrants, traffic stops, ending after a pursuit, etc.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 09:33PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Get everything you can!! Dont let the democrats jeopardize the safety of your officers. Use what you need and keep what you need.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 09:43PM PDT

Name	First Name: James Last Name: Daniel
Email	
Comment	Officer safety comes first and foremost. They risk their lives to keep the city safe. They should be authorized and allowed to obtain weapons and armor to defeat what the suspects use on the streets.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 09:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Megan Last Name: Wyatt
Email	megwyatt82 [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not think that the police should be using military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:22PM PDT

Name	First Name: Emily Last Name: Hurley
Email	emilybakerhurley [REDACTED]
Comment	Absurd to even consider. Use the money on deescalation and mental health training, counseling for officers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:30PM PDT

Name	First Name: Thomas Last Name: Cox
Email	army.of.dorkness [REDACTED]
Comment	Please, PLEASE do not obtain or utilize military equipment to provide peacekeeping in our fair city. This is a community, not a war zone. Sacramento yearns for an equitable relationship between the policed and the Police, not further tensions. Please spend whatever resources and time you would use for the acquisition of this equipment toward further training in de-escalation and non-violent resolutions. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this. The citizens appreciate the platform.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: Harlan Last Name: Rentfrow
Email	kronosapll01 [REDACTED]
Comment	I approve the use of military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sarah Last Name: Ortiz
Email	sarahmortiz92 [REDACTED]
Comment	I would not like to see that our city's police department adopt a policy to use military equipment. The first concern comes either the idea or using intense force from tools and equipment that's over extended on citizens. If the tools, strategies, and equipment already in use is sufficient then we do not need an extensive version. Secondly, the cost must be higher which would come from taxes payers and I do not want to use our taxes on heavy equipment for the police department. Please do not adopt these new policy
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:41PM PDT

Name	First Name: Brittany Last Name: Quinlan
Email	brit.o.knee [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I am fully opposed to the Sacramento Police Department purchasing "military equipment" for use. Research has shown time and again that departments who purchase such equipment default to said equipment, relying on these armored vehicles, robots, launchers and more, creating harmful situations that almost always escalate problems instead of de-escalating them. In particular, I am alarmed by the desire to purchase an LRAD system, which are dangerous, and can not be tuned for accurate use, and instead cause physical harm to a wide swath of innocent victims and bystanders. If ever a situation should occur where the absolute need for such "military equipment" and devices need to be used, then it is already a situation far beyond the control of local police, at which point the actual military, who is trained on the use of these pieces of equipment, should be called in to operate them. Local police do not, and will never, have a need for military grade equipment.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:57PM PDT

Name	First Name: Zach Last Name: Freels
Email	campaignzach [REDACTED]
Comment	You could sell this surplus equipment for scrap or convert to peaceful civilian uses. We don't need a highly militarized police department. The federal and state LEOs already retain sufficient power to defend people. And the risk this gets deployed against nonviolent demonstrations is high. During May 2020 protests SACPD unlawfully deployed tear gas, a chemical weapon prohibited by international convention. Put the money to social services.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 10:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tp Last Name: Coughlin
Email	tpcoughlin2020 [REDACTED]
Comment	The use of or purchase military equipment should be barred outright. These tools represent a poor investment and a disproportionate response to crime. They will only worsen community relationships and enable greater abuses.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 21, 2022, 11:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tabitha Last Name: Harbaugh
Email	tabitha.tardis [REDACTED]
Comment	The police don't need more force than whatever they already have. Getting military weapons will just cause a more unjust and unsafe community for us all.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 03:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sharon Last Name: Frederick
Email	sharoninsac [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not support the use of military equipment by the police. Such equipment communicates exactly the wrong message to the public. There is no place for equipment designed for use in war-like conditions to be used within a civilian population. I STRONGLY urge that our police force reject this idea.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:22AM PDT

Name	First Name: William Last Name: Schiemenz
Email	willtheenchanter [REDACTED]
Comment	Military grade weapons have no place in policing the citizenry.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:44AM PDT

Name	First Name: David
Email	
Comment	Please get all you can! We support the police and we want our neighborhood safer! This gang violence is getting out of control in California Capital City. We support you and respect your decision please any equipment officers think will help them for day to day their duties. Thank you so much.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 05:38PM PDT

Name	First Name: Patricia Last Name: Love
Email	mmpatricia999 [REDACTED]
Comment	No, city police do not need military grade gear. If needed a highly trained, vetted swat team or national guard can provide a higher level of policing.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 01:23AM PDT

Name	First Name: Shelby Last Name: Stephan
Email	shelbystephan [REDACTED]
Comment	This proposed policy is offensive. First of all it is not in compliance with state laws. The city of Sacramento already had too much military equipment. If anything I think this money should be used for something that is needed like sending social workers to help communities being displaced or work with gun violence. More weapons is the wrong move and disappointing. It dishonors those we have lost to gun violence.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 9, 2022, 06:45PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stephanie Last Name: Mackey
Email	e2theitheta [REDACTED]
Comment	Don't militarize our police force! No more military equipment. This is not a war zone, and the people are not your enemy. Protect and serve!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 07:07AM PDT

Name	First Name: John Last Name: Barnes
Email	jackbarnes270 [REDACTED]
Comment	Do not use military equipment
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 07:48AM PDT

Name	First Name: Sumaria Last Name: Love
Email	sumarialove [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely NOT. I am 100% against this. The Sacramento Police Department is corrupt. There is no need for military weapons. The SPD needs to learn basic things like Customer Service, How NOT to KILL innocent people and simple english, perhaps history too.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 04:42AM PDT

Name	First Name: Shaye Last Name: Harty
Email	shayeforpresident [REDACTED]
Comment	No police department should ever consider using military equipment. There is nothing more contrary to the true peace officer mission than the use and abuse of military equipment in the service of protecting and serving the community. Not only is it bad optics and seen as a poor policy, but it legitimizes the military-industrial complex. Keep your budgets within your means without hand me downs from the military. Your community thanks you.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 04:43AM PDT

Name	First Name: Eric Last Name: Davies
Email	eric.davies81 [REDACTED]
Comment	Give your officers the tools they need to keep themselves and the community safe. This includes providing equipment surplus from the military.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 04:43AM PDT

Name	First Name: Angela Last Name: Luna
Email	scout111 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Hi there. Thank you for the opportunity to provide input. When first seeing this "military use of equipment" the feeling was nothing but dread and fear, and I follow the laws and am a National Board Certified teacher, and currently in my 27th year of education. Unfortunately, I don't feel safe with police or feel police keep all people safe, especially our Black and Brown women, men and children. If police are going to be given license to use military grade equipment, without addressing the issues of systemic and situational racism as law enforcement individuals and as a collective entity, I weep for the future. This is insane and becoming more and more like a militarized police state hell bent on control and dominance, rather than building relationships and cultivating community. It's sickening, really. Black Lives Matter. -Angela F. Luna</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 08:05AM PDT

Name	First Name: Sam Last Name: Teemer
Email	rcmepa [REDACTED]
Comment	I filed a police report because a man unknown to me brandished a gun in my apartment complex. When the police arrived - they chose to question me instead of pursuing the man with the gun. I am black, and the man with the gun was white. The officers were Asian and white.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 05:53AM PDT

Name	First Name: James Last Name: Waechter
Email	james_waechter [REDACTED]
Comment	All the "military equipment" will do, will enable you to preform your duties. Either way, Sac PD will need a bomb truck, rapid response vehicles, etc. This way, however, might be a bit more cost effective for Sac PD. So go ahead, y'all need a Stryker. Especially after that downtown fiasco.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 10:01AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jenna Last Name: H
Email	
Comment	I am fundamentally opposed to the use of military grade paraphernalia by any local police force including SacPD. You are meant to protect and serve the people in this community and heavy duty armaments and equipment do nothing but terrorize the residents of our city. You are not at war with your neighbors and community, you shouldn't be armed as such.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 10:02AM PDT

Name	First Name: Edith Last Name: Hannigan
Email	ee.hannigan [REDACTED]
Comment	When did the Sacramento Police Department become a military agency? Who is Sac PD at war with? Sac PD does not need military equipment. The policy should read: Sacramento Police Department shall not purchase military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 10:08AM PDT

Name	First Name: Tess Last Name: Townsend
Email	townsendtess [REDACTED]
Comment	Sac PD should not purchase or use military equipment, at all. The police department should not be granted any money for this purpose. Any and all money that would be spent on military equipment should be diverted to measures that actually increase public safety & quality of life. These uses include shelter for unhoused residents, improved active and public transportation, youth services, and free covid tests and N95 masks. There are actual needs in this community. My taxpayer money should be going to my neighbors whose faces I see when I leave my house.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 10:08AM PDT

Name	First Name: Cory Last Name: Copeland
Email	copelandcory [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Police aren't an occupying military and should not be equipped as though they are. This offers a broad range of deadly or otherwise violent options to officers. Do we need grenade launchers and shotguns? I think we don't but if we do (and again I am almost certain we don't) their usage needs to be vastly limited compared to the proposed document. This basically says officers can use the grenade launcher (and other weapons of war) at their discretion if they think it will help the situation. This means these restrictions are only as good and the dumbest officer or leader with access to them. These restrictions are basically nothing and these weapons sound scary. I hate the idea that any officer in my community might be ready to unload explosives and drones and shotguns and at a moments notice. One officer misreading a situation becomes extraordinarily deadly and destructive. The potential to escalate a somewhat violent situation into a national tragedy is off the charts with this equipment. This is just completely out of pocket and it will further the perception among many in our community that the police are violent outsiders. This is bad for everyone involved and it's shocking you all would sincerely make this proposal. The gap between what your communities want from the police (meaningful response to victims and investigative capacity) and what the police want (grenades and long range ballistics to fire into crowds) could not be further apart. Truly shocking and embarrassing. What on earth are you thinking?</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 06:46AM PDT

Name	First Name: Joel Last Name: Martin
Email	chefjoelmartin [REDACTED]
Comment	No definitely No ! The police should not become an army unless it's a fascist government . The USA is already so violent we don't need more deadly force & weapons Please No
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:13AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jennifer Last Name: Shackleton
Email	jenbis20 [REDACTED]
Comment	Adopting military equipment is essential to protecting the citizens of Sacramento. With proper training, the City of Sac PD should adopt the use of military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 08:07AM PDT

Name	First Name: Haji Last Name: Hill
Email	haji.hil [REDACTED]
Comment	Destroy it or send it to Ukraine for use in self defense. Military equipment is designed for use in war. Using military equipment in our city is always an escalation, no matter who is doing it. War and the means by which it is waged have no place in our city. By using military hardware of any kind the Sacramento Police Department is waging war in the same streets our kids use to walk to school. This is completely inappropriate and should be criminal no matter who ithe perpetrator.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 06:36AM PDT

Name	First Name: Dana Last Name: Cash
Email	danakcash [REDACTED]
Comment	This is an absolutely ridiculous policy proposal. More and bigger guns will not solve gun violence, seems like a no brainer. Increasing police presence and their power ultimately has the opposite consequences than what you are going for. There is not a single person in Sacramento who I've spoken with about this issue that believes military-grade weapons will solve issues of violence in the community. Sacramentans DO NOT support this policy.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 09:46AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jonathan Last Name: Brunell-Bacsko
Email	iisizain [REDACTED]
Comment	After two years of protest about the brutality that police have inflicted upon everyday citizens in the US. Are we really going to give them military gear that is designed for wartime scenarios? Why? Why do the police feel justified to need to use weapons from our military on citizens they are sworn to protect?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 10:26AM PDT

Name	First Name: Tea Last Name: Lopes
Email	anaetsepo [REDACTED]
Comment	It's a Lil weird that yall need military equipment in the first place. Are you fighting another army? No, this is to be used on citizens. And that's disgusting. I say defund SPD and build more parks. Yall are pretty much useless anyway.
Upload File(s)	1200px-1312_ACAB.jpg
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 08:49AM PDT

Name	First Name: Elijah Last Name: Evans
Email	
Comment	Military equipment should never be used by the police. Do you see the public as a militaristic threat? I don't think lethal weapons should be used at all.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 10:47AM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Oshima
Email	davidoshima [REDACTED]
Comment	I only have comments on a couple of the "military equipment" items. 1. Unmanned Aircraft System. I have no opposition to the use of drones. I am, however, opposed to the purchase and use of DJI products. DJI has been shown to have ties to the Chinese government. The very same Chinese government with an extreme zero tolerance COVID-19 lockdown policy; internment and forced organ harvesting of the Uyghur and Falun Gong; debt-trap Belt & Road Initiative; three fully militarized man-made islands in disputed territorial waters of the South China Sea; and deployment of 200+ ships in the Philippine Exclusive Economic Zone. I am very much opposed to my taxpayer dollars supporting the Chinese government. 2. Less Lethal Shotgun. Why is the Remington 870 even on this list? While shotguns have been used by the military since WWI, the shotgun was designed for sporting purposes. IMO, the 870, especially the less lethal version, is not military equipment. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 08:57AM PDT

Name	First Name: Rachael Last Name: Devlin
Email	junkyspambo [REDACTED]
Comment	It is wholly inappropriate for local police to obtain and use military equipment on citizens. It creates a culture of escalation, not De-escalation. When the only tool you have is a hammer, every problem looks like a nail. When the tools you have are military grade equipment, every average citizen starts looking like a enemy combatant.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 06:57PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	All gun laws are infringements. Sacramento police officers are less trained with firearms and gun "laws" they are tasked to enforce than the average gun owner If I as a civilian cannot possess it, neither should you Any officer that enforces an unconstitutional gun law is violating their oath. your shit policies And training is what has gotten your officers hurt and/or killed
Upload File(s)	3B7B1E53-8599-4355-9199-04F4C0FCA2E5.jpeg
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 11:58AM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Carulli
Email	deegecar[REDACTED]
Comment	I reject this policy. Police should not be permitted to acquire military equipment. There is no public benefit in giving police more military equipment, and this would predictably result in a further escalation of police violence, more community members killed and injured by police, more lawsuits against the department, and more erosion of public trust in policing and the city. Policy item C. 1. a is not a possible condition to meet to justify the acquisition of military equipment. Deescalation tactics are proven alternatives to military equipment. Regarding Policy item C. 2, Sacramento PD is not capable of meeting existing training requirements, so it is unreasonable to expect them to be capable of meeting training requirements for military equipment. When a state treats its civilian population like hostile belligerents, they will understand that to mean their state has declared war on them. To see my city propose this during a time when the public is shouting for more accountability for police, is, to put it kindly, "disgusting."
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 11:59AM PDT

Name	First Name: Peter Last Name: Bahnson
Email	peterjgbahnson [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>There isn't a benefit to militarizing the police. It doesn't help them prevent crime and it doesn't help them investigate crime either. All it does is give police a higher capacity for violence. Given the justified public outrage over this county's actions, protests will increase in size and frequency. Granting the police a greater capacity for violence will only lead to more death. Counties and cities around the country have tried alternatives, such as hiring mental health specialists for applicable situations, and have shown amazing results. If you want to maintain peace and serve the people, more weapons is not the solution.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: Scott Last Name: Schaffner
Email	sschaffner [REDACTED]
Comment	I think the police need the correct equipment to handle the thugs that have high capacity firearms and have zero respect for the law or fellow humans.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 12:22PM PDT

Name	First Name: Megan Last Name: Crippen
Email	meganmcripper [REDACTED]
Comment	Police should have no access, whether it be freely or "with approval" of a governing body, to any military equipment. Granting access to military equipment is highly inappropriate, especially given the high rate of deaths already caused by police officers with their standard issue equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 08:59AM PDT

Name	First Name: Karen Last Name: Rubio
Email	klrubio1 [REDACTED]
Comment	Police do NOT need to be militarized. They need proper training to learn how to de-escalate situations instead of shooting to kill. There are way too many reports of misconduct by the police to give them more power. The entire department needs an overhaul.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 07:40PM PDT

Name	First Name: Steve Last Name: Bryant
Email	stevebbryant [REDACTED]
Comment	It's shocking looking at the armored vehicles. I would love a mobile incident command center for my school but the cost is almost my entire budget. Seems a little crazy that these are available. But hey it's better that they hat used then sit in a warehouse
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:25AM PDT

Name	First Name: Alan Last Name: LoFaso
Email	alofaso [REDACTED]
Comment	I read most of the 25 pages. Commenting on this matter is an unfairly demanding exercise with pedantically detailed, but ultimately unhelpful information. For example, please tell me how the 2 Lenco Bearcat armored vehicles fulfill their purpose "To be used in response to critical incidents to enhance officer and community safety, improve scene containment and stabilization, and assist in resolving critical incidents." Specifically, explain the "community safety" part of the purpose and how "scene containment and stabilization" is anything more than suppressing legitimate public demonstrations. Am I supposed to read all of the copious, but bureaucratically detailed list and glean what it means in more community-responsive terms? This is just a show exercise.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:28AM PDT

Name	First Name: Tim Last Name: Ryan
Email	tgr45 [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not support spd receiving any military equipment, including arms, vehicles, and munitions. Rather than make us safer, having this equipment in our community puts lives at risk. Fiscally, this equipment contributes to bloated police budgets that leave little funding to address the root causes of crime. Additionally law enforcement helicopters are overused and an irresponsible use of public funds.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:36AM PDT

Name	First Name: Indiana Last Name: Womack
Email	indianawomack [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Our police should not use military equipment for the following reasons: *Use of military equipment fosters a perspective of warfare amongst law enforcement personnel. This is likely to worsen issues of police brutality. *Law enforcement personnel are generally not trained to the same standard around conflict escalation and de-escalation as military personnel are. The level of protocol, training, and discernment should be equal for interaction with our citizens. *The purchase of military equipment only encourages the lopsided US spending on armament.</p> <p>Notes: If military equipment is going to be used by law enforcement, it should be limited to special units such as SWAT. Using it to intimidate gatherings of civilians instead of to contain violent crime is a misuse of power.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:36AM PDT

Name	First Name: Marissa Last Name: Boyd
Email	marissapboyd [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Our police are militarized enough as is. They don't need anything more, and in fact should have a lot taken away from them. They don't protect us, they don't solve crimes, and they certainly don't stop crimes (what has it been, three pretty major shootings in as many weeks?!) So no, I don't think giving them more weapons or power is going to make them better at their jobs. They are fascists who already abuse the power they have. They also, largely, don't know the law. Do I think educating fascists will change them or make them less violent? Not really, but starting over from scratch and making it more of an educational process to actually become a cop could be a start. Right now they are given weapons, propaganda, and a thin blue line (they protect each other, not us).</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 08:09PM PDT

Name	First Name: John Last Name: Johnson
Email	jjohnson [REDACTED]
Comment	I think you evil fucks using military gear is another step toward a total police state. Eat a dock, pigs.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 08:28PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lee Last Name: Williams
Email	leewilliams650 [REDACTED]
Comment	I think if our police department needs military equipment to serve and protect our community then they are ineffective and arming them more is not the solution. I say no. How will this help solve rapes and murders? How will this help underserved communities? How will this help the unhoused and mentally ill? The song by the Cranberries comes to mind: "In your head. They are fighting. With their tanks and their bombs and their bombs and their guns, in your head in your head they are fighting." No. Do not arm the police more because they cannot use their equipment properly as it is.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:52AM PDT

Name	First Name: Matt Last Name: Read
Email	vitis01 [REDACTED]
Comment	100% OPPOSED For similar reasons that we don't use the Army to police our streets. Local police should be using different skills for law enforcement and DIFFERENT TOOLS. Police today seem to need some extra help being reminded that they are NOT soldiers. Stop equipping them like soldiers and maybe they will have an easier time acting like public servants and peacekeepers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:09AM PDT

Name	First Name: Natalie Last Name: Antolin
Email	natroseant [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Investing in military use equipment for the Sacramento Police Department will deplete your citizens' trust and emotional and physical safety. There should be less money going into the police dept. because they are not the only answer to public safety. There are no repeated military level infractions happening in Sacramento that would justify investing in military grade equipment. The mass shooting that occurred would not have been stopped with this equipment because the police respond to crime rather than preventing it. However, unhoused people were victims of this shooting due to their proximity to it. Unhoused people have a lower life expectancy and many of them are fleeing abusers because domestic violence shelters have to reject most applicants due to capacity limits. I would know since I retraumatized countless victims by rejecting them from the WEAVE Safehouse (even though they qualified, due to space) for three years. The single most efficient solution to ensure public safety would be to direct money from the Sacramento Police Department towards free housing for all unhoused in Sacramento, including those fleeing domestic violence. It doesn't make sense that military grade equipment will make a large positive difference in public safety when all it would do is be a response to crime or inflict fear of police, which is already established. And violence will certainly happen so long as people are unhoused.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 08:38PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tim Last Name: McKeever
Email	tvmckeever [REDACTED]
Comment	Police do not need and should not have military equipment. Any equipment they do have should need piece by piece justification and reporting of its intended use for on duty actions. The people of Sacramento are not enemy combatants. They are fellow citizens that deserve company and empathy from their public servants.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:04AM PDT

Name	First Name: Gabriel Last Name: Gipe
Email	gabriel.gipe [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no reason why a domestic "peace keeping" force should have weapons meant for active war zones. None.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:16AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jenna Last Name: King
Email	jennadking [REDACTED]
Comment	I am strongly opposed. Military equipment is not needed for local policing.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 08:39PM PDT

Name	First Name: Hilary Last Name: Gould
Email	reelmanm [REDACTED]
Comment	I support our law enforcement taking advantage of any equipment and training available to them to acquire and maintain an edge over the bad guys. This certainly includes military equipment. I believe this is the ultimate bang (pun intended) for our tax buck. And thanks for asking!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy

Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:20AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>Police aren't military, regardless of how much they wish they were. Use of military equipment by police departments is deeply unsettling and disruptive to the community. The people of Sacramento aren't enemy combatants, we are the people who live in this city, unlike most of the police who work here. Use of excessive force by police is already rampant in the US, and particularly in Sacramento. Until the police demonstrate they can be trusted, they frankly shouldn't have access to any form of force let alone military equipment. Who exactly are they protecting anyway? Crime happens regardless of the degree of police involvement. The militarization of the police is a tool of oppression in the ongoing effort to eliminate democratic rule in the US, and when it happens you all can be proud that you were a part of it. I have no expectation that public comment will be taken seriously, as it never is in Sacramento unless one counts developers and business interests as public. You should all be ashamed of yourselves, and I can only hope that when the sowing turns to reaping you will see the error of your ways, late though it may be. May whatever God you believe in have mercy on your poor pathetic souls.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:06PM PDT

Name	First Name: Steve Last Name: Smithers
Email	steve [REDACTED]
Comment	All ways carry bigger guns
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:57AM PDT

Name	First Name: Luna Last Name: Cornejo Molina
Email	mfgoose [REDACTED]
Comment	I want you to stop using military-grade hardware in what we keep calling a "protect-and-serve" operation. Sell the equipment to fund programs that help over-criminalized populations rebuild their communities. In the meantime just stop using the equipment
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Richard Last Name: Spierto
Email	richardspierto [REDACTED]
Comment	Police departments should never be using military equipment. Militarization of Police departments is a major problem in our country. If military equipment is needed, that is the time the National Guard should be called in. Stop this madness now!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 10:59AM PDT

Name	First Name: R. Last Name: Rhain
Email	
Comment	The use of military equipment is excessive and wasteful. There is no circumstance in a civilian population where the use of military force or technology is required. Current processes utilized by police in the case of collisions, search parties, photography etc as outlined for use, should be evaluated and improved upon, using what is currently available including budget reorganizing, first before seeking additional funds or resources. Additionally, current training of the police is inadequate, improvements should be made to core police department before requestong additional specialized training of military grade equipment. A training stipulation being to reduce police shootings by 95%.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 02:40PM PDT

Name	First Name: Rebecca Last Name: Grunewald
Email	beckler74 [REDACTED]
Comment	Police are meant to serve and protect the community. They are not at war with our community and they do not need the weapons of war to do their job.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:19AM PDT

Name	First Name: Margaret Last Name: Friel
Email	margaretmfrie [REDACTED]
Comment	I absolutely oppose the acquisitions and use of military equipment for use by police engaging with the public. Citizens are not the enemies of the state and should not be treated as such. I support putting these many dollars into undoing the circumstances that create and perpetuate poverty and violence and training police to be genuinely trained and recognized for deescalation and community engagement.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:20AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jason Last Name: Van Sandt
Email	jasonv1977 [REDACTED]
Comment	I think the police officers should be police officers and not soldiers. Police officers should not dress like soldiers, instead of camouflage they should be wearing bright neon colors to alert citizens they are emergency personnel. A study should be done to see if militarization of the police leads to a more restraint and less violence or if it leads to abuse of authority and civil rights violations.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:21AM PDT

Name	First Name: Roxanne Last Name: Squires
Email	roxanne.squires91 [REDACTED]
Comment	Stop militarizing the police and invest in better training or even better — mental health programs and resources. Meet the psychological, safety, basic needs of the community. Community keeps us safe, not police. Thanks.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 09:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ethan Last Name: Keeshan
Email	ethankeeshan [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I am all for the use of any and all equipment, including "military equipment" to help police our communities. The men and women in law enforcement need every possible tool available, at their disposal, in order to effectively carry out their job of keeping the public safe. As I read through the document I couldn't help but use my finger quotes for "military equipment" in virtually every category. It reminds of the way that the media has latched on to the use of the term "assault rifle." If it doesn't look like a hunting rifle or a revolver, it's "military" or "assault" Less than lethal is not military, it is strictly law enforcement. Yet you need to include it here to keep the woke mob happy. I find it sad that we live in a society that requires those putting their lives on the line, to protect the very people looking to defund them and tie their hands, to have to justify the means in which they do so. The sad truth is that most of those that are the loudest about "policing the police" won't even read this or attempt to understand the need of these items. I see no issues with any of the items listed in this document, aside from some of the costs associated. Personally I would rather see my tax dollars go to supplying our law enforcement with the tools necessary to perform their job then to see it wasted on bureaucratic salaries. Sorry for my rant, but I am both tired and afraid of the society we have crafted for ourselves here in California. I say throw some M1A1 Abrahams in there for good measure.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:24AM PDT

Name	First Name: Susan Last Name: Braverman
Email	susan_seese [REDACTED]
Comment	There are too many ways these items can be turned into weapons against civil disobedience and I don't trust Sac PD to use them responsibly. As we have seen with instances of civil unrest and even against peaceful protesters, our police departments are more interested in disallowing our citizenry to voice their concerns than to keep them safe. Less than lethal force is always better than murdering citizens having mental health breakdowns or holding cellphones in their own backyards, yet those less than lethal options aren't used when at the officer's disposal. Having more weapons is not the answer...having deescalation training and changing the mindset of our officers is.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:27AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ben Last Name: Bauer
Email	bennyjamin666 [REDACTED]
Comment	No militarization please. In fact let's move some money from police budget to social services.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: N Last Name: J
Email	
Comment	Waste of upfront money and maintenance fees. When is the last time the police though oh shit we need heavier than swat equip? Probably never.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:06PM PDT

Name	First Name: Emily Last Name: Smet
Email	Emily.smet98 [REDACTED]
Comment	I find the police Department's request for such military equipment to fly in the face of the ostensible goal "to protect and serve" . I can't see how any of this overpowered equipment will do anything but harm and oppress the citizens of Sacramento. If the events of june 2020 have taught us anything its that police will use such equipment to oppress peaceful sacramentans. I would much rather see this money used to support social services that attack the root cause of crime.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 03:34PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stephanie Last Name: Podue
Email	sepodue [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The police should not be using military equipment. They are not the military, they should not be pretending to be military. The places where we see police using military equipment is often against protesters. It's unnecessary, a waste of money, and will likely harm the citizens it claims to protect. I am firmly against any and all use of funds for militarizing the police. The money should be used in non-violent trainings that help responses to people who are mentally ill or in crisis, or perhaps in an actual peace officer training of a branch/service specifically for the more needed specialized responses to people in crisis.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:29PM PDT

Name	First Name: Meghan Last Name: Krumbach
Email	ms_msk [REDACTED]
Comment	We do not want military equipment used in our community!!!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Andre Last Name: Cartier
Email	cartieramk [REDACTED]
Comment	As a US Army veteran, I am deeply troubled to see military equipment in the hands of peace officers. The militarization of police forces is a concerning trend that invariably harms the people it claims to protect. Policing is always going to be a reactive and not proactive solution. If we want safer communities, we need to ensure all our community members have food, shelter, and the necessities of life. Shoveling money into already bloated police budgets does nothing to serve the people.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 03:44PM PDT

Name	First Name: Isabella Last Name: Chu
Email	isbellachu2 [REDACTED]
Comment	There is also a pretty big disconnect between most PDs and the people they serve especially in urban areas where police tend to drive in from exurbs. This difference is amplified by the use of equipment which grants police anonymity and outsize power. Complete reliance on cruisers rather than "walking the beat" is one such example but this is vastly amplified when police use military equipment on their own citizens. They shouldn't have it, use it or even want it. The fact I'm filling out a comment card on "how should police use military equipment ON OUR OWN CITIZENS means we have already gotten into a dystopian regime. And for that matter, I'm not a fan of using military equipment on non-combatant civilians of any country.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:45PM PDT

Name	First Name: Robert Last Name: R
Email	
Comment	No military equipment should be given to the police department. Especially without the police explicitly stating how they plan on using it and how their use is different than the military. There is NO reason local PDs need grenade launchers or armored vehicles. This will only increase police violence in Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: Estevan Last Name: Rojas
Email	Rojase125 [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento Police Department should not purchase military-grade equipment. While some "more advanced" equipment may be warranted, their acquisition and use should err on the side of the least aggressive means to achieve an objective. I support increased civilian oversight of the acquisition and use of military-grade and military-style equipment and tactics.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 01:15PM PDT

Name	First Name: Rachel Last Name: Libby
Email	littlelibby1 [REDACTED]
Comment	This is obscene. The use of military equipment is absolutely unnecessary in Sacramento and it is a deplorable overreach of power that will undoubtedly negatively impact the lives of BIPOC in the city of Sacramento. The last thing this city, or any other, needs is a militarized police force. Absolutely unacceptable use of public funds.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 04:23PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	The policy falsely assumes the use of military equipment is necessary. The SPD should not use military equipment, nor should the City Council approve of the use of or acquiring military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 01:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stephen Last Name: Foss
Email	sffoss [REDACTED]
Comment	Police militarization is a terrible idea for Sacramento. Police militarization is ineffective in fighting crime and incentivizes police brutality at the expense of constitutional rights. Once a militarized police force is in place, it will be used. One use of military equipment by the police of other cities has been to control protests. A militarized police will inevitably view protesters as an enemy. As a result, many people on the margins of society will experience the police as an abusive, militaristic force. As the use of military equipment by police is normalized, it will not only be used during demonstrations or exceptional circumstances, but will soon be used every day, just as SWAT teams are now used regularly in many cities.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 11:10PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tristan Last Name: Candelaria
Email	tcandelariarvms [REDACTED]
Comment	This approval of brutal force against protestors shows a lack of interest on the part of the PD in allowing the right of citizens to speak up for what is right, and determination to maintain the status quo through lethal repression.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 01:45PM PDT

Name	First Name: Megan Last Name: Campbell-Miller
Email	campmegan [REDACTED]
Comment	No! Any situation that calls for these kinds of military gear should be bumped up to trained exclusive emergency teams that are trained for extreme situations and that are not involved in day to day policing. This is too far.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 12:09AM PDT

Name	First Name: Alex Last Name: Guerrero
Email	aaalexg [REDACTED]
Comment	I wholly object to this as a citizen of Sacramento. We, as citizens of Sacramento, do not need to be policed by military weapons by any means. If the police have not been able to keep the population safe at its current state, the answer is obvious answer is not more weapons or higher grades of weapons but not to explore other avenues in order to keep people safe.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 02:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Elizabeth Last Name: Pearson
Email	fava_beans13 [REDACTED]
Comment	The government should not be using weapons of war against its own community. How about instead of wasting millions of dollars on equipment meant to harm citizens and instead use the money to help citizens. Housing, food, mental health services, addiction services, education, job training are all far better uses for this money. We should not be militarizing our police. Try helping people, not hurting them.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 12:35AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	You should not be using military weaponry. Stop killing people.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 02:51PM PDT

Name	First Name: Bridget Last Name: Duffy
Email	bridgetofthebridge [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not believe our police departments should own or use military equipment
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/SXRDMUF0i8

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 01:09AM PDT

Name	First Name: Lori Last Name: Gustafson
Email	guphy.gustafson [REDACTED]
Comment	I would like proof that this equipment is needed. Proof that this equipment is safe for urban use in the USA. Proof that users will be trained and proof that the training has been equitable, intersections and tested.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 02:56PM PDT

Name	First Name: Bob Last Name: Shaw
Email	bshaw20 [REDACTED]
Comment	Why the hell are you asking citizens how to keep officers safe? This is asinine. Get a real job. Give the police any and all equipment they need to effectively and safely do their job!! Whoever is reading this, I hope you pull your head out of your ass.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 02:59PM PDT

Name	First Name: Andrea Last Name: de la Fuente
Email	adelafuente48 [REDACTED]
Comment	I object to the purchase of excess military equipment by the city of Sacramento. Our community does not need more helicopters, drones, robots, armored vehicles, etc. The use of this type of equipment does not make our community feel safer, but rather creates a sense of fear and intimidation.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 07:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ben Last Name: Testani
Email	bentestani12 [REDACTED]
Comment	As the Sacramento Police Depart is not a military body, it should not acquire any military equipment at all. It should return or re-sell the equipment it has and use the proceeds to fund housing for the homeless.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 03:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ben Last Name: Johnson
Email	bjohnson@ [REDACTED]
Comment	Armored vehicles and chemical agents have no business in a city police department, where they are to be used by chronically undertrained officers. It's not complicated; police militarization directly leads to police violence.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 03:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Christine Last Name: Casey
Email	c.hochmuth.casey [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The militarization of police departments leads to increased civilian deaths, and militarized policing teams are more often deployed in communities of color. In addition, police militarization fails to keep officers safe or prevent violence or harm in communities. When police forces are militarized, they are seen as an occupying force rather than a public safety service. While I appreciate that this working draft fulfills the requirements of AB 481, it in no way justifies why this expense of public dollars is appropriate. It appears this is just another procedural step, another box to check on the pathway towards further militarizing Sac PD. The bigger questions are 1) why is this level of spending (almost \$5 million) necessary and 2) could this money be put to better community use? What is the public's role in receiving this information? Do we have an opportunity to turn down this proposal? Or is it simply an informational item? I would say our police officers do not need military equipment, particularly those items that are intended for use against crowds of protestors.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 04:13PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	ABSOLUTELY NOT!!!!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 04:29PM PDT

Name	First Name: Danna Last Name: Sweidan
Email	dsweidan [REDACTED]
Comment	My city is not a war zone and if my police need military grade equipment to do their job, no amount of equipment will make them capable of that job. The physical arm of the state that only protects property and continues to antagonize the community that it claims to protect does not need more ways to terrorize its citizens. Seriously are you high?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 04:52PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	As a longtime City of Sacramento resident (12+ years) I am emphatically opposed to the use of any military equipment by our city's police department. There have already been numerous "use of force" issues with SacPD and adding more military equipment to surveil people, or more lethal weapons, does nothing to rebuild trust among community members who have been directly or indirectly harmed by SacPD. SacPD should commit to supporting evidence-based ways of reducing crime rather than further armoring themselves in a way that pits officers against the very people they are meant to protect and serve.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 10, 2022, 07:53PM PDT

Name	First Name: RosaLee Last Name: Hagstrom
Email	RosaLeeHagstrom [REDACTED]
Comment	Our police department is not the military! There needs to be a shift in thinking about what the job of the police is because this discussion about military equipment is so out of place. Our police need to spend money on more training for racial bias and mental health issues. Please don't buy military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://www.cityofsacramento.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 03:20AM PDT

Name	First Name: Joshua Last Name: Saavedra
Email	garbagecan1 [REDACTED]
Comment	As a lifelong resident of the Sacramento region and City, I have developed a profound respect for the city PD's professionalism and dedication to serving the community. It's a stark contrast to your contemporaries in the county. I urge you to please consider the optics of this situation, and how they will affect the public's perception of what kind of department the Sacramento PD is. Militarizing peace officers isn't going to help the kind of outreach and community support that will be needed to address the cliques and gangs in the region bringing violence into the city center. Thank you for your time.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 05:05PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I am very much opposed to this bill. It is completely ridiculous and the fact that it's even under consideration is abhorrent.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 05:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Laurel Last Name: Meleski
Email	princessmelons+cityofsac [REDACTED]
Comment	While I can appreciate the usefulness of a robots for bomb disposal, and to a limited degree some drone surveillance equipment, I am wholly opposed to the further militarization of our local law enforcement. I am especially concerned that much of this equipment is geared toward crowd control and dispersal. Our communities will benefit more from additional law enforcement training in de-escalation and additional non-police staff for mental health/homeless/safety check situations than giving them more ways to surveil and injure us.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 05:23PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mateo Last Name: Yanes
Email	
Comment	I'm a resident of Sacramento. I strongly disapprove of the use of military equipment for police use. There is a reason we aren't policed by the military. In my view, arming the police with military equipment does nothing to help the community, but can be used in ways that will harm the community. Instead of taking on military equipment, we need to direct money in ways that will support people with mental health services, shelters, food pantries, free classes, community events, and other services and events focused on helping people instead of building up an arsenal that can be used against people. The only policy I agree with is the policy for police to not use military equipment. I hope my Sacramento representatives and those tasked to take care of the community will take this to heart. Thank you for reading.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 05:33PM PDT

Name	First Name: CM Last Name: Franklin
Email	cmfvisible [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment is for war. Using it implies you see the general public as the enemy, and encourages you to treat them that way. Hard No to All of it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://m.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 05:52AM PDT

Name	First Name: Justin Last Name: Capella
Email	justincapella [REDACTED]
Comment	posse comitatus prevents US from using the military against our citizens- we have enough problems with police use of force as it is, why are we militarizing the police? Partner with the National Guard instead- they are trained to be a militia.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 06:22AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	PD should not be utilizing military equipment unless there is a terrorist situation. Use of military equipment implies at Sac PD is at war with its citizens. The departments history of abuse of force shows they should have less access to deadly equipment, not more. Only highly trained specialized teams made of members who pass extremely rigorous screening processes should be able to use these supplies - and again, only in terrorist situations. Consider carefully when it's appropriate to use machines of war on your citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 06:24AM PDT

Name	First Name: Michelle Last Name: Labi-Klonecke
Email	m.labiklonecke [REDACTED]
Comment	On what planet is this a move in the right direction? And never mind hot-button rhetoric like “defund the police,” etc. Just in terms of humanity, basic decency, and transparency, this program will only create more division, mistrust, and fear. There’s the saying, “never bring a knife to a gun fight,” so I can see the argument for wanting to be prepared, but the opposite metaphor is also a good warning — never bring a gun to a knife fight lest you risk over-reacting or over-responding or over-harming. Having these kinds of weapons at one’s disposal makes them accessible options, and once they’re options, it’s justifiable to use them. Having them in the arsenal, or in the back of officers’ minds normalizes them, and further distances police forces from the communities they’re designed to protect and serve.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 11, 2022, 12:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Taylen Last Name: Peterson
Email	taylen.peterson [REDACTED]
Comment	No military equipment is necessary for the fulfilment of your work as law enforcement. The continued escalation of militarization makes communities feel less safe, not more, especially vulnerable or historically oppressed communities. Even seemingly innocuous equipment is dangerous. Every piece of military equipment purchased is one step closer to authoritarianism and, with the wrong leaders in place in the future, could be catastrophic to our fragile democracy, our state, and our republic.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 09:07AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kathryn Last Name: Sawyer
Email	kikiluv893 [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely do not support. Ever. Invest in solutions that aren't based in violence.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 11, 2022, 01:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Peggy Last Name: Newman
Email	pegjoy[REDACTED]
Comment	I do not approve of more military equipment use, or any military equipment use by the police. I would like to see the police receive more training so they respond better to all their calls. I would like to see money spent on mental health intervention providers that respond to calls instead of the police when appropriate. I would like to see extra protection and care of domestic abuse calls, utilizing specially trained personnel. I believe the police are overwhelmed and need our support, but not in the form of military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 09:01AM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Aslanian
Email	daslanian [REDACTED]
Comment	SPD is meant to be there in to protect Sacramentans, when I see officers in tanks or in full para military gear it becomes very clear who is being protected and who is considered the threat. Using military equipment and uniforms separates the police from the citizens. This reinforces the idea that SPD are there to intimidate and frighten us, not protect us. Please cease the use of military equipment and let Sacramentoans see the police as neighbors and not enemies.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 09:36AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jamie Last Name: Litton
Email	jcw7727 [REDACTED]
Comment	We do not need a more militarized police force. The use of military equipment by Sac PD only further escalates the feelings of conflict and war between the police and the people. Big "no" to the use of military equipment by Sac PD.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 09:40AM PDT

Name	First Name: Anna Last Name: Molander
Email	afmolander@██████████
Comment	There should never be a time where my community's police use military grade equipment against its citizens. The policy should be "no use of military grade equipment". Ever. Stop ratcheting up the violence.
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 10:00AM PDT

Name	First Name: Malissa Last Name: Luna
Email	luna_malissa [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not support implementation of this policy; I feel our police do not need this kind of equipment. I feel this level of equipment is excessive.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 10:00AM PDT

Name	First Name: Tara Last Name: Cernac
Email	taracernac [REDACTED]
Comment	What is happening to our community? This is for compliance? Drones, robots and armored vehicles for Sacramento? What a sad world I am having to raise my two young children in. Sounds like we are becoming China. I DO NOT WANT THIS!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 11:19AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	No local police department should have access to military-grade equipment. It enables them to infringe upon the rights of citizens in ways that should be considered unacceptable. Just because you want cool toys doesn't mean you should get to use our tax dollars for them, ESPECIALLY if you're going to use them against us.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 01:59PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	NO. After George Floyd protests and people's significantly waning trust in police, you want to become MORE militarized? NO. Police shouldn't have access to military-grade weapons. Police are average citizens, NOT military personnel. This is horrifying and is cause enough to want to move far away.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 11, 2022, 07:21AM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Watson
Email	watson [REDACTED]
Comment	This is not a move from the Sacramento I love. What sort of state do you wish to become? What alternative methods are being put in place in advance of these measures? What sort of preventative action is being done now? Who is Sacramento mostly afraid of? Please let us know.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 02:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mike Last Name: Clingerman
Email	mike [REDACTED]
Comment	Yes, yes, yes and yes!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 11, 2022, 08:45AM PDT

Name	First Name: Elizabeth Last Name: Wood
Email	antslizard516 [REDACTED]
Comment	Do not issue military equipment to Sacramento police officers. As a resident, having sac pd outfitted in such a way will make me feel unsafe in my own home.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 06:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Bronwyn Last Name: Hogan
Email	bchogan [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't live in Sacramento. But I WORK there, so spend a lot of time in the Sac area. I think the increasing militarization of our police forces is dangerous and needs to stop. Military equipment is way too "much" for civilian policing. Please do NOT accept more military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 07:30PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kai Last Name: Koumatos
Email	kskoumatos [REDACTED]
Comment	I think military equipment was created for wars and the police are not at war with the people they police and protect. I think it's the police department's job is to keep themselves safe as they arrest people who break the law and hurt the community, but not at the cost of turning the people of Sacramento into their enemy. Use that money for more staff, more mental health support, and more training to help these brave people handle their very difficult jobs.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 08:00PM PDT

Name	First Name: Matt Last Name: Tuskes
Email	matttuskes [REDACTED]
Comment	Like so many others I think it's upsetting that the need for this kind of gear exists. But I have to recognize that it does, and I didn't see anything that I'd be mad about my taxes going toward as long as it's not misused. My only suggestion would be that instead of both Bearcats maybe have one and rely on cooperation with nearby agencies if more are needed. But failing that I can see the need for two and better that one is cheaper.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 09:59PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	All the surplus military equipment we have in Sacramento is more than enough. Send whatever else is available to Ukraine.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 09:43PM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Miller
Email	tebbers [REDACTED]
Comment	Having watched a police action occur at the corner of arden where police spent 2 hours shooting at an empty car after the driver fled indicating non stop that they see the driver inside and thay they should give up showing that was a lie after you finally decided to advance on the vehicle only to have egg on your face thay it was empty and the perp got away. Then failing to take ownership and admit that was a failure of policing I don't feel the city police in its current effectiveness has the training or competence to use militarized weapons. That was a very key stone cop thing to watch and I found it embarrassing as a denizen of this city to see the police fail so hard. Had you had military grade weapons what would you have done instead run it over than actually do proper target identification recon?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 11:23PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	When you can solve homelessness then we can talk
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 11:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Timothy Last Name: Stanley
Email	tstanley [REDACTED]
Comment	I think our city is ready to try using funds for other solutions. Yes, the prevailing method is "have it, in case you need it." But I think there's a way to ensure our police officers are safe without resorting to military level weaponry. Can a middle ground be found?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 10:13AM PDT

Name	First Name: Elaine Last Name: McCullom
Email	elaine.eudy [REDACTED]
Comment	No. I feel for officers' safety but studies clearly indicate that police militarization increases violent interactions with the public. The last thing SacPD needs is controversy over excessive use of force. I've lived in Oakland and seeing the police dressed as commandos firing chemical agents on protestors did not make me feel safe. Please prioritize the safety of the citizens you are tasked with protecting.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 01:44PM PDT

Name	First Name: thomas Last Name: johnson
Email	trajan8766 [REDACTED]
Comment	I support giving police tools to do job. The description of items suggests to me that many/several items are unlikely to ever be used and are expensive to purchase and expensive to maintain and staff. Not clear to me that given the demands on police this is best use of resources. I would like to have more professional information that documents why and how these items are necessary for police tasks and responsibilities. Specific scenarios of use and descriptions of how they have been utilized in other geographic areas effectively would be helpful.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 12, 2022, 08:18AM PDT

Name	First Name: Somer Last Name: Lowery
Email	sometime [REDACTED]
Comment	In what situations would this be used and how will help our community? This seems like a terrible idea and terrible waste of money that could be put towards mental health services, training officers in cultural responsiveness and conflict de-escalation and community initiatives centered around relationship building. Stop with the toxic masculinity in law enforcement.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 12, 2022, 08:09AM PDT

Name	First Name: Robert Last Name: Osborn
Email	sordello7 [REDACTED]
Comment	Use of military equipment in general creates tender, more dangerous situations and leads to further deterioration in community trust. Specifically, No military vehicles, no 40mm launchers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 24, 2022, 04:57AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jerry Last Name: Boyd
Email	exlaso [REDACTED]
Comment	I fully support the Sacramento Police Department acquiring surplus military equipment as a cost effective way of obtaining needed equipment
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 12, 2022, 12:24PM PDT

Name	First Name: john Last Name: romanowicz
Email	jrromanow [REDACTED]
Comment	The fiscal impact of each item does not appear to include the additional initial and ongoing training costs incurred for use of the item. Comments on many of the individual items are noted in the attached document.
Upload File(s)	410_06 Military Equipment Use document comments 20220512.txt
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://www.cityofsacramento.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 12, 2022, 08:24PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sara Last Name: Connor
Email	saconnor1094 [REDACTED]
Comment	When the students and staff at the school I work at hear a helicopter overhead we do not feel protected, we feel afraid. The police having use of military equipment escalates civilian perception of crime and does nothing to prevent it, only encourages the use of excessive force against by and large non-violent offenders (as the majority of crime is non-violent.) The Sacramento police force should not have access to military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 01:30AM PDT

Name	First Name: Aaron Last Name: Garcia
Email	aaron175garcia [REDACTED]
Comment	No police should have military equipment. Living in Roseville , I do not want to be so at risk of the police killing civilians and protestors with their weapons of mass destruction. If there is any investment to be made, it should be on safer non-lethal methods of detaining people. Enough shooting unarmed and innocent people with pistols because it's easier than confronting and solving the issue.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 12:06PM PDT

Name	First Name: richard Last Name: cable
Email	rcable5 [REDACTED]
Comment	The equipment being considered are war material and go far beyond what a police force needs to keep the peace in a city.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 12:17PM PDT

Name	
Email	kathleenhj417 [REDACTED]
Comment	I hope that the Sac PD will not add any more military equipment. That is not how to win the trust of the people nor keep us safe.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 12:33PM PDT

Name	First Name: Anna Camille Last Name: Anderson Bell
Email	annacab[REDACTED]
Comment	Sac PD has shown their apathy towards Sacramento citizens when they have expressed their discontent with the department's violence, either direct or codified, against the community. Putting millions of dollars towards military equipment for Sac PD use is a form of violence against the community and only drives the department more out of touch from us. Putting this money towards military equipment instead of social programs that would better address our city's problems is infuriating. Sincerely, Anna Camille Anderson Belle
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 09:28AM PDT

Name	First Name: Tara Last Name: Mills
Email	taramills7 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Administration of Justice major here and I am thoroughly against the militarization of the police. What exactly has happened in Sacramento that makes the police think they need military grade protection and weapons? I watched the police shoot peaceful protesters with rubber bullets and smoke canisters in 2020 and I was appalled. I have watched officers on the police force abuse their positions of power and I cannot fathom the escalation of this that would happen if the had military grade everything. This is not a war zone, this is an American city. This money should be spent on educating our officers. Ever since I joined the ADJ program I have been hyper aware of how much our police force could benefit from education in psychology, law, and social/cultural awareness. It actually scares me that our police are not required to have this kind of education and I have witnessed the outcome of what it means to have an uneducated force that lacks the depth of understanding and awareness of the aforementioned things. It is disheartening. Do not spend money on putting more power into the hands of people who have not proven themselves to be capable of critical thinking, understanding, or reasoning. Spend money on teaching them these things. Thank you for asking our opinions on this matter, I hope they are taken into consideration.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 09:44AM PDT

Name	First Name: Henry Last Name: Korman
Email	henry.m.korman [REDACTED]
Comment	Fewer officers, fewer guns, fewer helicopters. Militarization of Police has been a disaster for the US.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 09:58AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Please don't.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:00AM PDT

Name	First Name: Taj Last Name: Whitt-Ordone
Email	jumpboi95 [REDACTED]
Comment	It's an unnecessary "upgrade" that will only result in more cases of excessive force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 01:44PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I have no problem with Sacramento purchasing Military Equipment. It's fine with me.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 01:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Damon Last Name: Antos
Email	antos@██████████
Comment	I approve of this use of military equipment by the Sacramento Police Department.
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Glen Last Name: Wilkins
Email	wugou15 [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento Police Department should refrain from acquiring military equipment as well as avoid militarized tactics and postures. There is significant scholarship showing worse outcomes for the community and reduced safety for police personnel. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0094119021000474 Let me also remind those adhering to the "law and order" view on policing that a militarized police force will reduce personal liberty and increase the power of the state. Libertarians and conservatives should be very concerned about a civilian police force arming itself with military-grade equipment and the impact it has on personal freedom. Glen Wilkins
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 12:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: Justin Last Name: Owens
Email	justin.owens333 [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm against military equipment use by those not in the military. I could not oppose this any more than I do.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Chantalle Last Name: Pacheco
Email	chantalle616 [REDACTED]
Comment	Send the "military equipment" to Ukrain, they have an actual war going on. Police don't need this equipment to do their job, and if they do then we need new police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Clark Last Name: Knudtson
Email	clarkknudtson [REDACTED]
Comment	Hell no. Military equipment? You cops kill enough already. Maybe get some fucking deescalation training. You need less weapons, not more. Hell fucking no.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jennifer Last Name: Schieck
Email	jennifersmiles [REDACTED]
Comment	The police should not use or have access to military equipment. The police have a terrible record of violating the Constitutional rights of the public, particularly of those who are not white and/or not property owners. The police cannot protect and serve until they can respect all people, equally. If the police department presently has the funds for military weapons, it should instead use those funds in removing the white supremacists from their ranks and then hiring and training new officers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:30PM PDT

Name	First Name: Isaiah Last Name: Anderson
Email	imanderson221 [REDACTED]
Comment	Do not further militarize the police. Send military equipment to Ukraine.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:34PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jeff Last Name: Kessler
Email	jeff.kessler [REDACTED]
Comment	it is unreasonable for the Sacramento police force to use military equipment. this should not be permitted, and a reduction in any prior authorized use should occur.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Melissa Last Name: Watts
Email	astriusstar [REDACTED]
Comment	The police do not need military equipment. If there is such a threat..the military should be called in to use it. This does not make anyone safer and wastes money on weapons we dont need. Why are you using military grade things against civilians?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:19PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Please, no
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:39PM PDT

Name	First Name: Candace Last Name: Martinez
Email	candinicakes [REDACTED]
Comment	As a taxpayer I think it's ridiculous to think about spending money on toys for the police when we have people in need of shelter, medical care, and food. Most Americans are going without right now. You should just tighten your belts.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:49PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sam Last Name: Watson
Email	sam.watson254 [REDACTED]
Comment	Stop buying enough surplus for a small military (let DOD handle that, its kind of their job). Invest in community outreach and community oriented policing! Kindly, Literally everyone and every developed nation
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Christopher Last Name: Faulkner
Email	c.r.faulkner [REDACTED]
Comment	As a Sacramento resident, I do not believe the procurement of military weaponry will make our community safer. Investing in our communities, people and housing will.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:59PM PDT

Name	First Name: Joshua Last Name: Lurie
Email	typographica [REDACTED]
Comment	This reads as if you are asking what type of violence we'd like when we are arrested/detained. Police are not military. Even the very presence of military hardware simply divorces police from our communities even more: it's both a literal and figurative wall between the communities they purport to serve and themselves, not to mention a massive waste of my tax dollars. Unless the police department WANTS to be seen as at war with our citizens, they should do everything they can to avoid the ownership, display, or use of such vehicles/weaponry/resources.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:59PM PDT

Name	First Name: Joseph Last Name: Brusco
Email	joe95691 [REDACTED]
Comment	No, don't use military equipment in Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:00PM PDT

Name	First Name: K
Email	
Comment	There can be no good from the use of military equipment in the Sacramento PD. If modern police need military equipment, it means the police have failed. We need something better.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:01PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ella Last Name: Waite
Email	gymunicorn13 [REDACTED]
Comment	I strongly disagree with the policy. Our communities are not war zones and do not need military equipment. As seen with peaceful protests across the country, the use of force had been unnecessarily violent and it is not unreasonable to believe that access to military grade equipment will be abused in similar situations. The militarization of the police department is violent and is an unnecessary use of funds that should be directed towards mental health crisis intervention, food and housing services, the house less community, and much more. Fund the people to help the people, do not militarize our police force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stephanie Last Name: Huynh
Email	
Comment	No. Department needs more training on the current equipment they have access to before even getting access to this, if ever.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Brea Last Name: Prior
Email	brea.prior [REDACTED]
Comment	The county of Sacramento should not adopt/purchase/loan/rent any military equipment. No increased militarization of any Sacramento county police, public health, or public safety resources. No further funding should be allocated to militarizing any public services. The county should be working on decreasing the budget for any existing military measures/processes, and be re-allocating that budget towards life-affirming and community-supportive resources and services, such as public education, city roads and public transportation, and public health mental health services for veterans and the unhoused populations of Sacramento. Sacramento PD is NOT military and our communities are not a war zone.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:28PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dan Last Name: Berget
Email	danberge[REDACTED]
Comment	Police officers should not be using military equipment of any kind, including weapons. They should not even be carrying a standard issue gun. Police should be community servants who respond to public crises in a calm and empathetic manner.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:29PM PDT

Name	First Name: P Last Name: K
Email	peterk [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>No. The police should not be allowed to have any "military" or other equipment that citizens are not allowed access to. Considering this is not an active war zone. No ROEs hold them accountable, and even the law that's meant to keep them in check is continually changed to allow them powers outside of regular citizens, this just seems like an additional way to police law abiding citizens. If citizens do not have access to the same equipment, then this is clearly an organization trying to abuse the populace. In fact, im not sure we should be funding the police as it is since they've already failed citizens with their complete lack of response to see trafficking and gangs in the area. But, yes, give a bunch of people who don't follow American laws extra power and equipment to abuse American citizens. This is disgusting.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:23PM PDT

Name	First Name: Johanna Last Name: Pena
Email	jo-pena42 [REDACTED]
Comment	The city should take the funding they could potentially get for military equipment and use it to heal its citizens from the trauma they've experienced at the hands of Sacramento PD.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:23PM PDT

Name	First Name: Daniel Last Name: Moore
Email	d_moose [REDACTED]
Comment	I am opposed to any approval of additional military equipment being given to Sacramento police. Given the extent to which police already commit extrajudicial violence and employ excessive force, this seems like a terrible idea. There is no evidence that police militarization decreases crime, and the money could better be spent on police accountability measures.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Laura Last Name: Capitano
Email	lcapitano81 [REDACTED]
Comment	This proposal is truly frightening. Please do not arm police with military equipment. Education, interpersonal and intercultural communication training, and regular psychological evaluation would be a more productive and effective use of funding. This proposal is almost guaranteed to increase brutality and disregard for human rights on the part of the police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: Justin Last Name: Chan
Email	
Comment	No. We are not at war with our own people. This is a gross misuse of our taxpayer money and will further fray ties between Sac PD and the community.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sage Last Name: Cummins
Email	darkstarcrashin [REDACTED]
Comment	The use of military weaponry against its own civilian population is so blatantly scary and wrong this survey shouldn't even be necessary. Beyond that it just drives home the fact that our police force has become blatantly unconstitutional! Put funding towards helping our communities rather than punishing them and using military weapons to harm them. Instead of sweeping homeless encampments do something to actually help. It's not rocket science.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tim Last Name: Lock
Email	timmlock [REDACTED]
Comment	If you want to use military weapons, join the military. These do not belong in our streets. Spend the money on crisis/stress, mental health and de-escalation training.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Shelby Last Name: Gnos
Email	sziegenhagen92 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment should stay in the military. The thought of Police having military equipment before undergoing threat assessment training is frankly frightening. Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace, which is a job for the Military and not the police. Please stop wasting tax dollars on equipment to use against your citizens rather than training our Police to keep us safe.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:26AM PDT

Name	First Name: Brad Last Name: Carps
Email	200found [REDACTED]
Comment	After reading the working draft, my concern is with Procedure C. "MILITARY EQUIPMENT USE CONSIDERATIONS", which justifies the purchase as effective affordable to achieve officer and civilian safety. Given the very well-documented use of these anti-protestor munitions--how it is used to enhance abuse of civil rights and freedom of protest, how it is used to wound and kill members of the most vulnerable demographics in our society, etc.--this purchase will not only be ineffective at securing the safety of sacramento citizens, but will contribute to the over-policing problem that plagues this country, leading to further hostilities towards the local government and police. To put it simply, the police do not need more munitions; we need less policing. What this could look like is less beat cops responding to situations more appropriate for social workers and other civil employees, and removing obstacles to a stable life in this city. Preparing Sacramento to be a war zone will be a self-fulfilling prophesy, as police will use the weapons they are given, in ways rules and regulations can't foresee, and abuse people in opposition to the stated goals of these purchases.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:27AM PDT

Name	First Name: Brad Last Name: Carps
Email	200found [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>After reading the working draft, my concern is with Procedure C. "MILITARY EQUIPMENT USE CONSIDERATIONS", which justifies the purchase as effective affordable to achieve officer and civilian safety. Given the very well-documented use of these anti-protestor munitions--how it is used to enhance abuse of civil rights and freedom of protest, how it is used to wound and kill members of the most vulnerable demographics in our society, etc.--this purchase will not only be ineffective at securing the safety of sacramento citizens, but will contribute to the over-policing problem that plagues this country, leading to further hostilities towards the local government and police. To put it simply, the police do not need more munitions; we need less policing. What this could look like is less beat cops responding to situations more appropriate for social workers and other civil employees, and removing obstacles to a stable life in this city. Preparing Sacramento to be a war zone will be a self-fulfilling prophesy, as police will use the weapons they are given, in ways rules and regulations can't foresee, and abuse people in opposition to the stated goals of these purchases.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:16PM PDT

Name	First Name: Santino Last Name: Padilla
Email	sonnyvbeats [REDACTED]
Comment	Take your Military Equipment budget and give it all to SCUSD.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:41PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mason Last Name: Maxwell
Email	msnmxwl [REDACTED]
Comment	Leave military equipment to the military and place effort into de-escalation and other methods of peacekeeping.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 01:43PM PDT

Name	First Name: Austin Last Name: Joyce
Email	austin.joyce [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento PD do not need and should not obtain military equipment for use in their force. Historically with other departments nor only does it prove to be a large waste of tax dollars; It also encourages an over use of force. LRAD is not a proper way for PDs to perform crowd control as they can still cause injury. In past protests we have seen countless times where police are using excessive force. The use of beanbags and rubber bullets on innocent people that do actually injure people. Police departments need to stop gaining more tools to weaponize as a military. you're a peace officer, not a soldier.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:10PM PDT

Name	First Name: Glenn Last Name: Miller
Email	sadlyenough [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment is for war, unless you're engaging in active conflict with your citizenry you should not be using it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:12PM PDT

Name	First Name: Annalisa Last Name: Heylen
Email	a_heylen [REDACTED]
Comment	Dear lord please no. I do not want my tax dollars paying for drones, robots, or whatever else. We need to use this money to help our community get out of poverty, homelessness and more programs for therapy/drug rehabilitation. I do not support this request for military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jessica Last Name: Cruz
Email	jkacruz569 [REDACTED]
Comment	The sac police department should not be using military grade equipment on civilians.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:42PM PDT

Name	First Name: Nicole Last Name: Pham
Email	nicolembecker4 [REDACTED]
Comment	The police do not need more force. This money should be used for community outreach by unarmed employees of the city. Denver Colorado successfully used mental health professionals to field hundreds of calls without need to call backup for armed officers. Communities do not need to be fearful of military grade weapons being used when they call 911.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:42PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	No no no! I don't want to get murdered on the way to my healthcare job. Maybe y'all could pay for some comprehensive community training instead.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.google.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lauren Last Name: Libero
Email	laurenlibero [REDACTED]
Comment	I absolutely do not approve. The policy should read: "under no circumstances will the Sacramento PD purchase or use military equipment." It is unacceptable that a city would need equipment like this to use against citizens. Especially after the excessive use of force displayed during protests and encounters with the public over the last few years. And considering the limited amount of training and qualifications required of officers. This policy cannot lead to anything good for the safety of the people of Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Joshua Last Name: Curtiss
Email	jcurtiss [REDACTED]
Comment	I categorically oppose the use of military equipment by Sacramento Police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:49PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>There is no logical reason to treat the public as an invading army and to combat them with military grade weaponry. Please continue to build community relations instead of buying military equipment. The training detailed in this document is woefully inadequate. Please reconsider this whole thing. If you want to use "military" equipment, join the military. This is not the role of the police in our city.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Nathaniel Last Name: Copus
Email	copus662 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>While I support our police, I do not think adding lethal military equipment to the Sacramento Police Department is necessary. Instead, I suggest using funds to update training protocols, community outreach; and creating a new position within the SPD to act as a liaison between social workers and the police department to help with social interactions where police utility may not be necessary; unless as back-up in progressively hostile situations where force may be imperative. I believe allocating funds towards this idea instead of military-grade equipment will give a better image to the SPD and Sacramento in general, which could lead the way to revolutionize our policing system. Some of the requested items in the draft are deemed essential for civilian and peace officer protection; however, the less lethal and riot gear requested are not. The use of force is a last-minute necessity in progressively hostile environments; therefore, the acquirement of said items should also be less prioritized. Shrinking the divide between the SPD and the community should be the top priority. If all options are extinguished, only then should these means be necessary.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 02:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Valina Last Name: Pimental
Email	valinajobs [REDACTED]
Comment	Hello my names Valina, I've been a Sacramento resident for over 30 years. I believe having more military equipment within our community is a horrible way to use our money. They expect you to put this money toward obtaining the bad guy by buying more military based equipment. Lets CHANGE the narrative. Instead help prevent the future bad guys; by adding community centers, youth programs, anything pertaining to keeping the youth active. Thank you for hearing the community out.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tianyi Last Name: Wang
Email	tianyiberries [REDACTED]
Comment	I want you to send it all back and spend the money on building our communities. There should be no instance when we're using military equipment on civilians. If the police department wants the trust of the community they should act like they are part of it and not like they are a local military. While you're at it I want you to give our Covid money back too.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:07PM PDT

Name	First Name: Erin Last Name: Bucknell
Email	eri[REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not. Militarization of the police is the exact opposite of what we should be doing,
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Natalie Last Name: Visger
Email	natvisger [REDACTED]
Comment	The use of military weapons/equipment Is not what Sacramento PD needs. A reform to better re-educate police in what is exactly within their realm of power, because it seems that many officers have fallen wayside to the notion that they are here to protect and serve...not to become the hangman at any given situation. Police officers are normal Humans too, and I don't think anyone has the capacity to make the right decision when it comes to life or death when you have the upper hand on every situation.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:07PM PDT

Name	First Name: Cinthia Last Name: Ross
Email	cindaiross [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment is used for war, not for the protection of people or property. It is absolutely unnecessary for military grade force to be used in the city of Sacramento, and I'd much rather see funding go towards longer training requirements to become a cop, more police on bikes or on foot as protectors not enforcers, training regarding threat assessment and de-escalation, and more police around transit stations or one cop assigned to every other light rail station or so in case anything goes wrong.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Joana Last Name: Rose
Email	jmro1382 [REDACTED]
Comment	NO MILITARY EQUIPMENT! This will only make civilians feel very uneasy and WILL NOT keep us safe. There are countless instances of police in Sacramento and elsewhere using extreme military equipment and weaponry when there is no need. It mimics a dictatorship. This is not what democracy looks like.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: Justin Last Name: Taylor
Email	justinrage98 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Any approval of this proposal is a distinct and clear decision of the city of Sacramento to abuse the purpose and abilities of Law Enforcement. Law Enforcement (LE) in Sacramento does not need Military Equipment to protect and serve our community. The use of an LRAD would be abhorrent and despicable. It would only be used to violate the 1st Amendment rights of citizens in this city who are working to create change. The use of 40MM Grenade Launchers would have no purpose for use against American citizens by their own Government. The fact that this is being genuinely considered by our police department in response to the protests in the past 2 years shows a clear and conscious disengagement from what the public believes is best. The police department has no reason to act as or become a militarized force on American soil. That is NOT the purpose of the police department. The State of CA has a militarized National Guard for emergency purposes and if Sac PD believes that they need a military force for a situation they should call upon the National Guard which is already paid for with our tax dollars and exists for the purpose of internal military operations. This is disgusting.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://keep.google.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ryan Last Name: Roberts
Email	
Comment	The Sacramento PD received 2.2 million dollars from the CARES act during Covid. Meanwhile the average citizen got a couple thousand and more than a few ended up homeless. And now they ask for even more money for military grade hardware? Unless you admit you are declaring war on American civilians, military equipment is not necessary.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kristen Last Name: Cox
Email	kristencox9 [REDACTED]
Comment	I truly believe that providing local police with military weapons, regardless of the intent, is a really bad idea. We do not need these weapons in Sacramento and this would not be a good use of funds. Some of the equipment could be quite helpful with de-escalation, surveillance, and increasing the safety of the officers and citizens during incidences. Please do not acquire these weapons.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:15PM PDT

Name	First Name: Randi Last Name: Tedrow
Email	rtedrow [REDACTED]
Comment	1) I feel the Sac PD need way more training before being given "military equipment" 2) I also feel they should not have such an easy access to the "military equipment". 3) Sac PD DOES NOT NEED military grade equipment. Please send it to Ukraine. I dont trust Sac PD with military equipment right now.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:33PM PDT

Name	First Name: Grant Last Name: Davenport
Email	grant.d [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Municipal use of military equipment is an egregious misuse of public tax dollars. Even if military equipment is donated to municipalities, it falls on them to hire special maintenance personnel and procure specialized and expensive maintenance and replacement parts. Police would REQUIRE extensive training on use of military equipment - which isn't feasible given their other duties. Armed military forces spend countless hours in training - police are not armed military forces and any training provided would be inadequate. Police are not a military. Municipal use of military equipment will only have negative impacts on tax payers and civilians at large. It is highly likely military equipment will be used against peaceful protesters exercising their constitutionally protected rights... functionally violating the US constitution. State and municipal tax payers should not fund the military industrial complex.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Samuel Last Name: Yaslinskiy
Email	sam.yaslinskiy [REDACTED]
Comment	The police do NOT need military equipment. You are equipped too much as it is, with not enough training. Tf you think this is, Russia? Send the military equipment to Ukraine.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tito Last Name: Guzman
Email	tito.guzman.0309 [REDACTED]
Comment	Direct funding towards training, not gear. I am against this.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:37PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alyssa Last Name: Borders
Email	aaborders [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento PD should NOT be give access to military equipment. Under any circumstances. If there is an invading force within the city, trained personnel from the national guard or armed forces can come in and handle the situation. They are well trained in restraint, risk assessment, and protocols of engagement, and that's when enemies ARE actually trying to kill all of them all the time. Sac PD have proven time and time again that they can not or will not adhere to safe use of force regulations or de-escalation techniques that they have allegedly been taught. Until they can show that they are able to protect the residents of this city with some amount of respect for human life, it should not even be considered that they are given more means of harm let alone instruments of war.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:24PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sarah Last Name: Gullikson
Email	sarah_gullikson [REDACTED]
Comment	I strongly oppose the purchase of military equipment by our police departments. Our communities are not warzones, our citizens are not combatants. This is a waste of money that could be used for nonviolent community outreach and mental health services.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:24PM PDT

Name	First Name: Matthew Last Name: Bankston
Email	Spongebob [REDACTED]
Comment	Why would we need military equipment for civilians, are we in an active warzone? Whats the justification. Spend more money on school and underprivileged families for christ's sake
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Justin Last Name: Grubbs
Email	attredies [REDACTED]
Comment	as a USMC Veteran, I am wholeheartedly AGAINST the police force utilizing 'military equipment' to an extent. I believe that officers should not have rifles in every vehicle, should definitely not be using HMMWV or similar classification vehicles (outside of perhaps a SWAT office). While we have a 2nd amendment allowing a more heavily armed populace than many other countries, Police Officers need to be trained in de-escalation in many more situations than it is currently used in, and officers are not spending enough time training with their service weapons currently. If a situation requires a larger use of force than an officer with his service weapon/taser/etc and more than a token SWAT unit, then the local police force has failed in it's community policing (already sorely lacking). Our police force should be limited to using the same equipment their citizens can use, if a civilian can not purchase and legally carry a class of equipment, the police should not either. they are public servants, not soldiers, and this line is getting blurred more over time.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Caroline Last Name: Stelzer
Email	carolinestelzer3 [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not think it is appropriate for Sac PD to continue using military equipment in our city. We live in a community, not a war zone. The use of military equipment by Sac PD has had no impact on the crime rates in the city. The equipment is unnecessary for the city's police department and does nothing except cause unnecessary noise and trauma to the residents.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:39PM PDT

Name	First Name: Simon Last Name: Russell
Email	simonrussell2011 [REDACTED]
Comment	The purpose of military equipment is to defend a territory against hostiles. Acquiring military equipment would solidify in many people's minds that police are aggressors, not to be trusted, and are placing suppressing the rights of citizens as the first priority of our police department. I don't see any other reason why a city/county police department would need grenade launchers, chemical weaponry, or enough ammo to supply 700 officers. Police officers are law enforcement, not soldiers, and have no reason to be equipped like soldiers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:56PM PDT

Name	First Name: Christopher Last Name: Bingham
Email	ChristopherLBingham [REDACTED]
Comment	If a situation calls for the use of military equipment, call in the military. We should not be militarizing our civilian forces when the city can't even maintain basic traffic enforcement.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:43PM PDT

Name	First Name: Autumn Last Name: G
Email	flyinthesky109 [REDACTED]
Comment	Police are available to the public to protect and serve the people. So far all they do is abuse their power when possible. I've seen and heard of police not helping the public more than actually helping. The use of military equipment just gives them more power to be abusive towards the community. Let the military handle the military equipment and keep it out of the police force. Hard NO from me.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:44PM PDT

Name	First Name: Julia Last Name: Moffitt
Email	juliaccmoffitt [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no need for sac PD to have military equipment. Sac PD has shown they cannot even responsibly use riot equipment, guns, etc. It would be a detriment to the city to allow police to use these items. Instead they should use the funding on years long academy training of police (as opposed to months long training they currently undergo), de-escalation training, anti-racist policing tactics, etc. Even with the protests and riots of 2020 there is no need for military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jade Last Name: Hughes
Email	jadeannahughes [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The last thing we need is for more militarized police walking the streets targeting low income black and brown communities. We do NOT need a military waking the streets gunning down people left right and center, we do NOT need to spend money on more police officers with more guns and more militarized "toys" that are continuously used against people of color. What we DO need is all of this money to go into communities in the form of mental health care, housing, employment opportunities, education, and community-based activities.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 03:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Chelsea Last Name: Kirkpatrick
Email	chelseamkirkpatrick [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't think that military equipment is necessary and that it actually comes off as threatening to the public. As in it makes most of the public feel as if the police are a threat to them rather than serving to protect them. It's excessive as a use of force and in the expenses that it takes to obtain/maintain said equipment. If we need military grade equipment then call the National Guard since that's their purpose. Thank you for giving the public an opportunity to voice our concerns.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:12PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jana Last Name: Gehrig
Email	janagehrig [REDACTED]
Comment	This is excessive, unnecessary, and a waste of money. These funds should be invested into community care, not community violence. Please do better!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:12PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dan Last Name: Pemberton
Email	daniel.m.pemberton [REDACTED]
Comment	Please, for the love of reason don't do this. We do not need to militarize our local police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:10PM PDT

Name	First Name: Austin Last Name: Beaudoin
Email	austin.beaudoin93 [REDACTED]
Comment	I wholeheartedly oppose the militarization of Sacramento's police department. This is not a war zone; it is a community where people deserve to live safe from quasi-military occupation. The acquisition of weapons that the police department requests, which include sound cannons, rifles, and guns with such farcical names as "less lethal shotgun," must be voted down by the city government. I, for one, will never feel safe in a community where the police are preparing for war.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/t3_ubt3gg?url=https%3A%2F%2Fforms.cityofsacramento.org%2F%2FPD_MilitaryEquipmentUse%3Ffbclid%3DI

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Cassandra Last Name: Hammer
Email	cassydhammer [REDACTED]
Comment	We are citizens and civilians. You will not need military equipment to deal with us. You will need ears. Listen to the people, and put that money into services that keep people from needing to make "criminal" decisions for basic needs.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 02:50AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ben Last Name: Gladstone
Email	bgladx64 [REDACTED]
Comment	Hell no! What are you the Marines? No, you are peace officers. You're not fighting the fuckin Taliban... You're working with American citizens, your fellow Americans. Treat us like it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:23PM PDT

Name	First Name: Willow Last Name: Davison
Email	inkling91 [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no good reason for cops to have military weaponry, and providing it makes our streets less safe.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:44PM PDT

Name	First Name: Fatemeh Last Name: M
Email	fay.sec [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Hi there, 7 year resident of Sacramento here. From what I've seen since I've lived here, as someone who didn't grow up here, Sacramento does not have a shortage of military weapons. Sacramento has a lack of community resources and trust in law enforcement. This money would be better spent towards community resources for our most vulnerable members or holding corruption accountable in our communities. Not really sure how weapons of war are going to help our community—which is not actively a war zone to my understanding—nor how it is going to combat the ignorance present in law enforcement circles that further enable the crime cycle. Reconsider investing this money elsewhere because historically and empirically this is just going to result in more senseless violence.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:34PM PDT

Name	First Name: Nathalie Last Name: Kolandjian
Email	nkolandjian [REDACTED]
Comment	It is very concerning to me that the policy is receiving military equipment. We do not need or want our police to be an extension of the military. This leads to overuse of force on civilian populations instead of focusing on de-escalation and non violent policing which would actually benefit citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tawni Last Name: Lai
Email	tawnila [REDACTED]
Comment	No, we should not militarize the police. I don't know how many different ways people can say it. They are not the military.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: A. Last Name: Madison
Email	
Comment	Our taxpayer dollars are not being given to you so you can play cosplay military. Reasons like this are a growing factor to public distrust of LEOs.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:40PM PDT

Name	First Name: Allison Last Name: Buchholtz
Email	aebuchholtz [REDACTED]
Comment	As a citizen and resident of Sacramento/Carmichael, I am not comfortable with the amount of money being spent on military equipment instead of other products/uses.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:40PM PDT

Name	First Name: Hilary Last Name: Johnson
Email	
Comment	The police don't need any military equipment. We have the national guard for that!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:41PM PDT

Name	First Name: Matthew Last Name: Quintana
Email	oamq43 [REDACTED]
Comment	The implementation of military equipment within the Sacramento Police Department should strongly be opposed. If we are allocating these funds into more equipment, then I have to ask why this money is not going towards more training programs for police or for developing various areas in Sacramento. I, and other residents, would not feel safer if military equipment for the police is introduced in our city.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:52PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jared Last Name: Garner
Email	
Comment	The police and military are two different things. The police don't need gear that is meant for killing or occupying foreign territories. They do a terrible job protecting the community without it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:51PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lori Last Name: Garcia
Email	touchielor [REDACTED]
Comment	No, they don't need any more weapons or military equipment
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:53PM PDT

Name	First Name: Allyson Last Name: Bartz
Email	allybartz [REDACTED]
Comment	It is not humane to use military grade weapons on the people within a country. It is rather radical for a police department (protect and serve as the motto) to ask this question
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:13PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Absofuckinglutely not
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 04:57PM PDT

Name	First Name: Branden Last Name: Sievers
Email	branden.j.sievers [REDACTED]
Comment	If you're not at war with your citizens, you don't need military equipment. If you ARE at war with your citizens, you should disband and start over. Use that money for actual useful policies.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: A. Last Name: Ford
Email	annaford10 [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento Police Department should not buy/rent/loan/use any military equipment. Doing so would put Sac residents at further risk of harm and unnecessary uses of force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>* Sac PD has no need for 40mm ordinance as outlined in sections 8 and 10. Use of military-grade "less-lethal" equipment for purposes of riot control risks the lives of civilians and can cause permanent or life-threatening injury with misuse. Despite training, officers can easily injure civilians as seen in many news reports: https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2020/09/14/analysis-115-head-injuries-rubber-bullets-less-lethal-weapons/3468771001/ The use of these munitions increases the risk of serious injury to the public and opens the city to lawsuits from injured civilians, potentially increasing cost to taxpayers. The city of Santa Rosa experienced exactly this, paying over \$2 million to settle lawsuits related to injuries inflicted by police during riot control. * LRADs (section 7) are an excessive use of force that threaten public safety and needlessly increases the city's liability. They are indiscriminate weapons that can harm bystanders and police officers alike. LRADs are capable of producing sounds over 160dB, which can easily cause permanent hearing injury. The city has a responsibility to ensure officers do not use these weapons in a manner that harms public safety, but there is no way to prevent their misuse by untrained or malicious operators. Section 7 designates LRADs as being "primarily used as a communications device" which obfuscates their use as a weapon and attempts to mask the danger posed to the public by their deployment for riot control. There is no situation in which an LRAD surpasses the utility of a standard megaphone/PA system outside of its use as a weapon. Further, cities such as New York and Pittsburgh have already settled lawsuits for LRAD-related injury for \$748,000 and over \$200,000, respectively. Sacramento does not need to risk taxpayer money settling personal injury lawsuits for the sake of clear communication. These lawsuits are well documented and the risks of LRAD usage is widely known. See: * https://gothamist.com/news/city-settles-lawsuit-protesters-who-accused-nypd-firing-sound-cannon-them * https://www.reuters.com/article/us-new-york-police-lawsuit/nypd-must-face-lawsuit-over-sound-cannons-at-protests-judge-idUSKBN18S6BV * https://www.aclupa.org/en/press-releases/city-pittsburgh-settles-g-20-lawsuits * https://www.supremecourt.gov/DocketPDF/18/18-810/96383/20190412171108239_18-810.cert.opp.pdf * https://www.audiologist.org/item/crowd-control-with-long-range-acoustic-devices-can-cause-permanent-hearing-loss</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:16PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kyle Last Name: Johnson
Email	kjohnson1177 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment should not be used by police. The police should be aiding the community and helping them. Military equipment turns the police into a force focused entity, not a community assistance focused entity. "If your only tool is a hammer, every problem looks like a nail." If your only tool is military equipment, the police will see the people of Sacramento as thugs, gangsters, and threats.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jake Last Name: Mellor
Email	jetway56 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military personnel use military equipment. Last time I checked Sacramento Police are not military personnel.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: John Last Name: Doe
Email	
Comment	If it saves tax payer money take the free stuff.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:21PM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Alvarez
Email	D.alvarez89 [REDACTED]
Comment	The role of Law Enforcement should be to ensure that offenders of the law are detained and afforded the chance to a fair trial in front of their peers. I have a hard time believing that weapons of war are necessary or even useful in accomplishing this. If the Police Department feels they can't safely detain individuals then perhaps the money can be spent on more training or creating an alternative organization to help supplement the inadequacies of current Law Enforcement agencies.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:06PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alyssa Last Name: Neri
Email	agner [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>This is completely unnecessary. The current drafted policy insinuates that the community is your enemy. The SPD is supposed to serve the community-- not be above us and to not be a protected class. Militarization of the SPD will only make us feel extremely unsafe and scared and unwilling to ever work with the department. I feel more protected by California Highway Patrol than I have ever felt by the Sacramento Police Department. Additionally, by claiming for these new weapons to be "less lethal" does not equate to less painful, less damaging, less traumatic, or more useful and successful. Military gear is for invading or occupying territory with an opposing hostile populace or military force. If the SPD feels that is the situation, they need to stop being the police because that is not their job.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:09PM PDT

Name	First Name: Gabrielle Last Name: Ingram
Email	standupsacramentocounty [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>As a 2nd amendment, law enforcement supporting American, I am absolutely opposed to the militarization of our Law Enforcement. Scanning through the approved items, there are a few items that I agree with that I know in a sane world would not be classified as military defense items but the vast majority of the list is both unnecessary against an armed populace and verges on criminal against an unarmed populace. Looking at Canada, New Zeland and other countries as they've used Microwave technology or long range pulse equipment, we've seen the damage, the effects on the human body and the concerns around "crimes against humanity". What Sacramento needs is policy change not militarized law enforcement. If there are items on the list that are needed like robots for bomb squads, full metal jacket ammo, then again, policy is the issue. What exactly is Sacramento expecting to happen that three fourths of the items on the list are needed for peace keepers? Are we expecting civil war? If so, is the goal to maintain peace or to murder those that rise up cause most of this equipment will maim or murder the person it is used against. Looking at the big picture, with SB1464 as Legislation, who's to say if that bill goes through and Sac PD budget stripped for refusing to enforce some future public health order, that the powers transferred to public health wouldn't enable them to also use this equipment? California is a mess. Lets not help it get worse. This proposition further establishes a police state and I am hard core against that. The county has this same item up this week for the Sheriff and Stand Up Sacramento County will be opposing that as well. These opinions here are my own though.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Fjuch Last Name: Hugh
Email	
Comment	I can't wait to have my neck broken, eyes shot out, and eardrums burst with even more reckless excessive force in tandem with all of these fancy new tools! Last time I checked, police forces are not an offshoot of the military. The only people you all want to go to war with are the communities that are forced to pay your salaries.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jack Last Name: Proper
Email	coatlthrottle [REDACTED]
Comment	You should not be using military equipment. Law Enforcement is supposed to be by the people for the people. It should not be a militarized extension of the ruling class used to protect their private capital and interests. Law Enforcement is supposed to help the communities, not treat them like over-seas war zones. We are community members, not insurgents. You use OUR money to pay for weapons that are used to murder and imprison us. Despicable.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:41PM PDT

Name	First Name: Holley Last Name: Dowling
Email	dowlingholley [REDACTED]
Comment	Hello! Please reconsider using military tactical equipment. I feel this does more harm than good to our community and we could use those funds for crime prevention programs such as homeless and hunger reduction, after school programs, and affordable childcare
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:41PM PDT

Name	First Name: B Last Name: Hutchinson
Email	
Comment	Less money for police more money for communities, you already have them all of the COVID relief
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Gregorio Last Name: Zorrilla
Email	gregazorrilla [REDACTED]
Comment	California citizens are already gun controlled and defenseless. The last thing we need is for that balance of power to be heavily tipping in favor of law enforcement. I oppose.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:45PM PDT

Name	First Name: Will Last Name: McKee
Email	willchipmax [REDACTED]
Comment	Please do not use military equipment. You are police. I believe in you. You are trained to deal with CIVILIANS not ENEMY AGENTS. You have a LOT of training and should not need military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Torrance Last Name: Haynes
Email	torrance.normanton [REDACTED]
Comment	The use of military equipment by the police is a horrible idea. Military equipment is manufactured for use in war, and the police are not at war with the citizens of Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: Amanda Last Name: Moe
Email	amoe234 [REDACTED]
Comment	I strongly disagree with the proposed adoption of military equipment. Frankly, the use of military equipment seems both unnecessary and a waste of funds. As a citizen, my local police department having this type of equipment at their disposal is unnerving.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jennifer Last Name: Durkee
Email	jendurkee91 [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no need for police to have military equipment. Budget would be better spent on proper threat assessment training, abd non violent de-escalation training. Police in Sacramento are also understaffed which is a different issue needing attention and budget. Military equipment would not help the fact that there simply aren't enough police officers. It is often the case that it takes hours for police to come to a emergency or nonemergency request, if they are able to come at all. Military grade equipment won't make it so that police suddenly have the bandwidth to support all incoming requests.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stacy Last Name: Mcclain
Email	stacymcclain18 [REDACTED]
Comment	Oppose
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:37PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mardell Last Name: Mcclain
Email	mardellmcclain18 [REDACTED]
Comment	Oppose
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:53PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ellyn Last Name: Gazda
Email	Gaz0834 [REDACTED]
Comment	Police are not the military and no situations a police officer is responding to requires the use of military equipmen.. this is an open door to misuse, abuse and a lack for trust between police and the community.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: claire Last Name: townsend
Email	1claitecity [REDACTED]
Comment	I feel very uncomfortable with the use of military equipment on our own citizens, a much better use of funding and energy would be put towards preventative measures like support for individuals with mental health disorders, those who struggle with substance abuse, the houseless, or other individuals who could be prevented from going down a path of crime. De-escalation and prevention is a lot safer and better for our community than unleashing military grade weapons and trauma onto the city.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 05:56PM PDT

Name	First Name: Gregory Last Name: Jahn
Email	greg.jahn [REDACTED]
Comment	As a civilian, not military, organization, Sacramento police should not use military equipment. Using such equipment would mean that they treat their fellow citizens as enemies instead of those they are on the side of.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/.compact

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sean Last Name: Manni
Email	seanmanni77 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Please don't. Adopting more military equipment would lead to more harm than good. Military grade weapons would lead to more fatalities, and in turn feed social unrest. On top of that, adopting advanced equipment would encourage criminal elements to adapt and could lead to more deadly situations for civilians as well as officers. Police in Sacramento do not need new military equipment, but instead new tactics that decrease the potential use of deadly force on both sides. When people call 911, the only options are police or the fire department. Neither group is prepared to handle every situation, nor should they have to. Yet as it stands now, they are forced to. What the city needs are more departments that can respond to specific situations. This could include more social workers, trained psychologists on call, increased rehabilitation programs for all kinds of crime, and overall multiple systems working together to provide the best solution for the given situation. On top of that, policing should be more community based. Officers should be stationed out of specific neighborhoods and make efforts to get to know/integrate themselves with the community. Putting a friendly face on the officer responding to the situation can greatly change the outcome. An officer who knows the person they are approaching can respond more effectively than they would to a stranger. Alternatively, the average person is more likely to engage with and seek help from an officer that they have known and trusted for years. Regular and personal interactions with the people of a given neighborhood will lead to better understanding on the part of officers and in turn more effective responses. This would also give people more of a reason to reach out to the law, and would lead to more in-depth understandings of what people need to feel secure in their daily lives. There would need to be some system of accountability or at least a means of allowing neighborhoods to elect to have a new officer assigned to their community. Overall, military equipment will not solve the problems facing the city today. What we need is a novel, and more direct response to address the needs and concerns of the people of Sacramento. A police force on its own can only do so much, and by separating itself from the people the police will only inspire fear and make enemies. The police need to adapt their tactics, not their weapons. Police are meant to protect and serve, and in this day and age I see community based involvement and interaction as the best way to do this.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:10PM PDT

Name	First Name: Amber Last Name: Alexander
Email	ambercalexander99 [REDACTED]
Comment	NO. Our communities are not in a full blown war. We need safety and support. Not armed marines.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:12PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alexander Last Name: Ramirez
Email	alexramirez650 [REDACTED]
Comment	I would love our police departments to have the tools available needed to protect our community and themselves. Not every situation needs that show of force but I personally would sleep easier knowing it's available to our officers along with the training on how to properly deploy those tools.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alex Last Name: McMahon
Email	alex.g.mcmahon [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military manoeuvres.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:00PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jarred Last Name: Stein
Email	jarredstein [REDACTED]
Comment	The concept of using military vehicles against the country's own citizens is antithetical to the concept of "to serve and protect" and I strongly disagree with the idea of a police force becoming increasing militarized and it only incentivizes our police to use force instead of de-escalation
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:03PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I do not see why this "military equipment" would be needed by our law enforcement against our citizens. It seems like a gross misuse of funds.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: R Last Name: Larson
Email	ryan.r.larson [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not. This is unnecessary and will lead to nothing but more brute force and avoidable violence.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:28PM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Garcel
Email	mikegarce [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>We have a military for a reason. Sacramento police department should invest that money in strategies that have proven to drive down crime in neighborhoods. I'm talking about community resources, free and affordable housing, mental health investments, even sidewalks would be nice. Sacramento has entirely too many problems for the police to militarize. I call on Sacramento PD to surrender any non essential equipment and begin establishing relationships with communities, particularly communities of color, rather than criminalize our existence. Conflict between gangs require mediation between their leaders and community intervention, not murder or arrest at whoever you deem to be a "threat." Please begin taking approaches that have been studied and will produce results; not further the police state in this country.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:29PM PDT

Name	First Name: Marielle Last Name: Rikkelman
Email	mariellerikk[REDACTED]
Comment	I do not support the use of military-grade equipment to police the City of Sacramento and I fear how it will affect violence rates (that could potentially be avoided).
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lee Last Name: Garvey
Email	eelgravey [REDACTED]
Comment	Sacramento PD does not need military equipment. Military hardware is for waging war, which Sac PD should not be doing. Sacramento has some of the worst drivers in the nation. Please focus on traffic enforcement.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Parika Last Name: Bansal
Email	parikabansa [REDACTED]
Comment	Am opposed to this. Not sure if you need more words than that
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Gaianee Last Name: Bouyssou
Email	gaianeeb15 [REDACTED]
Comment	The county of Sacramento should not adopt/purchase/loan/rent any military equipment. No increased militarization of any Sacramento county police, public health, or public safety resources. No further funding should be allocated to militarizing any public services. The county should be working on decreasing the budget for any existing military measures/processes, and be re-allocating that budget towards life-affirming and community-supportive resources and services, such as public education, city roads and public transportation, and public health mental health services for veterans and the unhoused populations of Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:34PM PDT

Name	First Name: Siddarth Last Name: Biyani
Email	sidbiyan[REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment has no place in the police force. Use that money to get trained on deescalating situations instead.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Meryl Last Name: Wenger
Email	merylw21 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment in use by the police puts our communities in danger and should not be an option.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:51PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mika Last Name: Godzich
Email	mika.godzich [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not believe that there is a role for military equipment in policing. Citizens are not enemy combatants. Furthermore, the availability of this equipment will lead to its use being favored over deescalation tactics and community informed management of situations when a crime is suspected. Spending resources on the purchase and maintenance of this equipment and the training of officers to use this material rather than using resources for community engagement and identification of non violent methods of interaction with those suspected of criminal activity is the wrong choice for Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:01PM PDT

Name	First Name: Claire Last Name: DesBaillets
Email	claire.desbaillets [REDACTED]
Comment	dont do it
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Nicholas Last Name: Kahrmanian
Email	giantnick10 [REDACTED]
Comment	You don't need military equipment for civilians. Pleas find other, less violent methods to deescalate conflicts instead of continuing to cause tension with dangerous weaponry.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 05:27AM PDT

Name	First Name: Sam Last Name: Leonard
Email	samleonard1 [REDACTED]
Comment	To whom it may concern, We do not need military tools to deal with the public. It is increasingly more and more expensive and doesn't solve the root of the problems on the streets. Furthermore it antagonizes, and can only lead to an excuse to use more and more. If we can't be safe through use of policy and technique than others need to be in charge. Thanks for your time.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:16PM PDT

Name	First Name: Every Last Name: One
Email	
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military manoeuvres.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Maya Last Name: Hotz
Email	mayacaddy [REDACTED]
Comment	The police are civil servants who don't need access to military equipment. How does stuff used in a war zone make us any safer. I don't want that in my community!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stephen Last Name: Morris
Email	stephen.morris42 [REDACTED]
Comment	Sacramento does not need military equipment for its police force. Officers should patrol their communities as a partnership, not an occupying force. The cost of maintaining these combat-zone machines could be better utilized combating the root causes of crime: poverty, racism, and social isolation.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ryan Last Name: Kohne
Email	ryko.kohne [REDACTED]
Comment	Uhh ya, but no. I don't want to see this kinda equipment in person, even if it's used by police. This stuff is scary
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jason Last Name: O'Neill
Email	
Comment	Supplying the police with military type equipment only perpetuates an us vs. them mentality. How about spending 5 mil to better equip officers to handle mentally ill people?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:23PM PDT

Name	First Name: James Last Name: Manny
Email	ljmanny47 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military manoeuvres.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:24PM PDT

Name	First Name: Thomas Last Name: Schwartz
Email	thomas.scz [REDACTED]
Comment	LRAD, dragon runners, chemical warfare, 40hr training for drones? Refresh 4 times a year... Disgustingly sad. Your expectations for the people you purport to serve seem to be defined from a sense of fear and budget aquisition. You do not know your people. GO 410.06 Page 3 of 25 Sections G - seems to allow funding from any entity - local, state, or global. Do you not see the danger in this? GO 410.06 Page 25 of 25 Section I - "without city council approval" - I'm going to buy one bullet. If you say that's okay, then I'm going to buy as many bullets as I need when I decide I need them. Keep preparing for war and you will have one. This is not a threat. This is my disappointment. I could say so much more. Gunfire and explosions every night. Picking your battles... they will be met in kind. Ask your officers to reiterate what opinions I've expressed here. I don't expect any to understand, only to comprehend. You, as condoners must realize what they've failed to grasp. Treat them with love and help them grow internally. Fail at this effort? Then I suppose your only reactionary response is to give them war. I pray for the day our efforts become proactive as opposed to these reactionary measures.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:41PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jared Last Name: Barr
Email	barr.jed [REDACTED]
Comment	I would prefer Sac PD to not have access to military equipment. It is excessive, unnecessary, dangerous, and a misallocation of resources. The City of Sacramento is not a military state or occupied territory. Spending millions of taxpayer dollars on this is not in the interest of the people of Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Dufau
Email	mddufau [REDACTED]
Comment	I am good with law enforcement officers being equipped with military equipment as long as other civilians have similar access (seeing how police are, in fact, civilians too). Such equipment blurs the line between police and military, which is historically problematic.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:33PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jake Last Name: Ernst
Email	jakeernst68 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Although, I do believe in a strong police force I believe there needs to be some reassurances in place for the people of the community you all serve. Just like the military there are rules of engagement and training on how to use these weapons. Of course this is already implemented, but I do believe, because of every device being used on American civilians criminal or not, that these device should have further policies implemented. My suggestions would be based on tactics. These are military grade weapons, but the police do not need to act like the military in every capacity or a majority of them. My suggestion would be in an increase in funding on deescalation training and communication. Another technique o believe should be implemented would be further connected to this idea. When people are engaged in first amendment related activities such as peaceful protest deescalation techniques should be used as a method of proactive and community policing rather than reactive policing. This method is important to protect officers lives and citizens at large. The method is one of many used by other departments which would be to have the officers on the front line wearing less tactical gear and less of a show of the militarization of police. Meanwhile a block behind the barricade around a corner, in an alley, or generally hidden from public view officers would be on standby in full gear with full military force. This way if for any reason there is an escalation reactive tactics can be engaged. This would seem to greatly increase positive perception of the police at peaceful gatherings and lessen the likelihood for violence. Sacramento is a diverse place with diverse officers. This leads me to my next point: have officers with good standing and members closely relating to the protesters meet with community members that are organizers to see how the police can better assist with safety. Again, the main focus is to be communicative, create an action plan, and have positive police and community interactions. Another method separate from first amendment related activities, but to learn how to allocate these resources more effectively: Ask residents of high crime areas with officers who have good standing, show interest, and have abundant empathy, if possible, to go to door to door in high crime areas and ask what they would like to see the police do. Get a general consensus and see if a plan can be made and if it feasible. Thank you and I hope you great officers take this to heart and as always I appreciate your service to the people and our constitution.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:34PM PDT

Name	First Name: steve Last Name: conyers
Email	steveconyers [REDACTED]
Comment	Thinking you can use a piece of military equipment for something other than it's intended purpose is wishful thinking. Saying it will help protect the lives of cops leads one to speculate that it's a dangerous job, which it isn't. Not even in the top 15. This will only lead to more civilian deaths. That's a big NO from me.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ricky Last Name: Li
Email	liricklirick [REDACTED]
Comment	You have enough guns already, you don't need more
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:37PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Our communities are not war zones. "Protect and serve"...not us. Y'all only protect and serve the interests of the corporate elite. Stop militarizing our communities!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:44PM PDT

Name	First Name: Rachel Last Name: Charbonneau
Email	zie.science [REDACTED]
Comment	Do not purchase or otherwise acquire military equipment now or ever, thank you. These items are instruments of war and have no place being used against civilians. If you are short on ideas of where to put your money, please consider deescalation trainings, testing rape kits, social wellness programs, mental health programs, housing the underhoused, safe injection sites, needle exchanges, community gardens and other food security programs and services, and other support measures that uplift our community members instead of beating them down with a ragged stick.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Cole Last Name: Smith-Crowley
Email	Cole_Smith-Crowley [REDACTED]
Comment	Sacramento doesn't need this heavy surveillance and monitoring equipment, and the maintenance costs are unreasonable. This "free" equipment is far more costly than it appears.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Christina Last Name: St. Amour
Email	christinastamou[REDACTED]
Comment	NO. The police do not need military equipment to perform their duties. It is a fine line indeed for police going too far, and military equipment would tilt that scale way too far to one side. No. This cannot happen.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:52PM PDT

Name	First Name: Elizabeth Last Name: Walker
Email	elizabeth.ashley.walker@██████████
Comment	I do not believe military equipment has any place in Sacramento.. while it should be blatantly transparent of the Sacramento police's policies, actions, equipment - it begs the questions: Why does Sacramento need military grade equipment? What is SacPD planning to do with military grade equipment? Where is this funding for military equipment coming from? It is quite worrisome why the city would think they need more funding for these types of materials. It makes me worried for the sake of the city regarding SacPD's toys and excessive spending habits. Perhaps putting military grade equipment money into police training and more effort into figuring out why these shootings are happening in our city.
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:10PM PDT

Name	First Name: K. Last Name: N.
Email	
Comment	Sacramento Police should not have access to military equipment. Nothing good can come from the increased militarization of the police. The police do not need additional ability to oppress the citizens, especially when the corruption and radicalism in the Police department have not been taken care of.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: Devyn Last Name: Borba
Email	borbadevyn2 [REDACTED]
Comment	The county of Sacramento should not adopt/ purchase/loan/rent any military equipment. No increased militarization of any Sacramento county police, public health, or public safety resources. No further funding should be allocated to militarizing any public services. The county should be working on decreasing the budget for any existing military measures/ processes, and be reallocating that budget towards life-affirming and community-supportive resources and services, such as public education, city roads and public transportation, and public health mental health services for veterans and the unhoused populations of Sacramento.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: Marie Last Name: Council
Email	
Comment	Why do you need military equipment? We don't want to spend our taxes to fund the militarization of the police. Smh.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:58PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	The police have no reason to utilize military equipment towards citizens of the community. It is a gross overreach and violation of people's rights.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:15PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stephanie Last Name: Buck
Email	sab785 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The community does not need police to be outfitted with any sort of military gear or equipment. The police should serve the community not wage a war against it. The potentially millions of dollars this will cost will be put to better use training police officers to serve their community (e.g. de-escalation training, bias training, gender responsive training). Outfitting the police with military weapons will only further reap mistrust and dislike between the community and the officers. Invest in the actual people that live here. Consider investing the money into a similar program that Denver launched (Support Team Assisted Response). The program utilized mental health professionals, and is an overwhelming success. The program actually saved the city money, since the mental health professional's can handle those distress calls while police handle the emergency's.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sky Last Name: Lee
Email	skyberries [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>As a Sacramento resident and a physician who cares for people who are incarcerated, I am deeply saddened and outraged by the ask of the Sacramento police department for military grade weapons. The request for these weapons (drones, armored vehicles, launchers, shotguns, rifles, use of chemical warfare, detonators, explosives) make it clear that the police are engaging in war against the community of Sacramento. The community should be investing in schools, public transit, affordable housing, affordable health care (including mental health and substance use treatment) NOT on weapons meant to perpetuate violence and harm. Do not mistake safety with security of private/ commercial property and surveillance. Imagine how many homes could be built, therapy sessions for people suffering from the pandemic and from police violence and from systemic racism, food for those who lost their jobs and help paying for electricity and air conditioning during the summers, and books and science and art programs for schools. I urge the city and the police to think of how we can repair our community by addressing the root problems, not by continuing this cycle of punishment with physical and mental violence.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Brittany Last Name: Thome
Email	
Comment	Military gear is for invading or defending a territory with an opposing military force. There is not an opposing military force in Sacramento and thus the PD should not need such extreme equipment. Demilitarize the police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:38PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tuesday Last Name: Cool
Email	tuesdaycoo [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't want these being employed in our city. Military equipment throws a blanket over a problem and makes might more important than tact. Just say now war in your hometown!
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:41PM PDT

Name	First Name: Max Last Name: Meyer
Email	maxandeomeyer [REDACTED]
Comment	I am concerned items 7, 8, 12, and 13 may cause more panic and injury to the police and to the person being arrested/confronted. I do not think these specific items should be used by civilian police officers in our town. I am concerned that the public may be more distrustful of the police and may react more unpredictably in the presence of the police if the police were to use any of the items listed.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:42PM PDT

Name	First Name: Westley Last Name: Warren
Email	westley.warren [REDACTED]
Comment	100+ launchers... no thank you!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:26PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alex Last Name: Storm
Email	alexstormdesign [REDACTED]
Comment	Why should police need military gear? Are they going to war in our streets? Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to serve, protect, apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. Not to kill. Not to terrorize. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military. I am 100% against all military gear being used by Sacramento police. It doesn't make me feel safer, it scares me.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:29PM PDT

Name	First Name: Bryan Last Name: Burnitt
Email	bburnitt [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I oppose any and all purchases of military equipment for domestic policing - ESPECIALLY in the multi million dollar range. Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide guilt and the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military maneuvers.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Anna Last Name: Vreeland
Email	anna.vreeland [REDACTED]
Comment	The county of Sacramento should not adopt/loan/purchase/rent any military equipment. No increased militarization of any Sacramento county police, public health or public safety resources. No further funding should be spent on the militarization of public services or police services. The county should be working on decreasing the amount of funds currently spent on any existing military measures or processes and should be reallocating funds to support the social emotional and mental health of our community and provide for our community's basic needs. These would aid our most vulnerable by putting funds towards public education, public transportation, mental health services, and aid for our unhoused population.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Mele Last Name: Wright
Email	berryilvin@██████████
Comment	I do not think the police should have military grade equipment because this is not a warzone and it sends the wrong signals at this tumultuous time. Any casualties and inefficiencies of the department may be solved with less expensive and dangerous means, such as through advanced community led mental health training, community based officer hiring and labor outreach. There are many ways to de-escalate dangerous situations and it starts with a larger prevention and social safety net budget, not higher caliber weapons. Solve a problem without creating a new problem.
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: Perry Last Name: Jones
Email	perryjones [REDACTED]
Comment	Put this money to use that will benefit the community, not a further militarized police force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Lauren Last Name: Verstegen
Email	lverstegen [REDACTED]
Comment	I see no reason for the police department to utilize military equipment in our communities. The thought is extremely unsettling and is not what will best benefit the people. We should instead be funding community resources.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Janet Last Name: Kellam
Email	jntklm [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Well, our legislators have apparently lost their minds. Before I condemned the idea outright, I decided to read the entire 25 pages of the General Orders just in case there might be something worthwhile in there. But I'm so horrified by most of these items that I'm not sure I can go through and pick any good items out. Yes, I want our law enforcement officers to be safe but treating citizens like military combatants isn't the way to go. Military gear is for use in a theater of war to defend civilians and institutions, or for invading and pacifying a territory with an opposing military force. The job of police is to keep order, protect the public, and to apprehend suspected law-breakers and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or jury to determine guilt and punishment. Too often, many law enforcement officers can't even handle two weapons, a gun and tazer, I shudder to think of them trying to juggle all these new proposed toys. They'd kill us all!! If the legislature wanted to be helpful they should have, instead, developed sane gun control laws. I DO NOT want our police using military vehicles or arms in our city.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://www.cityofsacramento.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:51PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jazzy Last Name: Graham-Davis
Email	jazzymdavis [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not agree with the military equipment being used by the local police force. This is a gross misuse of funds and does not go towards the goal of keeping peace.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 06:20AM PDT

Name	First Name: Daniel Last Name: Ahlers
Email	danielahlersmo [REDACTED]
Comment	I do NOT support the Sac PD seeking military equipment. As I psychiatrist, I have worked closely with unhoused community members in multiple settings, and have seen how the misuse of power by police affects the health of this vulnerable population. It is not the police's job to use the same equipment on its own community that is typically used on hostile forces.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 07:08AM PDT

Name	First Name: Joe Last Name: Mackin
Email	jrmackin1 [REDACTED]
Comment	This sounds like a gross misuse if funding. We have countless issues in our city that don't require more military force. Why are we funneling our resources into firepower? Our police have demonstrated a lack of training with their current jobs, so now we want to give them bigger weapons? Please listen to the people. This can't be what the majority of Sacramento wants.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 07:09AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kimberly Last Name: Sow
Email	Ksow510 [REDACTED]
Comment	No No No. Police and military are separate institutions. We do not need to build military police to secure safety. Brings more fear and warmongering in our communities. What are they planning for? This is not right. No No No. We have enough trauma bringing war machines to the street. Are we at war? Asking for a friend.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:55PM PDT

Name	First Name: Katie Last Name: O'Hanlon
Email	katieohanlon101 [REDACTED]
Comment	acab
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 07:23AM PDT

Name	First Name: Lawrence Last Name: Downs
Email	Mogey_frenchhorn [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military manoeuvres.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 07:49AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ivana Last Name: Djordjevic
Email	ivana.djordjevic [REDACTED]
Comment	It's ridiculous, and un-necessary. Only consolidates further use of violence and excessive force. Does not promote trust within the community, only fear. If you want ppl to trust you more, you should aim to stay away from that and invest money in educating yourself on white privilege, white supremacy and systemic racism in order to reflect on how your every decision is dictated by those.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 09:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jessica Last Name: Rhodes
Email	rhodeje [REDACTED]
Comment	I am against further militarization of our police force. Furthermore I would like to see research that shows cities with these assets improved in citizen safety or quality of life or that community relations improved. Having more powerful weapons does not seem to lead to better community engagement.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 09:17PM PDT

Name	First Name: Amber Last Name: Hoff
Email	lyricaechc [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not. No amount of crime warrants military weapons being used against average civilians.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 07:41AM PDT

Name	First Name: Rashida Last Name: Lester
Email	shedar [REDACTED]
Comment	I am against military equipment being used in our city against our citizens. We are not at war and the police should not be at war with the citizens of Sacramento. Militarized police forces are the opposite of community policing which is what we need more of. If police knew the people they are supposed to "protect and serve" maybe they wouldn't need armored vehicles and RPGs. Sac PD needs to spend more time in the communities talking to people, not gearing up for war.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 08:06AM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Humphrey
Email	letsnotbeevilguysokay [REDACTED]
Comment	This is absolutely unnecessary for SacPD's tasks and a massive waste of money, in addition to it being horrifically irresponsible to give military equipment to people who respond to peaceful protests in the needlessly violent way SacPD has done in the last four years.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 08:26AM PDT

Name	First Name: Katy Last Name: Lee
Email	Gidgit2250 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I notice this is all Riot gear I also notice not a one of you is out using any single thing on this list against the Proud Boys on our streets and "trucker" convoys. We see you. We know what you are. Kinda obvious when you will only use these things against BLACK protestors. No you cant have more military gear. Not you cant have more money. Your lucky you even have a profession to call home. You may think the people you answer to are in charge, you may think that the people who sign your pay checks have a say, you may believe that the companies you protect will protect you back. None of that matters. You are beheld on to us, and when it comes time, no tank no AR no "training" is going to save you, your handlers aren't going to save you. Nothing will save you. You chose this fate.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 08:27AM PDT

Name	First Name: Juliana Last Name: Kumpf
Email	julianakump[REDACTED]
Comment	I am a resident of Sacramento and I strongly oppose the acquisition of military equipment by our city police. The total proposed cost adds up \$4.7 million. My tax dollars would be better spent training police officers in non violent conflict resolution, hiring of mental health professionals, and adding officers on foot to areas of high crime. Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace, and this is not what Sacramento PD needs. They need the resources and personnel to better handle the property crime rampant in some areas and mental health crises in our unhoused population.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:03PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kiana Last Name: Taylor
Email	kisamadz [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military manoeuvres.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Emily Last Name: Holpuch
Email	emilyholpuch13 [REDACTED]
Comment	If the intentions of those compiling this are to benefit the community then funds directed to military equipment should be redirected to de-escalation training, mental health professionals being sent as emergency response instead of police, funding affordable housing and climate change related efforts such as investing and learning from indigenous groups and scientists.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 08:49AM PDT

Name	First Name: Lee Last Name: Williams
Email	leew3602 [REDACTED]
Comment	This is an awful idea. We do not need more, or any military equipment. Our community is not a war zone and the police are not the military. How about investing some money into community service programs, or affordable housing for the homeless? Because if it is firepower that gave us safety, we'd be the safe by now. I do not approve.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:12PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kai Last Name: Hockman
Email	theluisjhockman [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't feel anyone would feel safer or secure with the police using military equipment. Police are not at war with the citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: Andrew Last Name: Smith
Email	losttrain [REDACTED]
Comment	The police are NOT the military. The citizens are NOT enemy combatants to be subdued or defeated. If the funds must be used for things instead of people, they should be spent on creating something like a Homeless Response Vehicle that contains resources for the unhoused the police are often called on to interact with.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 08:52AM PDT

Name	First Name: Greg Last Name: McClellan
Email	gsmcclellan [REDACTED]
Comment	I have no issues with the police having access to any of the items in this document.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:30PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jacob Last Name: Crabtree
Email	dcipleofthed [REDACTED]
Comment	Our police are over equipped and not held to proper accountability with the fear they already have. Adding in hardware designed for war hardly seems to assist with the polices ability to keep the peace.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:15PM PDT

Name	First Name: Chyane Last Name: Toich
Email	chyane [REDACTED]
Comment	I vehemently oppose our police department acquiring and using military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: http://m.facebook.com

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:18PM PDT

Name	First Name: Rhiannon Last Name: Ripley
Email	ripleyrhiannon [REDACTED]
Comment	As a citizen of Sacramento, it is scary to think that our local law enforcement would rather put resources towards military grade weaponry or vehicles than to other necessary programs that support our communities. This energy should be shifted towards advocating for better homelessness, affordable housing, and mental health resources. Policing our communities doesn't help our communities, it only continues to divide us. It makes me feel like the city doesn't truly care about safety, and only cares about controlling the population through fear and violence. Please consider community based alternatives to policing. Invest in the nonprofits and local community efforts of mutual aid and raising people up, instead of investing in weapons of destruction.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 09:12AM PDT

Name	First Name: John Last Name: Fuchs
Email	john.s.fuchs [REDACTED]
Comment	Unless the equipment in question is specifically technology that assists our PD in identifying when an object is a phone, rather than a firearm, then I am firmly against Sac PD receiving or utilizing any military equipment. We should be focusing on demilitarizing our police force and implementing safer protocols for both our citizens and our public servants.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:55PM PDT

Name	First Name: robert Last Name: rivasplata
Email	robertrivasplata [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Nothing on this list of equipment should be acquired by the Sacramento PD. The Sacramento PD has demonstrated that it fundamentally cannot be trusted with weapons like 40mm grenade launchers & projectiles, chemical weapons, & high-powered rifles. The "less than lethal" projectiles and chemical weapons in particular are designed to be used against unarmed civilians. While Armored Vehicles in theory have some public safety use, in reality, they are typically used to intimidate the public, and the Sacramento PD should not have any more of them than they already have. UAVs & remote-control robots while less dangerous to the public, are a waste of public funds, especially considering that the Sacramento PD already possesses such assets, & the CHP & Sacramento Sheriff Dept both based in Sacramento possesses many such assets as well. The Sacramento Police Department has not demonstrated that it will not use these weapons against the people of Sacramento, & the inclusion of chemical weapons, grenade launchers & 40mm rounds indicates to me that the citizens of Sacramento are very much the intended targets of these weapons.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:59PM PDT

Name	First Name: Frederick Last Name: Adams
Email	xine_erauqs [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't think that sac PD needs more funding than it's already receiving. I'd much rather it used to help Sacramento's social and economic issues.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:43PM PDT

Name	First Name: Adam Last Name: Purvis
Email	adamjpurvis45 [REDACTED]
Comment	I find this to be very unnecessary for SPD. This amount of spending should not go towards militarizing the police force.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 10:44PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tracie Last Name: Mizuno
Email	traclynmizuno [REDACTED]
Comment	No. Absolutely not. The police are supposed to be there to protect their community, not to terrorize it. This absolutely should not be allowed to pass. Our distrust in the police is already in a bad place. Imposing military rule over your community will destroy any scrap of trust between both parties.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Josh Last Name: Feldman
Email	Jfeld22 [REDACTED]
Comment	The last thing Sacramento cops need is military equipment. Please spend the money on more training before giving these trigger happy morons bigger guns
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 09:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: Timothy Last Name: Martin
Email	tg.martin82 [REDACTED]
Comment	A militarized police force is completely unacceptable and indicative of a totalitarian fascist regime. Full stop.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Connor Last Name: Kennedy
Email	cdkennedy092 [REDACTED]
Comment	Sacramento PD is not the military. If there is ever an event where it is known that military support is needed in advance, the federal military should be called in. If there is ever an event where immediate military action is needed, equipment will not solve the problem. Officers not trained for those events will not become trained to meet the need because they have military equipment. If anything, that equipment is likely more dangerous than anything than PD are trained to use and will only escalate the situation. Militarizing the PD will likely do more harm than good in costing money, costing money for training, and furthering the gap between what the PD stands for and the public perception of the PD as an enemy
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 09:42AM PDT

Name	First Name: Brad Last Name: O
Email	bballpitcher2 [REDACTED]
Comment	We DO NOT want military equipment used in our streets under any circumstances. Sacramento is not a war zone, and our government should not use this level of force against it's own citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:28PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>I am a Sacramento resident, and I am not in favor of the Sacramento Police Department adopting a "military equipment" use policy. The Sacramento police are not military, and we, the people of Sacramento, are not an opposing military force or populace. Therefore, the equipment being considered is fundamentally at odds with its intended use. Moreover, the expenses outlined in the draft are substantial, totaling around \$4.7 million. What is the potential positive impact of this proposal? From my perspective, it appears that this may potentially escalate future encounters between citizens and police, prioritize the safety of police over the safety of citizens, and on top of all that, cost us a lot of money in the process. I fail to see the upside.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:34PM PDT

Name	First Name: erika Last Name: dominick
Email	
Comment	too much of the city budget is already allocated to the police. they should not be authorized to spend more government funds on weapons, especially when we have such a large unhoused population, children without food, and many other social causes that funding should go to instead.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 09:55AM PDT

Name	First Name: Braelyn Last Name: Asada
Email	asadabraelyn [REDACTED]
Comment	The police should not be militarizing. In fact, we should be doing the opposite. You guys already have killed and injured innocent people before, during, and after the George Floyd protest. You guys have no accountability, as you guys killed stephon Clark and nothing happened, so no you do not need to militarize. FUCK SAC PD!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 10:07AM PDT

Name	First Name: Maria Last Name: Mariscal
Email	memariscal99 [REDACTED]
Comment	Your police force misuses something as small as a pistol, you guys definitely shouldn't be using military equipment on COMMUNITIES. This is not a warzone and the only reason it might resemble one is because of YOUR PRESENCE. You guys are over funded, and this policy is ridiculous.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 11:45PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	I disagree with the proposed policy because it seems like excessive spending on what ultimately is a reactionary solution to a symptom (crime, protests/riots, etc.) of structural deficiencies. The money would be better spent on things like education, housing, social work, and the like.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 10:09AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ryan Last Name: Simoncini
Email	ryan.simoncin [REDACTED]
Comment	Wasting taxpayer dollars on military equipment for an already over-armed and over-funded police department is absolutely the worst possible thing to do. If anything, we should allocate funds for crime prevention rather than arming police against the citizens. 90% of COVID relief funds went directly to the Sheriffs Dept, now this. Unbelievable.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 10:13AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jazmine Last Name: Santacruz
Email	jsantacruz09 [REDACTED]
Comment	DO NOT NEED THIS. This is ridiculous and I do not support Sac PD's use of military equipment. Nobody is at war here.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 10:24AM PDT

Name	First Name: Alex Last Name: Green
Email	alexandria_grm [REDACTED]
Comment	You guys have killed enough of us! This will just make it worse
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 12:23AM PDT

Name	First Name: Shara Last Name: Neff
Email	shara.neff [REDACTED]
Comment	There is absolutely no reason for a civilian police force to have military equipment. Be protectors, not occupiers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: android-app://com.laurencedawson.reddit_sync.pro/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 10:41AM PDT

Name	First Name: Dustin
Email	
Comment	I support the use of military equipment as long as all the rules on the policy letter are followed.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 12:41AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>While I'm not against Military equipment, it seems insane to use military rifles and explosives. I thought this would be using rations to feed the homeless, telecommunications to set up public help lines, sand bags for emergency preparedness. (Or updating your website to actually be HTTPS secured) Requesting armored vehicles and rifles is absurd. The police is there to protect and serve, not to intimidate. I see no way in which such a vehicle could aid in any civil police activity. This is the city of Sacramento, not call of duty. It is unthinkable to buy door breaching explosives to breach the doors of the people you're supposed to protect and serve. Such an explosive would make any person you extract go deaf before you can say "Sacramento PD". How is that helping you with anything? It's just guaranteeing that Sacramento will be the center of the next Brianna Taylor situation. Go get the drones, the communications stuff, but leave the explosives armoured vehicles and the guns behind. The only thing you've succeeded in is intimidating honest citizens like me into being too scared to reveal my identity. For I fear that you'll drive an armoured vehicle into my home, blast the door, use a "bean bag" and gas to disfigure my children, while claim that you were here to "protect and serve"</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 11:31AM PDT

Name	First Name: SGT (RETIRED) Henry Last Name: Ossentjuk US ARMY
Email	robzombiegw [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military manoeuvres.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 11:32AM PDT

Name	First Name: Megan Last Name: Kubala
Email	meggykubala [REDACTED]
Comment	Police shouldn't need to use military grade equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 11:37AM PDT

Name	First Name: Sabrina Last Name: Orłowski
Email	sabrinaorłowski02 [REDACTED]
Comment	We do not want military equipment in use! This is an overuse of force.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 12:00PM PDT

Name	First Name: Zoe Last Name: Lee
Email	leezy.1015 [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not believe the police should have military powers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 01:06PM PDT

Name	First Name: Deven Last Name: Tran
Email	deven.tran.vd [REDACTED]
Comment	There is absolutely no reason whatsoever that the SCPD should require the use of military equipment as it will be used as a way to violently suppress protests and perpetuate police brutality akin to what we saw last year
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 01:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Nick Last Name: Anderson
Email	churro.trie.0 [REDACTED]
Comment	I strongly and unequivocally OPPOSE SacPD's requested purchase and usage of military grade weapons on the citizens of Sacramento. With rampant instances of police misconduct which has only furthered the division between those charged with protecting our citizens and those in need, Sacramento is exacerbating police-community tensions and further instilling the notion that the police are not here to protect but to harm. Improve community relationships instead of purchasing and using military weapons on your neighbors.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 01:36PM PDT

Name	First Name: Carly Last Name: Jaurequi
Email	prongfoot [REDACTED]
Comment	NO. You are NOT the military and our communities are NOT war zones. Absolutely and wholeheartedly AGAINST giving that level of firepower and militaristic equipment to cops. Invest in communities, seek justice (rather than justifications) when one of your own kills one of us while unarmed, and stop regarding Black and Brown citizens as instant threats and maybe you'll get somewhere.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 01:21PM PDT

Name	First Name: Carson Last Name: Reed
Email	carson56reed [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The uas and robots make sense. The APCs, M16s, M4s, and other guns make less sense. If we can invade Iraq in soft skinned Humvees I don't think Sacramento needs an APC. With regard to the guns, I think a standard service pistol should suffice for 99% of police needs. I think less than lethal munitions are an easy way to escalate any situation and a more appropriate use of funds would be in additional conflict resolution/de-escalation training. If force is needed, use it. But when you're carrying around hammers every problem looks like a nail. Carson Former Army Captain</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 01:39PM PDT

Name	First Name: Shea Last Name: Hazarian
Email	sheahazarian [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento police department should not acquire or use military equipment. No police department should acquire or use military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 01:53PM PDT

Name	First Name: MIREYA Last Name: KITT
Email	maya [REDACTED]
Comment	Dear Sac Pd, You have my full support! Mrs. M Kitt
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 12:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: Philip Last Name: Kumsar
Email	fildubya [REDACTED]
Comment	Hello, I live in Sacramento. I vehemently oppose the continued militarization of our local police force due to state obligations to "use it or lose it " in regards to police spending and the purchase of military surplus. These insane weapons will be used to abuse Police power and as police power is unchecked in Sacramento and around the country, it is a foolish notion to bring weapons of mass destruction into our communities. I saw police openly and violently abuse peaceful protestors in my life as a Sacramento resident and find it disgusting to use any tax payer money to provide more weapons to abusers than to fix other systems in Sacramento that promote general welfare
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 04:15PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tommy Last Name: Pham
Email	pham.h.tommylong [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm generally curious why this is needed and after the issue with the PD handling of COVID fundings, I'm not sure if I would trust them with military equipment funding.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 04:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Reese Last Name: Nelson
Email	reesenelson100 [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't think our police force should be using military equipment at all. You need to focus more of our resources on the homeless and crime. Why should we give more money and weapons to a police department that fails to do its job?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 05:08PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	Leave it to the military.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 05:41PM PDT

Name	First Name: Greg Last Name: Labrado
Email	labradogreg [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely not.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 06:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Douglas Last Name: Stein
Email	steind99 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military use by local law enforcement only promotes and escalates violence. Studies invariably show militarization if police does not have calculable benefits. To be sure, the 1033 program was instituted as a response to nationwide protests that began for police reform. Whatever violence arose during those protests, in historical perspective, was short lived isolated, and rare. To arm local law enforcement with military equipment for such relatively low frequency arguably unique events is incendiary at best. The 1033 program is a boon for defense contractors and likely pushed by them using all manner of lobbying and media strategies. If one believes that more force leads to more peace, they have not paid attention to current events and history, and i have a bridge I want to sell them.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 06:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Suzanne Last Name: Quiroz
Email	suzannequiroz45 [REDACTED]
Comment	That is so scary. I would be so fearful of military equipment in the community.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 09:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Courtney Last Name: Poole
Email	courtpoole [REDACTED]
Comment	This reminds me of when military equipment was used after the murder of George Floyd in May 2020, which was entirely unnecessary. I do not support the use of military equipment, as it does not increase the safety of our community, rather it does the opposite.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 09:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jonathan Last Name: Snavelly
Email	j.r.swhidbey [REDACTED]
Comment	I believe this project to be entirely misguided. There is a blatant misuse of money and power here that would be better put to use actually fixing economic concerns rather than finding a military in Sacramento. Thank you.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 09:52PM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Matthews
Email	frozenorangejuice15 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>You seriously need military equipment? You guys shouldn't even have guns on you on the daily. The European model of policing is so, so, so much better. You don't need military equipment. Just stop. Military equipment is made for war. It is not made for policing. It is made for 20 - 30 + combatants coming at a person at once, and dealing with that.. You guys see this what, once a year? Probably not even that. Once every five years? I personally do not know but I do know that you don't deal with it on the daily. nor even REMOTELY CLOSE to the daily. You do not need military weaponry. Showing up at a drug bust with a Stryker and dudes armed with an M16? You kidding me? Answer is: No.</p>
Upload File(s)	No.jpg
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 10:47PM PDT

Name	First Name: Anonymous Last Name: Patel
Email	
Comment	Strongly oppose! Police should not be militarized even more than they already are!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 12:25AM PDT

Name	First Name: Michelle Last Name: Dela Couré
Email	michelle.dece.19 [REDACTED]
Comment	This would make me feel unsafe. Military weapons are not suitable for use against civilians and to do so sounds like a war crime. Most civilians aren't walking around with military machinery committing crimes. Please direct yourself to de-escalation training instead. I am extremely horrified this would even be recommended
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 03:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Elizabeth Last Name: Vaughan
Email	epfand[REDACTED]
Comment	Hello, I am a long time resident of the beautiful city of Sacramento. I own a home in Hollywood Park and it's truly a gem, I can't imagine living any where else. The idea that the Sacramento PD feels the need to arm themselves with military grade equipment is puzzling and disturbing. Our community is not a war zone, nor should it be. Our police are not soldiers, nor should they be. Police forces are meant to be localized COMMUNITY based individuals who are there to serve and protect. Additionally, police forces do not undergo the same training as military forces in regards to the use of such equipment. And yes I do know this as much of my family has served in the army and air force. Correct me if I am wrong, but I believe there has been a push of using de-escalation tactics by police forces. I struggle to see how militarizing our police aligns with this sentiment. Additionally the police budget is incredibly inflated and should not leave room to purchase unnecessary military grade equipment. Thank you, Elizabeth
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 04:40PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kate Last Name: Lenox
Email	klenox [REDACTED]
Comment	I oppose the purchase of military equipment by the City police force. Military equipment has no place in a civilian police force. It won't save lives. It won't make people safer. In fact it's use would increase the chances that civilians would be harmed in any police action. The money used to purchase this equipment, that would be used on our residents, would better be used to fund neighborhood efforts to reduce gun violence. That would actually increase safety and save lives. Tanks and helicopters won't keep young men from killing each other with guns.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 06:59AM PDT

Name	First Name: Monica Last Name: Haulman
Email	mlhaulman [REDACTED]
Comment	I strongly oppose the use of military equipment by the Sac PD. As a civilian, this makes me feel far less safe in my community.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy

Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 07:17AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>Sac PD should restrict it's purchasing to arms available to the citizens they are in service of. Planned purchases include short barrellled rifles, fully automatic rifles, high capacity magazines, and numerous explosive ordinances. A number of these are illegal for possession or purchase in CA. A clear purpose of the second amendment is to ensure a "fair fight" so to speak, in the event that the public would need to defend itself as a private militia against any violent, immoral, illegal, and/or tyrannical actions taken by an armed government agency. Any infringement on the rights of SANE, and MORAL citizens (understanding reasonable regulation of arms availability to the clinically mentally ill, convicted violent criminals) is a violation of their right to arms to be prepared for any threat, including the threat of their own government. To that end, I ask that Sac PD restrict any purchasing of arms, munitions, magazines, and other military tools to those that are available to citizens of the city of Sacramento that are able to legally own firearms. This means featureless rifles (no adjustable stocks, no pistol grips, no flash suppressors, no fully automatic or burst fire functions), nothing fully automatic, no suppressors, no magazines over 10rds, no incendiary rounds or tracers, no "destructive devices" or guns chambered in 50 BMG. I consider the act of procuring these arms by Sac PD to be a deepening of the violations of the rights of Americans living in California. These rules on arms have been placed on the people, but not on the government, when the second amendment was specifically created to keep the government from placing any rules on the people regarding their right to keep and bear arms. I will leave you with this quote: "The founders were very clear that private citizens should have parity in armament with government forces. That means assault weapons. The reason for the inclusion of the 2nd amendment was to defend against, in order of priority: 1) domestic tyranny 2) foreign aggression 3) lawlessness. Any curtailment of parity in armament with the military is illegal. Doing so would require an amendment of the Constitution." To that end, I ask that Sac PD not continue in their violation of my rights, possessing arms I have a constitutional right to, but that California has restricted.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 06:29PM PDT

Name	First Name: Carter
Email	carterduty2014 [REDACTED]
Comment	The police should not need military equipment to execute their job. They are protectors of the people not the entire state, that is for the national guard. The police should not act as the national guard. They are simply protecting the people, not going to war.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 11:21AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jmes Last Name: Beller
Email	mod5jp710 [REDACTED]
Comment	what the general public does not understand is that armor is used mostly in a defensive posture. You can not hide behind a cardboard box, but behind armored cover you are less likely to need to return fire, exposed you really have few options , your return fire is defensive. Be sure that the subject is a lethal threat, do not roll it out in show of force just to justify you need it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 01:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Margot Last Name: Rinaldo
Email	margotrinaldos[REDACTED]
Comment	<p>As a resident of Sacramento, I do not support the additional purchase of military equipment by Sac PD. While the city has voted to increase the police budget year after year, we are continuously urged by the police department that what they need is more funding and more equipment when year after year these policies have proven to not have a significant impact on crime and safety in our city. It is due time the police department and city took measures to ensure real steps are taken to increase safety in Sacramento such as, funding mental health services, advocating at the state level for universal healthcare and advocating for the creation of social housing across California. Not only is California behind on implementing these changes, the entirety of the United States is. By continuing to pursue public safety through the force and violent coercion of police, the U.S. continues to refuse implementing the systematic changes needed to ensure our communities are actually safe. This is also backed by data that demonstrates countries with robust social welfare programs have increased safety and far less crime in their communities. I urge the relevant decision makers to vote no on this proposal and start pursuing effective health and safety measures for our communities.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 02:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alex Last Name: Bernstein
Email	alex Bernstein [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento Police Department does not need military grade weapons. Find better and less violent ways of keeping peace, look at Denver. https://www.npr.org/2021/03/08/974941422/6-month-experiment-replacing-denver-police-with-mental-health-teams-dubbed-a-suc
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 01:55PM PDT

Name	First Name: Faisal Last Name: Manzoor
Email	faisal.m96 [REDACTED]
Comment	I fully support the purchase of all the listed equipment, I further encourage the hiring of dedicated persons to operate drones thus freeing up police resources to deal with crimes in progress
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://linktr.ee/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 01:56PM PDT

Name	First Name: Adam Last Name: Krause
Email	kuleshov_effect732 [REDACTED]
Comment	I urge the city to reject a proposal by Sac PD asking to purchase more military equipment. We DO NOT need to further militarize police here in Sac or anywhere for that matter.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 27, 2022, 02:25PM PDT

Name	First Name: Teresa Last Name: Machado
Email	tcmachado1102 [REDACTED]
Comment	I urge you to reject the Sacramento Police Department's request to purchase addition military equipment. Military equipment has no place in our community and will not contribute to safety in our neighborhoods or improve relationships between the police department and the wider community. Further militarization of our police force is counterproductive.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 28, 2022, 09:22AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jennifer Last Name: Ruiz
Email	ruizjenny23 [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment in the hands of the police department is not needed to keep Sacramento "safe." This is a terrible idea, truly.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.instagram.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 28, 2022, 11:49AM PDT

Name	First Name: Andrew Last Name: Fridae
Email	agfridae [REDACTED]
Comment	I am a resident of Sacramento, and I frequently see tensions between community and law enforcement. The police should demilitarize and use minimal or no military equipment. Having the police appear as a military institution only escalates community tensions and alienates members of the community who might otherwise have a productive relationship with LEO. It goes contrary to the designation they hold as CIVILIAN law enforcement. In short, for the police's self interest, they should stop looking like they are trying to wage war among their citizenry.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/SXRDMUF0i8

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 28, 2022, 02:38PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jennifer Last Name: Regoli
Email	genofear [REDACTED]
Comment	1. The police don't have sufficient accountability as it is 2. If we are ever at a point where the use of this kind of equipment against a civilian population could be considered necessary, you've already lost democracy. 3. How about better training for the police in de escalation (and everything else)
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 28, 2022, 09:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Collin Last Name: Kemp
Email	collin.james.kemp [REDACTED]
Comment	I am in complete opposition to this entire policy as written. We should not waste our limited taxpayer dollars on buying toys for the police department that they can use to murder and seriously injure our fellow citizens. Just take a look at the list of gadgets they want to buy: grenade launchers, military grade explosives, armored vehicles, robots, chemical weapons banned by the Geneva convention for use against enemy combatants. SacPD is asking for these weapons to use them against the people of Sacramento whenever they deem necessary. Our military equipment use policy should be one page long and it should state clearly that NONE of this equipment of acceptable for purchase or use by the department.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 29, 2022, 09:02AM PDT

Name	First Name: Daniel Last Name: Domich
Email	danieldomich [REDACTED]
Comment	Generally against this proposed equipment. Unmanned drones, less lethal shotguns, certain breaching tools are fine. The items I am hesitant about are robots and armored vehicles specifically. It seems unnecessary in many situations and considering how expensive they are perhaps not the best use of funds. However, I would be interested in seeing examples of use in Sacramento or other areas where they have been deployed. The purposes lined out in the document seem mostly reasonable but actual practical use is much different from words on a page. As of now, without concrete examples of usefulness and even though i dont have immediate problems with many of these items I must say i am against this proposition.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 29, 2022, 02:27PM PDT

Name	First Name: Trevor Last Name: Wong
Email	t_wong12 [REDACTED]
Comment	I am strongly against allowing the Sacramento Police department to use military equipment. People are already extremely distrustful of the police in Sacramento. This will only serve to further divide the community and is a terrible idea.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://out.reddit.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 08:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: John Last Name: Dough
Email	johndough [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no circumstance that a police officers should be using military equipment against civilians. The only reason able circumstance to use military equipment would be against a military that threatens the well being of the citizenry you are sworn to protect and serve. You should invest in de-escalation and non-violent communication training. If officers were equipped with those skills there would be a significantly reduced need for military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 29, 2022, 04:03PM PDT

Name	First Name: ACAB
Email	vifej83976 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Military gear is for invading or pacifying a territory with an opposing military force or a hostile populace. If the Sacramento PD feels that's the situation, they need to stop being police. Because that's not their job. The job of the police is to apprehend suspects and transport them to jail to await a judge and/or a jury to decide the proper punishment. The job is not to pacify citizens with grievances. The job is not to dole out summary judgement on the street. The job is closer to garbage collection - pick up and transport - than military maneuvers.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 14, 2022, 11:58AM PDT

Name	First Name: Nicole Last Name: Maron
Email	nicole.a.maron [REDACTED]
Comment	Military gear has ZERO place in our local enforcement. If we ever face a military-grade threat, then we should pull in a military response. We have to STOP spending taxpayer money on military gear the police and sheriff's offices will only use against civilians, and have only used against BLM protestors, not any of the white supremacist or Trump protestors who have been consistently violent. These cops may love their military toys with the glee of an 8yr old at Christmas, but they are a HORRIDIC waste of money - both from their immediate purchase, to their maintenance, to the inevitable lawsuit settlements these departments have to pay when they illegally and immorally maim and murder unarmed civilians.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 14, 2022, 12:32PM PDT

Name	First Name: Anthony Last Name: No
Email	
Comment	The militarization of police has had devastating consequences on policing. Communities who could use policing the most do not trust the police. This lack of trust endangers everyone, including law enforcement. The police should be de-militarized. Military gear should only be used during active shooter/hostage situations. And that gear should overwhelmingly be defensive/safety. Police should otherwise rely on de-escalation and social service professionals.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 29, 2022, 11:00PM PDT

Name	First Name: Maddy Last Name: Wilson
Email	madwill2019 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The county of Sacramento should not adopt/purchase/loan/rent any military equipment. There should be no increased militarization of any Sacramento county police, public health, or public safety resources. No further funding should be allocated to militarizing any public services. The county should be working on decreasing the budget for any existing military measures/processes, and be re-allocating that budget towards life-affirming and community-supportive resources and services, such as public education, city roads and public transportation, and public health and mental health services for veterans and the unhoused populations of Sacramento.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 14, 2022, 02:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: Tammy Last Name: Smith
Email	tammysmithpeck [REDACTED]
Comment	The Police are meant to serve and protect. No aspect of that mission is served by outfitting them with military equipment. Money is better spent on community organizations that alleviate poverty and housing issues and on education. These are the things that will prevent crime.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 14, 2022, 03:41PM PDT

Name	First Name: Bianca Last Name: Fernandez Garcia
Email	biancafega [REDACTED]
Comment	I see no need for military equipment to be used by community police in Sacramento. Military equipment should be used for military action. Our police should be spending time/money on learning to de-escalate situations and this would have the opposite effect.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 30, 2022, 09:34AM PDT

Name	First Name: Dustin Last Name: Natov
Email	dustinnatov [REDACTED]
Comment	In no uncertain terms should the police be allowed or continue to be allowed to operate military equipment. We're not in a fucking war zone you clowns, but this is a really good way to create one.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 15, 2022, 01:57PM PDT

Name	First Name: Bex Last Name: Munson
Email	Reblmunson [REDACTED]
Comment	There is absolutely NO place for the purchase and use of military equipment in Sacramento. Anyone pushing for this should be ashamed of themselves for failing to provide for their people in a manner that encourages inclusivity and fosters community. NO to military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 15, 2022, 04:28PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jacqueline Last Name: Rose
Email	jacqueline.lists [REDACTED]
Comment	The police should not acquire military gear. Doing so implies that the purpose of the police department is to fight the citizens of Sacramento, not to protect them. The money should instead be used for de-escalation training and improving response services when people are having mental health crises.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 15, 2022, 04:19PM PDT

Name	First Name: Andrea Last Name: Kincaid
Email	andrea [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm very uncomfortable with police use of military gear. We are not under martial law. Seeing videos of police using military equipment reminds me of being a tourist in Spain during Franco's rule - it was very scary to be in public spaces, never knowing if one might be doing something they didn't like (I accidentally stepped onto the stairs of an off bounds building and several heavily armed police stormed me).
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 16, 2022, 01:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: Rebecca Last Name: Harting
Email	raharting [REDACTED]
Comment	The militarization of police leads to worse outcomes for police AND communities. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2053168017712885 To reduce violence and crime, we must spend more on communities and less on policing.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 16, 2022, 06:14PM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Weiskopf
Email	davidjweiskopf2 [REDACTED]
Comment	The police should not be using military gear against civilians. Next time we are invaded by a foreign army, they can take it out of storage and distribute it to the citizenry to aid in self defense until the national guard arrives. Otherwise lock it up and forget it is there.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 16, 2022, 09:58AM PDT

Name	First Name: Bryce Last Name: Lund
Email	brycelund1510 [REDACTED]
Comment	Police do not need the level of gear and equipment they currently have. They are well over funded and we should instead invest in the people not more police. Defund and direct funding towards teachers and to those that actually help people. Use it to help the homeless and communities in need.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 17, 2022, 10:08AM PDT

Name	First Name: M Last Name: Bryant
Email	smezbryan [REDACTED]
Comment	Law enforcement cannot be militarized. After George Floyd, we saw multiple videos of Sac law enforcement attacking peaceful protesters, medics, media, children, and clearly marked legal observers with violent projectiles that sent victims to the ER, with no provocation. They looked like a gang. Our true military fights for the right of Americans to protest. Authoritarian gangs are antithetical to democracy. Yes, law enforcement need safety gear. Military weapons, tanks, etc are not safety gear. We already have a military. Officers excited about such things are exactly who shouldn't be serving as law enforcement. I expect officers to be brave superheroes whose first priority is to protect others.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 17, 2022, 10:22AM PDT

Name	First Name: Dale Last Name: Steele
Email	dtsteele [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Public Comment on Sacramento Police Department Proposed Military Equipment Use Policy I am a long time Midtown resident and former worker in downtown Sacramento. I am writing to share my comments on the proposed military equipment use policy by the Sacramento Police Department. The proposed policy fails to serve the people of Sacramento as well as failing to share the values I hope our city will operate under. The Sacramento Police Department owns numerous pieces of military style equipment, including tank-like vehicles, assault rifles, drones, projectiles and chemical irritants. To fulfill their obligations under a new CA law, AB481, Sacramento Police Department has created a web form to solicit public input on their proposed use policy. These comments are meant to be submitted into that form. It is not clear in the proposed policy how police communication will be handled when persons experiencing a mental health crisis or non-English speakers be conducted when using military equipment. The presence of such equipment including officers wearing riot gear when no obvious crime or violence is in view at a public demonstration or in a particular neighborhood could cause unnecessary panic or encourage aggressive behavior. AB 481 relies heavily on the vigilance of elected officials to ensure that military equipment policies conform to AB 481 and that agencies comply with the policies as adopted. If agencies violate AB 481 or the adopted policies, community members have little recourse. For these reasons, this proposed policy must include a private right of action to ensure compliance. The City of Oakland has a provision for a private right of action in Oakland's military equipment ordinance, Oakland Municipal Code Section 9.65.040, "Enforcement". It is not clear how the police department would address risks not only to officers, but risks to community members, including trauma, physical harm and property damage, from the sight of or the deployment of the equipment. The proposed policy uses vague statements that make it difficult if not impossible to determine whether a particular use of the equipment complies with the policies. The proposed policy should clearly state to whom deployments will be reported and how records will be kept. The proposed policy must clearly describe the independent oversight that will be used. The proposed policy should not include new equipment that has not been reviewed by the City Council, including the "ROOK", an armored bulldozer, used to destroy walls in structures and transport snipers that can fire from it. The use of drones (including to collect photos inside buildings, or record video or voice) should not be authorized without a warrant. The use policy for drones should also cover how data will be retained, secured and shared. The proposed policy must not include blanket approval without specifying quantities or cost of new military equipment. A number of items in the inventory include language like "Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD.", "Proposed annual procurement costs TBD." The current language in the proposed policy fails to be transparent about actual quantities or costs. In summary, this proposed policy fails to adequately serve the people of Sacramento as well as failing to share the values I hope our city will operate under. Dale Steele Sacramento</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.google.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 17, 2022, 12:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dave Last Name: Kempa
Email	dave.kempa [REDACTED]
Comment	Sac PD should not have any military equipment. In my experience, they have misused these devices against city residents, often resulting in lifelong injury or death. This is not how you 'protect and serve.' The people of Sacramento deserve better.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://t.co/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 18, 2022, 07:52AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ashley Last Name: Draper
Email	adrape1532 [REDACTED]
Comment	If past is prelude, and we know that it is, we know that military-grade equipment will only be weaponized against Black people and other people of color. Military-grade weaponry has never killed domestic terrorists who've shot and killed church members, school children, coworkers, or grocery store shoppers. Police use my tax dollars to shoot and kill people who look like me. I am vehemently opposed to military-grade weapons being put in the hands of racist, inept, and incompetent high school graduates with guns and badges. My vote is no.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 18, 2022, 07:52AM PDT

Name	First Name: Ashley Last Name: Draper
Email	adrape1532 [REDACTED]
Comment	If past is prelude, and we know that it is, we know that military-grade equipment will only be weaponized against Black people and other people of color. Military-grade weaponry has never killed domestic terrorists who've shot and killed church members, school children, coworkers, or grocery store shoppers. Police use my tax dollars to shoot and kill people who look like me. I am vehemently opposed to military-grade weapons being put in the hands of racist, inept, and incompetent high school graduates with guns and badges. My vote is no.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 17, 2022, 10:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Laurie Last Name: Heller
Email	laurierivlinheller@██████████
Comment	Will this equipment be sufficient against the paramilitary groups that are currently planning operations to disrupt government, catalyze civilian protests and instigate violence in American cities? This equipment looks great for crowd control, and perhaps during natural disasters. But I fear our police force will remain outgunned by well-armed extremists in right-wing militias, during highly organized Jan 6 type insurrections aimed at local and state officials. That war is coming.
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 18, 2022, 09:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Louise Last Name: Mehler
Email	lmehler247 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The proposed Military Equipment Use Policy is totally inadequate, in that it imposes no limits at all on how equipment can be deployed and operated. It even appears to try to finesse requirements for acquisition. Instead of a policy, it looks more like an advertisement, touting the equipment's most positive functions in hypothetical situations that may rarely occur. But use of the equipment is explicitly not limited to the scenarios described. We need a policy that addresses the equipment's negative potential and specifies categories of actions that are contrary to policy. Actions that are contrary to policy must be forbidden to any officer acting in Sacramento, including members of outside forces providing mutual aid. To support ongoing review by city government, it is necessary that each deployment of military equipment be documented with records of the situation addressed (location, participants, etc.), the use made of the equipment, and the outcome. The policy should assign responsibility for reporting, define how the records will be maintained, and identify means of access to the records. Civil authority must have oversight of acquisition as well as use of military equipment. It is unacceptable that the policy pre-authorizes purchase of equipment at unspecified price and in unspecified quantity. We know (it is part of the justification for AB 481) that "[m]ilitary equipment is more frequently deployed in low-income Black and Brown communities, meaning the risks and impacts of police militarization are experienced most acutely in marginalized communities." My hope for this policy is that it will begin to foster a style of policing that exercises the same care and respect throughout Sacramento that privileged communities now experience.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://docs.google.com/



Military Equipment Use Policy

Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 18, 2022, 01:09PM PDT

Name	First Name: Genevieve Last Name: Leighton-Armah
Email	gleightonarmah [REDACTED]
Comment	The city of Sacramento does not need to acquire "military equipment." This is not the best use of city dollars for the safety of the Sacramento community.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 18, 2022, 04:11PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dr. Corrine Last Name: McIntosh Sako
Email	corrine [REDACTED]
Comment	As a licensed psychologists and community mental health advocate, I do not support ANY use of military equipment by the Sacramento Police Department.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 19, 2022, 08:25AM PDT

Name	First Name: Annie Last Name: Rose
Email	annie95814 [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not think the Sacramento police department should receive more funding for military equipment. It would not make me or my neighbors feel more safe. The money should be spent on other programs, for example homes and resources for people living on the street.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.sacbee.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

<p>Name</p>	<p>First Name: Diane Last Name: Rosenblum</p>
<p>Email</p>	<p>diane.rosenblum [REDACTED]</p>
<p>Comment</p>	<p>I am very concerned for myself and my neighbors and the effects of military equipment in our community. How will police assess the risk of using these military items in public places or neighborhoods? If the SWAT team is going inside of a house, will there be uninvolved people present, children present, people who don't speak English, how will the deployment of this "scary" equipment be perceived by the people in that building? How might this escalate the situation, cause trauma or cause detriment to community-police relations? What kinds of alternatives have been considered? I would like to see Sac PD document all instances of deployment, not just the actual use of the weapons. The deployment can also cause harm and should be documented. Several studies show that the acquisition and use of military-grade equipment by civilian law enforcement agencies does not reduce crime or attacks on officers, while it does contribute to substantial community fear. Some studies also have found that police departments that acquire military-grade equipment are more likely to use violence. I found no restrictions on the use of any of the listed equipment. On the contrary, each set of descriptions of purpose includes the phrase "include but are not limited to". Apparently, no potential deployment is off-limits, and the authorized uses are limitless. I find this concerning. I would like to see statements that identify situations (protest demonstrations, for instance, or psychological crises) in which aggressive or menacing equipment should not be used. With the amount of military equipment, the Sacramento Police Department currently has, I see no reason for the City Council to approve new equipment such as the ROOK, which is meant for a terrorist attack. It also appears to have many add-ons which will make the price go up in future. Let's put that money into community violence prevention programs instead! I also object to you putting new equipment "asks" into this use plan, which is created for oversight of the actual use of the equipment, not to be requesting new equipment. Let's see how you use what you have so far. The City Council and the Police Community Review Commission should consider individual items of military equipment in advance of their purchase and determine whether the proposed items and uses advance the city's policies and goals in areas such as safety, equity and protection of civil liberties. In terms of drones, you should include the acquisition of a warrant before use to record video or speech. The use policy for drones should also cover how data will be retained, secured and shared. In terms of using the LRAD warning tone, which can cause great pain and long-term ear damage to anyone nearby, it is listed to be used "as needed by SWAT Teams and other reasons determined by the incident commander", making authorized uses limitless. Additional questions: • How do you define "short duration"? • Why is there no mention of how officers will ascertain if children are present who are at risk of serious ear injury or of how bystanders will be warned or protected from the LRAD tone? • SWAT Teams are often entering buildings; where is the prohibited use designation in a residential area where many people and animals can be harmed? According to your own document, I count that you currently have 721 projectile launcher rifles and 2879 projectiles, both kinetic and chemical. Most have a lifespan of 5 years. Do you anticipate de-escalation circumstances that would require that many AND be an appropriate place to use them? And within those items, you have over and over said "Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD. Proposed annual procurement costs TBD". We do not want our city money used to literally stockpile weapons. Example: • REMINGTON 870 LESS LETHAL SHOTGUN, cost: \$946, quantity: 619. The Remington 870 Less Lethal Shotgun is used to deploy the less lethal 12-gauge Super-Sock Beanbag Round up to a distance of 75 feet. The range of the weapon system helps to maintain space between officers and a suspect reducing the immediacy of the threat which is a principle of De-escalation. Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD. Proposed annual procurement costs TBD. • 12-GAUGE SUPER-SOCK BEANBAG ROUND, cost: \$5, quantity: 2525. A less lethal 2.4-inch 12-gauge shotgun round firing a ballistic fiber bag filled with 40 grams of lead shot at a velocity of 270-290 feet per second (FPS). DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY, 12 GAUGE MUZZLE BLAST #3042, cost: \$8, quantity: 0. Effectiveness depends on many variables, such as distance, clothing, stature, and the point where the projectile impacts. Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD. Proposed annual procurement costs TBD. You seem to have put MORE projectiles that you want to acquire in this Use Plan. With almost 3000 in your arsenal now, I would consider this overreach. You</p>

seem to be proposing adding Muzzle Blast Rounds, which also have a very confusing use plan...are you managing crowds with it or incapacitating violent subjects? DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY, 12 GAUGE MUZZLE BLAST #3042, cost: \$8, quantity: 0. The 12-Gauge Muzzle Blast Rounds incorporate a translucent shell and utilize smokeless powder as the propellant and are designed to deliver chemical agents in the immediate area of the grenadier. They are used as a crowd management solution for the immediate and close deployment of chemical agent. The purpose of the Muzzle Blast Rounds is to minimize the risks to all parties through pain compliance, temporary discomfort, and/or incapacitation of potentially violent or dangerous subjects. This round has a maximum effective range of 10 feet / 3.05 meters. Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD. Proposed annual procurement costs TBD. With Muzzle Blasts and other chemical agents used in projectiles comes this warning "This product can expose you to chemicals including Lead Salts, Methylene Chloride and Hexavalent Chromium, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer, and Lead Salts, which are known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm."

User's Session Information

IP Address: [REDACTED]
Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

<p>Name</p>	<p>First Name: Diane Last Name: Rosenblum</p>
<p>Email</p>	<p>diane.rosenblum [REDACTED]</p>
<p>Comment</p>	<p>I am very concerned for myself and my neighbors and the effects of military equipment in our community. How will police assess the risk of using these military items in public places or neighborhoods? If the SWAT team is going inside of a house, will there be uninvolved people present, children present, people who don't speak English, how will the deployment of this "scary" equipment be perceived by the people in that building? How might this escalate the situation, cause trauma or cause detriment to community-police relations? What kinds of alternatives have been considered? I would like to see Sac PD document all instances of deployment, not just the actual use of the weapons. The deployment can also cause harm and should be documented. Several studies show that the acquisition and use of military-grade equipment by civilian law enforcement agencies does not reduce crime or attacks on officers, while it does contribute to substantial community fear. Some studies also have found that police departments that acquire military-grade equipment are more likely to use violence. I found no restrictions on the use of any of the listed equipment. On the contrary, each set of descriptions of purpose includes the phrase "include but are not limited to". Apparently, no potential deployment is off-limits, and the authorized uses are limitless. I find this concerning. I would like to see statements that identify situations (protest demonstrations, for instance, or psychological crises) in which aggressive or menacing equipment should not be used. With the amount of military equipment, the Sacramento Police Department currently has, I see no reason for the City Council to approve new equipment such as the ROOK, which is meant for a terrorist attack. It also appears to have many add-ons which will make the price go up in future. Let's put that money into community violence prevention programs instead! I also object to you putting new equipment "asks" into this use plan, which is created for oversight of the actual use of the equipment, not to be requesting new equipment. Let's see how you use what you have so far. The City Council and the Police Community Review Commission should consider individual items of military equipment in advance of their purchase and determine whether the proposed items and uses advance the city's policies and goals in areas such as safety, equity and protection of civil liberties. In terms of drones, you should include the acquisition of a warrant before use to record video or speech. The use policy for drones should also cover how data will be retained, secured and shared. In terms of using the LRAD warning tone, which can cause great pain and long-term ear damage to anyone nearby, it is listed to be used "as needed by SWAT Teams and other reasons determined by the incident commander", making authorized uses limitless. Additional questions: • How do you define "short duration"? • Why is there no mention of how officers will ascertain if children are present who are at risk of serious ear injury or of how bystanders will be warned or protected from the LRAD tone? • SWAT Teams are often entering buildings; where is the prohibited use designation in a residential area where many people and animals can be harmed? According to your own document, I count that you currently have 721 projectile launcher rifles and 2879 projectiles, both kinetic and chemical. Most have a lifespan of 5 years. Do you anticipate de-escalation circumstances that would require that many AND be an appropriate place to use them? And within those items, you have over and over said "Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD. Proposed annual procurement costs TBD". We do not want our city money used to literally stockpile weapons. Example: • REMINGTON 870 LESS LETHAL SHOTGUN, cost: \$946, quantity: 619. The Remington 870 Less Lethal Shotgun is used to deploy the less lethal 12-gauge Super-Sock Beanbag Round up to a distance of 75 feet. The range of the weapon system helps to maintain space between officers and a suspect reducing the immediacy of the threat which is a principle of De-escalation. Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD. Proposed annual procurement costs TBD. • 12-GAUGE SUPER-SOCK BEANBAG ROUND, cost: \$5, quantity: 2525. A less lethal 2.4-inch 12-gauge shotgun round firing a ballistic fiber bag filled with 40 grams of lead shot at a velocity of 270-290 feet per second (FPS). DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY, 12 GAUGE MUZZLE BLAST #3042, cost: \$8, quantity: 0. Effectiveness depends on many variables, such as distance, clothing, stature, and the point where the projectile impacts. Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD. Proposed annual procurement costs TBD. You seem to have put MORE projectiles that you want to acquire in this Use Plan. With almost 3000 in your arsenal now, I would consider this overreach. You</p>

seem to be proposing adding Muzzle Blast Rounds, which also have a very confusing use plan...are you managing crowds with it or incapacitating violent subjects? DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY, 12 GAUGE MUZZLE BLAST #3042, cost: \$8, quantity: 0. The 12-Gauge Muzzle Blast Rounds incorporate a translucent shell and utilize smokeless powder as the propellant and are designed to deliver chemical agents in the immediate area of the grenadier. They are used as a crowd management solution for the immediate and close deployment of chemical agent. The purpose of the Muzzle Blast Rounds is to minimize the risks to all parties through pain compliance, temporary discomfort, and/or incapacitation of potentially violent or dangerous subjects. This round has a maximum effective range of 10 feet / 3.05 meters. Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD. Proposed annual procurement costs TBD. With Muzzle Blasts and other chemical agents used in projectiles comes this warning "This product can expose you to chemicals including Lead Salts, Methylene Chloride and Hexavalent Chromium, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer, and Lead Salts, which are known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm."

User's Session Information

IP Address: [REDACTED]
Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

<p>Name</p>	<p>First Name: Diane Last Name: Rosenblum</p>
<p>Email</p>	<p>diane.rosenblum [REDACTED]</p>
<p>Comment</p>	<p>I am very concerned for myself and my neighbors and the effects of military equipment in our community. How will police assess the risk of using these military items in public places or neighborhoods? If the SWAT team is going inside of a house, will there be uninvolved people present, children present, people who don't speak English, how will the deployment of this "scary" equipment be perceived by the people in that building? How might this escalate the situation, cause trauma or cause detriment to community-police relations? What kinds of alternatives have been considered? I would like to see Sac PD document all instances of deployment, not just the actual use of the weapons. The deployment can also cause harm and should be documented. Several studies show that the acquisition and use of military-grade equipment by civilian law enforcement agencies does not reduce crime or attacks on officers, while it does contribute to substantial community fear. Some studies also have found that police departments that acquire military-grade equipment are more likely to use violence. I found no restrictions on the use of any of the listed equipment. On the contrary, each set of descriptions of purpose includes the phrase "include but are not limited to". Apparently, no potential deployment is off-limits, and the authorized uses are limitless. I find this concerning. I would like to see statements that identify situations (protest demonstrations, for instance, or psychological crises) in which aggressive or menacing equipment should not be used. With the amount of military equipment, the Sacramento Police Department currently has, I see no reason for the City Council to approve new equipment such as the ROOK, which is meant for a terrorist attack. It also appears to have many add-ons which will make the price go up in future. Let's put that money into community violence prevention programs instead! I also object to you putting new equipment "asks" into this use plan, which is created for oversight of the actual use of the equipment, not to be requesting new equipment. Let's see how you use what you have so far. The City Council and the Police Community Review Commission should consider individual items of military equipment in advance of their purchase and determine whether the proposed items and uses advance the city's policies and goals in areas such as safety, equity and protection of civil liberties. In terms of drones, you should include the acquisition of a warrant before use to record video or speech. The use policy for drones should also cover how data will be retained, secured and shared. In terms of using the LRAD warning tone, which can cause great pain and long-term ear damage to anyone nearby, it is listed to be used "as needed by SWAT Teams and other reasons determined by the incident commander", making authorized uses limitless. Additional questions: • How do you define "short duration"? • Why is there no mention of how officers will ascertain if children are present who are at risk of serious ear injury or of how bystanders will be warned or protected from the LRAD tone? • SWAT Teams are often entering buildings; where is the prohibited use designation in a residential area where many people and animals can be harmed? According to your own document, I count that you currently have 721 projectile launcher rifles and 2879 projectiles, both kinetic and chemical. Most have a lifespan of 5 years. Do you anticipate de-escalation circumstances that would require that many AND be an appropriate place to use them? And within those items, you have over and over said "Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD. Proposed annual procurement costs TBD". We do not want our city money used to literally stockpile weapons. Example: • REMINGTON 870 LESS LETHAL SHOTGUN, cost: \$946, quantity: 619. The Remington 870 Less Lethal Shotgun is used to deploy the less lethal 12-gauge Super-Sock Beanbag Round up to a distance of 75 feet. The range of the weapon system helps to maintain space between officers and a suspect reducing the immediacy of the threat which is a principle of De-escalation. Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD. Proposed annual procurement costs TBD. • 12-GAUGE SUPER-SOCK BEANBAG ROUND, cost: \$5, quantity: 2525. A less lethal 2.4-inch 12-gauge shotgun round firing a ballistic fiber bag filled with 40 grams of lead shot at a velocity of 270-290 feet per second (FPS). DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY, 12 GAUGE MUZZLE BLAST #3042, cost: \$8, quantity: 0. Effectiveness depends on many variables, such as distance, clothing, stature, and the point where the projectile impacts. Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD. Proposed annual procurement costs TBD. You seem to have put MORE projectiles that you want to acquire in this Use Plan. With almost 3000 in your arsenal now, I would consider this overreach. You</p>

seem to be proposing adding Muzzle Blast Rounds, which also have a very confusing use plan...are you managing crowds with it or incapacitating violent subjects? DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY, 12 GAUGE MUZZLE BLAST #3042, cost: \$8, quantity: 0. The 12-Gauge Muzzle Blast Rounds incorporate a translucent shell and utilize smokeless powder as the propellant and are designed to deliver chemical agents in the immediate area of the grenadier. They are used as a crowd management solution for the immediate and close deployment of chemical agent. The purpose of the Muzzle Blast Rounds is to minimize the risks to all parties through pain compliance, temporary discomfort, and/or incapacitation of potentially violent or dangerous subjects. This round has a maximum effective range of 10 feet / 3.05 meters. Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD. Proposed annual procurement costs TBD. With Muzzle Blasts and other chemical agents used in projectiles comes this warning "This product can expose you to chemicals including Lead Salts, Methylene Chloride and Hexavalent Chromium, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer, and Lead Salts, which are known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm."

User's Session Information

IP Address: [REDACTED]
Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 19, 2022, 03:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jamie Last Name: Jun
Email	jme.jun90 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The proposed policy needs better language, such as clearer definitions of what are authorized uses. It needs to remove phrases like "include but are not limited to" since that makes the list of authorized uses pointless. With that sort of language, there would be no way to clearly determine if the equipment used were actually complying with the policies. Which means we need to state uses that are not authorized as well, for example "use of rubber bullets and chemical agents are not authorized when young children, elderly, or other vulnerable persons are present" or "use of an armored vehicle is not authorized without consideration of alternatives." These unauthorized uses should be explicitly stated in the policy. Also, this proposed policy is not in compliance with AB48's ban of chemical and impact weapons for crowd control. The limitations of AB48 should be included in this policy. If Sacramento Police Department is truly seeking community input, then it should also be mentioned that law enforcement's use of military equipment does not reduce crime or attacks on officers and instead contribute greatly to fear in the community. Police should not have military equipment in the first place since it makes it more likely for law enforcement to use and cause more violence and harm on the community. Using "less lethal" ammunitions, tank-like vehicles, and assault rifles never de-escalate the situation and only make things worse. Please reconsider having military equipment at all and instead create policies that redirect the funding towards preventative community aid such as mental health services and housing assistance.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 19, 2022, 04:51PM PDT

Name	First Name: Donna Last Name: Paul
Email	dp10001 [REDACTED]
Comment	Thank you for providing the proposed policy and estimated costs. In general, I want the Police Dept. to have the tools it needs to protect officers and the public. The amount of equipment listed in this policy seems excessive to me. I ask that the department leads look for redundancy, especially in regards to expensive vehicles and equipment. Also to partner more with the Sheriff's Department and other law enforcement agencies so that equipment can be shared. It is unclear from the policy if the goal is to acquire this equipment in the current fiscal year and if the amount needed to acquire equipment is in addition to the 2022-2023 budget or already included. I would prefer that more funds be directed at prevention and community response alternatives.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.cityofsacramento.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 20, 2022, 11:08AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jennifer Last Name: Wood
Email	jtwood1234 [REDACTED]
Comment	I wanted to express my deep concern and opposition to the required Sacramento City Police proposed policy for the acquisition, funding, use and reporting of "military equipment" - 410.06 - MILITARY EQUIPMENT USE 4-20-22. I have reviewed the 25 page policy document and find so many reasons to be concerned and opposed to the militarization of city police. The foremost reason is that the Sacramento Police should be focused primarily on the safety and well-being of law abiding residents and should be reformed to address its historical, structural and institutional racism! The second reason is that the funds to support this more appropriate role for police in our communities should be focused on the preventive and community based aspects of policing, NOT the militarization of city police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 20, 2022, 01:07AM PDT

Name	First Name: Marshal Last Name: Arnwine
Email	marwine [REDACTED]
Comment	Greetings Sacramento Police Department: The ACLU of Northern California's feedback is attached in the PDF document below. Thank you for creating this opportunity to provide feedback and foster collaboration for public safety. Best regards.
Upload File(s)	ACLU Nor Cal_SPD Military Equipment Policy Feedback_5.20.22.pdf
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 20, 2022, 03:13PM PDT

Name	First Name: Luke Last Name: Wilson
Email	lewilso47 [REDACTED]
Comment	I am opposed to purchasing military equipment for our police department.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Name	First Name: Mark Last Name: Tavianini
Email	mtavi23 [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I have a number of concerns regarding the proposed purchase and policy for use of military equipment by the Sacramento Police Department. I'm concerned that the use of military equipment that is likely to be interpreted as threatening can escalate a situation. Seeing a tank at a demonstration or in a neighborhood, observing officers wearing riot gear when no obvious crime or violence is in view, seeing guns held or pointed without knowing whether they will shoot bullets or less lethal ammunition can cause panic or encourage aggressive behavior from those in the area. The proposed policy uses "include but are not limited to" language. The phrase "not limited to" makes the list of authorized uses meaningless, since it suggests that these are examples and that the authorized uses are limitless. The proposed policy should describe uses that are NOT authorized. For example, if use of rubber bullets and chemical agents is not authorized when vulnerable persons are present, or for crowd control. The proposed policy does not clearly define procedures for documenting the deployment of military equipment. The proposed policy should clearly state to whom deployments will be reported and how records will be kept. The proposed policy does not require adherence in the event of "mutual aid", when outside law enforcement agencies are operating within Sacramento. The proposed policy doesn't identify who or what will exercise independent oversight over the policy and uses of military equipment policy. The proposed policy must clearly describe the independent oversight that will be used. The proposed policy should not include new equipment that has not been reviewed by the City Council, including the ROOK. SPD should not acquire this highly militarized vehicle. The proposed policy must not include blanket approval without specifying quantities or cost of new military equipment. The policy should include enforcement of policies via litigation by community members. AB 481 relies heavily on the vigilance of elected officials to ensure that military equipment policies conform to AB 481 and that agencies comply with the policies as adopted. If agencies violate AB 481 or the adopted policies, community members have little recourse. For these reasons, this proposed policy must include a private right of action to ensure compliance. The proposed policy for assault rifles does not define when they are authorized for use, nor when they are not authorized for use. Assault rifles are deadly and must have clearly defined prohibited and authorized use cases. The proposed uses of Long Range Acoustic Devices (LRADs) must be tightly defined, with attention to protecting vulnerable populations, including children. A federal court found that LRADs used in protests constituted excessive force. The proposed policy authorizes use of this dangerous warning tone "as needed by SWAT Teams and other reasons determined by the incident commander", making authorized uses limitless. The use of drones (including to collect photos inside buildings, or record video or voice) should not be authorized without a warrant. The use policy for drones should also cover how data will be retained, secured and shared. The policy must fully consider AB 48 (different from AB 481) which limits police use of kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents during protests or to disperse crowds. The Military Equipment Use Policy must specify when something is NOT allowed to be used, remove phrases like "included but not limited to", list the actual and inclusive allowed uses, and remove "crowd management" and "crowd control" from allowed uses. The Sacramento PD First Amendment Assembly Manual (RM 532.11) was recently updated to comply with AB 48. I suggest that phrasing from that manual (or directly from AB48) be included in the Military Equipment Use Manual to clarify use of military projectiles and chemicals in crowd situations, including demonstrations and protests. Thank you for considering my concerns. Mark Tavianini [REDACTED] Sacramento, CA</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.google.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

<p>Name</p>	<p>First Name: John Last Name: Lindsay-Poland</p>
<p>Email</p>	<p>jlindsay-poland [REDACTED]</p>
<p>Comment</p>	<p>“Military equipment is more frequently deployed in low-income Black and Brown communities, meaning the risks and impacts of police militarization are experienced most acutely in marginalized communities.” –AB481 May 20, 2022 American Friends Service Committee offers the following comments on the Sacramento Police Department’s military equipment policy proposed for implementing AB 481. We appreciate the opportunity to share these recommendations, and hope you will bear with the length of them. 1. The proposed policy doesn’t identify who or what will exercise independent oversight over implementation of the military equipment policy. AB 481 requires in Section 7070(d)(6) that the proposed policy must clearly identify: “The mechanisms to ensure compliance with the military equipment use policy, including which independent persons or entities have oversight authority, and, if applicable, what legally enforceable sanctions are put in place for violations of the policy.” The proposed policy must clearly describe the independent oversight that will be used. 2. The proposed policy uses “include but are not limited to” language in portions of policy on “authorized uses”. This phrase “not limited to” makes the list of authorized uses meaningless, since it suggests that these are examples and that the authorized uses are limitless. This is true of proposed policies for: drones, command vehicles, 40mm launchers, rounds, and launching cup, less lethal shotguns, chemical agents, and pepperball launchers. The vagueness of these statements will also make it difficult if not impossible to determine whether a particular use of the equipment complies with the policies. Instead, the policy should name specific authorized uses (not just users) for each type of equipment, as required by AB 481. 3. The proposed policy should describe uses for each type of equipment that are not authorized. For example: • if use of drones for criminal investigations is not authorized without a warrant; • if use of rubber bullets and chemical agents is not authorized when vulnerable persons are present, or for crowd control; • if use of armored vehicles for arresting a suspect is not authorized without consideration of alternatives. 4. The proposed policy should not include new equipment that has not been reviewed by the City Council, including the ROOK. The “ROOK” is an armored bulldozer used by SWAT teams that is capable of destroying the walls of houses and transporting up to five snipers who can fire from gun ports in an armored platform. The proposed policy says SPD will apply to the California Office of Emergency Services for the \$400,000 this vehicle costs, and that the grant proposal will document the need for the ROOK. The proposal says use of the ROOK would be governed by California Penal Code 13652, but that state law covers documentation of use of force and rules for chemical agents and impact projectiles, not armored vehicles. SPD’s armored vehicle policy says armored vehicles such as this are not authorized for routine patrol or most crowd control, but that policy is not included in what the City Council is considering and can be changed by SPD at any time. SPD should not acquire this highly militarized vehicle. However, if acquisition of this vehicle is approved, it should be accompanied by a revised armored vehicle policy. We recommend the policy for armored vehicles adopted by Oakland PD, at: https://public.powerdms.com/oakland/tree/documents/2269007 5. The policy must fully incorporate the restrictions in AB 48 (Penal Code § 13652 (b)) on police use of kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents to disperse crowds. The Sacramento PD First Amendment Assembly Manual (RM 532.11) was recently updated to comply with AB 48. We recommend that phrasing from that manual (or directly from AB48) be included in the Military Equipment Use Manual to clarify use of military projectiles and chemicals in crowd situations, including demonstrations and protests. For instance, “Projectiles shall not be aimed indiscriminately into a crowd or group of persons” and “ascertaining if there are participants present who are at risk of serious effects related to the use of chemical agents (children, elderly, disabled). The Davis PD included the full language of AB 48 in its document for proposed AB 481 policy: Kinetic Energy Projectiles & Chemical Agents – Assembly, Protest, or Demonstration (Penal Code § 13652) Except as provided for in this section, kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents shall not be used by any law enforcement agency to disperse any assembly, protest, or demonstration (Penal Code § 13652 (a)). See PP 2.59-A, First Amendment Assemblies for further guidance). 1. Authorized Use (Penal Code § 13652 (b)) Kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents shall only be deployed by a peace officer that has received training on their</p>

proper use by the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training for crowd control if the use is objectively reasonable to defend against a threat to life or serious bodily injury to any individual, including any peace officer, or to bring an objectively dangerous and unlawful situation safely and effectively under control, and only in accordance with all of the following requirements: 1. Deescalation techniques or other alternatives to force have been attempted, when objectively reasonable, and have failed. 2. Repeated, audible announcements are made announcing the intent to use kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents and the type to be used, when objectively reasonable to do so. The announcements shall be made from various locations, if necessary, and delivered in multiple languages, if appropriate. 3. Persons are given an objectively reasonable opportunity to disperse and leave the scene. 4. An objectively reasonable effort has been made to identify persons engaged in violent acts and those who are not, and kinetic energy projectiles or chemical agents are targeted toward those individuals engaged in violent acts. Projectiles shall not be aimed indiscriminately into a crowd or group of persons. 5. Kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents are used only with the frequency, intensity, and in a manner that is proportional to the threat and objectively reasonable. 6. Officers shall minimize the possible incidental impact of their use of kinetic energy projectiles and chemical agents on bystanders, medical personnel, journalists, or other unintended targets. 7. An objectively reasonable effort has been made to extract individuals in distress. 8. Medical assistance is promptly provided, if properly trained personnel are present, or procured, for injured persons, when it is reasonable and safe to do so. 9. Kinetic energy projectiles shall not be aimed at the head, neck, or any other vital organs. 10. Kinetic energy projectiles or chemical agents shall not be used by any law enforcement agency solely due to any of the following: a. A violation of an imposed curfew. b. A verbal threat. c. Noncompliance with a law enforcement directive. 6. The proposed policy for assault rifles neither define when they are authorized for use, nor when they are not authorized for use. Assault rifles are both deadly and have a chilling impact on community trust, and must have clearly defined prohibited and authorized use cases, including at peaceful protests, on foot and bicycle patrol, and for public relations purposes. 7. The proposed uses of Long Range Acoustic Devices (LRADs) must be tightly defined, with attention to protecting vulnerable populations. LRADs are hailing devices for speaking from a long distance, but they can also be used to issue an extremely loud (170 decibels), high-pitched “warning tone” that can lead to permanent hearing loss. When police departments, such as in Oakland and New York, have used LRADs at high decibels and within close range or a crowd, it has caused long-term pain and trauma (<https://www.acentech.com/resources/2020/08/long-range-acoustic-devices-lrad-and-public-safety/>). A federal court found that LRADs used in protests constituted excessive force (<https://www.fastcompany.com/40585221/piercing-sound-can-be-excessive-police-force-federal-court-rules>). The proposed policy, including in General Order 580.17, authorizes use of this dangerous warning tone “as needed by SWAT Teams and other reasons determined by the incident commander”, making authorized uses limitless. Use of the warning tone should be prohibited or highly restricted. Other questions about LRADs that should be addressed in the proposed policy: - How “short duration” defined? - How will officers ascertain whether children who are at risk of serious ear injury are present? - How will bystanders be warned or protected from the LRAD warning tone? - SWAT Teams are often entering buildings; where is the prohibited use designation in a residential area where many people and animals can be harmed? 8. The policy should include enforcement of policies via the right of community members to litigate. Adequate enforcement of military equipment policies requires ordinances with provisions for community members to go to court over violations of the policy, known as a private right of action. AB 481 relies heavily on the vigilance of elected officials to ensure that military equipment policies conform to AB 481 and that agencies comply with the policies as adopted. If agencies violate AB 481 or the adopted policies, community members have little recourse. For these reasons, this proposed policy must include a private right of action to ensure compliance. For a model, see the provision for a private right of action in Oakland’s military equipment ordinance, Oakland Municipal Code Section 9.65.040, “Enforcement”. 9. The proposed policy does not require adherence to Sacramento standards by outside law enforcement agencies operating within Sacramento in the event of “mutual aid”. Adding a mutual aid clause into the AB481 policy will give Sacramento residents the right to have their AB481 policy applied to any relevant military equipment deployed in their city, regardless of which law enforcement agency is using it. Sacramento should adopt the same policy as that adopted by many other cities and counties, including Santa Cruz PD , Santa Clara PD, Hayward PD, Fresno County Sheriff’s Office: “Coordination with Other Jurisdictions: Military equipment should not be used by any other law enforcement agency or member in this jurisdiction unless the military equipment is approved for use in accordance with this policy.” 10. The proposed policy must clearly define procedures for documenting the deployment of military equipment. Transparency requires reporting on any deployments visible to the community, not only uses of force or discharging the weapon. Since AB 481 requires law

enforcement agencies to publish an annual report on use of the equipment, the proposed policy should clearly state to whom deployments will be reported and how records will be kept. We again refer to the Oakland PD armored vehicle policy as an example of how this can be incorporated into these policies. To respond to this comment, please contact: John Lindsay-Poland, jlindsay-poland [REDACTED]

Upload File(s)

AFSCreSacPDmilitaryequippolicy20May2022.docx

User's Session Information

IP Address [REDACTED]
Referrer URL: <https://www.google.com/>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 20, 2022, 06:50AM PDT

Name	First Name: suzanne Last Name: Giorgi
Email	giorgi457 [REDACTED]
Comment	The policy fails to reflect our community values and permits aggressive interventions when unnecessary. Drone data reuse must be addressed. When outside law enforcement can use their military equipment must be addressed- sac county is an outlier that is out of control. We should end our dependence on us military supply and sales- this type of equipment is unnecessary in our civilized community and wastes resources- even when it is free to Sacramento city.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.google.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 2, 2022, 03:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Luke Last Name: Pollock
Email	luke.n.pollock [REDACTED]
Comment	Specifically the use of spyware by LAPD and San Diego, such as Pegasus, since we know they have contracts with the same company, NCO. This spyware allows for estate action pretexts to an extent never before seen.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.capradio.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 20, 2022, 06:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Graciela Last Name: Castillo-Krings
Email	graciela.castillokrings [REDACTED]
Comment	These comments are from a subgroup SCPRC Commissioners. Please let me know if there are any questions.
Upload File(s)	GO-41006-Military-Use-Policy-42022-Draft_SCPRC.docx Military Equipment Use Policy Recommendations_SCPRC.xlsx
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.capradio.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 2, 2022, 04:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Blake Last Name: Dacy
Email	sacramentobeekeeper [REDACTED]
Comment	Police should be restricted to what the citizens are allowed to carry legally.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 3, 2022, 07:08AM PDT

Name	First Name: Serene Last Name: Erby
Email	serene[REDACTED]
Comment	I hate that police tho k they need all this equipment against civilians in their community. Once acquired, there it sits. Why do we need a tank? A grenade launcher? So ridiculous and creates a mindset of military in the minds of law enforcement that I hate.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.caprado.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 4, 2022, 01:28PM PDT

Name	First Name: Penny Last Name: Cross
Email	pennycross44 [REDACTED]
Comment	Sounds like a bad precedent. Militarized police leading to a police state. We need checks and balances to avoid losing our democracy.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 4, 2022, 02:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: Midtown Last Name: Squinter
Email	mdmooreinsacramento [REDACTED]
Comment	WHat input are you seeking? Why not a survey format? Why not offer a one-sheet w top-of-mind info relevant to the general public? Why do nothing more than attach a link to the pdf for the civic outreach component to mostly create LACK of public interest? Why is this the best Sac PD, much less the City do to check the box of "public outreach" required by this new state reg? Why???
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 07:42AM PDT

Name	First Name: Tessa Last Name: Turner
Email	tturner22 [REDACTED]
Comment	I am a resident of Sacramento. I do not support the continued purchase or maintenance of Sacramento PDs military weapons - M16, grenade launchers, or grenades at all. I do support no lethal unmanned aerial vehicles, pepper balls, less lethal shotguns ("bean bag shotguns"), flashbangs, MICC (Mobile Incident Command Center) and CNT (Crisis Negotiations Team) van.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.capradio.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:49AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jerry Last Name: Tamburino
Email	janjer1 [REDACTED]
Comment	If local police need additional equipment they should budget for it. I do not support the use of ANY military equipment by local police.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:50AM PDT

Name	First Name: Trevor Last Name: Medeiros
Email	fya [REDACTED]
Comment	Please invest in community resources, affordable housing, and homeless shelters and please do not invest in any military weaponry or tanks or anything of that nature.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:51AM PDT

Name	First Name: Richard Last Name: Herscowitz
Email	rick [REDACTED]
Comment	The police should continue to procure and utilize any equipment needed to control and resolve a situation. Officer & public safety are paramount and government surplus, saves tax payers tons of money. My vote is to continue to provide law enforcement any tools needed!!!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:13AM PDT

Name	First Name: Duwayne Last Name: Brooks
Email	
Comment	Acquire and use whatever equipment is necessary to protect the citizens and law enforcement officers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:58AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kent Last Name: Kohler
Email	swim140 [REDACTED]
Comment	The police are out gunned by the criminals. I'm in favor of ANY extra fire power to protect officers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:59AM PDT

Name	First Name: Gina Last Name: Martini
Email	gmartini629 [REDACTED]
Comment	No, militarizing our police departments adds to the mistrust in them. Please focus on community policing, mental healthcare professionals, negotiations, ending poverty, which relates to criminality. But, do not militarize SACPD. We're not a police state, yet.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:01AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>It seems crazy to me that the police department would need military equipment to perform their job. As we all know too well, such equipment (which often includes grenades, assault rifles, and even small tanks) only increases the chance of civilians being killed unnecessarily. If the military has so much equipment to unload, maybe it's time to defund the military as well and invest all those wasted billions of dollars in more useful causes (e.g., education, health-care, etc.).</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:04AM PDT

Name	First Name: Kate Last Name: Wilkins
Email	katemwilkins [REDACTED]
Comment	(C)(1)(a) and (C)(1)(b) These two statements are conflicting. For (1) There is no reasonable alternative, but for (2) there are alternatives that achieve the same objective - which sound like reasonable alternatives. Also, what does "reasonable" cost effective mean? For D(3) - Add "Number of people injured or killed by equipment" For (D)(f)(i) - SPD should hold at least two public meetings, in the Southern and Northern parts of the city. Otherwise it may be impossible to attend for residents without adequate transportation. This meeting should also be live-streamed and recorded. Not regarding the policy itself, but the long list of weapons and equipment the SPD has at its disposal: https://theconversation.com/police-with-lots-of-military-gear-kill-civilians-more-often-than-less-militarized-officers-141421
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:21AM PDT

Name	First Name: Gerald Last Name: Seaman
Email	gtseaman [REDACTED]
Comment	The police force must always be a peace force. To develop trust with the citizens they must seek to de-escalate and preserve life no mater how long it takes. The "preemptive escalation" to safe life is based on an unknown presumption. Preserve peace not to reduce threat with violence.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:21AM PDT

Name	First Name: Rev. J. Patrick Last Name: Kelly
Email	pkelly5555 [REDACTED]
Comment	NO military equipment. Police should never use military equipment against citizens.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:21AM PDT

Name	First Name: Jonathan Last Name: Dear
Email	jddear [REDACTED]
Comment	Armored vehicles and CATs belong with exclusively in the military. If there is an incident where these are required, the national guard should be called upon. The use of these vehicles by a civilian force creates mistrust and escalates tension between the police force and the community. These should not be acquired by Sac PD.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:06AM PDT

Name	First Name: Lawrence Last Name: Fox
Email	fox [REDACTED]
Comment	what is total budget? have other cities implemented? in California and other states? how many current helicopters reduced crimes? how many crimes would it have been used in this past year? why is this being done through police rather than independent agency? or is this an attempt to identify depth of police support ? is this also on NextDoor? what are the big issues that this is needed for in Sacramento ? crimes ? drugs ? does Sacto police support Portugal's program to decriminalize drugs ? guess one bottom line is why is this needed in Sacto ?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/2022/05/04/sacramento-pd-seeks-community-feedback-on-military-equipment-use-policy/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:22AM PDT

Name	First Name: Rick Last Name: Leonard
Email	rleonard [REDACTED]
Comment	I think it is a good idea. I fully support it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:07AM PDT

Name	First Name: Lawrence Last Name: Fox
Email	fox [REDACTED]
Comment	what is total budget? have other cities implemented? in California and other states? how many current helicopters reduced crimes? how many crimes would it have been used in this past year? why is this being done through police rather than independent agency? or is this an attempt to identify depth of police support ? is this also on NextDoor? what are the big issues that this is needed for in Sacramento ? crimes ? drugs ? does Sacto police support Portugal's program to decriminalize drugs ? guess one bottom line is why is this needed in Sacto ?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/2022/05/04/sacramento-pd-seeks-community-feedback-on-military-equipment-use-policy/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:23AM PDT

Name	First Name: Robert Last Name: Schaffer
Email	jeanandbob96 [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not feel that military grade equipment is appropriate to use in our City. I do believe we need more funding for more police officers. Our staffing level is in the low range compared to other cities our size. Crime is definitely up and we do not feel safe at night in particular. Police officers have a difficult job and need community support and more not less resources. Sadly, the City's focus and spending priorities are on vanity projects at the expense of what should be their top priority, creating a city people feel safe in.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:09AM PDT

Name	First Name: William Last Name: Pritchett
Email	dave_pritchett [REDACTED]
Comment	I am OK with the police using "military" equipment as long as its use is limited to specifically defined situations. SWAT teams were created years ago to address specific threat issues and for the most part have been successful. Our police should not be a paramilitary organization but should have sufficiently advanced equipment to manage anticipated threats. Let's get back to community policing where there is frequent and meaningful contact between citizen and police. That doesn't require military style equipment on a large scale.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:10AM PDT

Name	First Name: Richard Last Name: Estrin
Email	rsestrin [REDACTED]
Comment	What kind of military equipment are we talking about? Tanks? Bazookas? Drones? I don't dispute the fact that there will be people who need to subdued, disarmed etc, but there's also a GREAT need for more sensitivity training, diversity education, and some basic psychology education in the SPD. Funding for real educational programs would serve the community far better than creating a police/army and only emphasizing the already problematic "us against them" mentality. Also, there's an urgent need for more stringent psychological screening for both applicants and current officers. We absolutely need more proactive systems for weeding out racists and other unstable officers.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:10AM PDT

Name	First Name: Keirsten Last Name: Mihos
Email	keirmihos [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not support the purchase of military grade vehicles or equipment by the Sacramento Police Department. I am personally aware of incidents in 2020 where protectors sustained permanent injuries as a result of this equipment. If the department would like to build community trust and reduce financial liability for the city, I formally request the department refrain from spending any money on military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:28AM PDT

Name	First Name: Madelyn Last Name: Cisneros
Email	madelyn.cisneros.m[REDACTED]
Comment	I understand this equipment may be necessary in rare situations (so why spend so much on it?) but it should be used as a last resort, not brought out as an initial threat of force. When you come to a situation armed as if you are in a war, war will breakout.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:28AM PDT

Name	First Name: Gregory Last Name: Mitchell
Email	gregorymmitchel [REDACTED]
Comment	Our police department DO NOT need military gear. Are you kidding me? After the last 2 years? No. No. No! Nothing.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:29AM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Page
Email	christopherbpage53 [REDACTED]
Comment	Secion C.1.B states that military equipment can be procured if it is " Reasonably cost effective compared to available alternatives that can achieve the same objective of officer and civilian safety". This sentiment is 1) Leaves too much room in speculating what might be a "reasonable cost", and 2) Only concerns with upfront cost, not stating clearly that a Total Cost of Ownership/Implementation has been evaluated. Military equipment should only be the choice if all other alternatives have been evaluated and the Total Cost of Ownership/Implementation is demonstrably less for the military equipment. Furthermore, if the actual realized lifetime cost of the equipment is higher than the estimated cost of the alternative, that difference should be covered by the police department in the form of reduced bonus's & compensation at time of cost realization.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:29AM PDT

Name	First Name: Sonja Last Name: Turner-Montano
Email	turnermontano [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment has no place in daily civilian policing. It only agitates confrontation without aiding understanding and de-escalation.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:30AM PDT

Name	First Name: Barbara Last Name: Smith
Email	barbara [REDACTED]
Comment	There is NEVER a need for military grade equipment for law enforcement- we can't trust them to use the weapons they have judiciously- why on earth would we want them to have even more weaponry against civilians. Use the money for the homeless, mental health treatment, and crime reduction, not building a military.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:33AM PDT

Name	First Name: Phil Last Name: Perry
Email	pdperry [REDACTED]
Comment	While I wish they weren't needed, this seems like a fairly basic list given the world we live in today.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:36AM PDT

Name	First Name: Natalie Last Name: Setliff
Email	natalie.setliff [REDACTED]
Comment	The Sacramento Police Department has no business acquiring military grade equipment to be used against the citizens of Sacramento. This will only serve to create a further divide between citizens and Police Officers in an already tenuous and damaged relationship, one in which trust is eroded and in some cases, non-existent. There is absolutely no need for our police, who are supposed to "serve and protect" to be equipped with military grade equipment that will be used against citizens. I am adamantly against this use of taxpayer funds. As a property owner, I do not want a single cent of my tax dollars going to fund this gross abuse of funds and citizens, especially in a time when tensions are running so high.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:51AM PDT

Name	First Name: Rosa Last Name: Rivera
Email	rosa.rivera [REDACTED]
Comment	As long as it's used when necessary threat is the last course of action.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:51AM PDT

Name	First Name: Manuel Last Name: Servin
Email	manuel.s [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm all for the police gearing up to fight bad guys. I mean the funds for the war on drugs were allocated wisely, right? We won that war, right? I really want to see the police department outfitted with weapons of mass destruction and the fall of America as it fades into a fascist nation. I can't wait until you impose Martial Law and start marching us in to death camps, please keep me updated.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:52AM PDT

Name	First Name: Katrina Last Name: Kole
Email	katrinakole [REDACTED]
Comment	More? HELL no! Wtf is this? Alabama? No military grade anything it's 2022. Haven't we had enough?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:37AM PDT

Name	First Name: Heith Last Name: Ballin
Email	hmballin [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>The Military Equipment Use Draft dated 4-20-22 has significant omissions of standards that the public should be made aware. The document is drafted fairly well, at least through Section H, where you discuss use of some very alarming equipment. The equipment discussed I find it hard to believe the City of Sacramento Police Department ever justifying it's use, nonetheless I will not make this a political endeavor. The matter I take issue with is the lack of specific definitions where this equipment would be authorized. Phrases such as, "[when] there is no reasonable alternative", "critical incidents", is a very loose definition to be allowed equipment that puts lives in jeopardy and clearly shows negligence on the loss of lives of Sacramento's citizens. I further disagree with the need of any military equipment for the use of crowd control and civil unrest. Crowd control is done at every assembly event in the nation without the use of force. Military equipment is not justified when people simply assemble, but is referenced as such in this draft. Do not construe the message here as stating that Sacramento Police should not have access to military equipment. With recent events I do understand there may be a need, but how it is deployed and who has the ability to authorize needs to be made much more clear. Ranks and/or titles of individuals should be defined as to who is going to be made responsible should the use be authorized, with limited individuals given the ability to authorize. Similarly a rubric of requirements should be made for each piece of equipment (this is only partially achieved in Section H under the Authorized Use section, but is incomplete and requires more concise definitions.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:39AM PDT

Name	First Name: William Last Name: Wyatt
Email	williamwyat [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I realize that the named "military equipment" is overwhelmingly non- and less-lethal, plus surveillance equipment. In my view, the basic interventionist nature of the police, where the objective is to spot and punish crime, in a society that routinely subjects its people into such circumstances as they become criminals, is a problem. It is insufficient for public safety to intervene rather than properly define and prevent crime, and the proportion of public funding that goes toward the police as opposed to other programs, reflects a myopic, hateful polity. Yet, if intervention we must prioritize, intervention needs still to take a compassionate form as much as possible. The police, then, likely need some, but surely do not need more, surveillance and weapons; nonviolent interventions, in conjunction with more-equitable public policy and police-incentives that encourage meaningful peacekeeping over simply making arrests, don't require anybody to be secretly filmed or gassed or shot. Training and equipment for our officers would better serve the public if it didn't take the form of a people-abusing arsenal with some prosocial ideas tacked onto the bureaucracy.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:14AM PDT

Name	First Name: Brien Last Name: Leigh
Email	nope [REDACTED]
Comment	Surplus "military" equipment does not enable the Department's officers to perform better at the previously mentioned tasks. I feel all equipment from the military is to establish dominance and control. We're not enemy combatants, and this is not Call of Duty. I also feel that officers are not adequately given training tools and other means of success. This places the officers at risk. Armor doesn't help when policy and their superiors place the officers at a disadvantage. Thank you for the comment opportunity.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:59AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	We do not need military grade equipment near citizens. Ever. You are not in a war zone, you are in a neighborhood.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:03AM PDT

Name	First Name: Lenore Last Name: Sumners
Email	lenore.sumn[REDACTED]
Comment	The police department should use any and all tools available to quell violent behavior but should not be limited to non-lethal weapons when all else fails.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:18AM PDT

Name	First Name: Aaron Last Name: Winters
Email	aaron [REDACTED]
Comment	There are no circumstances where a civil policing agency needs access to tanks, gunships, full-automatic weapons or explosives. These are weapons of war which have no place in the process to 'serve and protect' civilians. Even crowd control tools like pepper and rubber bullets should be regulated to very narrow usage, to exclude those that go beyond displacement tactics (short-range and facial targeting).
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:19AM PDT

Name	First Name: Heidi Last Name: Strupp
Email	heidi.strupp [REDACTED]
Comment	I oppose the use of military equipment for use by local police departments. Too often, these tools are used against communities of color, bringing more stress, fear and violence. An increased militarized police force, highly weaponized, will not lead to more peace and safety. I support directing public funds towards the conditions that lead to meaningful public safety including affordable housing, drug treatment on demand, quality education and healthcare. We don't need more guns or tools of violence.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:04AM PDT

Name	First Name: Matthias Last Name: Kimball
Email	kimballm [REDACTED]
Comment	Police departments should absolutely not have access to military style equipment. Furthermore, they should not carry any firearms or other lethal equipment since they have a record of assaulting and murdering civilians during the usual course of duty and while responding to protest events. The police can't be trusted with any equipment that makes it easier or safer for them to harass, assault, and murder people.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:21AM PDT

Name	First Name: Diane Last Name: Bader
Email	Kianna4064 [REDACTED]
Comment	I think all military equipment especially assault guns with multiple cartridges should be banned from us in the county of Sacramento. Military weapons were made for use in war zones and that is where they belong. Let's make our streets safe for all of us.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:36AM PDT

Name	First Name: Barbara Last Name: Sena
Email	imaoldbroac [REDACTED]
Comment	The last time I checked, we are not under military rule, I see no reason for a police force to be using military weapons on our citizens. This is moving us further into racism than we already are.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:37AM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>I do not feel comfortable at all with my local police using my tax dollars to purchase military equipment. I do not feel that we have exhausted all non-violent options. We need first-responders attuned to help elderly folks, folks with mental illness and people for whom English is a second language. I don't see how military-grade equipment will lead to anyone feeling safer. Sac PD just recently shot an innocent Black man in the back. And now you're asking for military equipment? Why would I choose to give that same organization more weaponry. Sac PD has not earned our trust. Would military equipment truly serve this community? Or would it further entrench us in fear of the police? I am not interested in the police becoming a small military. Work with what you have. Your budget is already enormous. Technology will not solve your problems. It's the mental frameworks with which the police act that need fixing. It's not a matter of just throwing money at weapons. It's a matter of intentionally looking at the purpose of the police, its core values, its history of enacting violence on Sacramento's people of color. Put this money elsewhere. Put it toward non-violent communication strategies or racial bias training.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:37AM PDT

Name	First Name: Linda Last Name: Forbes
Email	lindajforbes1 [REDACTED]
Comment	I support the use of military equipment that is not weaponry. I do not support the use of armored vehicles and other military grade weapons. In my opinion, investing in community programs/services that help fight crime and violence is a better way to support law enforcement and promote public safety.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:45AM PDT

Name	First Name: Judy Last Name: Cook
Email	jldcook [REDACTED]
Comment	I am in favor of the PD buying, using and maintaining any item that provides for a safer environment. As a rental property owner (single family, one unit) in a neighborhood that is seeing a definite change in demographic, it is of the utmost importance to me that PD has every and any tool to contain violence in any form. When the neighbors start shooting at each other it is time to roll up a tank if necessary to gain control.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:47AM PDT

Name	First Name: James Last Name: Scollan
Email	j_scollan [REDACTED]
Comment	I believe that whatever equipment the police feel they need to do a job and help them do it properly I am all for it.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 11:35AM PDT

Name	First Name: Barbara Last Name: Sena
Email	imaoldbroac [REDACTED]
Comment	The last time I checked, we are not under military rule, I see no reason for a police force to be using military weapons on our citizens. This is moving us further into racism than we already are.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kate Last Name: Styrsky
Email	midtowngr [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>I'm sure there are circumstances when any of the described equipment would be used to very positive effect. Not all of them seem particularly "military" to me, and this concerns me. Many members of the public (including me) will have an immediately anxious (or even negative) reaction to a proposal of "MILITARY EQUIPMENT Use." Many of those will not seek details. Too late to change the unfortunate branding, but if you hope for public buy-in on this measure I hope you will emphasize the not-necessarily-military nature when possible.</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jason Last Name: Field
Email	fieldtrip [REDACTED]
Comment	I am generally opposed to police use of military grade equipment. While there may be some useful, non-lethal tools, our Sacramento PD has not shown good faith use of said tools. If SPD will use rubber bullets on peaceful activists, what will they do with an MRAP?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sandra Last Name: Hockert
Email	shockert10 [REDACTED]
Comment	I approve purchase and use of military equipment
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:42PM PDT

Name	First Name: Helen Last Name: Hobart
Email	hdhobar ██████████
Comment	For the love of our community safety and well-being, do NOT use Military Equipment in Sacramento police budget and actions! Over and over, the brutality of local and national police is meeting the truth of injustice and dehumanizing not only the public but also the culture of police forces, individuals hired there in (eg high DV rates, high suicide, high levels of rage within individual officers) and organizations. Our country is desperately in need of police who are public servants supporting our safety with pro-active measures to address underlying causes of violence. Mental health, alienated youth, drug abuse, rage -- all these speak loud and clear of the need not for force but for wisdom, understanding, and far more skillfull responses. NO MILITARY EQUIPMENT -- stop the war in our communities, in which especially people of color are harmed.
User's Session Information	IP Address ██████████ Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: George Last Name: Young
Email	clicker47 [REDACTED]
Comment	Sadly acknowledging, the choice to acquire military equipment for SPD, is vital for both officer protection and citizen protection. Go forward.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:08PM PDT

Name	First Name: William Last Name: Ferguson
Email	tourcyclist4U [REDACTED]
Comment	It's important that the police must be better equipped to protect the public and to protect themselves, I have no problem with military equipment, but I'm concerned that the police might abuse their power by having the equipment especially rouge police officers, but I hope by having these types of weapons there must be improvement in training especially dealing with the public to remember to protect and serve, public safety and police safety are both important
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:40PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jeffrey Last Name: Greer
Email	jeffgreer [REDACTED]
Comment	Assuming this is feedback form: I do not think the police military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:31PM PDT

Name	First Name: Omair Last Name: Qazi
Email	omqaz [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>As a citizen of Sacramento, I find most of these requests concerning and troublesome. Most of these can be classified as weapons to be used against enemy combatants during wartime - NOT the local populace of a city the size of Sacramento. Items such as offensive weapons described as "less lethal" do not obscure the fact that they are in fact weapons. Similar requests for sound cannons (Long Range Acoustic Device, Procedure H, Section 7) which have a noted use against protestors and are inhumane (https://www.audiologist.org/item/crowd-control-with-long-range-acoustic-devices-can-cause-permanent-hearing-loss) is similarly troubling. Is the Sacramento Police department here to protect the public or go to war against its residents?</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:35PM PDT

Name	First Name: LARRY Last Name: GLOVER-MEADE
Email	LTMEADE [REDACTED]
Comment	Public safety must be needed. I support the policy.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Ali Last Name: A
Email	k_ali_a [REDACTED]
Comment	I would like to share my input regarding the use of military equipment by the police. I do believe the force will require more funding to train its personnel and attract good people who always put their lives at risk for the community. However, the usage of any military type of equipment is not something I am supportive of. The police have a hard job on their hand. And the funds should go toward helping the organization to be inspirational in serving the community, teaching police more about human behavior, and tackling crime; none of which in a modern society requires military equipment. Thank you,
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:52AM PDT

Name	First Name: Hilary Last Name: Bagley
Email	Bagleyh[REDACTED]
Comment	SPD should be trusted with any military equipment they deem necessary in different circumstances. They undergo extensive training that should not be forgotten. Their superior officers make the decision to use the equipment. These decisions are not made carelessly but judiciously. Stop trying to turn our police department into a force of social workers!!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:55AM PDT

Name	First Name: NANCY Last Name: FITZPATRICK
Email	PerryFitz [REDACTED]
Comment	I support the Military Equipment Use Policy. This is necessary equipment to keep the public and officers safe and there are adequate accountability measures in place for safekeeping and transparency.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:55AM PDT

Name	First Name: Janeane Last Name: Kuxhausen
Email	janeane.kuxhausen [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment helps keep the officers safe and in turn keeps us citizens safe. I am totally in for the police agencies using military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:55AM PDT

Name	First Name: Esfandiar Last Name: Zangeneh Azam
Email	zangetron [REDACTED]
Comment	I am in favor of the Police department utilizing Military Equipment in all situations described in the working draft. It's unfortunate that we have navigated to this state yet I feel for the security of our city in difficult situations this is a must. Cheers, E. Zangeneh Azam
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:57AM PDT

Name	First Name: Michael Last Name: Mallett
Email	mmallett [REDACTED]
Comment	I support acquisition and use of NON LETHAL equipment. I do NOT support acquisition and use of military lethal equipment such as armored vehicles, weaponized drones and such war materials.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:24AM PDT

Name	First Name: Timothy Last Name: Benassi
Email	Tbenassi18 [REDACTED]
Comment	I don't think police should any military equipment at all.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:24AM PDT

Name	First Name: Robert Last Name: Schaffer
Email	jeanandbob96 [REDACTED]
Comment	I do not feel that military grade equipment is appropriate to use in our City. I do believe we need more funding for more police officers. Our staffing level is in the low range compared to other cities our size. Crime is definitely up and we do not feel safe at night in particular. Police officers have a difficult job and need community support and more not less resources. Sadly, the City's focus and spending priorities are on vanity projects at the expense of what should be their top priority, creating a city people feel safe in.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 12:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Amanda Last Name: Watson
Email	amanda [REDACTED]
Comment	I am all for having law enforcement to have all the resources needed. I support this.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:54AM PDT

Name	First Name: DoLee Last Name: Spurgeon
Email	dolee.spurgeon [REDACTED]
Comment	As criminals get more embolden and seem to be expanding in numbers, I believe the police need to have maximum advantage and protection. I say use whatever means gives you that. We have lost too many police officers already. It's time the law protected our police more than the criminals. When the choose a life of crime they should loose their rights as well. The current laws are way too soft on criminals.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:54PM PDT

Name	First Name: this Last Name: is fascism
Email	example [REDACTED]
Comment	HOW ABOUT HUMAN NEEDS LIKE HOUSING, PUBLIC RESTROOMS, AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FIRST?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Frank Last Name: Casanova
Email	FrankC4 [REDACTED]
Comment	I can appreciate that any police dept., "wants" military vehicles. After all, a police dept. IS a paramilitary organization. And that's the problem... Military grade equipment further cements the paramilitary culture within a police dept., and moves it away from a community service security dept. There needs to be a balance, but we can't just rubber stamp "the toys for the boys".
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 01:59PM PDT

Name	First Name: juliette Last Name: sanchez
Email	hooleasac [REDACTED]
Comment	its pretty simple, as long as the public has access to military style weaponry, our police departments must be prepared to defend us. furthermore, at times the military equipment enables the police department reach a safer outcome for the officers, the public and the perpetrator.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 02:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Eric
Email	norcal.productions [REDACTED]
Comment	Military equipment should not be acquired and used by Sacramento police. There is no need for it. SPD officers are already heavily armed, and as we've seen numerous time, they are able to call in the National Guard at a moment's notice.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/2022/05/04/sacramento-pd-seeks-community-feedback-on-military-equipment-use-policy/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 03:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Pamela Last Name: Herlihy
Email	pamelaherlihy [REDACTED]
Comment	I support your use of military equipment. Whatever it is going to take to stop all the shooting, running red lights & stop signs, etc. It doesn't feel safe out there anymore. We need more officers on the freeways and streets.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 03:50PM PDT

Name	First Name: Amaryllis Last Name: Graybill
Email	samuraiham [REDACTED]
Comment	Spend less money on fancy murder toys and more on non-violent deescalation training. Stop murdering our minorities. Stop harassing the homeless and start helping them find stable housing.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 04:05PM PDT

Name	First Name: mat Last Name: marucci
Email	matm2010 [REDACTED]
Comment	yes! use the military equipment. keep our streets safe.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 04:07PM PDT

Name	First Name: gary Last Name: smith
Email	smithg [REDACTED]
Comment	I think it is a great idea. This is necessary equipment at a reasonable price and hopefully can help prevent sense less deaths!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 04:21PM PDT

Name	First Name: David Last Name: Fullerton
Email	dfullerton@██████████
Comment	I am concerned about any equipment that pushes the police department toward becoming a paramilitary force. The description emphasized "deescalation" equipment, but I am very skeptical. Military equipment is generally designed to dominate and destroy, not to protect and serve. The use of such equipment teaches police that they are soldiers in a hostile environment. I can't say I would oppose every transfer of equipment, but I would do so if the equipment in any way tends to promote the militarization of police equipment or attitudes.
User's Session Information	IP Address: ██████████ Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 05:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Kari Last Name: Uhlman
Email	Toulouse21 [REDACTED]
Comment	Support
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 06:20PM PDT

Name	First Name: Curt Last Name: Grieve
Email	cgrieve [REDACTED]
Comment	Do not make the same mistake Davis did so that our city does not have to wait for these resources from communities that support their law enforcement. Police are being killed in record numbers, don't take away their tools.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 06:12PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jacquelyn Last Name: Johnson
Email	just2bcloser2god [REDACTED]
Comment	Since the acquisition of military equipment and its deployment in our communities impacts public safety and welfare and is most often used with bias against people of color people with disabilities, the homeless, and other underrepresented groups the policies and procedures should be taken to the public for vote. The government should not have the if, when, of how the police use military equipment. Use of this equipment CAUSES more problems than it SOLVES. The procedure should be changed FROM: the Department shall obtain approval of the governing body annually prior to engaging in any of the following activities, TO: THE DEPARTMENT SHALL OBTAIN APPROVAL BY 2/3 MAJORITY PUBLIC VOTE ANNUALLY PRIOR TO ENGAGING IN ANY ACTIVITIES.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 06:15PM PDT

Name	First Name: Miguel Last Name: Barraza
Email	mlbarraza36 [REDACTED]
Comment	Would be nice to have a call box at every park,like on freeways and State parks,I was looking for one at Southside park and barely made it to CHP and a on duty security guard,I know we all have phones but mine was stolen from me physically.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 06:42PM PDT

Name	First Name: Sarah Last Name: Phillips
Email	ssphillips5445 [REDACTED]
Comment	Use whatever instruments to protect police force. Given the illegal possession and use of illegal firearms by felons and criminals, appropriate equipment is needed.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 06:58PM PDT

Name	First Name: Devon Last Name: L'Ecluse
Email	devon [REDACTED]
Comment	There is no justifiable reason for the purchase of military weapons that will only be used to create urban warfare on citizens. Standard non-lethal weapons are sufficient when employed alongside de-escalation practices.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 07:40PM PDT

Name	
Email	
Comment	This isn't Nazi Germany.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.capradio.org/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 07:42PM PDT

Name	First Name: Amy Last Name: Gidding-Mora
Email	jogaholic01 [REDACTED]
Comment	I'm in total support of the use of any non-lethal means of disarming or disabling a suspect. I fully support providing the City PD with a wide array of tools and tactics that can help to bring a threat to an end without having to use lethal force. I believe it's better for the police and all of Sacramento's citizens when the death of suspects can be avoided. Guns should be used only as the last viable option.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 07:28PM PDT

Name	First Name: Alexandria Last Name: Henderson
Email	a.henderson96 [REDACTED]
Comment	I strongly disagree with this egregious misuse of tax dollars. There is no place for military grade weapons in our community as we are not at war. Projected spending upwards of a million dollars on weapons and surveillance equipment is ridiculous and infuriating considering the lack of funding for local social programs. Many Sacramento residents don't have access to food or potable water, stable housing, healthcare, adequate education or reliable transportation. I do not support this proposal. Frankly I think it's a rude misuse of funds given the context of our local economy.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 07:46PM PDT

Name	First Name: Russel Last Name: Rooke
Email	russrooke [REDACTED]
Comment	In no way should police be using military weapons on citizens. This police state has gone too far. Reasons like this are who people want to defund the police. In UK police don't even carry guns-in the United States police execute unarmed citizens. Keep pushing and the people WILL push back
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:41PM PDT

Name	First Name: Scott Last Name: Dialo
Email	diallocapita [REDACTED]
Comment	You have my full support to keep this city , it's citizens and offices safe.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:45PM PDT

Name	First Name: Fernando Last Name: Azevedo
Email	devnaz.fa [REDACTED]
Comment	The police department should have the ability to acquire the best equipment to effectively do their job, protect themselves and the general public. With the rising crime rate, especially against law enforcement, this is critical. The term "Military Equipment" is very broad and does not necessarily mean that it is equipment that is specific to the military only. Protect our law enforcement officers and staff so they can effectively protect us, the public.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:48PM PDT

Name	First Name: Romer Last Name: Cristobal
Email	eronebox [REDACTED]
Comment	I strongly support for the Sacramento Police Department or any other law enforcement entities to be authorized to use military equipment when feasible.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 09:52PM PDT

Name	First Name: Stacy Last Name: Kalstrom
Email	stacykalstrom [REDACTED]
Comment	Absolutely Not!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 5, 2022, 10:04PM PDT

Name	First Name: Cynthia Last Name: Currie
Email	ccurrie778 [REDACTED]
Comment	I am against the use of military equipment in local law enforcement. You are better off cultivating good relationships within the communities that you serve. Escalation is not a solution and too many law enforcement officers escalate situations trying to command authority. I know law enforcement is a dangerous job but you are better off working with the community then against it. Special forces like SWAT would be appropriate for military grade equipment. But the handling of protesters with heavy duty military equipment is a bad idea. You are better off investing the money in additional officers and social services and mental health professionals to help de-escalate and actually prevent crime.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy

Feedback

City of Sacramento

Name	
Email	
Comment	<p>The use of military equipment by Sacramento Police Department is inappropriate and dangerous. While the proposed policy does contain some good checks and balances, it also contains several issues. Approval of the Governing Body - it is not clear what criteria the Governing Body will consider when discussing and potentially approving SPD's request for military equipment. This is critical and should be clarified. Reporting Requirements - all of the criteria for reporting requirements should be part of the initial consideration by the Governing Body on whether or not to approve SPD's request for military equipment. Only considering this information as part of an annual report will lead to unnecessary situations of hindsight and "lessons learned", while the damage will already have been done. This information is pertinent and important, and should be considered and discussed upfront before any requests are approved. Compliance - in addition to a Department team tasked with monitoring compliance with the policy, there should be an external, independent body that monitors compliance with the policy. This will ensure truly fair, impartial analysis and oversight of SPD's military equipment policy and the Governing Body's deliberations and approvals/denials. Technology - most of the equipment listed in this policy is dangerous, excessive, and lethal. It is not appropriate to take military equipment and place it in the hands of local law enforcement. Training - more information is required on the specifics of the training that will be given to any SPD staff member prior to using the military equipment, as well as ongoing oversight and analysis of the effectiveness and implementation of that training. This training should be reoccurring, and not a one time thing. Maintenance of Supply Levels - this appears to be a loophole whereby SPD can acquire 10% of stock in a calendar year without approval of the Governing Body. This circumvents the entire purpose of the policy, and is open to abuse and free interpretation, thus placing more military equipment in SPD's hands and further endangering Sacramento's citizens.</p>
User's Session Information	<p>IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/</p>

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

Submitted On:

May 5, 2022 10:46am

America/Los_Angeles

City of Sacramento

Name	Nicole Just
Email	njust [REDACTED]
Comment	Do NOT militarize the police! Militarizing the police does not reduce or solve crime. In fact, it has been shown to escalate violence, force, and killing of civilians. Fund social programs that support getting people resources, out of poverty and desperation that causes crime.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://sacramentocityexpress.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 22, 2022, 12:15PM PDT

Name	First Name: Megan Last Name: Wood-Walker
Email	megan.woodwalker [REDACTED]
Comment	Looking at the long list of military equipment, I feel uneasy and cannot help but wonder why all this is needed. Are you expecting to quash a rebellion, or defend against an invasion? What else should I know about what police and governors are expecting to go on in Sacramento in the future? I don't think I want any part of it! The acquisition of most of this equipment makes me feel threatened rather than protected. I don't want to live in a military state. Although I don't like the potential erosion of privacy, I could perhaps agree to the use of UAS in rare circumstances, as well as the systems for announcements and command center stations. Much of the other equipment is just alarming. It seems like overKILL, and I would rather have the funds go toward the prevention of scenarios that would legitimize the use of such equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 23, 2022, 12:07AM PDT

Name	First Name: Julia Last Name: Rapoza
Email	macarenadog [REDACTED]
Comment	No. We already hate the police. You help no one, harass everyone. If you want military equipment, join the military and go to war. Don't use it against civilians or we will take the lessons from the Ukrainian farmers and tow your tanks away with tractors. You are sociopaths. Fear is not respect.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://l.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 06:38PM PDT

Name	First Name: Madelyn Last Name: Hart
Email	hart_madelyn [REDACTED]
Comment	Do not militarize the police!
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 07:10PM PDT

Name	First Name: Chris Last Name: Wilson
Email	jubajivin [REDACTED]
Comment	Leave the military work and equipment to our armed service members. If police want to join the national guard, let them. Allowing the police to escalate lethal force to a level that requires military equipment is a recipe for madness, and further degradation of our civil liberties. Our challenges in the region will not be resolved with armored personnel carriers, or police that look more like marines. Thank you for your time.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 25, 2022, 08:02PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jimin Last Name: Choi
Email	jimin94d [REDACTED]
Comment	I strongly disagree with providing the SPD with military equipment. Why would we actively arm the police department against its own residents? The police SHOULD be working in service of the residents. There are countless cases of abuse of power with the weapons the police have right now. In the past year, Sacramento PD has been in the national news for committing murder against a citizen. How many citizens will the Sacramento PD maim and kill with military weapons?
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://old.reddit.com/r/Sacramento/comments/ubt3gg/sac_pd_wants_community_input_on_the_proposed_use/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: Apr 26, 2022, 07:01PM PDT

Name	First Name: Jenna Last Name: Nuesse
Email	jnbookwrn [REDACTED]
Comment	Demilitarize the police and pay for training to help in mental health situations. Mandatory 4 years of education
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://lm.facebook.com/

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 1, 2022, 10:09AM PDT

Name	First Name: Roberto Last Name: Reyes
Email	robertoreyes0202 [REDACTED]
Comment	No please. Military has better force discipline in applying force. Police officers are not soldiers and don't need to be armed loks them.
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Submitted On: May 13, 2022, 06:06PM PDT

Name	First Name: Dan Last Name: Allison
Email	allisondan52 [REDACTED]
Comment	I am opposed to militarization of the police, and therefore opposed to any acquisition or use of military equipment.
User's Session Information	IP Address [REDACTED] Referrer URL:

Military Equipment Use Policy Feedback

City of Sacramento

Name	First Name: Rhonda Last Name: Rios Kravitz
Email	rhondarioskravitz [REDACTED]
Comment	<p>Dear City Council Members and Mayor Steinberg: I write as a resident deeply opposed to the militarization of our Sacramento police force via the purchase of surplus military equipment . The racial tensions in the Sacramento community are ever present as a direct result of years of misunderstanding and lack of respect between the community and the police. The increasingly visible presence of heavily armed police units in American communities has stoked widespread concern over the militarization of local law enforcement across the nation including in Sacramento. Critical race scholars have characterized police militarization as another means by which the state exercises social control over racial minorities. Given the concentration of deployments in communities of color, where trust in law enforcement and government at large is already depressed, the routine use of militarized police tactics threatens to increase the historic tensions between marginalized groups and the City of Sacramento with no detectable public safety benefit. We as a City must advocate for police demilitarization. Seeing the use of military equipment clearly can escalate a situation. e.g., seeing a tank at a demonstration or in a neighborhood; observing officers wearing riot gear when no obvious crime or violence is in view; and seeing guns held or pointed without knowing whether they will shoot bullets or less lethal ammunition can cause panic or encourage aggressive behavior from those in the area. The military use policy has many deficiencies , including not clearly specifying when something is not allowed to be used. Minimally, this policy must clearly specify when something is not allowed to be used and not use phrase such as "included but not limited to." In addition, "crowd management" and "crowd control" must be removed from allowed uses. I will only focus on a few. The proposed policy does not clearly define procedures for documenting the deployment of military equipment. Transparency requires reporting on any deployments visible to the community, not only uses of force or discharging the weapon. The proposed policy should clearly state to whom deployments will be reported and how records will be kept. The proposed policy does not require adherence in the event of "mutual aid", when outside law enforcement agencies are operating within Sacramento. Adding a mutual aid clause into the AB481 policy will give Sacramento residents the right to have their AB481 policy applied to any relevant military equipment deployed in their city, regardless of which law enforcement agency is doing the deploying." Instead, Sacramento should adopt the same policy as that adopted by many other cities and counties: "Coordination with Other Jurisdictions: Military equipment should not be used by any other law enforcement agency or member in this jurisdiction unless the military equipment is approved for use in accordance with this policy." The proposed policy doesn't identify who or what will exercise independent oversight over the policy and uses of military equipment policy. While the proposed policy acknowledges this need, it must take the next step of addressing that need. The proposed policy must clearly describe the independent oversight that will be used. The proposed policy must not include blanket approval without specifying quantities or cost of new military equipment. A number of items in the inventory include language like "Proposed annual procurement quantity TBD.", "Proposed annual procurement costs TBD." The current language in the proposed policy fails to be transparent about actual quantities or costs. The policy should include enforcement of policies via litigation by community members. Adequate enforcement of military equipment policies requires ordinances that have provisions for community members to go to court over violations of the policy, known as a private right of action. AB 481 relies heavily on the vigilance of elected officials to ensure that military equipment policies conform to AB 481 and that agencies comply with the policies as adopted. If agencies violate AB 481 or the adopted policies, community members have little recourse. For these reasons, this proposed policy must include a private right of action to ensure compliance. For a model, see the provision for a private right of action in Oakland's military equipment ordinance, Oakland Municipal Code Section 9.65.040, "Enforcement". As a resident, I strongly advocate for demilitarization. Sincerely, Dr. Rhonda Rios Kravitz District 7</p>
User's Session Information	IP Address: [REDACTED] Referrer URL: https://www.google.com/