



SACRAMENTO COMMUNITY SURVEY 2019

How residents view the Sacramento Police Department

Cid Martinez, Ph.D.,
Principal Investigator



SACRAMENTO COMMUNITY SURVEY, 2019

How residents view the Sacramento Police Department

By Cid Martinez, Ph.D.,
University of San Diego,

Randy MacIntosh, Ph.D.,
California State University, Sacramento,

John P.D. Martin, Ph.D.,

J.S. Onesimo Sandoval, Ph. D.,
Saint Louis University

This study was made possible by the generous support of **The California Endowment, The California Wellness Foundation and the Sierra Health Foundation**, as well as funding from the **City of Sacramento**.

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Geography and terminology	5
Race and ethnicity and weighted and unweighted frequencies	6
Executive summary of results	7
Trust and confidence	10
Equal treatment	15
Stopping without justification.....	18
Use of force.....	20
Responsiveness to community concerns	22
Is there a ‘Ferguson Effect?’	24
Neighborhood problems.....	29
Officer fairness.....	30
Crime victimization and reporting	31
Policing the mentally ill.....	33
Neighborhood trust	38
Exposure to gun violence.....	39
Appendix – Tables and weighting report	49

Introduction

This report presents the results of a survey of Sacramento adult residents to gauge their attitudes toward the Sacramento Police Department. The primary data collection was conducted between October 18 and November 11, 2018. A second collection wave was carried out between January 28 and February 10, 2019. A total of 701 residents participated in face-to-face interviews, and 404 participants were interviewed via an online internet survey. All of the data collection was conducted by Interviewing Service of America (ISA).

One challenge in this study is to ensure the anonymity of the participants. Consequently, participants were not asked for their address. We are confident the 1,105 survey participants are city residents, however. To make sure only city residents participated in this study, a three-tiered screening process was used:

- First, potential participants were asked to select their city of residence from a list of communities in the Sacramento region.
- Those who selected Sacramento were then asked to identify the area (police district) in which they lived using a map of the city.
- Finally, they were asked for their zip code, and participants who failed to give a city zip code were rejected.

The responses are weighted to reflect the city's race, ethnic, gender and age distributions as estimated by the American Community Survey (ACS). Details are described in the technical appendix.

Study limitations, precision and margin of error

The 1,105 cases used in this study were collected using a non-probability (non-random) sampling design. This means that quotas were set for each of the 10 geographic areas (five police districts and five focus neighborhoods). This was to make sure there is sufficient representation in all 10 areas.

To compensate for the non-random sampling, we used weighting techniques (recommended in the scholarly literature and described in the appendix) to make the sample reflect the city's characteristics as much as possible. Using this approach, we can generally apply the results provided by the survey participants to their neighbors who were not surveyed but share their demographic characteristics.

As it is not a random sample, statistical concepts such as 'margin of error' do not strictly apply. We can, however, provide some estimates of the survey's precision using analytical approaches recommended by scholars who study survey methodology.

To estimate and validate the error variance for this survey, we created 30 jackknife replicate weights, which incorporated modeled ACS uncertainty of the

district/neighborhood populations and gender, age group, race/ethnicity breakdowns. We then used these values to compute our 'precision error' estimate.

The resulting average precision error estimate (half-width of the 95% confidence interval) for citywide proportions on questions where responses are split evenly (50%-50%) is approximately ± 3 percentage points. It is higher for district/neighborhood areas. The precision error estimate for analysis at this geographic level is ± 5 percentage points for questions where the responses are split evenly.

Generally, the results presented in this report have substantially greater precision. This is because these precision error estimates are reduced in magnitude as responses for a particular question fall away from the even midpoint (e.g., 70% - 30%).

Geography and terminology

The Sacramento Police Department divides the city into six administrative districts. They are bounded primarily by the freeways and the American River.

In several police districts, we collected additional data in “focus area” neighborhoods. This allows us to provide more details on attitudes in these neighborhoods that have a greater number of racial and ethnic minority residents and where high-profile police incidents have occurred.

District geography


District 1 covers the northwest quadrant of the city. District 2 is in the northeast and includes the focus area neighborhoods of West Del Paso Heights, Del Paso Heights, East Del Paso Heights, and Strawberry Manor. Throughout this report, these four focus neighborhoods are collectively identified as “Del Paso.”

District 3 covers the downtown area and is bounded by the rivers on the north and west, Business 80 (Capital City Freeway) on the east and US 50 on the south.

District 4 includes the southwest area of Sacramento.

The southern-most portion of the city is District 5, which is composed of three focus area neighborhoods: Meadowview, Parkway and Valley Hi. The boundaries between Districts 4 and 5 are Florin Road and Freeport Boulevard.

District 6 runs along the US 50 corridor between Business 80/US 99 and the city limits to the east. This district includes four focus neighborhoods: North Oak Park, Central Oak Park, South Oak Park, and Lawrence Park. These focus neighborhoods are collectively identified in this report as “Oak Park.”



Race and ethnicity

The following table explains the terms used to describe the self-identified race and ethnicity of the survey participants.

Labels	
Hispanic	Hispanics of all races
White	Non-Hispanic Whites (Euro Americans)
Black	Non-Hispanic Blacks (African Americans)
Asian	Non-Hispanic Asian Americans
Other	Non-Hispanic Native or Alaskan Americans, Native Hawaiians, Samoans, Other Pacific Islanders
Declined	Declined to state

Unweighted and weighted frequencies

This table shows the number of cases in each geographic area. The unweighted frequencies represent how many adults were surveyed in each area. The weighted frequencies show the number of cases in each area after weights were applied. The weights are designed to make the survey sample reflect the city's adult population distribution. As noted above, they were developed using race, ethnicity, age and gender population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau. The 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year averages were the most current publicly available estimates. Additional tables describing other sample characteristics and details on how the weights were constructed are in the appendix.

	Unweighted Frequency	Percent	Weighted Frequency	Percent	ACS Estimated Adult Population	Percent
District 1	130	12%	67,672	18%	67,672	18%
District 2*	116	10%	40,715	11%	40,685	11%
<i>Del Paso</i>	118	11%	6,765	2%	6,795	2%
District 3	128	12%	27,316	7%	27,316	7%
District 4	133	12%	75,996	21%	75,996	21%
<i>Meadowview</i>	149	13%	23,369	6%	23,371	6%
<i>Parkway</i>	35	3%	12,526	3%	12,523	3%
<i>Valley Hi</i>	61	6%	26,592	7%	26,593	7%
District 6*	118	11%	76,008	21%	76,086	21%
<i>Oak Park</i>	117	11%	12,494	3%	12,416	3%
Total	1105	100%	369,453	100%	369,453	100%

* The focus area neighborhoods are italicized. Districts 2 and 6 in this table cover the remainder of those districts *after* their respective focus neighborhoods, Del Paso and Oak Park, have been separated out to show the counts in these areas. District 5 is composed entirely by Meadowview, Parkway and Valley Hi so there is no remaining area for this district after these neighborhoods are separated.

Executive summary of results

Among the most important issues this survey probes are the level of trust residents have in the Sacramento police and their satisfaction with the service the department provides. The responses citywide to both questions are generally positive. There are, however, substantially lower assessments among African Americans and in neighborhoods with larger minority populations. On many questions, White (non-Hispanic Euro Americans) and Black (non-Hispanic African Americans) residents hold opposing opinions.

Overall service

- Citywide, approximately 46% of Sacramento adults rate the city's police services as very good or good. About 21% rate the police services as poor or very poor.
- In contrast, nearly twice as many African American residents rate police services as poor or very poor compared to the percentage who rate the services a good or very good (42% vs. 25%).
- White residents are three times more likely to give a positive rating compared to a low rating (55% vs. 17%). Asian residents are more than eight times more likely to endorse a positive rating rather than the bottom categories (57% vs. 7%). Hispanic residents are twice as likely to give a positive rating rather than a negative rating (45% vs. 22%).

Trust

- On the question of trust, 44% citywide indicate they have complete or quite a bit of trust in the police while 25% say they have little or no trust.
- Asian residents appear to have the highest level of trust closely followed by White residents. Hispanic residents indicate more trust than Black and other race residents.

Equal treatment and fairness

- About 75% of Black residents say they are not confident officers treat all groups equally while 26% say they are very or somewhat confident of equal treatment.
- Among Whites, 64% are very or somewhat confident, and 36% are not confident in equal treatment. Hispanic and other race residents reported similar levels of confidence. Only 21% of Asians are not confident of equal treatment.
- A near majority (48%) of residents citywide say they believe police are fair when dealing with people. About one-fourth (24%) say they disagree. Approximately 28% are undecided

Use of force

- A majority (57%) of residents feel officers use an appropriate amount of force.
- More than six in 10 non-Hispanic Whites and a similar number of Hispanic residents say the police use appropriate force.

- Approximately seven in 10 Black residents disagree and say police do use more force than is necessary.

High-profile fatal incidents and citizen cooperation

- Approximately 30% of residents say recent fatal encounters with officers make it less likely people in their neighborhood will provide information to the police, and 27% say the incidents make it more likely. About 44% say the level of cooperation is unchanged.
- Almost half (45%) of Sacramento residents surveyed said people in their neighborhood avoid calling the police because they were afraid of being harmed by officers. Approximately 55% said that was not the case in their neighborhood.
- About two-thirds of Black residents citywide say people in their neighborhood avoid calling the police because they fear being harmed by officers as do a majority of Hispanics (53%).
- More than twice as many Black residents (45% vs. 20%) say the fatal incidents make it less likely rather than more likely a neighborhood would provide information to police.
- Hispanic residents are somewhat evenly divided with 39% saying the events make it more likely while 31% say neighbors would be less likely to provide information.
- A majority of Hispanics in all five of the focus neighborhood areas agree people in their area avoid contacting the police, including 74% in Del Paso, 71% in Parkway, 63% in Oak Park and Valley Hi and 57% in Meadowview.

Crime victimization and reporting to police

- Residents were asked if they were threatened, attacked or robbed within the city in the past six months. About 19% said they were victimized and 81% said they were not.
- Of those residents who said they were crime victims, slightly more than half (53%) said they reported the incident to police.

Dealing with mentally ill suspects

- Approximately 57% of city residents appear to be satisfied with how the police deal with mentally ill suspects. About 30% say the police handle the mentally ill well or very well and 27% say the department's handling is adequate. About 43% say it is poor or very poor.
- More than seven out of 10 Black residents rate the department's handling of mentally ill suspects as poor or very poor.
- Approximately a quarter of White residents give the department a rating of good or very good on this question, and 43% give one of the two lowest ratings.
- Hispanic residents have evenly split with 40% rating the department's handling as good or very good and 39% rating it as poor or very poor.

- Only 19% of Asian residents rate the department as poor or very poor on this question and more than twice as many, 44%, say it is good or very good.

Neighborhood police services and responsiveness to community concerns

- When asked to localize their service evaluation, half of city residents said they approved or strongly approve of the job the police department does in their neighborhood. Only 19% said they disapprove or strongly disapprove. Approximately 30% were undecided.
- Citywide, approximately 58% rated the department as being very or somewhat responsive to neighborhood community concerns. About 19% rated the department's responsiveness as somewhat or very poor.

Stopping people without justification

- A majority of Sacramento residents (57%) do not believe the police stop too many people without a good reason. Approximately 43% believe they do.
- Nearly three-quarters of Black residents say the police stop too many people without justification. More than 60% of Hispanics citywide agree with that assessment as do slightly more than half of the other race residents.

Neighborhood problems

- The presence of homeless people was the item identified most frequently as a big problem by Sacramento residents when they selected from a list of eight neighborhood complaints.

Exposure to gun violence

Those who have been exposed to gun violence have:

- less trust in police
- a lower rating of police services
- have less confidence that officers treat people fairly

Trust and Confidence

Despite incidents involving Sacramento police that have drawn national attention, city residents overall give the department generally positive ratings. But those ratings are not consistent across all racial groups and between neighborhoods.

Having the trust and confidence of the community are important ingredients of effective police work. To evaluate the standing the Sacramento Police Department has among the residents it serves, a citywide survey was conducted between October 2018 and February 2019. A total of 1,105 adult Sacramento residents were asked about their evaluation of police services, as well as their exposure to gun violence and problems in their neighborhood.

Approximately 46% of Sacramento adults rate the city’s police services as very good or good. About 21% rate the police services as poor or very poor.


Similarly, 44% indicate they have complete or quite a bit of trust in the police while 25% say they have little or no trust.

When the responses are broken down by (consolidated) police district, we find only one in four residents in District 5 gives the police services a top rating while more than one in three in that district rate the services as poor or very poor. The variation based on race and ethnicity in overall service ratings is even greater.


There are majority/minority differences in how the police are evaluated. There are also a substantial differences among racial and ethnic minority groups, as well.

Almost half of Sacramento residents give positive ratings to city police services


How do you rate police services within the city of Sacramento?



How much trust do you have in the Sacramento Police?



How do you rate police services within the city of Sacramento?



A racial divide

We find nearly twice as many African American (Black) residents rate police services as poor or very poor compared to the percentage who rate the services a good or very good (42% vs. 25%).

An even greater contrast between top and bottom ratings are found for Other race residents. The ratio of 39% (poor or very poor) to 6% (good or very good) shows these residents are six times more likely to give the department a low rating.

These ratings are in contrast to those given by Asian American and Euro American (White) residents. White residents are three times more likely to give a positive rating compared to a low rating (55% vs. 17%). Asian residents are more than eight times more likely to endorse the top two categories rather than the bottom categories (57% vs. 7%).


Differences are found between race and ethnic groups in the focus neighborhoods, as well. There is relatively little difference in Oak Park between groups in the percentages of residents who give the police a poor or very poor rating. These ratings are in contrast to the those given in the south neighborhoods of Valley Hi, Parkway and Meadowview and the north Del Paso area neighborhoods.

All of the Black survey participants in Valley Hi and a majority of Black participants in Parkway, Meadowview and Del Paso gave the police services a low rating.

The proportions of White, Hispanic and Asian residents who gave similar low ratings in most of these areas are half or less than the proportions found among Black residents.


The one notable exception is for White residents in Valley Hi, where nearly 80% give a low rating to police services.

How do you rate police services within the city of Sacramento?



Focus neighborhoods

% Poor, Very Poor



When asked to localize their service evaluation, half of city residents said they approved or strongly approved of the job the police department does in their neighborhood. Only 19% said they disapprove or strongly disapprove. Approximately 30% were undecided.

At the consolidated police district level, similar results were found. On the question of the job the police department is doing in their neighborhood, the highest approval was found downtown in District 3, followed by District 1 in the north and District 4 in the southwest area of the city.

The largest percentages of low ratings were found in District 5, which includes the three focus neighborhoods in the south, and District 2, which includes the Del Paso focus neighborhood in the north. District 6, which includes the central city Oak Park focus neighborhoods, has results similar to those found in District 2.


Nearly two-thirds of the District 3 residents approve or strongly approve of the job the police department does in their neighborhood. More than half approve or strongly approve in District 1 and 4.

An interesting contrast is found when comparing the responses to this localized neighborhood service question with the responses for the overall citywide service question.

At the district level, the responses to both questions are similar except in District 5. In this district, 43% give a top rating to service in their neighborhood, but only 26% give a positive approval rating for citywide services.

Comparing race/ethnic groups on these questions also shows some important similarities and differences, particularly in the focus neighborhoods. Some groups rate the local neighborhood services better than the citywide services. Other groups see citywide services superior to services in their neighborhood.

Please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job the Sacramento Police Department is doing in your neighborhood.



In Oak Park, the proportions of Asian residents who gave low ratings to both questions are similar, as are the proportions of Hispanic residents. Black residents in this area, however, are more disapproving of the neighborhood services compared with the citywide rating (43% vs. 30%). In contrast, fewer White residents in these neighborhoods gave a low rating to the neighborhood service question compared to the citywide service question (17% vs. 28%).

There are two notable differences between these two questions in Valley Hi. The percentage of Hispanic residents in this neighborhood who disapprove of the local service is only about one-third the percentage who give a low rating on the citywide service question (15% vs. 55%). Similarly, the percentage of White residents in this area who disapprove of the local service (51%) is smaller than the percentage who give a low rating to the citywide services (79%).

In Meadowview, the rating given by Black residents is less positive for the local service (73% disapprove or strongly disapprove) than for the citywide service (61% poor or very poor).


Other noticeable differences are found in Del Paso, as well. In this area, Black residents are more likely to give a low rating to citywide services (66%) compared to the local police service (43%). Asians in these neighborhoods, on the other hand, are more likely to give low ratings to citywide services in comparison to the local service (28% vs. less than 1%). The White and Hispanic proportions between the two questions are similar.

In the same fashion that we observe differences between race/ethnic groups on rating police services, we also see important differences in the level of trust between groups.

Please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job the Sacramento Police Department is doing in your neighborhood.

% Disapprove or Strongly Disapprove

Asian Hispanic Black White



Asian residents appear to have the highest level of trust closely followed by White residents. Hispanic residents indicate more trust than Other race and Black residents.

More than half of Asians (57%) and Whites (52%) said they have complete or quite a bit of trust in the Sacramento police. In contrast, 39% of Hispanics and 33% of Other race residents gave similar ratings. Only 18% of the city’s Black residents say they have a higher level of trust in the police. Almost half of Black survey participants say they have little or no trust.

Comparing race/ethnic groups within the focus neighborhoods shows the highest levels of mistrust in Oak Park are found among Hispanics (49%) and Blacks (41%). Asian and Whites have similar levels of mistrust (24% and 26%, respectively).

Whites (58%) have the highest level of mistrust in Valley Hi closely followed by Blacks (51%). Asians and Hispanics in this neighborhood have similar levels (32% and 30%).


In Parkway, a majority of Black residents have little or no trust in the police compared with only 17% of Whites and less than 1% of Asian and Hispanic residents.

Three-quarters of Blacks residents in Meadowview and half of the Hispanic residents in this neighborhood say they have little or no trust.


More than half the Black residents of Del Paso indicate mistrust, as well, which is roughly twice the rate indicated by Asian and Hispanic residents of these neighborhoods.

Comparing race/ethnic groups between focus neighborhoods show the areas with the highest level of mistrust among Hispanics are Meadowview and Oak Park. The highest level of mistrust among Blacks is found in Meadowview, Del Paso, Valley Hi, and Parkway. The highest level of mistrust among Asians is in Valley Hi.

How much trust do you have in the Sacramento police?




Focus neighborhoods



These differences in trust among minority residents explain, in part, the differences observed between police districts. District 5, which includes Meadowview, Parkway and Valley Hi, has the lowest percentage (32%) of residents who indicate they have complete or quite a bit of trust in the police. A higher percentage (38%) in this district say they have little or no trust.

District 2, which includes Del Paso, closely follows with only 39% indicating complete or quite a bit of trust. Districts 4 (44%) and 6 (43%) have similar levels of trust. The highest levels are found among residents of District 1 (52%) and District 3 (59%) who say they have complete or quite a bit of trust in the police.

How much trust do you have in the Sacramento Police?



Residents are divided on whether there is equal treatment


About 19% of Sacramento residents are very confident Sacramento police officers treat all races equally while 39% say they are not confident of equal treatment. A plurality of city residents (42%) are somewhat confident of equal treatment.

It is on this question we see some of the largest differences between race/ethnic groups as well as across police districts.

The results in Sacramento are a bit more extreme than those reported in a recent national study. Twice as many Whites (75%) in a 2016 nationwide survey by the Pew Research Center believe their local police department treats racial and ethnic groups equally while only 35% of Blacks agreed.

In Sacramento, 26% of Black residents say they are very or somewhat confident officers treat all groups equally while 75% say they are not confident. Among Whites, 64% are very or somewhat confident, and 36% are not confident in equal

How confident are you that Sacramento police officers treat people of all races equally?



treatment. Hispanic and Other race residents reported similar levels of confidence.

Asian residents were the most confident. Among this group, 79% said they were very or somewhat confident, and only 21% said they were not.

At the district level, the lowest levels of confidence are found in District 5, followed by Districts 2 and 6. A majority of District 5 residents say they are not confident and 41% and 44% in Districts 2 and 6, respectively, gave the same response.

Geographic analysis

The highest level of confidence in equal treatment is found in District 3 where 37% are very confident. Nearly a quarter of District 1 residents (24%) say they are very confident of equal treatment.


In District 5 about 15% say they are very confident, but a majority say they are not confident and. A majority in District 4 say they are somewhat confident of equal treatment.

District 6 proportions suggest there is somewhat more polarization of attitudes on this question in this area and highlight the need for additional analysis. This district has one of the higher percentages of very confident responses (21%), but it also has the second highest level of no confidence responses (44%).

In the District 6 focus neighborhoods of Oak Park, more than two out of three Black residents say they are not confident in equal treatment (chart is on the following page). Nearly half (48%) of the Oak Park Hispanic residents give the lowest confidence rating as do one-third of the area's White residents. Less than a quarter of the Asian residents are not confident in equal treatment.

The low confidence rating in District 5 can be better understood by examining the neighborhood breakdown. All of the Black participants in Valley Hi

How confident are you that Sacramento police officers treat people of all races equally?




and Parkway and 82% in Meadowview said they are not confident in equal treatment. Half or more of the Hispanic residents in Valley Hi and Meadowview also gave the lowest confidence rating.

In Del Paso (District 2), three-quarters of Black residents, and nearly half of the White and Asian residents are not confident in equal treatment.

How confident are you that Sacramento police officers treat people of all races equally?

% Not Confident




How age and being a parent influences trust in the police

Trust in the police increases with age, at least for people without children.

Parenting seems to negate this age effect, however. There is not much difference in the trust percentages between the age groups among city residents with children. The level of trust seems to be lower for parents, as well.

% Complete or quite a bit of trust



There is a racial divide on whether the police stop too many people without justification




A majority of Sacramento residents (57%) do not believe the police stop too many people without a good reason. Approximately 43% believe they do. There are, however, substantial differences between race/ethnic groups on this question.

Nearly three-quarters of Black residents say the police stop too many people without justification. More than 60% of Hispanics citywide agree with that assessment as do slightly more than half of the Other race residents.

In comparison, approximately two-thirds of Whites and nearly 90% of Asian residents *disagree* that too many people are stopped on the street without a good reason.


A geographic analysis shows a majority in all districts except 2 do not agree too many people are stopped. The police districts fall into two rough groupings on this question. Districts 2, 4, 5 and 6 have more than 40% of their residents who agree that too many people are stopped without justification. Districts 1 and 3 have fewer than 40% who agree.

The Sacramento police stop too many people on the street without a good reason.



Social class is related to this question

Whether someone thinks the police stop too many people without justification is related to education level. Almost three-quarters of school dropouts say they do. Less than one-quarter of college graduates share that opinion.



**Police stop too many without justification:
Neighborhood analysis**

The racial and ethnic group differences within and between neighborhoods are rather stark on this question.

For example, a majority of Black and Hispanic residents in four of the five focus neighborhood areas agree too many people are stopped without a good reason. The exception is Valley Hi, where 49% of Black residents agree as do two-thirds of the Hispanics in that area along with 58% of the area’s White residents.


A majority of Hispanic (72%), Black (71%) and White (51%) residents in Oak Park agree too many people are stopped without a good reason.

All of the Black and Hispanic residents in Parkway feel too many are stopped without justification as do 76% of the Hispanics and 96% of the Blacks in Meadowview.

In Del Paso, 82% of the Black residents say too many people are stopped along with 55% of the area’s Hispanic residents and 48% of the White residents.

Substantially smaller proportions of Asian residents in all focus areas feel police stop too many people without justification.

**The Sacramento police stop too many people on the street without a good reason.
% Yes**



Use of force

A majority (57%) of residents feel officers use an appropriate amount of force in their daily tasks and 43% of residents disagree.


There is general agreement on this question among several race/ethnicity groups. An overwhelming majority of Other race residents (78%) say police use appropriate force. Similar majorities of White (63%), Hispanic (60%) and Asian (57%) residents agree.

The White and Black proportions on this question, however, are mirror images. Approximately seven in 10 Black residents disagree and say police do use more force than is necessary while more than 6 in 10 White residents feel police use only the necessary amount.


Districts 1 and 6 have similar results where more than two out of three residents say police use appropriate force. A 57-percent majority in Districts 4 and 6 indicate they believe the use of force is appropriate.

District 2 is evenly split 51-49% on this question, and a majority (57%) in District 5 feel the use of force is *not* always appropriate.

How residents view the police on fairness is related to whether they believe most officers use appropriate force (see below). About 21% of those who see the officers as being fair say some use excessive force. About 78% who say the officers are unfair to people, see the use of excessive force.



Most Sacramento police officers use only the amount of force necessary to accomplish their tasks.




In the focus neighborhoods, Black residents object the most to the level of force. All of the Black survey participants who live in Valley Hi and Parkway, and 91% of the Black residents in Meadowview, feel the level of force is not always justified. More than three-quarters of Del Paso Black residents feel the same way.

Asian residents in several of these areas also are concerned about the use of force. All of the Asian participants in Del Paso felt the level of force was sometimes not justified as did 77% of the Asians surveyed in Meadowview and 61% surveyed in Oak Park.

A majority of Hispanics in Valley Hi (54%) and Meadowview (68%) also questioned the use of force. Nearly half of the Hispanics in Oak Park (46%) and Del Paso (45%) also feel the level of force is not always justified.


Most Sacramento police officers use only the amount of force necessary to accomplish their tasks.



Does being a parent influence this use of force question?

There is not much difference among older (age 53+) residents on whether officers use appropriate force to accomplish their tasks (34% vs 38%). But there are rather large differences between parents and non-parents in younger age groups.

About 58% of youngest parents (age 18-33) believe police sometimes use unnecessary force while only 38% of non-parents hold this attitude.



How well the police respond to neighborhood concerns

There are some differences on this question based on race and geography.


A majority of city residents believe the police department is very or somewhat responsive to concerns in their neighborhood.

Citywide, approximately 58% rated the department using these top two categories while 19% rated the department's responsiveness as somewhat or very poor.


The highest ratings were found in District 3 (downtown) where nearly three-quarters of the residents said the department is very or somewhat responsive to concerns. In District 1, about two-thirds gave the same ratings.

Ratings found in Districts 2, 4 and 6 were similar to each other as more than 55% gave the top two categories on this question. A somewhat lower rating was given in District 5. But a majority in that area gave positive ratings, as well. This district recorded the largest proportion of negative ratings (26% somewhat or very unresponsive).

How responsive are the Sacramento police to community concerns in your neighborhood?



How responsive are the Sacramento police to community concerns in your neighborhood?



As with other survey questions, we found a divide based on race and ethnicity on this issue.

The highest ratings came from Asian residents. Approximately 71% said the department is very or somewhat responsive to neighborhood concerns. Only 6% said the department was somewhat or very unresponsive.

Majorities (58%) of White and Hispanic residents also gave the department positive ratings on this question. Similar proportions (17% and 18%, respectively) gave the department low ratings on responsiveness.

A plurality of Black residents (46%) said the department was very or somewhat responsive while 34% gave the department low ratings on this question.


A majority of Other race residents said the department was unresponsive and 31% said the police were responsive to neighborhood concerns.

When the results are broken down further by race and geography, we find some substantial variation between groups.


Majorities of Black residents in the three District 5 neighborhoods say the department is unresponsive. Six in 10 Black residents of Meadowview gave the department negative ratings, as did more than half in Parkway (55%) and Valley Hi (51%).

In contrast, slightly more than one-third of Black residents in Oak Park (36%) and Del Paso (34%) gave similar low ratings.

How responsive are the Sacramento police to community concerns in your neighborhood?



% Somewhat Unresponsive or Very Unresponsive



Is there a “Ferguson effect” in Sacramento?

Some minority residents say people in their neighborhood are less likely to provide information to the police because of high-profile fatal incidents involving Sacramento officers.

There are two aspects of the so-called “Ferguson effect.” One is the chilling effect that officer-involved fatalities have on citizen trust and cooperation with police. The other aspect is that large, and sometimes violent, demonstrations create a hostile environment for the police, and they are reluctant to police aggressively. It is argued this encourages lawlessness and endangers the officers on the street.

Citywide, residents are split on whether the deaths of African Americans during encounters with the police have had a chilling effect on cooperation.


Approximately 30% of citywide residents say the incidents make it less likely people in their neighborhood will provide information to the police, and 27% say the incidents make it more likely. About 44% say the level of cooperation is unchanged.

When examining each race/ethnic group separately, we find nearly six out of 10 Other race residents (59%) say the incidents have made it less likely their neighbors would provide information to police. Only 7% of Other race residents say the incidents make it more likely.

More than twice as many Black residents (45% vs 20%) say the incidents make it less likely rather than more likely a neighborhood would provide information to police.

Hispanic residents are somewhat evenly divided with 39% saying the events make it more likely while 31% say neighbors would be less likely to provide information.

People in your neighborhood are more likely, about the same or less likely to provide information to Sacramento police about suspicious persons or events as a result of these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police



White residents also split, but a plurality in this group says the incidents have little effect as their neighbors are as likely as before to provide information.

A similar plurality of Asian residents agrees with that assessment. About 31% of Asians say the incidents make it more likely their neighbors would provide information and 16% say it is less likely.



Assessing geography and race/ethnicity

A majority of Black residents in three of the focus neighborhood areas say it is now less likely their neighbors would assist the police by providing information. All of the Black residents surveyed in Valley Hi gave this assessment, as did three-quarters of the Black residents in Meadowview and 51% in Del Paso.

There was no majority among other racial groups in any of the focus neighborhoods that felt it is less likely their neighbors would assist police. There are, however, groups in some focus areas who said the incidents would make it more likely their neighbors would provide information to police.


Approximately 86% of Asians surveyed in Oak Park said people are more likely to provide information to police and 60% in Valley Hi agreed, as well. About seven in 10 Hispanics in Valley Hi and Parkway also said it is more likely their neighbors would assist police by providing information.

People in your neighborhood are more likely, about the same or less likely to provide information to Sacramento police about suspicious persons or events as a result of these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.



None of the other racial groups in the focus neighborhood areas exceed 50% on either the 'less likely' or 'more likely' categories.

In focus neighborhoods, sizeable numbers of minority residents say people avoid calling the police for assistance because they or someone else may be harmed by officers.




Almost half (45%) of Sacramento residents surveyed said people in their neighborhood avoided calling the police because they were afraid of being harmed by officers. Approximately 55% said that was not the case in their neighborhood.

Sizeable numbers of minority residents in the focus neighborhoods say people in their area avoid contacting the police.


A majority of Hispanics in all five of the focus neighborhood areas agreed, including 74% in Del Paso, 71% in Parkway, 63% in Oak Park and Valley Hi and 57% in Meadowview.

More than half of the Black survey participants in these areas said people avoid the police because of fear, as well. The only exception was Valley Hi, where 49% agreed. The largest percentages of Black residents who say people avoid the police were in Parkway (95%) and Meadowview (85%). About two-thirds of Black residents in Oak Park and 60% in Del Paso hold the same view.

People in your neighborhood sometimes avoid calling the Sacramento police because they or someone else may be harmed by officers.
 % Yes



There is no evidence that residents feel there is a pullback in policing based on citywide survey results. But as with other questions, some groups and neighborhoods see things differently.



Approximately similar proportions see the police as being more active or less active as a result of high-profile incidents. Citywide, about 23% say police are more active in their neighborhood. About 19% see them as being less active in their area. A plurality of 58% says police activity is unchanged.


When the results are broken down by neighborhood and race/ethnic group, we find that 86% of Asians in Oak Park see the police as more active in their area. Hispanics (71%) see more police activity in Parkway.


There are several interesting contrasts. About 49% of Black residents in Valley Hi say the police are more active in their area.

Approximately 51% say they are less active in their neighborhood (chart is on the following page).

Roughly equal numbers of Blacks in Del Paso are also divided on this question. About 30% see more police activity, and 34% see less.

Sacramento police are more active, about the same, or less active in patrolling your neighborhood since these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.






The proportion of residents in District 5 (31%) who see more police activity in their neighborhood is slightly higher than in the other districts. The others are in the low to mid-20s. The highest percentages of residents who see less police activity are in Districts 2 (24%) and 6 (23%).

In all districts, the plurality is no change.


Sacramento police are more active, about the same, or less active in patrolling your neighborhood since these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.



How much of a problem is the following in your neighborhood?

- Homeless people in your neighborhood
- Teenagers causing a disturbance
- Litter/trash
- Drinking in public
- Selling or using drugs
- Graffiti
- Gun violence
- Vacant housing or vacant storefronts

Percent who say this is a big problem in their neighborhood:



The presence of homeless people was the item identified most frequently as a big problem by Sacramento residents when they selected from a list of eight neighborhood complaints. A majority of residents in District 2 (57%) and District 6 (52%) identified homeless people as a big problem in their neighborhoods. It was also the top problem in District 1 (37%), District 3 (38%) and District 4 (34%).

The top concerns in District 5 were using or selling drugs and litter/trash as 32% said these were big problems. Litter was the second most frequently identified problem in District 1, 2 and 3, as well. Drug use or sales was second in Districts 4 and 6 and third in Districts 1, 2 and 3. Residents were asked whether each of these complaints was a big problem, somewhat of a problem or not a problem in their neighborhood.

Are Sacramento police officers fair when dealing with people?


Residents were asked to evaluate whether officers are fair. A majority believe they are, but there are some important group differences.

A near majority (48%) of residents citywide say they believe police are fair with dealing with people. About one-fourth (24%) say they disagree. Approximately 28% say they are undecided.

The area with the strongest agreement is District 3 (65%). The area with the strongest disagreement is District 5 (32%).

A majority of Black residents (52%) believe officers are not fair when dealing with people. About 46% of Other race residents hold similar views. A majority of White residents (54%) and Hispanic residents (52%) say that officers are fair.

We also found that having children affects this attitude. We find no difference between age groups for residents without children. But only about half as many of the younger and older parents find the police to be fair compared to their childless counterparts.




Sacramento police officers are fair when dealing with people.


■ Strongly Agree, Agree
■ Can't Decide
■ Disagree, Strongly Disagree



District



Race/ethnicity



Crime victimization and reporting crimes to police

About a one-fifth of Sacramento residents say they were crime victims in the past six months. Slightly more than half of those victims say they also reported the crime to police. Both victimization and reporting vary by neighborhood and race/ethnicity.


Residents were asked if they were threatened, attacked or robbed within the city in the last six months. About 19% said they were victimized and 81% said they were not.

Of those residents who said they were crime victims, slightly more than half (53%) said they reported the incident to police.


In terms of geography, Districts 1 and 2 reported victimization rates slightly higher than the citywide average and District 6 reported a lower rate.

Reporting rates by crime victims are generally similar across policing districts. The exceptions are Districts 3 and 6.


Were you attacked or threatened or did you have something stolen from you in the past 6 months while you were within the city of Sacramento?




Did you report this incident to the Sacramento police?



Were you attacked or threatened or did you have something stolen from you in the past 6 months while you were within the city of Sacramento?



Did you report this incident to the Sacramento police?



Victimization and reporting by race/ethnicity


The highest crime victimization rates were reported by Other race residents (39%). Hispanic and White residents reported the same level of victimization, 21%. Black and Asian residents reported similar levels (13% and 12%, respectively).

Whether those who said they were victimized reported the incident to police varies widely based on race/ethnicity.

Less than one-quarter of Black victims reported the crime to police. Similarly, slightly more Other race victims (28%) reported the incident. Almost half of White crime victims reported the incident.


More than two-thirds of Hispanic residents (68%) said they reported the incident and more than eight in 10 Asian residents (85%) said they reported the crime, as well.

Were you attacked or threatened or did you have something stolen from you in the past 6 months while you were within the city of Sacramento?




Does reporting a crime depend on the level of trust in the police?

Whether a crime is reported seems to be related to how much trust the victim has in the police. About 66% of victims with a high level of trust reported crimes while less than half of victims with lower levels of trust came forward to police.



Did you report this incident to the Sacramento police?



Policing the mentally ill

Slightly less than half of city residents think the police deal poorly with mentally ill suspects. Almost two-thirds say officers are not trained adequately to deal with them. This issue appears to be related to several other opinions.

Approximately 57% of city residents appear to be satisfied with how well the police deal with mentally ill suspects. About 30% say the police handle the mentally ill well or very well and 27% say the department's handling is adequate.

About 43% say it is poor or very poor.




The highest approval ratings of how well police deal with mentally ill suspects are in Districts 1 and 3. District 3 (downtown) has the lowest disapproval rating of 18%. The disapproval rating in District 1 is 36%.

The disapproval rates in Districts 2 and 4 are similar, 44% and 43%, respectively.

The highest disapproval rates are in Districts 5 and 6 (51%).

When asked about the training officers receive on dealing with mentally ill people, 36% say it is adequate, and 64% disagree.

Please rate how well the Sacramento police deal with mentally ill people suspected of a crime?



Policing the mentally ill: Analysis by race and ethnicity

There is substantial variation between race/ethnic groups on this question. More than seven out of 10 Black and Other race resident rate the department's handling of mentally ill suspects as poor or very poor. Only 17% of Other race residents say it is good or very good. Similarly, 14% of Black resident give a similar rating.

Approximately a quarter of White residents give the department a rating of good or very good on this question and 43% one of the two lowest ratings.

Hispanic residents have evenly split with 40% rating the department's handling as good or very good and 39% rating it as poor or very poor.

Only 19% of Asian residents rate the department as poor or very poor on this question and more than twice as many, 44%, say it is good or very good.


Based on these results, it is not surprising some groups feel officers have not been trained adequately on how to deal with mentally ill people.

Approximately 86% of Black residents say training is inadequate and 14% say the current training is sufficient.


By a ratio of about 3 to 1, Other race residents say the training is inadequate. The ratio among White residents is about 2 to 1.

Asian and Hispanic residents are more evenly split on this question. About 43% of Asians say it is adequate and 57% say it is not. The split among Hispanics on this question is 49% adequate and 51% inadequate.

Please rate how well the Sacramento police deal with mentally ill people suspected of a crime?



The Sacramento police are adequately trained to deal with the mentally ill people they encounter when responding.



Policing the mentally ill: Race/ethnicity and geography

Race/ethnic groups vary widely on this question. Asian residents provide the most positive evaluations. There are negligible negative ratings for Asian residents in Meadowview and Del Paso.


The areas with the highest negative ratings among Asian residents are Parkway (37%), Valley Hi (31%) and Oak Park (24%). All of these percentages are substantially smaller than the number of negative ratings given by their neighbors.

Black focus area residents are the most critical of how the police handle mentally ill suspects. All of the Black survey participants in Valley Hi gave a low evaluation as did 79% in Del Paso, 77% in Meadowview and 72% in Parkway. About 42% of Black residents in Oak Park also gave poor or very poor ratings on this question.

A majority of Hispanics in several focus areas also gave low ratings. Nearly two-thirds of Hispanics in Valley Hi and 58% in Meadowview gave poor or very poor ratings. Similar ratings were recorded by 45% of Hispanics in Oak Park and 35% in Del Paso.

A substantial majority of Whites in Valley Hi (82%) also rated the department negatively on this question. About 42% of Whites in Del Paso, 36% in Meadowview and 21% in Parkway also gave ratings of poor or very poor.

Please rate how well the Sacramento police deal with mentally ill people suspected of a crime?
 % Poor or Very poor




Policing the mentally ill: How this issue is related to a host of other opinions

A resident's evaluation of how well the police deal with mentally ill suspects appears to have a strong relationship with their responses to other questions. This association can be examined by dividing the sample into two groups:

- Group 1 - those who say the department deals *well or very well* with mentally ill suspects
- Group 2 - those who say the department deals *poorly or very poorly*

We then can compare how these two groups differ in their responses on other important issues.



This analysis reveals those who hold a negative opinion on the mental illness question are more likely to give negative responses to 10 other questions that cover the most important issues addressed in this survey.

The magnitude of this association with other questions has three levels.

1. The largest difference between the two groups is found for the question of whether the police treat all races equally. The group who believes the department does not handle the mentally ill well is also more skeptical of whether there is equal treatment.
2. A somewhat smaller effect is found for the questions on trust, responsiveness to community concerns, fairness, and overall satisfaction with police services. On each of these questions, we observe lower average ratings from the residents who are unhappy with how the department deals with the mentally ill. For this group, there is a lower level of trust along with more negative evaluations of departmental responsiveness and fairness. There are also lower approval ratings of overall police services and policing in their neighborhood.
3. There are observable, but smaller, differences on whether officers stop too many people without justification and use excessive force. The group with a negative opinion of how the police handle mentally ill suspects also has lower average

evaluations on these two questions. They also see less police activity in their neighborhood.

As might be expected, those with a negative opinion of how police handle the mentally ill also have substantially more negative views of the training officers receive for this aspect of their work, as well.

Counting on each other: Neighborhood collective efficacy


Majorities of White, Hispanic and Asian residents say neighbors would intervene, but Black and Other race residents are not so sure.

Survey participants were asked if they thought a neighbor would step in to intervene under two different threatening circumstances. The first was if suspicious people were hanging around the neighborhood. The second was if there was a fight nearby and someone was being beaten or threatened.


In both instances, a majority of residents thought they could count on a neighbor to step in. Approximately 55% said a neighbor would intervene in the event of suspicious people hanging around. A similar percentage (57%) said a neighbor would intervene if a fight broke out.

A majority of Sacramento residents believe a neighbor would step in

Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if suspicious people are hanging around the neighborhood?



Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if there was a fight in front of your house and someone is being beaten or threatened.



Neighborhood trust: District analysis

The highest levels of neighborhood trust (or “collective efficacy”) is found in District 6. There, about two-thirds of residents think someone would intervene if suspicious people are hanging around. Approximately the same proportion (64%) say a neighbor would intervene if there was a fight.


The levels of trust are similar in Districts 1 and 3 (55%) and District 4 (59%) on the question regarding intervention for suspicious persons.

The lowest levels of neighborhood trust are found in District 2 (47%) and District 5 (38%) on the suspicious person question.


Higher levels of neighborhood trust are found in these areas for the more serious violent incident. In District 2, 52% said a neighbor would intervene to break up a fight and 43% in District 5 said a neighbor would intervene under these circumstances.

Focus neighborhoods


Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if there was a fight in front of your house and someone is being beaten or threatened.



Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if suspicious people are hanging around the neighborhood?



Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if there was a fight in front of your house and someone is being beaten or threatened.



Exposure to gun violence

Those who have been exposed to gun violence have less trust in police, offer a lower rating of police services and have less confidence that officers treat people fairly

We explore exposure to gun violence by asking residents two questions:

- Were they, or someone they know, accidentally or intentionally shot?
- Has anyone ever used a gun to threaten or intimidate you or someone in your family?

Citywide, about 23% of residents say they have been shot or know someone who has been a victim of gun violence.

Blacks (34%) and Hispanics (31%) have the highest levels of victimization. About 19% of Whites and 14% of Asians report victimization, as well.


How gun violence victimization is related to other opinions about the police

Across all race/ethnic groups, exposure to gun violence reduces the percentage of residents who say police services are good or very good.


Only 15% of Blacks exposed to gun violence said the Sacramento police provide good or very good service. This is compared to 30% who give a similar rating among those who have not been exposed to gun violence.

Similarly, only 35% of Hispanics and 39% of Whites exposed to gun violence said

Have you or someone you know personally been shot, either accidentally or intentionally?



How do you rate police services within the city of Sacramento?



that police do a good or very good job of providing service. This is in comparison to 50% of Hispanics and 59% of Whites who view police services as being good or very good among those not exposed.

In contrast to other groups, about 46% of Asians exposed to gun violence state the police provide good or very good service. Similar to Whites, about 60% of Asians who have not been exposed to gun violence rate the Sacramento police as providing very good or good services.

The relationship between exposure to gun violence and trust in the police appears even stronger. Furthermore, prior studies emphasize the importance of community trust and confidence in local police as being key ingredients for law enforcement to address gun-violence effectively.

Only a startling 5% of Blacks who were exposed to gun violence state they have complete or quite a bit of trust in the Sacramento police. In contrast, Blacks who were not exposed to gun violence express higher rates of trust in the police at 24%. Exposure to gun violence appears to sharply intensify distrust of the police.


A similar relationship is found among Hispanics who have been exposed to gun violence. Among those who have been exposed, only 28% stated they have complete or quite a bit of trust in the police. In comparison, for Hispanics not exposed, 44% say they have complete or quite a bit of trust.

As with Blacks and Hispanics, exposure to gun violence sharply eroded trust in the police among Whites, as well. For Whites not exposed to gun violence, more than half stated they have complete or quite a bit of trust in the police.

Whites who were exposed showed higher levels of having complete or quite a bit of trust in the Sacramento police at 38% as compared to other race/ethnic groups.

Asians exposed to gun violence have a pattern like Hispanics. About 20% of Asian gun violence victims say they have complete or quite a bit of trust in the police. However, there was a sharp increase in police trust among Asians who were not gun violence victims. Nearly two-thirds of Asians who did not experience gun violence stated they have having complete or quite a bit of trust in the police.

How much trust do you have in the Sacramento police?
% Complete or Quite a Bit of Trust



Exposure to gun violence: Treating people of all races equally

Research on policing suggests treating people of different races fairly is another key dimension for building trust between residents and law enforcement. These studies underscore the importance of police treating people respectfully, courteously and equally in their interactions with community residents.

Next, we examine if exposure to gun violence influences community trust by exploring how it is related to whether officers are viewed as treating all races equally.

There are considerable differences among different racial groups when we examine the relationship between being exposed to gun violence and perceptions of being treated equally by the Sacramento police.


Only 1% of Blacks exposed to gun violence say they are very confident that Sacramento police treat people of all races equally.

When we examine Blacks not exposed to gun violence, we see a substantial increase in the percent of residents who are very confident that police treat all races equally at 14%. Overall, however, Black perceptions of being treated equally by the police are significantly lower regardless of whether they are exposed to gun violence.

Asians exposed to gun violence showed a similar pattern as Blacks regarding confidence in equal treatment. Only 2% of Asians exposed to gun violence stated they are very confident police treat all races equally. For Asians not exposed to gun violence there was a substantial increase in those who believe the police treat people of all races equally to 18%.

About 20% of Whites and 15% of Hispanics exposed to gun violence endorse the highest level of confidence that police treat all races equally. Hispanics and Whites not exposed to gun violence showed higher levels of confidence that the police treat all groups fairly. Nearly a quarter of Hispanic and White respondents who did not experience gun violence stated they are very confident police engage in equal treatment.

How confident are you that Sacramento police officers treat people of all races equally?




Do the Sacramento police stop too many people on the street without good reason?

To further explore another important dimension of community trust and its relationship to exposure to gun violence we asked respondents the following: Sacramento police stop too many people on the street without good reason. This question is also germane to previous research which underscores the importance of treating people with respect as a key element of building trust. As with the previous discussed dimensions of trust and exposure to gun violence there was considerable variation along racial lines.

An overwhelming majority of Blacks and Hispanics who were exposed to gun violence stated the Sacramento police stop too many people on the street without justification. Indeed, 92% of Blacks and 81% of Hispanics expressed that the police stop too many people with little justification. In contrast, more than half of Blacks and Hispanic respondents who were not exposed to gun violence stated they felt police stopped people with little justification.

More than half of Whites who were exposed to gun violence also expressed that police stop people without justification. While the percent for Whites is considerably lower than that of Blacks and Hispanics, this shows that exposure to gun violence influences perceptions of trust towards law enforcement.

In contrast to other racial groups, only 21% Asians who were exposed to gun violence expressed that Sacramento police stop people without justification. Additionally, 9% of Asians not exposed to gun violence stated the police stopped people too much.




Exposure to gun violence: Responsiveness to community concerns

Another dimension of trust is rooted in the community perception that police are dependable in their responsibilities. Previous research stresses that dependability is based on whether police follow through on their promises and their ability maintain low levels of crime and high levels of safety.

As with the other indicators of associated with trust, there was significant variation among various racial and ethnic groups based on their exposure to gun violence. Only 42% of Blacks exposed to gun violence stated that the police were very responsive or somewhat to community concerns. Similarly, nearly half of Hispanics and Whites exposed to gun violence stated police were very responsive or somewhat to community concerns. In contrast, nearly three quarters of Asians (75%) exposed to gun violence stated that police were very or somewhat responsive.

A similar pattern emerges when we examine these groups who were not exposed to violence. Nearly half of Blacks not exposed to gun violence stated the police were very or somewhat responsive. In contrast, 62% of Hispanics and 61% of Whites stated police were very or somewhat responsive to their concerns. Finally, 75% of Asians indicated police were very or somewhat responsive.

How responsive are the Sacramento police to community concerns in your neighborhood?



How exposure to gun violence is related to the Ferguson effect and cooperation with police

More than half of Black residents who have been exposed to gun violence stated people in their neighborhood are less likely to provide information to the police following high profile use of force incidents. In comparison, 41% of Blacks not exposed to gun violence stated people are less likely to communicate with the police following these types of events.

Regardless of their exposure to gun violence, Black respondents say people are less likely to provide information to the police regarding potential crimes following use of force incidents.


Interestingly, roughly 43% of Whites, Asians and Hispanics exposed to gun violence also indicated people in their neighborhood were less likely to offer information to police following these incidents.

In contrast, less than a quarter of Whites and 27% of Hispanics not exposed to gun violence said people would be less likely to divulge information to the police regarding crime. Negative responses for Asians not exposed to gun violence were noticeably lower as compared to Blacks, Whites and Hispanics. Only 9% of Asian respondents not exposed to gun violence said people are less likely to report information to the police following highly visible use of force.

Although the overall level of exposure to gun violence is lowest for Asians, it is among this group that gun violence appears to have the largest effect on attitudes about cooperation. This is revealed because we observe the largest differences on this question between the exposed and non-exposed participants within this racial grouping (45% vs. 9%). The racial group with the second largest effect is found for Whites, as exposure to gun violence doubles the percentage of survey participants who say people in their neighborhood are less likely to cooperate.

Consistent with previous research, Blacks were less likely to provide information regarding crime following high-profile police use of force incidents. Interestingly, these patterns

People in your neighborhood are more, about the same or less likely to provide information to Sacramento police about suspicious persons or events as a result of high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.



were also true for Hispanics and Whites, who were exposed to gun violence but at lower rates compared to Blacks.

Exposure to gun violence and the relationship with fear of calling the police


As with the previous question on cooperation, we also find that exposure to gun violence has the largest impact among Asians on whether people are afraid to call the police because of fear they, or someone else, may be harmed by officers.

An overwhelming majority of Blacks, Hispanics and Asians exposed to gun violence stated people sometimes avoid calling the Sacramento police because they or someone else may be harmed by officers. Over 80% of Blacks and more than three-quarters of Hispanics and Asians said people sometimes avoid calling the Sacramento police because someone may be harmed by officers. In contrast, only 47% of Whites exposed to gun violence stated they avoid calling police out fear someone would be harmed.

There are, however, noticeable differences among these groups for those not exposed to gun violence. Over half of Blacks not exposed to gun violence sometimes avoided calling the police. Similarly, nearly 40% of Hispanics not exposed to gun violence stated people were less likely to contact police out of concern they may be harmed.

The responses were noticeably less frequent for Whites and Asians not exposed to gun violence. Slightly more than a third of Whites expressed people avoid calling the police as compared to only 18% of Asians.

The largest difference based on exposure to gun violence on this question is found among Asians (74% vs. 18%). The next largest effect is found among Hispanics (76% vs. 43%).



Exposure to gun violence: Police patrols

Another version of the Ferguson effect suggests that police are less active in patrolling neighborhoods following high-profile use-of-force incidents out of concern residents may protest their presence.

On the question of whether police are more or less active in their neighborhood, we find there are considerable differences on how police activity is viewed based on the survey participant's combination of race/ethnicity and whether they have been exposed to gun violence.

Blacks and Hispanics exposed to gun violence showed similar responses. 41% of Blacks and 43% of Hispanics stated that police were more active following high-profile incidents.


In contrast, only 14% of Blacks and 34% of Hispanics not exposed to gun violence stated police were more active.

Whites and Asians exposed to gun violence also stated there was an increase in police activity, but these numbers were noticeably smaller compared to Blacks and Hispanics. Nearly a quarter of Whites exposed to gun violence stated there was an increase in police activity. In contrast, only 10% of Whites not exposed to gun violence stated there was an increase in police activity.

Only 10% of Whites not exposed to gun violence see increased police activity in the wake of high-profile use-of-force incidents.

The most curious effect of exposure on this question of patrol activity is found among Asians. Members of this racial group who are exposed to gun violence see *less* patrol activity compared to those who were not exposed. This is opposite of what we observe in the other race/ethnicity groups. For Asians exposed to gun violence, 15% stated there was an increase in police patrols compared to 30% for the non-exposed Asian survey

Sacramento Police are more active, about the same, or less active in patrolling your neighborhood since these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.



participants. In the other race/ethnic groups, exposure is related to reporting more patrol activity.


Rating police handling of mentally ill suspects: How exposure to gun violence is related to this opinion

Elsewhere, we show that the question about how well the police handle mentally ill suspects has a strong relationship with a host of other evaluations of the department.

Here, we find that exposure to gun violence is related to that key question regarding the handling of the mentally ill. But the effect of exposure to gun violence appears to be greatest among certain race/ethnic groups. For example, only 3% of Asians exposed to gun violence say the department handles mentally ill suspects well or very well. That figure jumps to 51% among those Asian residents who have not been subjected to gun violence.

To a lesser extent we see a similar relationship among Hispanics. For this ethnic group, about 27% of those subjected to gun violence say the department handles the mentally ill well or very well. That figure increases to 47% for those who have not been the victim of gun violence.

Because the overall proportion of Black residents who think the department deals well with the mentally ill is small, the effect of exposure to gun violence is considerably weaker for this group. There is no relationship between exposure to gun violence and this question among Whites.



Exposure to gun violence: Threatened with a gun


The responses to the second question on gun violence, which measures if the resident has been threatened with a gun, are similar in proportion to the previous question about someone being shot.

About the same percentage (21%) say they or a family member was threatened by someone with a gun.


The relationship this question has with others in this survey is like the effect found for the previous question.

For example, we find the Blacks and Hispanics report the highest levels of this type of gun violence victimization.

Has anyone ever used a gun to threaten or intimidate you or someone in your family?



Has anyone ever used a gun to threaten or intimidate you or someone in your family?



Appendix

Tables and Weighting Report

List of Tables and Weighting Report

Selected sample characteristics	51
Geography used in the tables	53
By Sub-District/Focus Neighborhood and by Race/Ethnicity	54
By Consolidated District	89
By Area and Race/Ethnicity	96
By Employment.....	162
By Gender	181
By Age Group	193
Survey weighting and variance estimation methodology	205

Selected sample characteristics

	Unweighted Survey Sample Frequencies		Weighted Survey Sample Frequencies				ACS Adult Population Estimates			
	Female	Male	Female	Percent	Male	Percent	Female	Percent	Male	Percent
District 1	82	42	33,834	52%	31,667	48%	34,955	52%	32,717	48%
District 2	70	44	20,528	51%	19,392	49%	20,922	51%	19,763	49%
Del Paso	64	52	3,370	50%	3,332	50%	3,417	50%	3,378	50%
District 3	90	36	12,407	46%	14,444	54%	12,621	46%	14,694	54%
District 4	83	49	40,439	54%	35,131	46%	40,667	54%	35,329	46%
Meadowview	96	51	12,187	52%	11,075	48%	12,244	52%	11,127	48%
Parkway	20	15	6,764	54%	5,762	46%	6,762	54%	5,761	46%
Valley Hi	39	21	13,767	53%	12,291	47%	14,050	53%	12,543	47%
District 6	81	34	38,952	52%	36,233	48%	39,418	52%	36,668	48%
Oak Park	69	44	6,272	51%	5,993	49%	6,349	51%	6,067	49%
Total*	694	388	188,520	52%	175,320	48%	191,406	52%	178,047	48%

* A total of 23 survey participants declined to answer the question on their gender (sex).

The table above shows the number of residents sampled in each geographic area listed in the column labeled, “unweighted frequencies.” A set of weights were developed to adjust the sample so that it matched the city’s key adult population demographic characteristics as reported in the American Community Survey (ACS).

	Weighted Survey Sample Proportions					ACS Adult Population Estimates				
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other
District 1	36%	13%	27%	19%	5%	36%	13%	26%	19%	6%
District 2	34%	13%	34%	14%	6%	34%	13%	33%	14%	7%
District 3	60%	10%	20%	10%	0	55%	10%	20%	10%	5%
District 4	42%	13%	20%	23%	1%	41%	13%	20%	23%	4%
District 5	20%	23%	27%	29%	1%	17%	22%	25%	28%	8%
District 6	49%	10%	23%	14%	4%	49%	10%	22%	14%	5%
Total	39%	14%	25%	19%	3%	38%	14%	24%	19%	6%

This table shows the distribution, by police district, of the race and ethnicity of the survey sample participants (on the left) and the city's adult population proportions as estimated by the American Community Survey (on the right). Weights were developed to adjust the sample so it reflected the city's adult population distribution.

Geography used in the tables

The results are reported using several different geographic breakdowns. The first set of tables show the results using a 10-area scheme. This permits an analysis at the neighborhood level. The focus area neighborhoods are italicized in the table below. Districts 2 and 6 in these tables cover the *remainder* of those police districts after their respective focus neighborhoods, Del Paso and Oak Park, have been separated. District 5 is composed of three focus neighborhoods: *Meadowview*, *Parkway* and *Valley Hi*. Consequently, there is no remaining area for this district after these neighborhoods are separated.

District 1	
District 2	
<i>Del Paso</i>	
District 3	
District 4	
<i>Meadowview</i>	
<i>Parkway</i>	
<i>Valley Hi</i>	
District 6	
<i>Oak Park</i>	

The Del Paso focus neighborhood area is composed of West Del Paso Heights, Del Paso Heights, East Del Paso Heights, and Strawberry Manor neighborhoods. Oak Park area is composed of North Oak Park, Central Oak Park, South Oak Park, and Lawrence Park neighborhoods. (See page 3 for a map.)

Subsequent sets of tables show the results consolidated at the police district level. Results are also reported by race/ethnicity, age group, parental status, employment status, and gender.

Tables

By Sub-District/Focus Neighborhood and by Race/Ethnicity

**Citywide percentages are shown in the Total columns. (Empty cells are 0%.)*

[Q1] How do you rate police services within the city of Sacramento?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total*
Q1	Very good	15%	14%	15%	15%	13%	11%	21%	6%	15%	17%	14%
	Good	40%	34%	24%	47%	35%	18%	26%	8%	36%	16%	33%
	Acceptable	33%	27%	32%	33%	31%	41%	36%	35%	33%	38%	33%
	Poor	9%	18%	21%	5%	14%	21%	12%	36%	13%	15%	15%
	Very poor	3%	8%	8%	2%	7%	9%	5%	15%	3%	14%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q1] How do you rate police services within the city of Sacramento?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q1	Very good	13%	8%	22%	11%	0%		14%
	Good	41%	17%	23%	46%	6%	14%	33%
	Acceptable	29%	33%	33%	36%	55%	37%	33%
	Poor	12%	26%	15%	6%	30%	33%	15%
	Very poor	4%	16%	7%	1%	9%	17%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q2] How much trust do you have in the Sacramento police?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q2	Complete trust	16%	16%	14%	15%	7%	7%	21%	8%	8%	15%	12%
	Quite a bit of trust	36%	23%	26%	44%	37%	23%	37%	14%	37%	14%	32%
	Some trust	29%	32%	28%	25%	31%	28%	25%	34%	33%	33%	31%
	Not much trust	14%	14%	19%	11%	13%	22%	10%	41%	14%	18%	16%
	Hardly any trust	5%	14%	13%	5%	12%	21%	7%	3%	7%	20%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q2] How much trust do you have in the Sacramento police?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q2	Complete trust	10%	7%	19%	10%	4%	14%	12%
	Quite a bit of trust	42%	11%	20%	47%	30%		32%
	Some trust	31%	35%	30%	32%	22%	6%	31%
	Not much trust	10%	31%	19%	10%	33%	38%	16%
	Hardly any trust	8%	16%	13%	1%	11%	42%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q3] Please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job the Sacramento Police Department is doing in your neighborhood.

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q3	Strongly approve	11%	11%	12%	13%	11%	6%	14%	6%	14%	15%	11%
	Approve	46%	33%	35%	51%	43%	35%	39%	33%	34%	22%	39%
	Undecided	26%	33%	33%	24%	31%	34%	29%	25%	33%	34%	30%
	Disapprove	13%	12%	9%	11%	8%	14%	15%	22%	18%	13%	13%
	Strongly disapprove	4%	10%	10%	1%	7%	11%	3%	14%	1%	16%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q3] Please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job the Sacramento Police Department is doing in your neighborhood.

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q3	Strongly approve	10%	11%	13%	12%	6%	14%	11%
	Approve	45%	20%	37%	45%	28%	37%	39%
	Undecided	29%	28%	31%	35%	9%	33%	30%
	Disapprove	10%	27%	11%	7%	52%	6%	13%
	Strongly disapprove	5%	13%	8%	1%	4%	10%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q4] How confident are you that Sacramento police officers treat people of all races equally?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q4	Very confident	24%	17%	19%	37%	10%	15%	36%	5%	22%	19%	19%
	Somewhat confident	47%	43%	35%	40%	51%	35%	29%	37%	35%	36%	42%
	Not confident	29%	40%	46%	24%	38%	50%	35%	58%	44%	45%	39%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q4] How confident are you that Sacramento police officers treat people of all races equally?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q4	Very confident	23%	10%	21%	15%	18%	18%	19%
	Somewhat confident	41%	16%	40%	64%	43%	49%	42%
	Not confident	36%	75%	39%	21%	39%	33%	39%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q5] The Sacramento police stop too many people on the street without good reason.

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q5	Yes	37%	53%	49%	28%	46%	50%	56%	40%	38%	57%	43%
	No	63%	47%	51%	72%	54%	50%	44%	60%	62%	43%	57%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q5] The Sacramento police stop too many people on the street without good reason.

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q5	Yes	35%	74%	61%	11%	51%	23%	43%
	No	65%	26%	39%	89%	49%	77%	57%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q6] Most Sacramento police officers use only the amount of force necessary to accomplish their tasks

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q6	Yes	68%	52%	45%	69%	57%	41%	56%	40%	58%	52%	57%
	No	32%	48%	55%	31%	43%	59%	44%	60%	42%	48%	43%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q6] Most Sacramento police officers use only the amount of force necessary to accomplish their tasks

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q6	Yes	63%	30%	60%	57%	78%	42%	57%
	No	37%	70%	40%	43%	22%	58%	43%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q7] The Sacramento police are adequately trained to deal with the mentally ill people they encounter when responding

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q7	Yes	40%	39%	31%	58%	34%	21%	52%	32%	29%	41%	36%
	No	60%	61%	69%	42%	66%	79%	48%	68%	71%	59%	64%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q7] The Sacramento police are adequately trained to deal with the mentally ill people they encounter when responding

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q7	Yes	34%	14%	49%	43%	27%	16%	36%
	No	66%	86%	51%	57%	73%	84%	64%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q8] How responsive are the Sacramento police to community concerns in your neighborhood?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q8	Very responsive	26%	21%	26%	21%	21%	7%	33%	18%	15%	16%	20%
	Somewhat responsive	40%	34%	31%	51%	36%	34%	25%	40%	41%	27%	38%
	Uncertain	19%	25%	21%	12%	25%	30%	19%	20%	26%	31%	23%
	Somewhat unresponsive	10%	10%	10%	13%	9%	13%	10%	3%	8%	11%	10%
	Very unresponsive	5%	9%	11%	3%	9%	16%	14%	20%	10%	15%	10%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q8] How responsive are the Sacramento police to community concerns in your neighborhood?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q8	Very responsive	17%	23%	25%	19%	17%	14%	20%
	Somewhat responsive	41%	23%	33%	52%	15%	43%	38%
	Uncertain	25%	20%	24%	23%	18%		23%
	Somewhat unresponsive	9%	11%	10%	5%	19%	33%	10%
	Very unresponsive	8%	24%	8%	1%	32%	10%	10%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q9] Please rate how well the Sacramento police deal with mentally ill people suspected of a crime?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q9	Very well	11%	16%	10%	16%	7%	5%	29%	1%	9%	11%	10%
	Well	25%	23%	15%	22%	21%	18%	23%	13%	14%	16%	20%
	Adequately	28%	18%	29%	44%	28%	31%	17%	20%	25%	33%	27%
	Poorly	25%	23%	31%	14%	30%	22%	18%	34%	40%	22%	28%
	Very poorly	11%	20%	16%	5%	14%	25%	12%	33%	13%	18%	15%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q9] Please rate how well the Sacramento police deal with mentally ill people suspected of a crime?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q9	Very well	7%	6%	21%	6%	7%	18%	10%
	Well	17%	8%	20%	38%	10%		20%
	Adequately	32%	15%	21%	37%	6%	57%	27%
	Poorly	31%	31%	25%	17%	69%	10%	28%
	Very poorly	13%	40%	14%	2%	8%	14%	15%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q10] People in your neighborhood are more likely, about the same or less likely to provide information to Sacramento police about suspicious persons or events as a result of these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q10	More likely	26%	15%	24%	33%	30%	28%	41%	32%	22%	34%	27%
	About the same	46%	54%	47%	43%	46%	37%	48%	23%	42%	39%	44%
	Less likely	28%	31%	28%	24%	24%	35%	11%	45%	36%	28%	30%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q10] People in your neighborhood are more likely, about the same or less likely to provide information to Sacramento police about suspicious persons or events as a result of these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q10	More likely	21%	20%	39%	31%	7%	8%	27%
	About the same	53%	35%	30%	53%	35%	18%	44%
	Less likely	26%	45%	31%	16%	59%	73%	30%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q11] Sacramento police are more active, about the same, or less active in patrolling your neighborhood since these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q11	More active	20%	22%	27%	25%	22%	23%	43%	32%	17%	34%	23%
	About the same	66%	54%	54%	62%	58%	61%	42%	47%	59%	49%	58%
	Less active	13%	25%	19%	13%	20%	16%	14%	21%	24%	17%	19%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q11] Sacramento police are more active, about the same, or less active in patrolling your neighborhood since these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q11	More active	13%	24%	36%	27%	6%	20%	23%
	About the same	67%	49%	43%	65%	57%	80%	58%
	Less active	20%	27%	21%	8%	37%		19%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q12] People in your neighborhood sometimes avoid calling the Sacramento police because they or someone else may be harmed by officers.

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q12	Yes	41%	52%	48%	34%	38%	45%	58%	47%	49%	61%	45%
	No	59%	48%	52%	66%	62%	55%	42%	53%	51%	39%	55%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q12] People in your neighborhood sometimes avoid calling the Sacramento police because they or someone else may be harmed by officers.

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q12	Yes	37%	65%	53%	26%	67%	60%	45%
	No	63%	35%	47%	74%	33%	40%	55%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Litter/trash

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q13r1	Big problem	28%	46%	39%	26%	13%	22%	48%	33%	28%	47%	28%
	Somewhat of a problem	32%	27%	30%	43%	44%	34%	37%	38%	42%	40%	38%
	Not a problem	40%	27%	30%	30%	43%	43%	15%	29%	30%	13%	34%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Litter/trash

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q13r1	Big problem	28%	32%	40%	7%	24%	91%	28%
	Somewhat of a problem	38%	28%	35%	54%	14%	9%	38%
	Not a problem	34%	40%	25%	39%	62%		34%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Graffiti

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q13r2	Big problem	11%	19%	19%	13%	11%	14%	28%	8%	14%	19%	14%
	Somewhat of a problem	37%	35%	43%	38%	31%	29%	27%	37%	30%	41%	34%
	Not a problem	51%	46%	38%	49%	58%	57%	45%	55%	56%	41%	53%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Graffiti

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q13r2	Big problem	14%	13%	22%	2%	6%	29%	14%
	Somewhat of a problem	30%	23%	36%	44%	33%	63%	34%
	Not a problem	56%	64%	42%	54%	61%	7%	53%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Vacant housing or vacant storefronts

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q13r3	Big problem	7%	26%	23%	13%	15%	8%	46%	5%	26%	33%	17%
	Somewhat of a problem	34%	29%	37%	35%	26%	32%	31%	42%	28%	33%	31%
	Not a problem	59%	45%	40%	52%	59%	60%	23%	54%	47%	34%	52%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Vacant housing or vacant storefronts

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q13r3	Big problem	16%	21%	21%	15%	12%	19%	17%
	Somewhat of a problem	28%	24%	37%	38%	21%	9%	31%
	Not a problem	56%	55%	43%	47%	67%	72%	52%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Drinking in public

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q13r4	Big problem	12%	29%	25%	19%	12%	17%	33%	20%	24%	28%	19%
	Somewhat of a problem	31%	23%	36%	35%	31%	27%	16%	20%	38%	24%	30%
	Not a problem	57%	48%	39%	46%	56%	56%	51%	61%	38%	48%	50%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Drinking in public

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q13r4	Big problem	17%	24%	26%	13%	9%	42%	19%
	Somewhat of a problem	30%	24%	29%	32%	60%	15%	30%
	Not a problem	53%	52%	45%	55%	32%	43%	50%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Selling or using drugs

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q13r5	Big problem	24%	30%	27%	25%	28%	21%	42%	38%	37%	42%	30%
	Somewhat of a problem	33%	34%	33%	38%	23%	32%	34%	25%	35%	28%	31%
	Not a problem	43%	36%	40%	37%	48%	47%	24%	37%	27%	30%	38%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Selling or using drugs

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q13r5	Big problem	26%	33%	34%	26%	52%	67%	30%
	Somewhat of a problem	34%	18%	35%	29%	28%	33%	31%
	Not a problem	39%	49%	31%	45%	20%		38%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Teenagers causing a disturbance

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q13r6	Big problem	14%	23%	22%	14%	16%	16%	32%	31%	26%	32%	21%
	Somewhat of a problem	43%	29%	41%	38%	43%	24%	14%	29%	26%	25%	34%
	Not a problem	42%	48%	37%	48%	41%	60%	54%	39%	48%	43%	45%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Teenagers causing a disturbance

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q13r6	Big problem	17%	23%	27%	19%	34%		21%
	Somewhat of a problem	32%	24%	29%	50%	51%	19%	34%
	Not a problem	51%	53%	44%	32%	15%	81%	45%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Gun violence

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q13r7	Big problem	17%	28%	28%	21%	20%	16%	43%	35%	26%	42%	24%
	Somewhat of a problem	32%	24%	28%	17%	22%	36%	11%	35%	24%	23%	26%
	Not a problem	50%	48%	43%	61%	58%	48%	46%	30%	50%	35%	50%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Gun violence

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q13r7	Big problem	20%	30%	29%	20%	40%	19%	24%
	Somewhat of a problem	24%	19%	27%	27%	29%	81%	26%
	Not a problem	56%	52%	44%	53%	31%		50%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Homeless people in your neighborhood

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q13r8	Big problem	37%	59%	48%	38%	34%	20%	51%	27%	50%	63%	41%
	Somewhat of a problem	31%	11%	25%	42%	34%	45%	26%	31%	33%	19%	31%
	Not a problem	32%	30%	28%	19%	32%	35%	23%	42%	17%	18%	28%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Homeless people in your neighborhood

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q13r8	Big problem	42%	50%	43%	28%	52%	73%	41%
	Somewhat of a problem	35%	15%	29%	40%	15%	1%	31%
	Not a problem	23%	34%	28%	33%	33%	25%	28%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q14] Have you had any contact with the Sacramento police within the past 6 months

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q14	Yes	22%	29%	31%	26%	20%	29%	45%	30%	29%	21%	26%
	No	78%	71%	69%	74%	80%	71%	55%	70%	71%	79%	74%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q14] Have you had any contact with the Sacramento police within the past 6 months

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q14	Yes	28%	26%	31%	10%	55%	25%	26%
	No	72%	74%	69%	90%	45%	75%	74%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15A] Sacramento police officers are fair when dealing with people.

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q15A	Strongly agree	9%	13%	13%	17%	8%	7%	26%	4%	10%	17%	11%
	Agree	49%	33%	29%	49%	35%	25%	28%	22%	40%	23%	37%
	Can't decide	27%	30%	30%	26%	32%	37%	26%	33%	23%	22%	28%
	Disagree	7%	14%	17%	6%	16%	13%	10%	33%	19%	21%	15%
	Strongly disagree	7%	11%	11%	2%	8%	17%	10%	7%	8%	16%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15A] Sacramento police officers are fair when dealing with people.

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q15A	Strongly agree	11%	6%	15%	6%	3%	39%	11%
	Agree	43%	19%	38%	40%	41%	10%	37%
	Can't decide	26%	23%	25%	44%	11%	44%	28%
	Disagree	15%	35%	12%	7%	7%	6%	15%
	Strongly disagree	5%	16%	10%	4%	39%		9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15B] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if suspicious people are hanging around the neighborhood?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q15B	Strongly agree	12%	14%	13%	19%	12%	3%	10%	6%	14%	11%	12%
	Agree	42%	33%	38%	35%	47%	38%	46%	22%	56%	34%	42%
	Can't decide	22%	26%	28%	25%	21%	36%	17%	43%	8%	21%	22%
	Disagree	20%	18%	17%	17%	15%	22%	21%	25%	13%	23%	18%
	Strongly disagree	3%	9%	4%	3%	6%	2%	7%	4%	9%	11%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15B] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if suspicious people are hanging around the neighborhood?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q15B	Strongly agree	13%	12%	17%	5%		14%	12%
	Agree	48%	30%	41%	47%	10%	32%	42%
	Can't decide	17%	27%	21%	29%	19%	38%	22%
	Disagree	15%	21%	15%	17%	68%	6%	18%
	Strongly disagree	7%	10%	6%	2%	3%	10%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15C] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if there was a fight in front of your house and someone is being beaten or threatened.

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q15C	Strongly agree	17%	20%	14%	20%	11%	10%	15%	4%	21%	10%	15%
	Agree	43%	30%	50%	39%	47%	39%	44%	27%	46%	39%	41%
	Can't decide	23%	23%	14%	31%	22%	32%	15%	28%	15%	23%	22%
	Disagree	10%	11%	15%	6%	13%	17%	18%	25%	9%	20%	12%
	Strongly disagree	6%	16%	7%	5%	6%	3%	8%	15%	10%	9%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15C] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if there was a fight in front of your house and someone is being beaten or threatened.

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q15C	Strongly agree	13%	21%	22%	5%	31%	14%	15%
	Agree	47%	32%	38%	47%	29%	6%	41%
	Can't decide	21%	16%	21%	30%	9%	63%	22%
	Disagree	11%	16%	12%	13%	7%	6%	12%
	Strongly disagree	8%	15%	7%	5%	25%	10%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q16] Were you attacked or threatened or did you have something stolen from you in the past 6 months while you were within the city of Sacramento?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q16	Yes	23%	28%	18%	19%	18%	24%	22%	11%	12%	21%	19%
	No	77%	72%	82%	81%	82%	76%	78%	89%	88%	79%	81%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q16] Were you attacked or threatened or did you have something stolen from you in the past 6 months while you were within the city of Sacramento?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q16	Yes	21%	13%	21%	12%	39%	56%	19%
	No	79%	87%	79%	88%	61%	44%	81%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q17] Did you report this incident to the Sacramento police?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q17	Yes	60%	61%	32%	33%	62%	47%	98%	51%	23%	49%	53%
	No	40%	39%	68%	67%	38%	53%	2%	49%	77%	51%	47%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q17] Did you report this incident to the Sacramento police?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q17	Yes	48%	22%	68%	85%	28%	18%	53%
	No	52%	78%	32%	15%	72%	82%	47%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q18] Have you or someone you know personally been shot, either accidentally or intentionally?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q18	Yes	27%	18%	24%	8%	18%	26%	47%	30%	25%	26%	23%
	No	73%	82%	76%	92%	82%	74%	53%	70%	75%	74%	77%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q18] Have you or someone you know personally been shot, either accidentally or intentionally?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q18	Yes	19%	34%	31%	14%	22%	37%	23%
	No	81%	66%	69%	86%	78%	63%	77%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q19] Has anyone ever used a gun to threaten or intimidate you or someone in your family?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q19	Yes	22%	19%	31%	12%	18%	18%	58%	20%	23%	22%	21%
	No	78%	81%	69%	88%	82%	82%	42%	80%	77%	78%	79%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q19] Has anyone ever used a gun to threaten or intimidate you or someone in your family?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q19	Yes	17%	30%	27%	13%	37%	10%	21%
	No	83%	70%	73%	87%	63%	90%	79%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q20] Do you rent your home, are you buying it with a mortgage, or is it entirely paid for?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q20	Rent	58%	70%	68%	56%	59%	46%	55%	49%	60%	69%	59%
	Buying with mortgage	34%	11%	19%	32%	29%	30%	26%	29%	30%	15%	28%
	Entirely paid for	9%	19%	12%	11%	12%	24%	19%	22%	9%	16%	13%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q20] Do you rent your home, are you buying it with a mortgage, or is it entirely paid for?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q20	Rent	53%	71%	71%	39%	69%	100%	59%
	Buying with mortgage	33%	15%	20%	39%	23%		28%
	Entirely paid for	14%	14%	9%	21%	8%		13%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q21] What is your age in years?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Age	18-33	34%	38%	37%	42%	24%	35%	37%	38%	33%	37%	33%
	34-52	36%	28%	38%	31%	35%	30%	32%	31%	31%	36%	33%
	53+	30%	35%	25%	27%	41%	35%	32%	31%	36%	27%	34%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q21] What is your age in years?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Age	18-33	23%	32%	44%	39%	48%	38%	33%
	34-52	36%	26%	28%	35%	37%	48%	33%
	53+	42%	42%	27%	26%	15%	14%	34%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q22] How would you describe your current work status?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q22	Working full-time	46%	40%	44%	38%	44%	33%	35%	64%	40%	41%	43%
	Working part-time	11%	11%	13%	14%	6%	10%	3%	7%	13%	13%	10%
	Student	13%	6%	10%	9%	12%	6%	16%	2%	7%	8%	9%
	Homemaker	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	10%	5%	4%	3%
	Fully-retired	9%	12%	9%	12%	20%	16%	30%	3%	16%	11%	14%
	Semi-retired	4%	4%	2%	7%	3%	1%		2%		2%	3%
	Self-employed	3%	11%	6%	9%	5%	10%	3%	2%	7%	5%	6%
	Not working (unemployed)	11%	13%	13%	8%	9%	22%	12%	10%	12%	17%	12%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q22] How would you describe your current work status?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q22	Working full-time	39%	49%	40%	47%	66%	44%	43%
	Working part-time	8%	9%	12%	12%	4%	14%	10%
	Student	9%	5%	10%	13%	12%		9%
	Homemaker	2%	1%	5%	4%		6%	3%
	Fully-retired	25%	13%	10%	2%	3%		14%
	Semi-retired	1%	4%	6%	1%			3%
	Self-employed	6%	5%	6%	6%		36%	6%
	Not working (unemployed)	9%	13%	11%	16%	15%		12%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q23] What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q23	Less than high school	2%	11%	5%	1%	6%	9%	13%	3%	10%	1%	6%
	High school graduate (regular high school diploma or GED)	26%	34%	32%	23%	25%	32%	23%	32%	30%	40%	29%
	Some college or technical school, no degree	25%	26%	35%	37%	20%	35%	23%	33%	29%	36%	27%
	Associate's degree (for example AA or AS)	9%	11%	8%	7%	13%	11%	23%	4%	5%	4%	9%
	Bachelor's degree (for example BA, BS)	27%	15%	10%	19%	21%	10%	19%	12%	18%	9%	19%
	Postgraduate degree (for example MA, MS, MBA, Ph.D, MD, etc.)	12%	4%	9%	14%	16%	2%		17%	7%	11%	10%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q23] What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q23	Less than high school	2%	5%	13%	5%	29%		6%
	High school graduate (regular high school diploma or GED)	23%	34%	35%	25%	25%	69%	29%
	Some college or technical school, no degree	31%	29%	30%	18%	17%		27%
	Associate's degree (for example AA or AS)	10%	9%	7%	9%	6%	14%	9%
	Bachelor's degree (for example BA, BS)	22%	11%	10%	28%	19%	17%	19%
	Postgraduate degree (for example MA, MS, MBA, Ph.D, MD, etc.)	11%	12%	5%	15%	5%		10%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q26] How many children 17 years or younger live in your household?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q26	0	49%	58%	46%	75%	60%	58%	71%	33%	59%	42%	56%
	1	26%	15%	20%	8%	13%	14%		29%	11%	21%	16%
	2	12%	13%	22%	13%	15%	17%	8%	30%	13%	17%	15%
	3	8%	7%	5%	3%	9%	8%	17%	9%	13%	9%	9%
	4	4%	6%	5%	1%	2%	1%			2%	8%	3%
	5	0%	1%	2%			1%	4%		1%	1%	1%
	6		1%								1%	0%
	7										1%	0%
	9						1%					0%
	10									1%		0%
	20											0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q26] How many children 17 years or younger live in your household?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q26	0	72%	42%	47%	42%	59%	28%	56%
	1	13%	19%	17%	21%		45%	16%
	2	9%	24%	17%	18%	36%		15%
	3	3%	11%	12%	17%	2%	28%	9%
	4	1%	2%	6%	2%			3%
	5	1%	1%	1%				1%
	6					4%		0%
	7							0%
	9							0%
	10							0%
	20							0%

Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
-------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

[Q27] What is your sex?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Q27	Male	48%	49%	50%	54%	46%	48%	46%	47%	48%	49%	48%
	Female	52%	51%	50%	46%	54%	52%	54%	53%	52%	51%	52%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q27] What is your sex?

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other	Declined	Total
Q27	Male	44%	48%	56%	49%	46%	25%	48%
	Female	56%	52%	44%	51%	54%	75%	52%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Tables

By Area and Race/ethnicity

(See page 6 for explanation of area geography labels.)

[Q1] How do you rate police services within the city of Sacramento?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q1	Very good	11%	13%	17%	15%	11%	42%	9%		14%	14%	13%
		Good	56%	38%	31%	43%	39%	27%	75%	15%	40%	12%	41%
		Acceptable	30%	29%	28%	36%	28%	19%		6%	31%	46%	29%
		Poor	2%	17%	12%	4%	15%	2%	17%	67%	13%	17%	12%
		Very poor	2%	4%	12%	2%	8%	9%		12%	2%	11%	4%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q1	Very good	10%	6%		8%	12%	1%			16%	6%	8%
		Good	29%	18%	18%	33%	10%	8%			30%	27%	17%
		Acceptable	41%	20%	16%	32%	52%	30%	49%		31%	37%	33%
		Poor	7%	40%	61%	24%	14%	40%	31%	49%	21%	25%	26%
		Very poor	13%	15%	5%	3%	13%	21%	19%	51%	2%	5%	16%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q1	Very good	22%	25%	33%	17%	20%	7%	71%	18%	23%	17%	22%
		Good	32%	10%	29%	57%	34%	20%			16%	16%	23%
		Acceptable	34%	39%	18%	27%	30%	50%	29%	27%	32%	38%	33%
		Poor	9%	18%	8%		11%	18%		47%	20%	12%	15%
		Very poor	4%	8%	12%		6%	5%		8%	9%	17%	7%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q1] How do you rate police services within the city of Sacramento?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Asian	Q1	Very good	19%			14%	14%	5%	2%	5%	9%	39%	11%
		Good	37%	100%	24%	62%	45%	28%	27%	17%	67%	14%	46%
		Acceptable	32%		49%	23%	34%	63%	72%	64%	23%	24%	36%
		Poor	13%		28%		7%	2%		12%			6%
		Very poor						3%		2%		24%	1%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q1	Very good										12%	0%
		Good		29%									6%
		Acceptable	38%	22%	100%			100%			100%	41%	55%
		Poor	62%	13%			100%						30%
		Very poor		36%								47%	9%
	Total		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%
Declined	Q1	Good					38%						14%
		Acceptable								100%			37%
		Poor					17%	100%				100%	33%
		Very poor					45%						17%
		Total					100%	100%			100%		100%
	Total	Q1	Very good	15%	14%	15%	15%	13%	11%	21%	6%	15%	17%
Good	40%		34%	24%	47%	35%	18%	26%	8%	36%	16%	33%	
Acceptable	33%		27%	32%	33%	31%	41%	36%	35%	33%	38%	33%	
Poor	9%		18%	21%	5%	14%	21%	12%	36%	13%	14%	15%	
Very poor	3%		8%	8%	2%	7%	9%	5%	15%	3%	14%	6%	
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q2] How much trust do you have in the Sacramento police?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q2	Complete trust	13%	9%	16%	18%	6%	8%	9%		8%	14%	10%
		Quite a bit of trust	49%	29%	20%	51%	41%	55%	66%	35%	40%	23%	42%
		Some trust	35%	35%	32%	24%	29%	18%	9%	7%	37%	37%	31%
		Not much trust	2%	25%	18%	4%	7%	8%		58%	9%	12%	10%
		Hardly any trust	2%	1%	15%	2%	18%	10%	17%		7%	14%	8%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q2	Complete trust	19%			13%	7%	2%			11%	4%	7%
		Quite a bit of trust	11%	6%	15%	30%	18%	5%			11%	20%	11%
		Some trust	10%	46%	28%	19%	44%	17%	49%	49%	44%	35%	35%
		Not much trust	34%	20%	30%	25%	22%	34%	40%	51%	31%	17%	31%
		Hardly any trust	26%	28%	26%	14%	9%	41%	11%		2%	24%	16%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q2	Complete trust	22%	23%	32%	7%	7%	12%	71%	18%	16%	12%	19%
		Quite a bit of trust	29%	2%	6%	20%	33%	13%	29%		27%	10%	20%
		Some trust	17%	43%	34%	32%	35%	25%		52%	28%	28%	30%
		Not much trust	28%	9%	14%	28%	13%	40%		15%	13%	30%	19%
		Hardly any trust	4%	23%	14%	13%	11%	9%		15%	16%	19%	13%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q2] How much trust do you have in the Sacramento police?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Asian	Q2	Complete trust	16%	28%		10%	6%	7%	2%	11%		39%	10%
		Quite a bit of trust	37%	72%	49%	57%	49%	25%	53%	27%	68%		47%
		Some trust	39%		24%	30%	28%	62%	46%	31%	32%	37%	32%
		Not much trust	7%		28%	2%	16%	3%		32%			10%
		Hardly any trust						3%				24%	1%
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q2	Complete trust		13%								32%	4%
		Quite a bit of trust	38%	38%	100%			100%					30%
		Some trust	62%				36%					21%	22%
		Not much trust					64%				100%		33%
		Hardly any trust		49%								47%	11%
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	
Declined	Q2	Complete trust					38%						14%
		Some trust					17%						6%
		Not much trust								100%		100%	38%
		Hardly any trust					45%	100%					42%
		Total						100%	100%		100%		100%
Total	Q2	Complete trust	16%	16%	14%	15%	7%	7%	21%	8%	8%	15%	12%
		Quite a bit of trust	36%	23%	26%	44%	37%	23%	37%	14%	37%	14%	32%
		Some trust	29%	32%	28%	25%	31%	28%	25%	34%	33%	33%	31%
		Not much trust	14%	14%	19%	11%	13%	22%	10%	41%	14%	18%	16%

[Q2] How much trust do you have in the Sacramento police?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
	Hardly any trust		5%	14%	13%	5%	12%	21%	7%	3%	7%	20%	9%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q3] Please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job the Sacramento Police Department is doing in your neighborhood.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q3	Strongly approve	4%	4%	11%	13%	14%	4%	9%		15%	15%	10%
		Approve	61%	40%	22%	54%	51%	80%	66%	17%	28%	31%	45%
		Undecided	29%	40%	42%	23%	22%	8%	9%	32%	37%	37%	29%
		Disapprove	5%	9%	7%	8%	2%		8%	51%	20%	7%	10%
		Strongly disapprove	2%	7%	17%	1%	12%	9%	8%			11%	5%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q3	Strongly approve	27%	6%		13%	10%				21%	2%	11%
		Approve	28%	16%	27%	38%	20%	10%	22%		28%	27%	20%
		Undecided	10%	49%	30%	30%	54%	17%	23%		36%	28%	28%
		Disapprove	23%	22%	28%	20%	9%	51%	52%	49%	15%	31%	27%
		Strongly disapprove	12%	7%	15%		8%	22%	3%	51%		12%	13%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q3	Strongly approve	11%	16%	13%	5%	9%	4%	35%	11%	20%	14%	13%
		Approve	44%	29%	37%	47%	33%	34%	35%	62%	35%	14%	37%
		Undecided	30%	25%	33%	24%	42%	45%	29%	12%	29%	41%	31%
		Disapprove	10%	11%	8%	21%	13%	4%			13%	15%	11%

[Q3] Please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job the Sacramento Police Department is doing in your neighborhood.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total	
Asian	Total	Strongly disapprove	4%	19%	8%	2%	2%	14%		15%	3%	16%	8%	
			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
	Q3	Strongly approve	18%	28%	33%	25%	6%	6%	10%	11%		39%	12%	
		Approve	35%	38%	27%	49%	57%	41%	28%	25%	68%	14%	45%	
		Undecided	32%	34%	40%	24%	25%	48%	58%	51%	32%	24%	35%	
		Disapprove	11%			2%	12%	5%	4%	13%			7%	
		Strongly disapprove	3%									24%	1%	
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Other	Q3	Strongly approve						100%					6%	
			Approve	38%	51%	100%						53%	28%	
			Undecided					100%						9%
			Disapprove	62%	36%							100%		52%
			Strongly disapprove		13%								47%	4%
		Total		100%	100%	100%		100%			100%	100%	100%	
Declined	Q3	Strongly approve					38%						14%	
			Approve							100%			37%	
			Undecided					17%	100%			100%	33%	
			Disapprove					17%					6%	
			Strongly disapprove					28%					10%	
		Total					100%	100%		100%		100%	100%	
Total	Q3	Strongly approve	11%	11%	12%	13%	11%	6%	14%	6%	14%	15%	11%	
			Approve	46%	33%	35%	51%	43%	35%	39%	33%	34%	22%	39%
			Undecided	26%	33%	33%	24%	31%	34%	29%	25%	33%	34%	30%
			Disapprove	13%	12%	9%	11%	8%	14%	15%	22%	18%	13%	13%

[Q3] Please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job the Sacramento Police Department is doing in your neighborhood.

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Strongly disapprove		4%	10%	10%	1%	7%	11%	3%	14%	1%	16%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q4] How confident are you that Sacramento police officers treat people of all races equally?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q4	Very confident	24%	13%	17%	46%	14%	46%	54%	10%	21%	20%	23%
		Somewhat confident	57%	48%	36%	38%	43%	28%	29%	7%	34%	47%	41%
		Not confident	19%	38%	47%	16%	43%	26%	17%	83%	45%	33%	36%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q4	Very confident	21%	4%		13%	11%	2%			18%	7%	10%
		Somewhat confident	9%	28%	25%	37%	20%	16%			15%	24%	16%
		Not confident	71%	68%	75%	50%	69%	82%	100%	100%	67%	69%	75%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q4	Very confident	30%	18%	29%	29%	11%	10%	71%	11%	19%	18%	21%
		Somewhat confident	43%	36%	32%	28%	56%	40%	29%	31%	36%	34%	40%
		Not confident	28%	46%	40%	43%	33%	50%		58%	44%	48%	39%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q4	Very confident	18%	28%	43%	18%		11%	6%	2%	35%	39%	15%
		Somewhat confident	56%	72%	10%	76%	82%	45%	59%	69%	55%	37%	64%
		Not confident	26%		47%	6%	18%	44%	35%	29%	9%	24%	21%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q4	Very confident	38%	35%								12%	18%
		Somewhat confident	62%	16%	100%		100%	100%				41%	43%
		Not confident		49%							100%	47%	39%
	Total		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%

[Q4] How confident are you that Sacramento police officers treat people of all races equally?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Declined	Q4	Very confident					38%						18%
		Somewhat confident								100%			49%
		Not confident					62%					100%	33%
	Total					100%				100%		100%	100%
Total	Q4	Very confident	24%	17%	19%	37%	10%	15%	36%	5%	22%	19%	19%
		Somewhat confident	47%	43%	35%	40%	51%	35%	29%	37%	35%	36%	42%
		Not confident	29%	40%	46%	24%	38%	50%	35%	58%	44%	45%	39%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q5] The Sacramento police stop too many people on the street without good reason.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q5	Yes	33%	53%	48%	14%	44%	22%	12%	58%	33%	51%	35%
		No	67%	47%	52%	86%	56%	78%	88%	42%	67%	49%	65%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q5	Yes	75%	74%	82%	65%	82%	96%	100%	49%	63%	71%	74%
		No	25%	26%	18%	35%	18%	4%		51%	37%	29%	26%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q5	Yes	49%	60%	55%	56%	64%	76%	100%	66%	57%	72%	61%
		No	51%	40%	45%	44%	36%	24%		34%	43%	28%	39%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q5	Yes	22%		20%	23%	9%	8%	37%	15%			11%
		No	78%	100%	80%	77%	91%	92%	63%	85%	100%	100%	89%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q5	Yes		78%			100%				100%	47%	51%
		No	100%	22%	100%			100%				53%	49%
	Total	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%				100%	100%	100%
Declined	Q5	Yes					100%					100%	23%
		No						100%		100%			77%
	Total					100%	100%			100%		100%	100%
Total	Q5	Yes	37%	53%	49%	28%	46%	50%	56%	40%	38%	57%	43%
		No	63%	47%	51%	72%	54%	50%	44%	60%	62%	43%	57%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q6] Most Sacramento police officers use only the amount of force necessary to accomplish their tasks.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q6	Yes	75%	50%	50%	85%	57%	79%	63%	42%	55%	63%	63%
		No	25%	50%	50%	15%	43%	21%	37%	58%	45%	37%	37%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q6	Yes	37%	56%	22%	59%	24%	9%			60%	39%	30%
		No	63%	44%	78%	41%	76%	91%	100%	100%	40%	61%	70%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q6	Yes	77%	61%	55%	47%	59%	32%	100%	46%	57%	54%	60%
		No	23%	39%	45%	53%	41%	68%		54%	43%	46%	40%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q6	Yes	52%	47%		23%	73%	23%	66%	78%	56%	39%	57%
		No	48%	53%	100%	77%	27%	77%	34%	22%	44%	61%	43%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q6	Yes	100%	22%	100%			100%			100%	53%	78%
		No		78%			100%					47%	22%
	Total	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%				100%	100%	100%
Declined	Q6	Yes					45%	100%					42%
		No					55%			100%		100%	58%
	Total					100%	100%			100%		100%	100%
Total	Q6	Yes	68%	52%	45%	69%	57%	41%	56%	40%	58%	52%	57%
		No	32%	48%	55%	31%	43%	59%	44%	60%	42%	48%	43%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q7] The Sacramento police are adequately trained to deal with the mentally ill people they encounter when responding.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q7	Yes	48%	44%	30%	66%	30%	29%	42%	11%	17%	28%	34%
		No	52%	56%	70%	34%	70%	71%	58%	89%	83%	72%	66%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q7	Yes	15%	27%	19%	25%	13%	4%			31%	12%	14%
		No	85%	73%	81%	75%	87%	96%	100%	100%	69%	88%	86%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q7	Yes	47%	39%	49%	61%	43%	40%	100%	53%	58%	37%	49%
		No	53%	61%	51%	39%	57%	60%		47%	42%	63%	51%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q7	Yes	42%	38%		48%	41%	22%	63%	78%	26%	100%	43%
		No	58%	62%	100%	52%	59%	78%	37%	22%	74%		57%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q7	Yes		42%			100%					53%	27%
		No	100%	58%				100%				47%	73%
	Total	100%	100%			100%	100%					100%	100%
Declined	Q7	Yes					57%						16%
		No					43%	100%		100%		100%	84%
	Total					100%	100%		100%		100%	100%	100%
Total	Q7	Yes	40%	39%	31%	58%	34%	21%	52%	32%	29%	41%	36%
		No	60%	61%	69%	42%	66%	79%	48%	68%	71%	59%	64%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q8] How responsive are the Sacramento police to community concerns in your neighborhood?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q8	Very responsive	19%	9%	15%	25%	19%	11%	29%		14%	16%	17%
		Somewhat responsive	53%	45%	46%	45%	40%	70%	25%	27%	33%	32%	41%
		Uncertain	21%	21%	16%	15%	23%	6%	13%	32%	35%	40%	25%
		Somewhat unresponsive	5%	19%	5%	10%	4%	4%	25%	10%	13%	4%	9%
		Very unresponsive	2%	7%	17%	4%	14%	9%	8%	31%	6%	8%	8%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q8	Very responsive	40%	20%	6%	25%	12%	3%		49%	30%	11%	23%
		Somewhat responsive	10%	38%	28%	43%	37%	22%	22%		23%	22%	23%
		Uncertain	14%	15%	33%	14%	34%	14%	23%		25%	32%	20%
		Somewhat unresponsive	15%	8%	15%	13%	11%	18%	10%		7%	13%	11%
		Very unresponsive	21%	18%	18%	5%	6%	43%	45%	51%	15%	23%	24%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q8	Very responsive	37%	17%	26%	8%	21%	9%	100%	18%	27%	10%	25%
		Somewhat responsive	35%	36%	30%	62%	30%	31%		27%	34%	23%	33%
		Uncertain	13%	27%	19%	4%	28%	36%		40%	26%	33%	24%
		Somewhat unresponsive	11%	9%	16%	26%	15%	5%			6%	20%	10%
		Very unresponsive	4%	11%	10%		6%	18%		15%	7%	15%	8%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q8] How responsive are the Sacramento police to community concerns in your neighborhood?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total	
Asian	Q8	Very responsive	19%	54%	31%	19%	27%	6%	12%	8%		39%	19%	
		Somewhat responsive	44%	13%	23%	69%	38%	35%	48%	67%	100%	24%	52%	
		Uncertain	31%	34%	37%	10%	22%	56%	39%	17%		14%	23%	
		Somewhat unresponsive	4%		9%	1%	13%	3%		6%				5%
		Very unresponsive	3%			1%				2%			24%	1%
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Other	Q8	Very responsive		51%	100%							12%	17%	
		Somewhat responsive	38%									88%	15%	
		Uncertain		36%			100%	100%						18%
		Somewhat unresponsive	62%											19%
		Very unresponsive		13%								100%		32%
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Declined	Q8	Very responsive					38%						14%	
		Somewhat responsive					17%			100%			43%	
		Somewhat unresponsive					17%	100%				100%	33%	
		Very unresponsive					28%						10%	
		Total						100%	100%		100%		100%	100%

[Q8] How responsive are the Sacramento police to community concerns in your neighborhood?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Total	Q8	Very responsive	26%	21%	26%	21%	21%	7%	33%	18%	15%	16%	20%
		Somewhat responsive	40%	34%	31%	51%	36%	34%	25%	40%	41%	27%	38%
		Uncertain	19%	25%	21%	12%	25%	30%	19%	20%	26%	31%	23%
		Somewhat unresponsive	10%	10%	10%	13%	9%	13%	10%	3%	8%	11%	10%
		Very unresponsive	5%	9%	11%	3%	9%	16%	14%	20%	10%	15%	10%
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q9] Please rate how well the Sacramento police deal with mentally ill people suspected of a crime?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q9	Very well	4%	10%	5%	20%	7%		9%		3%	2%	7%
		Well	29%	20%	21%	17%	23%	18%	50%		3%	17%	17%
		Adequately	41%	23%	33%	53%	27%	53%	21%	18%	25%	45%	32%
		Poorly	23%	29%	17%	9%	28%	20%		82%	48%	24%	31%
		Very poorly	4%	17%	24%	2%	15%	10%	21%		20%	12%	13%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q9	Very well	9%			9%	11%	2%			14%	5%	6%
		Well		23%			17%	1%			8%	23%	8%
		Adequately	19%	22%	21%	28%	4%	20%	28%		12%	29%	15%
		Poorly	30%	26%	64%	47%	45%	10%	47%		51%	19%	31%
		Very poorly	42%	29%	15%	16%	23%	66%	25%	100%	14%	23%	40%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q9	Very well	35%	21%	20%	6%	6%	7%	100%	4%	29%	10%	21%
		Well	18%	22%	19%	33%	27%	12%		31%	10%	16%	20%
		Adequately	19%	13%	27%	35%	30%	22%			21%	29%	21%
		Poorly	14%	24%	16%	16%	19%	42%		56%	32%	26%	25%
		Very poorly	14%	19%	18%	10%	18%	16%		9%	7%	19%	14%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q9	Very well		34%	20%	20%	3%	9%	11%			39%	6%
		Well	47%	66%	20%	51%	12%	42%	31%	26%	59%	14%	38%
		Adequately	30%		60%	29%	47%	47%	21%	43%	41%	24%	37%
		Poorly	18%				38%	2%	37%	20%			17%
		Very poorly	5%							11%		24%	2%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q9] Please rate how well the Sacramento police deal with mentally ill people suspected of a crime?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total	
Other	Q9	Very well		33%								12%	7%	
		Well					100%	100%					10%	
		Adequately		25%									41%	6%
		Poorly	100%		100%							100%	47%	69%
		Very poorly		41%										8%
	Total		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	
Declined	Q9	Very well					38%						18%	
		Adequately					17%			100%			57%	
		Poorly					17%					100%	10%	
		Very poorly					28%						14%	
	Total					100%			100%		100%	100%		
Total	Q9	Very well	11%	16%	10%	16%	7%	5%	29%	1%	9%	11%	10%	
		Well	25%	23%	15%	22%	21%	18%	23%	13%	14%	16%	20%	
		Adequately	28%	18%	29%	44%	28%	31%	17%	20%	25%	33%	27%	
		Poorly	25%	23%	31%	14%	30%	22%	18%	34%	40%	22%	28%	
		Very poorly	11%	20%	16%	5%	14%	25%	12%	33%	13%	18%	15%	
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

[Q10] People in your neighborhood are more likely, about the same or less likely to provide information to Sacramento police about suspicious persons or events as a result of these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q10	More likely	17%	17%	25%	32%	19%	10%	25%		24%	23%	21%
		About the same	61%	54%	42%	49%	51%	56%	58%	83%	47%	48%	53%
		Less likely	22%	29%	33%	19%	30%	33%	17%	17%	29%	30%	26%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q10	More likely	33%		24%	31%	21%	9%	25%		30%	26%	20%
		About the same	31%	49%	26%	40%	52%	15%	54%		45%	36%	35%
		Less likely	36%	51%	51%	29%	27%	76%	21%	100%	25%	38%	45%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q10	More likely	36%	19%	34%	39%	48%	44%	71%	70%	34%	30%	39%
		About the same	34%	42%	34%	19%	33%	38%	29%		17%	43%	30%
		Less likely	30%	38%	31%	42%	19%	18%		30%	49%	27%	31%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q10	More likely	31%	13%	17%	33%	36%	41%	41%	60%		86%	31%
		About the same	50%	87%	83%	53%	48%	43%	52%	28%	68%	14%	53%
		Less likely	19%			13%	16%	16%	6%	12%	32%		16%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q10	More likely		16%			36%						7%
		About the same	38%	48%	100%		64%					23%	35%
		Less likely	62%	36%							100%	77%	59%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%					100%	100%	100%

[Q10] People in your neighborhood are more likely, about the same or less likely to provide information to Sacramento police about suspicious persons or events as a result of these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Declined	Q10	More likely					17%						8%
		About the same					38%						18%
		Less likely					45%			100%		100%	73%
	Total					100%			100%		100%	100%	
Total	Q10	More likely	26%	15%	24%	33%	30%	28%	41%	32%	22%	34%	27%
		About the same	46%	54%	47%	43%	46%	37%	48%	23%	42%	39%	44%
		Less likely	28%	31%	28%	24%	24%	35%	11%	45%	36%	28%	30%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q11] Sacramento police are more active, about the same, or less active in patrolling your neighborhood since these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q11	More active	17%	16%	16%	17%	17%	4%	33%	7%	3%	19%	13%
		About the same	78%	55%	70%	72%	58%	73%	54%	62%	71%	65%	67%
		Less active	5%	29%	14%	12%	26%	23%	13%	31%	26%	16%	20%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q11	More active	12%	15%	30%	31%	23%	23%	39%	49%	16%	24%	24%
		About the same	51%	57%	36%	30%	60%	68%	41%		68%	43%	49%
		Less active	38%	28%	34%	39%	16%	10%	19%	51%	16%	33%	27%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q11	More active	40%	21%	37%	31%	31%	41%	71%	46%	40%	34%	36%
		About the same	40%	50%	44%	63%	44%	37%	29%	39%	37%	51%	43%
		Less active	20%	29%	18%	6%	25%	22%		16%	24%	15%	21%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q11	More active	13%	46%	40%	56%	16%	23%	26%	30%	35%	86%	27%
		About the same	80%	54%	36%	34%	73%	66%	46%	64%	65%	14%	65%
		Less active	7%		24%	10%	11%	12%	28%	6%			8%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q11	More active		13%			36%						6%
		About the same	100%	58%	100%		64%					53%	57%
		Less active		29%							100%	47%	37%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%				100%	100%	100%	

[Q11] Sacramento police are more active, about the same, or less active in patrolling your neighborhood since these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Declined	Q11	More active					55%						20%
		About the same					45%	100%		100%			80%
	Total					100%	100%		100%				100%
Total	Q11	More active	20%	22%	27%	25%	22%	23%	43%	32%	17%	34%	23%
		About the same	66%	54%	54%	62%	58%	61%	42%	47%	59%	49%	58%
		Less active	13%	25%	19%	13%	20%	16%	14%	21%	24%	17%	19%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q12] People in your neighborhood sometimes avoid calling the Sacramento police because they or someone else may be harmed by officers.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q12	Yes	30%	47%	42%	14%	45%	25%	46%	62%	36%	57%	37%
		No	70%	53%	58%	86%	55%	75%	54%	38%	64%	43%	63%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q12	Yes	52%	66%	60%	86%	66%	85%	95%	49%	63%	66%	65%
		No	48%	34%	40%	14%	34%	15%	5%	51%	37%	34%	35%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q12	Yes	42%	57%	74%	72%	34%	57%	71%	63%	63%	63%	53%
		No	58%	43%	26%	28%	66%	43%	29%	37%	37%	37%	47%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q12	Yes	41%		19%	39%		7%	31%	27%	59%	63%	26%
		No	59%	100%	81%	61%	100%	93%	69%	73%	41%	37%	74%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q12	Yes	62%	65%			36%				100%	47%	67%
		No	38%	35%	100%		64%					53%	33%
	Total	100%	100%	100%		100%					100%	100%	100%
Declined	Q12	Yes					100%					100%	60%
		No						100%					40%
	Total					100%	100%					100%	100%
Total	Q12	Yes	41%	52%	48%	34%	38%	45%	58%	47%	49%	61%	45%
		No	59%	48%	52%	66%	62%	55%	42%	53%	51%	39%	55%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Litter/trash

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q13r1	Big problem	30%	49%	28%	27%	11%	23%	46%	55%	29%	51%	28%
		Somewhat of a problem	20%	30%	53%	51%	49%	18%	50%	10%	41%	26%	38%
		Not a problem	49%	21%	19%	22%	41%	59%	4%	35%	30%	23%	34%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q13r1	Big problem	32%	49%	30%	37%	13%	24%	34%	49%	27%	57%	32%
		Somewhat of a problem	17%	41%	27%	25%	51%	29%	45%		18%	26%	28%
		Not a problem	51%	10%	44%	38%	36%	47%	21%	51%	54%	17%	40%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q13r1	Big problem	36%	52%	62%	30%	27%	24%	71%	22%	51%	54%	40%
		Somewhat of a problem	40%	23%	25%	33%	34%	37%	29%	55%	35%	40%	35%
		Not a problem	24%	25%	12%	38%	39%	40%		23%	14%	6%	25%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q13r1	Big problem	3%	34%	47%	6%		2%	40%	6%			7%
		Somewhat of a problem	61%	13%	16%	36%	41%	62%	22%	73%	81%	86%	54%
		Not a problem	36%	54%	36%	58%	59%	36%	38%	22%	19%	14%	39%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q13r1	Big problem	62%	13%								79%	24%
		Somewhat of a problem		36%			100%					21%	14%
		Not a problem	38%	51%	100%			100%			100%		62%
	Total		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Litter/trash

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Declined	Q13r1	Big problem					72%	100%		100%			91%
		Somewhat of a problem					28%					100%	9%
	Total					100%	100%			100%		100%	100%
Total	Q13r1	Big problem	28%	46%	39%	26%	13%	22%	48%	33%	28%	47%	28%
		Somewhat of a problem	32%	27%	30%	43%	44%	34%	37%	38%	42%	40%	38%
		Not a problem	40%	27%	30%	30%	43%	43%	15%	29%	30%	13%	34%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Graffiti

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total	
White	Q13r2	Big problem	8%	20%	6%	10%	20%	11%	29%		16%	8%	14%	
		Somewhat of a problem	32%	42%	49%	35%	34%	15%	17%		22%	41%	30%	
		Not a problem	60%	38%	45%	56%	46%	74%	54%	100%	62%	52%	56%	
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Black	Q13r2	Big problem	25%	26%	19%	26%	6%	3%			10%	29%	13%	
		Somewhat of a problem	9%	29%	27%	13%	9%	24%	30%	49%	37%	32%	23%	
		Not a problem	66%	45%	54%	61%	85%	73%	70%	51%	53%	39%	64%	
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Hispanic	Q13r2	Big problem	17%	26%	43%	25%	9%	19%	71%	19%	25%	25%	22%	
		Somewhat of a problem	43%	29%	37%	56%	34%	36%		38%	33%	39%	36%	
		Not a problem	40%	45%	21%	20%	57%	44%	29%	43%	42%	37%	42%	
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Asian	Q13r2	Big problem	3%					2%		9%			2%	
		Somewhat of a problem	54%	34%	33%	41%	31%	46%	70%	33%	59%	63%	44%	
		Not a problem	43%	66%	67%	59%	69%	52%	30%	58%	41%	37%	54%	
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Other	Q13r2	Big problem		13%								79%	6%	
		Somewhat of a problem	62%	35%	100%									33%
		Not a problem	38%	52%				100%			100%	21%		61%
	Total		100%	100%	100%			100%			100%	100%	100%	

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Graffiti

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Declined	Q13r2	Big problem						100%					29%
		Somewhat of a problem					72%			100%		100%	63%
		Not a problem					28%						7%
	Total					100%	100%		100%		100%	100%	
Total	Q13r2	Big problem	11%	19%	19%	13%	11%	14%	28%	8%	14%	19%	14%
		Somewhat of a problem	37%	35%	43%	38%	31%	29%	27%	37%	30%	41%	34%
		Not a problem	51%	46%	38%	49%	58%	57%	45%	55%	56%	41%	53%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Vacant housing or vacant storefronts

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q13r3	Big problem	4%	29%	22%	10%	11%	9%	38%		26%	24%	16%
		Somewhat of a problem	26%	29%	51%	32%	31%	22%	41%	42%	20%	41%	28%
		Not a problem	70%	42%	27%	58%	58%	69%	21%	58%	55%	34%	56%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q13r3	Big problem	26%	41%	32%	23%	17%	7%	59%			49%	21%
		Somewhat of a problem	9%	17%	30%	32%	10%	29%	8%	49%	50%	21%	24%
		Not a problem	65%	42%	37%	46%	73%	64%	32%	51%	50%	30%	55%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q13r3	Big problem	9%	26%	35%	19%	22%	15%	71%	10%	18%	30%	21%
		Somewhat of a problem	30%	32%	36%	56%	17%	42%	29%	62%	52%	39%	37%
		Not a problem	60%	42%	29%	25%	61%	43%		28%	31%	31%	43%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q13r3	Big problem				13%	7%	3%	12%	8%	59%	24%	15%
		Somewhat of a problem	64%	47%	43%	28%	33%	41%	45%	34%	19%	24%	38%
		Not a problem	36%	53%	57%	59%	61%	56%	43%	58%	22%	53%	47%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q13r3	Big problem		13%			100%					79%	12%
		Somewhat of a problem	62%	13%									21%
		Not a problem	38%	74%	100%			100%			100%	21%	67%
	Total		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Vacant housing or vacant storefronts

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Declined	Q13r3	Big problem					72%						19%
		Somewhat of a problem					28%					100%	9%
		Not a problem						100%		100%			72%
	Total					100%	100%		100%		100%	100%	
Total	Q13r3	Big problem	7%	26%	23%	13%	15%	8%	46%	5%	26%	33%	17%
		Somewhat of a problem	34%	29%	37%	35%	26%	32%	31%	42%	28%	33%	31%
		Not a problem	59%	45%	40%	52%	59%	60%	23%	54%	47%	34%	52%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Drinking in public

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q13r4	Big problem	7%	23%	12%	17%	9%	9%	38%	31%	24%	8%	17%
		Somewhat of a problem	21%	21%	53%	37%	35%	26%	21%	34%	32%	31%	30%
		Not a problem	71%	57%	34%	46%	56%	65%	42%	35%	44%	61%	53%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q13r4	Big problem	29%	43%	28%	27%	4%	5%	10%	49%	18%	39%	24%
		Somewhat of a problem	21%	21%	35%	28%	42%	24%	9%		35%	24%	24%
		Not a problem	51%	36%	37%	45%	54%	71%	81%	51%	47%	37%	52%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q13r4	Big problem	18%	40%	50%	29%	18%	28%	71%	3%	25%	19%	26%
		Somewhat of a problem	33%	24%	38%	28%	32%	36%		8%	41%	29%	29%
		Not a problem	49%	37%	12%	44%	51%	36%	29%	89%	34%	52%	45%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q13r4	Big problem	3%			1%	10%	3%		11%	35%	63%	13%
		Somewhat of a problem	46%		18%	40%	20%	33%	41%	40%	38%		32%
		Not a problem	51%	100%	82%	59%	70%	65%	59%	49%	27%	37%	55%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q13r4	Big problem					100%					79%	9%
		Somewhat of a problem	62%	62%							100%		60%
		Not a problem	38%	38%	100%			100%				21%	32%
	Total		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Drinking in public

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Declined	Q13r4	Big problem					45%	100%					42%
		Somewhat of a problem					38%					100%	15%
		Not a problem					17%			100%			43%
	Total					100%	100%		100%		100%	100%	
Total	Q13r4	Big problem	12%	29%	25%	19%	12%	17%	33%	19%	24%	28%	19%
		Somewhat of a problem	31%	23%	36%	35%	31%	27%	16%	20%	38%	24%	30%
		Not a problem	57%	48%	39%	46%	56%	56%	51%	61%	38%	48%	50%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Selling or using drugs

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q13r5	Big problem	23%	32%	26%	25%	29%	18%	38%	11%	28%	21%	26%
		Somewhat of a problem	27%	26%	48%	36%	31%	20%	58%	52%	41%	44%	34%
		Not a problem	50%	42%	26%	39%	40%	62%	4%	38%	32%	35%	39%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q13r5	Big problem	38%	39%	25%	34%	25%	18%	34%	49%	27%	40%	33%
		Somewhat of a problem	15%	41%	43%	21%	4%	20%	22%		30%	17%	18%
		Not a problem	47%	20%	32%	45%	71%	61%	44%	51%	43%	43%	49%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q13r5	Big problem	25%	40%	49%	34%	25%	44%	71%	25%	37%	39%	34%
		Somewhat of a problem	36%	32%	23%	49%	36%	24%	29%	27%	45%	32%	35%
		Not a problem	39%	29%	28%	16%	39%	32%		48%	18%	29%	31%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q13r5	Big problem	7%			4%	27%	6%	16%	37%	59%	86%	26%
		Somewhat of a problem	51%	46%	33%	46%	7%	53%	23%	37%	18%		29%
		Not a problem	42%	54%	67%	50%	66%	41%	60%	26%	23%	14%	45%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q13r5	Big problem	62%	13%							100%	79%	52%
		Somewhat of a problem	38%	49%			100%					21%	28%
		Not a problem		38%	100%			100%					20%
	Total		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Selling or using drugs

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Declined	Q13r5	Big problem					83%			100%			67%
		Somewhat of a problem					17%	100%				100%	33%
	Total					100%	100%			100%		100%	100%
Total	Q13r5	Big problem	24%	30%	27%	25%	28%	21%	42%	38%	37%	42%	30%
		Somewhat of a problem	33%	34%	33%	38%	23%	32%	34%	25%	35%	28%	31%
		Not a problem	43%	36%	40%	37%	48%	47%	24%	37%	27%	30%	38%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Teenagers causing a disturbance

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q13r6	Big problem	9%	20%	12%	11%	20%	9%	38%		21%	16%	17%
		Somewhat of a problem	36%	30%	44%	45%	38%	20%		73%	19%	32%	32%
		Not a problem	55%	51%	44%	44%	42%	70%	62%	27%	60%	52%	51%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q13r6	Big problem	32%	42%	28%	17%	4%	5%	7%	49%	13%	40%	23%
		Somewhat of a problem	17%	31%	26%	22%	43%	28%	27%		20%	17%	24%
		Not a problem	50%	27%	46%	61%	54%	67%	66%	51%	67%	42%	53%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q13r6	Big problem	14%	30%	45%	28%	18%	44%	71%	47%	21%	25%	27%
		Somewhat of a problem	45%	22%	34%	24%	33%	17%			39%	25%	29%
		Not a problem	41%	48%	21%	48%	49%	39%	29%	53%	40%	51%	44%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q13r6	Big problem	15%			5%	16%	3%	4%	30%	35%	63%	19%
		Somewhat of a problem	59%	34%	33%	33%	58%	43%	36%	56%	46%	24%	50%
		Not a problem	25%	66%	67%	63%	27%	55%	60%	14%	19%	14%	32%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q13r6	Big problem		13%							100%	79%	34%
		Somewhat of a problem	100%	49%	100%		100%						51%
		Not a problem		38%				100%				21%	15%
	Total		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Teenagers causing a disturbance

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Declined	Q13r6	Somewhat of a problem					55%					100%	19%
		Not a problem					45%	100%		100%			81%
	Total					100%	100%		100%		100%	100%	100%
Total	Q13r6	Big problem	14%	23%	22%	14%	16%	16%	32%	31%	26%	32%	21%
		Somewhat of a problem	43%	29%	41%	38%	43%	24%	14%	29%	26%	25%	34%
		Not a problem	42%	48%	37%	48%	41%	60%	54%	39%	48%	43%	45%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Gun violence

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q13r7	Big problem	16%	22%	42%	20%	21%	13%	38%	44%	17%	27%	20%
		Somewhat of a problem	21%	33%	32%	12%	29%	27%		38%	24%	37%	24%
		Not a problem	63%	45%	26%	68%	51%	60%	62%	18%	59%	36%	56%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q13r7	Big problem	36%	54%	19%	30%	8%	9%	38%	49%	19%	52%	30%
		Somewhat of a problem	18%	23%	32%	8%	20%	27%	17%		29%	11%	19%
		Not a problem	46%	22%	49%	62%	72%	63%	45%	51%	52%	37%	52%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q13r7	Big problem	23%	36%	41%	34%	17%	38%	71%	25%	30%	28%	29%
		Somewhat of a problem	34%	17%	37%	39%	26%	22%		44%	25%	25%	27%
		Not a problem	44%	47%	22%	27%	57%	39%	29%	32%	45%	46%	44%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q13r7	Big problem	3%				20%	6%	17%	35%	38%	86%	20%
		Somewhat of a problem	54%		16%	24%	6%	57%	34%	38%	26%		27%
		Not a problem	43%	100%	84%	76%	74%	38%	49%	27%	36%	14%	53%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q13r7	Big problem		13%			100%				100%	79%	40%
		Somewhat of a problem	62%	49%								21%	29%
		Not a problem	38%	38%	100%			100%					31%
	Total		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Gun violence

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Declined	Q13r7	Big problem					72%						19%
		Somewhat of a problem					28%	100%		100%		100%	81%
	Total					100%	100%		100%		100%	100%	
Total	Q13r7	Big problem	17%	28%	28%	21%	20%	16%	43%	35%	26%	42%	24%
		Somewhat of a problem	32%	24%	28%	17%	22%	36%	11%	35%	24%	23%	26%
		Not a problem	50%	48%	43%	61%	58%	48%	46%	30%	50%	35%	50%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Homeless people in your neighborhood

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q13r8	Big problem	48%	52%	47%	44%	33%	23%	79%	20%	40%	50%	42%
		Somewhat of a problem	23%	21%	28%	43%	45%	66%	17%	39%	35%	28%	35%
		Not a problem	29%	28%	26%	14%	22%	11%	4%	41%	25%	22%	23%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q13r8	Big problem	61%	64%	46%	51%	40%	38%	46%	49%	51%	54%	50%
		Somewhat of a problem	14%	14%	31%	32%	16%	18%	22%		12%	21%	15%
		Not a problem	25%	22%	23%	16%	43%	44%	32%	51%	37%	25%	34%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q13r8	Big problem	25%	75%	61%	34%	25%	23%	71%	19%	60%	68%	43%
		Somewhat of a problem	39%	3%	29%	41%	33%	53%		26%	35%	17%	29%
		Not a problem	36%	22%	10%	26%	42%	24%	29%	55%	5%	15%	28%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q13r8	Big problem	10%	47%	52%	1%	34%	5%	2%	6%	59%	86%	28%
		Somewhat of a problem	43%		15%	52%	28%	63%	71%	58%	41%		40%
		Not a problem	46%	53%	34%	47%	38%	32%	27%	36%		14%	33%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q13r8	Big problem	62%	13%							100%	79%	52%
		Somewhat of a problem	38%	13%								21%	15%
		Not a problem		74%	100%		100%	100%					33%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Homeless people in your neighborhood

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Declined	Q13r8	Big problem					100%			100%			73%
		Somewhat of a problem										100%	1%
		Not a problem						100%					25%
	Total					100%	100%		100%		100%	100%	
Total	Q13r8	Big problem	37%	59%	48%	38%	34%	20%	51%	27%	50%	63%	41%
		Somewhat of a problem	31%	11%	25%	42%	34%	45%	26%	31%	33%	19%	31%
		Not a problem	32%	30%	28%	19%	32%	35%	23%	42%	17%	18%	28%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q14] Have you had any contact with the Sacramento police within the past 6 months?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q14	Yes	21%	32%	38%	27%	29%	10%	42%	61%	29%	19%	28%
		No	79%	68%	62%	73%	71%	90%	58%	39%	71%	81%	72%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q14	Yes	16%	28%	22%	34%	10%	38%	33%	49%	28%	21%	26%
		No	84%	72%	78%	66%	90%	62%	67%	51%	72%	79%	74%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q14	Yes	33%	32%	45%	24%	25%	45%	100%	24%	18%	29%	31%
		No	67%	68%	55%	76%	75%	55%		76%	82%	71%	69%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q14	Yes	7%		15%	17%	8%	3%		17%	24%		10%
		No	93%	100%	85%	83%	92%	97%	100%	83%	76%	100%	90%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q14	Yes	38%	65%			36%				100%	24%	55%
		No	62%	35%	100%		64%	100%				76%	45%
	Total	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%				100%	100%	100%
Declined	Q14	Yes						100%					25%
		No					100%			100%		100%	75%
	Total					100%	100%			100%		100%	100%
Total	Q14	Yes	22%	29%	31%	26%	20%	29%	45%	30%	29%	21%	26%
		No	78%	71%	69%	74%	80%	71%	55%	70%	71%	79%	74%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15A] Sacramento police officers are fair when dealing with people.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q15r1	Strongly agree	10%	13%	11%	26%	6%		50%		9%	13%	11%
		Agree	49%	46%	26%	51%	34%	64%	38%	35%	43%	29%	43%
		Can't decide	34%	25%	28%	20%	33%	25%		34%	20%	20%	26%
		Disagree	3%	9%	22%	2%	21%	3%		31%	23%	23%	15%
		Strongly disagree	4%	7%	13%	1%	6%	9%	12%		5%	15%	5%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q15r1	Strongly agree	6%	25%		9%	8%	1%					6%
		Agree	33%	6%	14%	40%	18%	6%			41%	20%	19%
		Can't decide	17%	22%	46%	20%	32%	25%	62%		16%	33%	23%
		Disagree	21%	31%	31%	29%	31%	23%	9%	100%	43%	11%	35%
		Strongly disagree	23%	15%	8%	3%	11%	45%	28%			37%	16%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q15r1	Strongly agree	13%	13%	24%	2%	17%	2%	35%	8%	20%	22%	15%
		Agree	57%	34%	29%	49%	40%	26%	35%	27%	29%	15%	38%
		Can't decide	18%	22%	19%	35%	21%	44%		39%	29%	23%	25%
		Disagree	7%	20%	10%	7%	8%	9%	29%	5%	16%	26%	12%
		Strongly disagree	6%	11%	18%	7%	14%	19%		22%	5%	15%	10%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15A] Sacramento police officers are fair when dealing with people.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total	
Asian	Q15r1	Strongly agree	8%		17%	1%		3%	11%	8%	8%	39%	6%	
		Agree	36%	25%	10%	43%	46%	23%	36%	33%	56%	37%	40%	
		Can't decide	42%	75%	56%	52%	38%	67%	52%	35%	35%		44%	
		Disagree	6%		17%	4%	8%	8%			19%		24%	7%
		Strongly disagree	8%				9%				5%			4%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Other	Q15r1	Strongly agree		13%									3%	
		Agree	100%	22%	100%							31%	41%	
		Can't decide		13%			100%					69%	11%	
		Disagree						100%						7%
	Strongly disagree		52%								100%		39%	
Total		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%		
Declined	Q15r1	Strongly agree					38%	100%					39%	
		Agree					28%						10%	
		Can't decide					17%			100%		100%	44%	
	Disagree					17%							6%	
Total					100%	100%			100%		100%	100%		
Total	Q15r1	Strongly agree	9%	13%	13%	17%	8%	7%	26%	4%	10%	17%	11%	
		Agree	49%	33%	29%	49%	35%	25%	28%	22%	40%	23%	37%	
		Can't decide	27%	30%	30%	26%	32%	37%	26%	33%	23%	22%	28%	
		Disagree	7%	14%	17%	6%	16%	13%	10%	33%	19%	21%	15%	
	Strongly disagree	7%	11%	11%	2%	8%	17%	10%	7%	8%	16%	9%		
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		

[Q15B] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if suspicious people are hanging around the neighborhood?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q15r2	Strongly agree	15%	13%	9%	26%	6%				18%	2%	13%
		Agree	44%	37%	52%	32%	63%	45%	33%	20%	53%	41%	48%
		Can't decide	18%	27%	18%	24%	10%	46%	42%	42%	7%	21%	17%
		Disagree	23%	13%	16%	19%	12%	9%	25%	38%	10%	26%	15%
		Strongly disagree		10%	6%		9%				12%	11%	7%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q15r2	Strongly agree	21%	19%	8%	9%	13%	3%			20%	2%	12%
		Agree	38%	23%	34%	44%	25%	29%	63%		43%	23%	30%
		Can't decide	13%	27%	19%	36%	31%	52%	9%	49%	12%	16%	27%
		Disagree	16%	21%	29%	5%	22%	14%		51%	12%	34%	21%
		Strongly disagree	13%	9%	10%	6%	8%	3%	28%		14%	24%	10%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q15r2	Strongly agree	13%	21%	23%	12%	29%	4%	35%	15%	14%	4%	17%
		Agree	56%	33%	30%	36%	33%	47%	35%	30%	47%	36%	41%
		Can't decide	20%	19%	22%	15%	26%	20%		36%	17%	26%	21%
		Disagree	11%	17%	25%	25%	10%	25%	29%	5%	13%	25%	15%
		Strongly disagree		10%		12%	2%	5%		15%	8%	9%	6%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15B] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if suspicious people are hanging around the neighborhood?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total	
Asian	Q15r2	Strongly agree	5%		15%	4%	4%	5%		8%		63%	5%	
		Agree	34%	25%	52%	47%	45%	18%	55%	35%	100%	37%	47%	
		Can't decide	44%	41%	32%	44%	30%	46%	15%	31%				29%
		Disagree	11%	34%		1%	21%	32%	28%	24%				17%
		Strongly disagree	7%			4%				2%	2%			2%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q15r2	Agree		49%									10%	
		Can't decide		22%	100%		100%					100%		19%
		Disagree	100%	13%				100%				100%		68%
		Strongly disagree		16%										3%
	Total		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%		100%
Declined	Q15r2	Strongly agree					38%							14%
		Agree					17%	100%						32%
		Can't decide								100%		100%		38%
		Disagree					17%							6%
	Total						100%	100%		100%		100%		100%
Total	Q15r2	Strongly agree	12%	14%	13%	19%	12%	3%	10%	6%	14%	11%	12%	
		Agree	42%	33%	38%	35%	47%	38%	46%	22%	56%	34%	42%	
		Can't decide	22%	26%	28%	25%	21%	36%	17%	43%	8%	21%	22%	
		Disagree	20%	18%	17%	17%	15%	22%	21%	25%	13%	23%	18%	
		Strongly disagree	3%	9%	4%	3%	6%	2%	7%	4%	9%	11%	6%	
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

[Q15C] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if there was a fight in front of your house and someone is being beaten or threatened.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q15r3	Strongly agree	16%	16%	11%	18%	7%	3%	9%		17%	13%	13%
		Agree	43%	33%	53%	38%	64%	82%	33%	42%	42%	38%	47%
		Can't decide	25%	20%	11%	39%	11%	16%	25%	20%	21%	17%	21%
		Disagree	16%	16%	16%	5%	9%		13%	38%	9%	27%	11%
		Strongly disagree		15%	9%		9%		21%		12%	6%	8%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q15r3	Strongly agree	39%	37%	8%	27%	19%	15%	9%		24%	2%	21%
		Agree	34%	12%	49%	51%	41%	39%	72%		27%	33%	32%
		Can't decide	11%	21%	8%	11%	16%	18%	9%		31%	35%	16%
		Disagree	2%	22%	25%	8%	12%	26%		51%	7%	12%	16%
		Strongly disagree	14%	8%	10%	3%	11%	3%	10%	49%	12%	19%	15%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q15r3	Strongly agree	19%	28%	20%	30%	24%	14%	35%	8%	23%	16%	22%
		Agree	54%	26%	36%	30%	38%	31%	35%	16%	47%	21%	38%
		Can't decide	18%	28%	18%	11%	23%	21%		60%	8%	24%	21%
		Disagree	9%	7%	21%	6%	15%	26%	29%		10%	28%	12%
		Strongly disagree		11%	4%	22%		8%		16%	13%	10%	7%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15C] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if there was a fight in front of your house and someone is being beaten or threatened.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Asian	Q15r3	Strongly agree	3%		24%		2%	8%	4%	7%	9%		5%
		Agree	36%	38%	43%	50%	34%	29%	39%	52%	82%	100%	47%
		Can't decide	42%	28%	24%	41%	41%	59%	26%	14%			30%
		Disagree	11%			5%	21%	3%	32%	25%	8%		13%
		Strongly disagree	7%	34%	9%	4%	2%			2%			5%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q15r3	Strongly agree		13%							100%		31%
		Agree	38%	58%	100%								29%
		Can't decide					100%					100%	9%
		Disagree						100%					7%
	Strongly disagree	62%	29%										25%
Total	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%				100%	100%	100%	
Declined	Q15r3	Strongly agree					38%						14%
		Agree					17%						6%
		Can't decide						100%		100%		100%	63%
		Disagree					17%						6%
	Strongly disagree					28%						10%	
Total					100%	100%			100%		100%	100%	
Total	Q15r3	Strongly agree	17%	20%	14%	20%	11%	10%	15%	4%	21%	10%	15%
		Agree	43%	30%	50%	39%	47%	39%	44%	27%	46%	39%	41%
		Can't decide	23%	23%	14%	31%	22%	32%	15%	28%	15%	23%	22%
		Disagree	10%	11%	15%	6%	13%	17%	18%	25%	9%	20%	12%
		Strongly disagree	6%	16%	7%	5%	6%	3%	8%	15%	10%	9%	9%

[Q15C] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if there was a fight in front of your house and someone is being beaten or threatened.

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q16] Were you attacked or threatened or did you have something stolen from you in the past 6 months while you were within the city of Sacramento?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q16	Yes	30%	33%	15%	26%	18%	19%	8%	49%	9%	15%	21%
		No	70%	67%	85%	74%	82%	81%	92%	51%	91%	85%	79%
		Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q16	Yes	14%	32%	26%	11%	16%	6%			13%	15%	13%
		No	86%	68%	74%	89%	84%	94%	100%	100%	87%	85%	87%
		Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q16	Yes	31%	8%	22%	9%	17%	48%	71%	5%	10%	33%	21%
		No	69%	92%	78%	91%	83%	52%	29%	95%	90%	67%	79%
		Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q16	Yes	10%	59%	15%	8%	15%	2%	5%	5%			12%
		No	90%	41%	85%	92%	85%	98%	95%	95%	100%	100%	88%
		Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q16	Yes		29%			36%				100%	68%	39%
		No	100%	71%	100%		64%	100%				32%	61%
		Total	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%
Declined	Q16	Yes				83%	100%						56%
		No				17%				100%		100%	44%

[Q16] Were you attacked or threatened or did you have something stolen from you in the past 6 months while you were within the city of Sacramento?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
	Total						100%	100%		100%		100%	100%
Total	Q16	Yes	23%	28%	18%	19%	18%	24%	22%	11%	12%	21%	19%
		No	77%	72%	82%	81%	82%	76%	78%	89%	88%	79%	81%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q17] Did you report this incident to the Sacramento police?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q17	Yes	56%	48%	45%	33%	58%		100%	55%	39%	47%	48%
		No	44%	52%	55%	67%	42%	100%		45%	61%	53%	52%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q17	Yes	38%	17%	13%	26%	24%	32%				43%	22%
		No	62%	83%	87%	74%	76%	68%			100%	57%	78%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q17	Yes	71%	47%	48%	20%	66%	78%	100%	100%	41%	47%	68%
		No	29%	53%	52%	80%	34%	22%			59%	53%	32%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q17	Yes	60%	100%		78%	100%	100%	66%				85%
		No	40%		100%	22%			34%	100%			15%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%
Other	Q17	Yes		100%			100%					69%	28%
		No									100%	31%	72%
	Total			100%			100%				100%	100%	100%
Declined	Q17	Yes					34%						18%
		No					66%	100%					82%
	Total					100%	100%						100%
Total	Q17	Yes	60%	61%	32%	33%	62%	47%	98%	51%	23%	49%	53%
		No	40%	39%	68%	67%	38%	53%	2%	49%	77%	51%	47%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q18] Have you or someone you know personally been shot, either accidentally or intentionally?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q18	Yes	14%	23%	24%	6%	24%	21%	62%		19%	26%	19%
		No	86%	77%	76%	94%	76%	79%	38%	100%	81%	74%	81%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q18	Yes	37%	43%	26%	25%	25%	24%	45%	49%	27%	36%	34%
		No	63%	57%	74%	75%	75%	76%	55%	51%	73%	64%	66%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q18	Yes	37%	13%	38%	9%	15%	38%	71%	50%	43%	32%	31%
		No	63%	87%	62%	91%	85%	62%	29%	50%	57%	68%	69%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q18	Yes	23%			4%	4%	26%	4%	17%	24%		14%
		No	77%	100%	100%	96%	96%	74%	96%	83%	76%	100%	86%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q18	Yes	62%	21%									22%
		No	38%	79%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	78%
	Total	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	
Declined	Q18	Yes					62%						37%
		No					38%	100%					63%
	Total					100%	100%					100%	
Total	Q18	Yes	27%	18%	24%	8%	18%	26%	47%	30%	25%	26%	23%
		No	73%	82%	76%	92%	82%	74%	53%	70%	75%	74%	77%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q19] Has anyone ever used a gun to threaten or intimidate you or someone in your family?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q19	Yes	10%	12%	24%	6%	22%	35%	38%		21%	23%	17%
		No	90%	88%	76%	94%	78%	65%	62%	100%	79%	77%	83%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q19	Yes	22%	37%	17%	23%	30%	20%	44%	49%	28%	19%	30%
		No	78%	63%	83%	77%	70%	80%	56%	51%	72%	81%	70%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q19	Yes	32%	5%	32%	31%	22%	26%	100%	32%	27%	31%	27%
		No	68%	95%	68%	69%	78%	74%		68%	73%	69%	73%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q19	Yes	20%	34%	19%	2%			45%	8%	24%		13%
		No	80%	66%	81%	98%	100%	100%	55%	92%	76%	100%	87%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q19	Yes	62%	74%	100%								37%
		No	38%	26%			100%	100%			100%	100%	63%
	Total	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%
Declined	Q19	Yes					28%						10%
		No					72%	100%		100%			90%
	Total					100%	100%		100%			100%	100%
Total	Q19	Yes	22%	19%	31%	12%	18%	18%	58%	20%	23%	22%	21%
		No	78%	81%	69%	88%	82%	82%	42%	80%	77%	78%	79%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q20] Do you rent your home, are you buying it with a mortgage, or is it entirely paid for?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q20	Rent	55%	66%	68%	53%	50%	28%	75%	37%	52%	58%	53%
		Buying with mortgage	37%	14%	12%	32%	31%	28%		63%	41%	22%	33%
		Entirely paid for	8%	19%	20%	15%	19%	44%	25%		7%	20%	14%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q20	Rent	76%	84%	70%	82%	85%	34%	61%	49%	77%	93%	71%
		Buying with mortgage	14%	11%	17%	18%	15%	32%	39%		10%		15%
		Entirely paid for	11%	4%	13%			34%		51%	13%	7%	14%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic	Q20	Rent	69%	87%	74%	61%	59%	67%	55%	64%	79%	79%	71%
		Buying with mortgage	26%	11%	12%	35%	31%	24%		23%	9%	12%	20%
		Entirely paid for	5%	3%	13%	4%	9%	9%	45%	13%	12%	9%	9%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q20	Rent	29%	41%	32%	39%	57%	23%	24%	32%	34%	39%	39%
		Buying with mortgage	54%		68%	44%	32%	50%	65%	44%	46%	24%	39%
		Entirely paid for	17%	59%		17%	11%	27%	11%	24%	20%	37%	21%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q20	Rent	62%	18%	100%		36%	100%			100%	100%	69%
		Buying with mortgage	38%	31%			64%						23%
		Entirely paid for		51%									8%
	Total		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%

[Q20] Do you rent your home, are you buying it with a mortgage, or is it entirely paid for?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Declined	Q20	Rent					100%	100%		100%			100%
	Total						100%	100%		100%			100%
Total	Q20	Rent	58%	70%	68%	56%	59%	46%	55%	49%	60%	69%	59%
		Buying with mortgage	34%	11%	19%	32%	29%	30%	26%	29%	30%	15%	28%
		Entirely paid for	9%	19%	12%	11%	12%	24%	19%	22%	9%	16%	13%
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q22] How would you describe your current work status?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q22	Working full-time	46%	32%	26%	36%	44%	33%	38%	90%	32%	46%	39%
		Working part-time	12%	10%	12%	15%	3%	8%	4%		8%	9%	8%
		Student	5%	8%	3%	6%	12%		21%	10%	11%	6%	9%
		Homemaker	2%	3%	4%	1%		2%			5%	7%	2%
		Fully-retired	20%	18%	16%	15%	32%	42%	25%		28%	27%	25%
		Semi-retired		8%	3%	5%							1%
		Self-employed	6%	8%	12%	12%	2%	4%	4%		7%		6%
		Not working (unemployed)	9%	15%	23%	10%	9%	11%	9%		9%	5%	9%
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q22	Working full-time	38%	47%	33%	43%	65%	25%	19%	100%	40%	41%	49%
		Working part-time	16%	13%	16%	20%	1%	2%	7%		21%	10%	9%
		Student	7%		3%		10%	2%	16%		3%	5%	5%
		Homemaker	4%			2%		3%			2%	3%	1%
		Fully-retired	16%	8%	18%	15%	8%	15%	50%		11%	7%	13%
		Semi-retired	7%	14%	9%		4%	1%				11%	4%
		Self-employed	2%	10%	13%			14%	8%		10%	8%	5%
		Not working (unemployed)	12%	8%	7%	21%	12%	38%			13%	16%	13%
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q22] How would you describe your current work status?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Hispanic	Q22	Working full-time	51%	35%	55%	41%	38%	35%	35%	40%	37%	24%	40%
		Working part-time	7%	18%	15%	10%	6%	8%		8%	25%	20%	12%
		Student	15%	11%	12%	15%	6%	20%			6%	15%	10%
		Homemaker	2%	6%	5%	4%	1%	4%		39%	4%	3%	5%
		Fully-retired		12%	4%	6%	29%	19%	29%			4%	10%
		Semi-retired	10%			19%	12%	5%		5%			6%
		Self-employed	3%	4%	2%	5%	3%	1%		8%	13%	9%	6%
		Not working (unemployed)	11%	15%	6%	1%	4%	8%	35%		16%	25%	11%
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q22	Working full-time	41%	66%	32%	44%	42%	49%	48%	37%	54%	76%	47%
		Working part-time	12%		12%	13%	12%	23%		14%	14%		12%
		Student	22%		36%	25%	23%	1%	29%	2%			13%
		Homemaker	6%			8%		2%	7%	5%	8%		4%
		Fully-retired				3%			16%	10%			2%
		Semi-retired	3%							3%			1%
		Self-employed		34%		6%	12%						6%
		Not working (unemployed)	15%		20%	1%	12%	25%		30%	24%	24%	16%
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q22] How would you describe your current work status?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total	
Other	Q22	Working full-time	62%	31%	100%		64%				100%		66%	
		Working part-time					36%					100%	4%	
		Student	38%											12%
		Fully-retired		18%										3%
		Not working (unemployed)		51%					100%					15%
	Total		100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	
Declined	Q22	Working full-time					17%			100%		100%	44%	
		Working part-time					38%						14%	
		Homemaker					17%							6%
		Self-employed					28%	100%						36%
	Total					100%	100%			100%		100%	100%	
Total	Q22	Working full-time	46%	40%	44%	38%	44%	33%	35%	64%	40%	41%	43%	
		Working part-time	11%	11%	13%	14%	6%	10%	3%	7%	13%	13%	10%	
		Student	13%	6%	10%	9%	12%	6%	16%	2%	7%	8%	9%	
		Homemaker	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	10%	5%	4%	3%	
		Fully-retired	9%	12%	9%	12%	20%	16%	30%	3%	16%	11%	14%	
		Semi-retired	4%	4%	2%	7%	3%	1%		2%		2%	3%	
		Self-employed	3%	11%	6%	9%	5%	10%	3%	2%	7%	5%	6%	
		Not working (unemployed)	11%	13%	13%	8%	9%	22%	12%	10%	12%	17%	12%	
	Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

[Q23] What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total	
White	Q23	Less than high school		12%	2%	1%	2%					1%	2%	
		High school graduate (regular high school diploma or GED)	18%	34%	24%	20%	33%	16%	25%		19%	34%	23%	
		Some college or technical school, no degree	15%	24%	40%	37%	25%	52%	21%	66%	39%	36%	31%	
		Associate's degree (for example AA or AS)	12%	15%	12%	9%	6%	21%	41%	20%	7%	8%	10%	
		Bachelor's degree (for example BA, BS)	39%	7%	17%	22%	22%	3%	13%	14%	23%	14%	22%	
		Postgraduate degree (for example MA, MS, MBA, Ph.D, MD, etc.)	16%	7%	4%	11%	12%	9%				12%	7%	11%
		Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q23] What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Black	Q23	Less than high school		19%	8%	3%		4%	8%		12%		5%
		High school graduate (regular high school diploma or GED)	59%	60%	33%	24%	14%	48%	38%		22%	61%	34%
		Some college or technical school, no degree	10%	10%	29%	24%	38%	28%	33%	49%	41%	32%	29%
		Associate's degree (for example AA or AS)	7%	7%	6%	5%	23%	5%			9%	4%	9%
		Bachelor's degree (for example BA, BS)	7%	3%	19%	8%	24%	14%	21%		7%	2%	11%
		Postgraduate degree (for example MA, MS, MBA, Ph.D, MD, etc.)	17%		4%	36%	2%	1%		51%	8%		12%
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q23] What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total	
Hispanic	Q23	Less than high school	7%	12%	9%	1%	13%	14%	55%		24%	1%	13%	
		High school graduate (regular high school diploma or GED)	26%	35%	52%	33%	26%	43%		54%	43%	51%	35%	
		Some college or technical school, no degree	44%	31%	17%	46%	15%	30%			32%	26%	40%	30%
		Associate's degree (for example AA or AS)	7%	9%	3%	1%	14%	7%		45%		1%	2%	7%
		Bachelor's degree (for example BA, BS)	14%	14%	6%	9%	13%	5%			8%	5%	6%	10%
		Postgraduate degree (for example MA, MS, MBA, Ph.D, MD, etc.)	1%		13%	9%	19%				5%	1%		5%
		Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q23] What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Asian	Q23	Less than high school				1%	11%	15%		8%			5%
		High school graduate (regular high school diploma or GED)	14%		20%	16%	14%	23%	20%	37%	67%		25%
		Some college or technical school, no degree	32%	34%	36%	30%	7%	27%	32%	16%		24%	18%
		Associate's degree (for example AA or AS)	10%	13%	23%	3%	15%	15%	9%	4%			9%
		Bachelor's degree (for example BA, BS)	26%	54%		33%	23%	19%	39%	23%	33%	14%	28%
		Postgraduate degree (for example MA, MS, MBA, Ph.D, MD, etc.)	19%		20%	17%	29%	1%		13%		63%	15%
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q23] What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total	
Other	Q23	Less than high school									100%	12%	29%	
		High school graduate (regular high school diploma or GED)	38%	58%			36%					20%	25%	
		Some college or technical school, no degree		16%	100%				100%				68%	17%
		Associate's degree (for example AA or AS)					64%							6%
		Bachelor's degree (for example BA, BS)	62%											19%
		Postgraduate degree (for example MA, MS, MBA, Ph.D, MD, etc.)		26%										
Total			100%	100%	100%		100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	

[Q23] What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total	
Declined	Q23	High school graduate (regular high school diploma or GED)					38%			100%			69%	
		Associate's degree (for example AA or AS)					28%						14%	
		Bachelor's degree (for example BA, BS)					34%							17%
		Total					100%				100%			100%
Total	Q23	Less than high school	2%	11%	5%	1%	6%	9%	13%	3%	10%	1%	6%	
		High school graduate (regular high school diploma or GED)	26%	34%	32%	23%	25%	32%	23%	32%	30%	40%	29%	
		Some college or technical school, no degree	25%	26%	35%	37%	20%	35%	23%	33%	29%	36%	27%	
		Associate's degree (for example AA or AS)	9%	11%	8%	7%	13%	11%	23%	4%	5%	4%	9%	
		Bachelor's degree (for example BA, BS)	27%	15%	10%	19%	21%	10%	19%	12%	18%	9%	19%	

[Q23] What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

		District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
	Postgraduate degree (for example MA, MS, MBA, Ph.D, MD, etc.)											
		12%	4%	9%	14%	16%	2%		17%	7%	10%	10%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q26] How many children 17 years or younger live in your household?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total	
White	Q26	0	51%	82%	54%	86%	70%	84%	92%	100%	75%	65%	72%	
		1	33%	7%	8%	5%	12%	7%			10%	19%	13%	
		2	12%	8%	17%	7%	7%	4%				11%	16%	9%
		3	4%	4%	13%	1%	7%	3%	8%					3%
		4			8%	1%	4%					1%		1%
		5						2%				2%		1%
		6										1%		0%
		10												
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Black	Q26	0	67%	27%	48%	62%	40%	59%	35%		45%	40%	42%	
		1	5%	20%	33%	25%	13%	20%		51%	13%	14%	19%	
		2	14%	31%	15%	9%	23%	15%	22%	49%	22%	22%	24%	
		3	6%	19%		3%	17%	2%	29%		20%	12%	11%	
		4	6%	3%	4%		5%							2%
		5	2%					1%	13%				6%	1%
		6					2%	2%						0%
		7											4%	0%
		9						2%						0%
		20											2%	0%
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

[Q26] How many children 17 years or younger live in your household?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Hispanic	Q26	0	36%	36%	31%	52%	73%	39%		38%	53%	33%	47%
		1	22%	28%	37%	8%	13%	6%		8%	13%	23%	17%
		2	9%	11%	15%	29%	15%	28%		22%	21%	23%	17%
		3	21%	8%	4%	11%		24%		32%	6%	15%	12%
		4	12%	15%	6%			3%			6%	3%	6%
		5		2%	7%						2%		1%
		6										2%	0%
	Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q26	0	43%	100%	88%	46%	45%	47%	76%	21%	23%	18%	42%
		1	41%		12%	20%	11%	26%		39%	9%	31%	21%
		2	8%			34%	28%	23%	8%	35%	8%		18%
		3	4%				17%	4%	16%	4%	59%		17%
		4	4%										51%
	Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q26	0	62%	42%			100%	100%				39%	59%
		2	38%	43%	100%								36%
		3										61%	2%
		6		15%									
	Total			100%	100%	100%		100%				100%	100%
Declined	Q26	0					28%						28%
		1					45%						45%
		3					28%						28%
	Total						100%						100%

[Q26] How many children 17 years or younger live in your household?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Total	Q26	0	49%	58%	46%	75%	60%	58%	71%	33%	59%	42%	56%
		1	26%	15%	20%	8%	13%	14%		29%	11%	21%	16%
		2	12%	13%	22%	13%	15%	17%	8%	30%	13%	17%	15%
		3	8%	7%	5%	3%	9%	8%	17%	9%	13%	9%	9%
		4	4%	6%	5%	1%	2%	1%			2%	8%	3%
		5	0%	1%	2%			1%	4%		1%	1%	1%
		6		1%			0%	0%				1%	0%
		7										1%	0%
		9						1%					0%
		10									1%		0%
		20										0%	0%
Total			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q27] What is your sex?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
White	Q27	Male	48%	55%	57%	53%	42%	34%	26%	25%	40%	46%	44%
		Female	52%	45%	43%	47%	58%	66%	74%	75%	60%	54%	56%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Black	Q27	Male	26%	40%	79%	51%	50%	51%	41%	51%	68%	44%	48%
		Female	74%	60%	21%	49%	50%	49%	59%	49%	32%	56%	52%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q27] What is your sex?

			District 1	District 2	Del Paso	District 3	District 4	Meadowview	Parkway	Valley Hi	District 6	Oak Park	Total
Hispanic	Q27	Male	45%	41%	42%	53%	75%	58%	100%	47%	62%	43%	56%
		Female	55%	59%	58%	47%	25%	42%		53%	38%	57%	44%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Asian	Q27	Male	55%	59%	46%	61%	31%	37%	13%	64%	59%	86%	49%
		Female	45%	41%	54%	39%	69%	63%	87%	36%	41%	14%	51%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Other	Q27	Male	100%	52%			64%					21%	46%
		Female		48%	100%		36%	100%			100%	79%	54%
	Total	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%				100%	100%	100%
Declined	Q27	Male						100%					25%
		Female					100%			100%		100%	75%
	Total					100%	100%			100%		100%	100%
Total	Q27	Male	48%	49%	50%	54%	46%	48%	46%	47%	48%	49%	48%
		Female	52%	51%	50%	46%	54%	52%	54%	53%	52%	51%	52%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Tables
By Employment

[Q1] How do you rate police services within the city of Sacramento?

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q1	Very good	10%	13%	12%	20%	18%		19%	24%	14%
	Good	32%	25%	24%	24%	57%	45%	35%	22%	33%
	Acceptable	36%	50%	39%	22%	18%	28%	15%	32%	33%
	Poor	15%	9%	20%	30%	6%	23%	18%	16%	14%
	Very poor	7%	2%	6%	4%	2%	4%	13%	6%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q2] How much trust do you have in the Sacramento police?

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q2	Complete trust	12%	17%	9%	7%	11%		6%	16%	11%
	Quite a bit of trust	30%	30%	30%	23%	56%	22%	37%	23%	33%
	Some trust	31%	28%	28%	59%	28%	40%	16%	34%	31%
	Not much trust	19%	20%	21%	5%	3%	23%	13%	15%	16%
	Hardly any trust	9%	5%	11%	7%	3%	14%	27%	12%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q3] Please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job the Sacramento Police Department is doing in your neighborhood.

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q3	Strongly approve	11%	15%	4%	9%	13%		17%	14%	11%
	Approve	37%	42%	39%	47%	54%	52%	25%	33%	40%
	Undecided	30%	30%	33%	26%	23%	24%	39%	36%	30%
	Disapprove	15%	11%	18%	16%	7%	20%	12%	11%	14%
	Strongly disapprove	7%	2%	8%	2%	3%	5%	6%	6%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q4] How confident are you that Sacramento police officers treat people of all races equally?

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q4	Very confident	17%	22%	22%	19%	28%	5%	15%	15%	19%
	Somewhat confident	43%	41%	40%	28%	45%	53%	36%	43%	42%
	Not confident	40%	37%	38%	53%	27%	42%	49%	41%	39%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q5] The Sacramento police stop too many people on the street without good reason.

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q5	Yes	42%	39%	49%	39%	29%	65%	42%	46%	42%
	No	58%	61%	51%	61%	71%	35%	58%	54%	58%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q6] Most Sacramento police officers use only the amount of force necessary to accomplish their tasks.

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q6	Yes	51%	63%	57%	47%	63%	52%	72%	68%	58%
	No	49%	37%	43%	53%	37%	48%	28%	32%	42%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q7] The Sacramento police are adequately trained to deal with the mentally ill people they encounter when responding.

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q7	Yes	33%	54%	36%	30%	27%	54%	32%	43%	36%
	No	67%	46%	64%	70%	73%	46%	68%	57%	64%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q8] How responsive are the Sacramento police to community concerns in your neighborhood?

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q8	Very responsive	20%	22%	16%	13%	15%	30%	21%	27%	20%
	Somewhat responsive	38%	38%	43%	37%	53%	32%	31%	25%	38%
	Uncertain	20%	23%	22%	37%	24%	13%	27%	25%	23%
	Somewhat unresponsive	9%	11%	9%	6%	7%	21%	12%	12%	10%
	Very unresponsive	12%	7%	10%	7%	2%	3%	9%	11%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q9] Please rate how well the Sacramento police deal with mentally ill people suspected of a crime?

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q9	Very well	10%	18%	12%	1%	5%	3%	11%	11%	10%
	Well	19%	23%	24%	22%	22%	23%	7%	20%	20%
	Adequately	24%	28%	28%	23%	39%	43%	26%	25%	28%
	Poorly	32%	21%	18%	42%	22%	11%	43%	28%	28%
	Very poorly	15%	11%	19%	12%	12%	19%	13%	16%	15%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q10] People in your neighborhood are more likely, about the same or less likely to provide information to Sacramento police about suspicious persons or events as a result of these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q10	More likely	23%	26%	31%	40%	30%	21%	23%	34%	27%
	About the same	42%	47%	43%	32%	59%	54%	36%	33%	44%
	Less likely	35%	26%	25%	29%	11%	24%	42%	33%	30%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q11] Sacramento police are more active, about the same, or less active in patrolling your neighborhood since these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q11	More active	23%	26%	32%	24%	15%	13%	24%	25%	23%
	About the same	55%	57%	53%	68%	71%	74%	56%	60%	59%
	Less active	23%	18%	16%	9%	14%	12%	20%	15%	19%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q12] People in your neighborhood sometimes avoid calling the Sacramento police because they or someone else may be harmed by officers.

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q12	Yes	52%	42%	39%	36%	26%	15%	39%	60%	45%
	No	48%	58%	61%	64%	74%	85%	61%	40%	55%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Litter/trash

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q13r1	Big problem	31%	30%	29%	23%	23%	3%	32%	25%	28%
	Somewhat of a problem	34%	40%	42%	45%	37%	63%	46%	39%	38%
	Not a problem	34%	30%	28%	32%	41%	34%	22%	36%	34%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Graffiti

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q13r2	Big problem	13%	20%	12%	10%	15%	3%	12%	13%	14%
	Somewhat of a problem	36%	29%	27%	31%	30%	48%	46%	32%	34%
	Not a problem	51%	51%	61%	59%	55%	49%	42%	55%	52%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Vacant housing or vacant storefronts

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q13r3	Big problem	17%	14%	17%	17%	25%	7%	8%	20%	18%
	Somewhat of a problem	34%	32%	32%	54%	15%	41%	48%	21%	31%
	Not a problem	49%	54%	51%	29%	61%	52%	44%	59%	52%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Drinking in public

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q13r4	Big problem	23%	16%	10%	12%	19%		17%	18%	19%
	Somewhat of a problem	29%	38%	41%	27%	16%	38%	45%	32%	31%
	Not a problem	48%	46%	49%	61%	65%	62%	38%	50%	51%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Selling or using drugs

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q13r5	Big problem	34%	24%	23%	34%	25%	10%	34%	33%	30%
	Somewhat of a problem	29%	39%	36%	32%	32%	37%	28%	30%	31%
	Not a problem	37%	36%	41%	33%	43%	53%	38%	37%	38%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Teenagers causing a disturbance

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q13r6	Big problem	25%	19%	10%	23%	23%	3%	14%	18%	21%
	Somewhat of a problem	31%	48%	45%	26%	27%	28%	27%	44%	34%
	Not a problem	44%	33%	45%	51%	50%	69%	59%	38%	45%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Gun violence

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q13r7	Big problem	31%	19%	14%	36%	18%	4%	20%	23%	24%
	Somewhat of a problem	27%	28%	17%	35%	18%	38%	30%	29%	26%
	Not a problem	42%	54%	69%	29%	65%	59%	51%	48%	50%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Homeless people in your neighborhood

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q13r8	Big problem	47%	32%	35%	39%	35%	15%	49%	44%	41%
	Somewhat of a problem	29%	40%	45%	16%	29%	45%	28%	24%	31%
	Not a problem	25%	28%	21%	44%	35%	40%	23%	32%	28%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q14] Have you had any contact with the Sacramento police within the past 6 months?

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q14	Yes	29%	22%	27%	7%	20%	16%	36%	31%	26%
	No	71%	78%	73%	93%	80%	84%	64%	69%	74%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15A] Sacramento police officers are fair when dealing with people.

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q15A	Strongly agree	11%	17%	10%	26%	10%	3%	11%	6%	11%
	Agree	35%	38%	36%	28%	48%	47%	52%	27%	37%
	Can't decide	29%	23%	23%	35%	34%	20%	29%	33%	29%
	Disagree	18%	14%	16%	5%	5%	14%	4%	22%	15%
	Strongly disagree	8%	8%	15%	5%	3%	16%	5%	13%	8%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15B] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if suspicious people are hanging around the neighborhood?

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q15B	Strongly agree	13%	18%	4%	7%	14%	10%	19%	6%	12%
	Agree	38%	41%	44%	51%	59%	58%	47%	36%	43%
	Can't decide	23%	19%	24%	31%	16%	2%	12%	28%	21%
	Disagree	19%	17%	20%	9%	11%	25%	17%	20%	18%
	Strongly disagree	7%	6%	7%	1%	0%	5%	5%	10%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15C] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if there was a fight in front of your house and someone is being beaten or threatened.

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q15C	Strongly agree	15%	26%	14%	10%	12%	13%	25%	11%	15%
	Agree	38%	41%	52%	42%	54%	48%	26%	38%	42%
	Can't decide	22%	18%	20%	30%	21%	21%	26%	24%	22%
	Disagree	14%	10%	6%	17%	11%	7%	6%	19%	12%
	Strongly disagree	11%	6%	8%	1%	1%	10%	17%	9%	8%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q16] Were you attacked or threatened or did you have something stolen from you in the past 6 months while you were within the city of Sacramento?

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q16	Yes	22%	17%	23%	10%	6%	22%	32%	17%	19%
	No	78%	83%	77%	90%	94%	78%	68%	83%	81%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q17] Did you report this incident to the Sacramento police?

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q17	Yes	57%	55%	48%	44%	26%	48%	55%	49%	53%
	No	43%	45%	52%	56%	74%	52%	45%	51%	47%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q18] Have you or someone you know personally been shot, either accidentally or intentionally?

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q18	Yes	25%	23%	21%	26%	8%	29%	27%	37%	24%
	No	75%	77%	79%	74%	92%	71%	73%	63%	76%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q19] Has anyone ever used a gun to threaten or intimidate you or someone in your family?

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q19	Yes	23%	15%	26%	16%	10%	32%	30%	24%	21%
	No	77%	85%	74%	84%	90%	68%	70%	76%	79%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q20] Do you rent your home, are you buying it with a mortgage, or is it entirely paid for?

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q20	Rent	57%	70%	71%	43%	34%	61%	56%	83%	58%
	Buying with mortgage	34%	16%	21%	36%	36%	35%	26%	5%	28%
	Entirely paid for	9%	14%	8%	21%	30%	4%	18%	12%	14%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q21] What is your age in years?

		Working full-time	Working part-time	Student	Homemaker	Fully-retired	Semi-retired	Self-employed	Not working (unemployed)	Total
Q21	18-33	35%	55%	75%	27%		5%	40%	22%	33%
	34-52	43%	18%	18%	57%	5%	7%	46%	38%	32%
	53+	22%	27%	6%	16%	95%	88%	14%	40%	35%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Tables
By Gender

[Q1] How do you rate police services within the city of Sacramento?

		Male	Female	Total
Q1	Very good	15%	13%	14%
	Good	35%	31%	33%
	Acceptable	28%	37%	33%
	Poor	14%	15%	15%
	Very poor	8%	4%	6%
Total	100%	100%	100%	

[Q2] How much trust do you have in the Sacramento police?

		Male	Female	Total
Q2	Complete trust	12%	11%	12%
	Quite a bit of trust	32%	32%	32%
	Some trust	30%	31%	31%
	Not much trust	15%	17%	16%
	Hardly any trust	10%	8%	9%
Total	100%	100%	100%	

[Q3] Please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job the Sacramento Police Department is doing in your neighborhood.

		Male	Female	Total
Q3	Strongly approve	11%	11%	11%
	Approve	40%	38%	39%
	Undecided	32%	29%	30%
	Disapprove	11%	15%	13%
	Strongly disapprove	7%	6%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q4] How confident are you that Sacramento police officers treat people of all races equally?

		Male	Female	Total
Q4	Very confident	24%	14%	19%
	Somewhat confident	40%	44%	42%
	Not confident	36%	42%	39%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q5] The Sacramento police stop too many people on the street without good reason.

		Male	Female	Total
Q5	Yes	43%	41%	42%
	No	57%	59%	58%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q6] Most Sacramento police officers use only the amount of force necessary to accomplish their tasks.

		Male	Female	Total
Q6	Yes	55%	59%	57%
	No	45%	41%	43%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q7] The Sacramento police are adequately trained to deal with the mentally ill people they encounter when responding.

		Male	Female	Total
Q7	Yes	39%	34%	37%
	No	61%	66%	63%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q8] How responsive are the Sacramento police to community concerns in your neighborhood?

		Male	Female	Total
Q8	Very responsive	17%	23%	20%
	Somewhat responsive	41%	35%	38%
	Uncertain	25%	21%	23%
	Somewhat unresponsive	8%	11%	10%
	Very unresponsive	9%	10%	10%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q9] Please rate how well the Sacramento police deal with mentally ill people suspected of a crime?

		Male	Female	Total
Q9	Very well	11%	10%	10%
	Well	24%	15%	19%
	Adequately	26%	28%	27%
	Poorly	25%	32%	28%
	Very poorly	14%	15%	14%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q10] People in your neighborhood are more likely, about the same or less likely to provide information to Sacramento police about suspicious persons or events as a result of these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

		Male	Female	Total
Q10	More likely	29%	25%	27%
	About the same	41%	46%	44%
	Less likely	30%	29%	29%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q11] Sacramento police are more active, about the same, or less active in patrolling your neighborhood since these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

		Male	Female	Total
Q11	More active	27%	19%	23%
	About the same	56%	61%	58%
	Less active	17%	20%	19%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q12] People in your neighborhood sometimes avoid calling the Sacramento police because they or someone else may be harmed by officers.

		Male	Female	Total
Q12	Yes	45%	44%	44%
	No	55%	56%	56%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Litter/trash

		Male	Female	Total
Q13r1	Big problem	30%	26%	28%
	Somewhat of a problem	39%	37%	38%
	Not a problem	31%	37%	34%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Graffiti

		Male	Female	Total
Q13r2	Big problem	17%	10%	14%
	Somewhat of a problem	34%	33%	34%
	Not a problem	49%	57%	53%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Vacant housing or vacant storefronts

		Male	Female	Total
Q13r3	Big problem	20%	15%	17%
	Somewhat of a problem	34%	28%	31%
	Not a problem	46%	57%	52%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Drinking in public

		Male	Female	Total
Q13r4	Big problem	21%	17%	19%
	Somewhat of a problem	34%	27%	30%
	Not a problem	45%	56%	51%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Selling or using drugs

		Male	Female	Total
Q13r5	Big problem	33%	28%	30%
	Somewhat of a problem	33%	30%	31%
	Not a problem	35%	42%	39%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Teenagers causing a disturbance

		Male	Female	Total
Q13r6	Big problem	23%	18%	21%
	Somewhat of a problem	39%	30%	34%
	Not a problem	38%	52%	45%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Gun violence

		Male	Female	Total
Q13r7	Big problem	27%	22%	24%
	Somewhat of a problem	27%	24%	25%
	Not a problem	46%	54%	50%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Homeless people in your neighborhood

		Male	Female	Total
Q13r8	Big problem	40%	43%	41%
	Somewhat of a problem	30%	32%	31%
	Not a problem	31%	26%	28%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q14] Have you had any contact with the Sacramento police within the past 6 months?

		Male	Female	Total
Q14	Yes	28%	24%	26%
	No	72%	76%	74%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q15A] Sacramento police officers are fair when dealing with people.

		Male	Female	Total
Q15A	Strongly agree	11%	10%	11%
	Agree	41%	34%	37%
	Can't decide	26%	31%	28%
	Disagree	15%	16%	15%
	Strongly disagree	7%	10%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q15B] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if suspicious people are hanging around the neighborhood?

		Male	Female	Total
Q15B	Strongly agree	12%	12%	12%
	Agree	42%	43%	43%
	Can't decide	22%	21%	22%
	Disagree	18%	18%	18%
	Strongly disagree	6%	5%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q15C] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if there was a fight in front of your house and someone is being beaten or threatened.

		Male	Female	Total
Q15C	Strongly agree	13%	17%	15%
	Agree	42%	42%	42%
	Can't decide	27%	18%	22%
	Disagree	10%	15%	12%
	Strongly disagree	9%	8%	8%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q16] Were you attacked or threatened or did you have something stolen from you in the past 6 months while you were within the city of Sacramento?

		Male	Female	Total
Q16	Yes	20%	18%	19%
	No	80%	82%	81%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q17] Did you report this incident to the Sacramento police?

		Male	Female	Total
Q17	Yes	55%	51%	53%
	No	45%	49%	47%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q18] Have you or someone you know personally been shot, either accidentally or intentionally?

		Male	Female	Total
Q18	Yes	25%	21%	23%
	No	75%	79%	77%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q19] Has anyone ever used a gun to threaten or intimidate you or someone in your family?

		Male	Female	Total
Q19	Yes	21%	21%	21%
	No	79%	79%	79%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q20] Do you rent your home, are you buying it with a mortgage, or is it entirely paid for?

		Male	Female	Total
Q20	Rent	56%	61%	59%
	Buying with mortgage	30%	26%	28%
	Entirely paid for	14%	13%	13%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q21] What is your age in years?

		Male	Female	Total
Age_3cats	18-33	33%	34%	33%
	34-52	31%	34%	32%
	53+	37%	32%	34%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q22] How would you describe your current work status?

		Male	Female	Total
Q22	Working full-time	46%	41%	43%
	Working part-time	6%	13%	10%
	Student	10%	8%	9%
	Homemaker	1%	5%	3%
	Fully-retired	13%	15%	14%
	Semi-retired	4%	2%	3%
	Self-employed	8%	5%	6%
	Not working (unemployed)			
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q23] What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

		Male	Female	Total
Q23	Less than high school	6%	7%	6%
	High school graduate (regular high school diploma or GED)	33%	24%	29%
	Some college or technical school, no degree	27%	27%	27%
	Associate's degree (for example AA or AS)	6%	12%	9%
	Bachelor's degree (for example BA, BS)	16%	21%	19%
	Postgraduate degree (for example MA, MS, MBA, Ph.D, MD, etc.)	13%	8%	10%
Total		100%	100%	100%

[Q26] How many children 17 years or younger live in your household?

		Male	Female	Total
Q26	0	56%	56%	56%
	1	16%	16%	16%
	2	15%	15%	15%
	3	11%	8%	9%
	4	2%	3%	3%
	5	0%	1%	1%
	6	0%	0%	0%
	7		0%	0%
	9	0%	0%	0%
	10		0%	0%
	20		0%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%

Tables

By Age Group

[Q1] How do you rate police services within the city of Sacramento?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q1	Very good	13%	12%	16%	14%
	Good	28%	30%	40%	33%
	Acceptable	34%	37%	28%	33%
	Poor	17%	15%	11%	15%
	Very poor	8%	5%	5%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q2] How much trust do you have in the Sacramento police?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q2	Complete trust	10%	11%	13%	12%
	Quite a bit of trust	29%	29%	39%	32%
	Some trust	28%	37%	27%	31%
	Not much trust	22%	15%	11%	16%
	Hardly any trust	11%	8%	9%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q3] Please tell me whether you approve or disapprove of the job the Sacramento Police Department is doing in your neighborhood.

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q3	Strongly approve	9%	10%	15%	11%
	Approve	36%	37%	44%	39%
	Undecided	29%	36%	26%	30%
	Disapprove	17%	13%	10%	13%
	Strongly disapprove	10%	4%	4%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q4] How confident are you that Sacramento police officers treat people of all races equally?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q4	Very confident	20%	16%	21%	19%
	Somewhat confident	36%	48%	41%	42%
	Not confident	44%	36%	38%	39%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q5] The Sacramento police stop too many people on the street without good reason.

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q5	Yes	46%	42%	40%	43%
	No	54%	58%	60%	57%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q6] Most Sacramento police officers use only the amount of force necessary to accomplish their tasks.

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q6	Yes	55%	59%	58%	57%
	No	45%	41%	42%	43%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q7] The Sacramento police are adequately trained to deal with the mentally ill people they encounter when responding.

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q7	Yes	42%	38%	30%	36%
	No	58%	62%	70%	64%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q8] How responsive are the Sacramento police to community concerns in your neighborhood?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q8	Very responsive	18%	19%	23%	20%
	Somewhat responsive	36%	40%	39%	38%
	Uncertain	22%	22%	24%	23%
	Somewhat unresponsive	11%	10%	7%	10%
	Very unresponsive	13%	9%	7%	10%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q9] Please rate how well the Sacramento police deal with mentally ill people suspected of a crime?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q9	Very well	12%	12%	7%	10%
	Well	22%	15%	22%	20%
	Adequately	26%	26%	30%	27%
	Poorly	21%	34%	29%	28%
	Very poorly	19%	13%	12%	15%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q10] People in your neighborhood are more likely, about the same or less likely to provide information to Sacramento police about suspicious persons or events as a result of these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q10	More likely	29%	24%	27%	27%
	About the same	39%	40%	51%	44%
	Less likely	31%	37%	22%	30%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q11] Sacramento police are more active, about the same, or less active in patrolling your neighborhood since these high-profile incidents involving African Americans and the police.

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q11	More active	40%	17%	13%	23%
	About the same	40%	67%	67%	58%
	Less active	20%	16%	21%	19%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q12] People in your neighborhood sometimes avoid calling the Sacramento police because they or someone else may be harmed by officers.

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q12	Yes	52%	46%	38%	45%
	No	48%	54%	62%	55%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Litter/trash

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q13r1	Big problem	36%	28%	21%	28%
	Somewhat of a problem	36%	41%	37%	38%
	Not a problem	28%	32%	42%	34%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Graffiti

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q13r2	Big problem	17%	10%	14%	14%
	Somewhat of a problem	38%	34%	29%	34%
	Not a problem	45%	56%	57%	53%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Vacant housing or vacant storefronts

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q13r3	Big problem	20%	16%	17%	17%
	Somewhat of a problem	35%	35%	23%	31%
	Not a problem	46%	49%	60%	52%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Drinking in public

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q13r4	Big problem	28%	15%	15%	19%
	Somewhat of a problem	33%	31%	27%	30%
	Not a problem	39%	54%	58%	50%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Selling or using drugs

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q13r5	Big problem	36%	33%	23%	30%
	Somewhat of a problem	35%	29%	30%	31%
	Not a problem	30%	38%	47%	38%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Teenagers causing a disturbance

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q13r6	Big problem	27%	17%	18%	21%
	Somewhat of a problem	34%	33%	34%	34%
	Not a problem	39%	50%	48%	45%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Gun violence

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q13r7	Big problem	32%	21%	20%	24%
	Somewhat of a problem	22%	34%	21%	26%
	Not a problem	46%	45%	59%	50%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q13] How much of a problem are the following in your neighborhood? Would you say a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem?

Homeless people in your neighborhood

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q13r8	Big problem	46%	43%	34%	41%
	Somewhat of a problem	30%	30%	32%	31%
	Not a problem	23%	26%	34%	28%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q14] Have you had any contact with the Sacramento police within the past 6 months?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q14	Yes	35%	32%	13%	26%
	No	65%	68%	87%	74%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15A] Sacramento police officers are fair when dealing with people.

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q15A	Strongly agree	12%	11%	9%	11%
	Agree	30%	40%	41%	37%
	Can't decide	28%	28%	29%	28%
	Disagree	16%	14%	15%	15%
	Strongly disagree	13%	7%	6%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15B] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if suspicious people are hanging around the neighborhood?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q15B	Strongly agree	12%	15%	10%	12%
	Agree	38%	40%	49%	42%
	Can't decide	23%	22%	21%	22%
	Disagree	21%	19%	13%	18%
	Strongly disagree	5%	5%	7%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q15C] Someone in your neighborhood would intervene if there was a fight in front of your house and someone is being beaten or threatened.

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q15C	Strongly agree	17%	16%	13%	15%
	Agree	39%	37%	49%	41%
	Can't decide	23%	25%	19%	22%
	Disagree	12%	14%	12%	12%
	Strongly disagree	10%	8%	8%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q16] Were you attacked or threatened or did you have something stolen from you in the past 6 months while you were within the city of Sacramento?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q16	Yes	24%	22%	11%	19%
	No	76%	78%	89%	81%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q17] Did you report this incident to the Sacramento police?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q17	Yes	49%	63%	43%	53%
	No	51%	37%	57%	47%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q18] Have you or someone you know personally been shot, either accidentally or intentionally?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q18	Yes	28%	30%	12%	23%
	No	72%	70%	88%	77%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q19] Has anyone ever used a gun to threaten or intimidate you or someone in your family?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q19	Yes	23%	24%	18%	21%
	No	77%	76%	82%	79%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q20] Do you rent your home, are you buying it with a mortgage, or is it entirely paid for?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q20	Rent	70%	62%	45%	59%
	Buying with mortgage	18%	29%	36%	28%
	Entirely paid for	12%	8%	20%	13%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q22] How would you describe your current work status?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q22	Working full-time	45%	58%	27%	43%
	Working part-time	16%	6%	8%	10%
	Student	21%	5%	2%	9%
	Homemaker	3%	6%	2%	3%
	Fully-retired		2%	39%	14%
	Semi-retired	0%	1%	7%	3%
	Self-employed	7%	9%	2%	6%
	Not working (unemployed)	8%	14%	14%	12%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q23] What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q23	Less than high school	9%	4%	6%	6%
	High school graduate (regular high school diploma or GED)	31%	29%	26%	29%
	Some college or technical school, no degree	28%	26%	28%	27%
	Associate's degree (for example AA or AS)	8%	11%	9%	9%
	Bachelor's degree (for example BA, BS)	18%	18%	20%	19%
	Postgraduate degree (for example MA, MS, MBA, Ph.D, MD, etc.)	6%	13%	12%	10%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q24] & [Q25] Regardless of whether you are white, Black, Asian, or something else in terms of race, are you of Latino or Hispanic ethnicity, even partially?

Regardless of whether you are even partially of Latino or Hispanic ethnicity, which of the following categories BEST describes your race? If mixed, please pick the one to which you feel closest, culturally.

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Race2	White	26%	42%	47%	39%
	Black	13%	11%	17%	14%
	Hispanic	33%	21%	20%	25%
	Asian	22%	20%	15%	19%
	Other	4%	3%	1%	3%
	Declined	2%	2%	1%	1%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q26] How many children 17 years or younger live in your household?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q26	0	45%	43%	80%	56%
	1	19%	21%	8%	16%
	2	20%	19%	7%	15%
	3	12%	12%	4%	9%
	4	4%	3%	1%	3%
	5	1%			1%
	6				
	7				
	9				
	10				
	20				
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

[Q27] What is your sex?

		18-33	34-52	53+	Total
Q27	Male	47%	46%	51%	48%
	Female	53%	54%	49%	52%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%

Survey weighting and variance estimation methodology for Sacramento Community Survey, 2018-19

John Martin

4/25/2019

Introduction

The following report details the survey methodology steps and r code used to calibrate the Sacramento Community Survey 2018-19. To address output interest in district level estimates, focus neighborhood estimates and known low response for some race/ethnicity groups an oversampling survey design using 10 geographical strata was employed.

Firstly, the survey data consisted of two modes of collection;

- (A) A face-to-face street (convenience) survey sample in 10 strata across Sacramento city aligned to the 6 police districts with oversampling for focus neighborhoods and Asian race/ethnicity respondents.
- (B) An internet survey sample at Sacramento city level.

Both of these samples suffer from non-response issues as the non-response rate is high and the respondents are self-selecting participants of convenience samples. Therefore these surveys are non-probability samples.

Secondly, after analysis of the zip code responses to the initial round of the survey in 2018 it was noted that some respondents were out of scope due to geographical information indicating residence outside of Sacramento City. So a second round of the survey consisting of a supplemental internet survey (using the remainder of Sacramento Internet survey frame) was conducted in 2019 to replace most of the out-of-scope (zip code) respondents

Thirdly, the non-probability survey data was calibrated to design strata benchmarks based on the American Community Survey (ACS) to improve the representativeness of the sample estimates to strata and Sacramento city populations. Several calibration models were investigated, including whether to combine the two survey samples by pseudo-probability weights or separate calibration weights. Given the low sample size available for weighting to 10 strata (i) combining the two surveys by pseudo-probability weights and collectively adjusting their non-response behavior through calibration, and (ii) using marginal tabulated benchmarks within each strata rather than cross tabulated benchmarks, was the most straightforward approach and produced the highest minimum sample weights (i.e. least constraint) for sample units.

Finally, to assess the survey non-response effect on the margin of error (MOE) of the survey estimates. 30 group jack knife standard errors at 10 strata level have been produced, with ACS benchmark uncertainty included in jack knife replicate benchmarks for the strata population and the strata marginal benchmarks for gender, age group race/ethnicity cohorts (using standard

error model estimates). In practice, for each jack knife replicate, 1/30 of the sample was omitted from weighting and the 29/30 remainder of the sample weighted to replicate benchmarks differing by uncertainty of ACS from the whole sample benchmarks.

Pseudo-probability of selection by survey mode adjusted to strata population

Given two modes of collection of survey responses based on convenience sampling, the Sacramento Community Survey data arises from non-probability sampling. That is, the probability of selection of residents of Sacramento city for either mode at city or district/neighborhood level was not developed beforehand based on list or geographical frame, the internet survey address frame would not have been complete and based on imperfect information and oversampling was conducted to ensure sufficient responses for focus neighborhoods and Asian race/ethnicity residents.

To overcome the above deficiency, pseudo-probabilities of selection have been developed based on the strata the responding resident represented with the accompanying use of calibration to benchmarks at district/neighborhood levels to improve the representativeness of the responding non-probability sample. That is, while the probability of selection is unknown the process of selection via two modes (i) face-to-Face street survey samples in 10 strata across Sacramento city and (ii) internet survey sample based on a large Sacramento residents list allowed a sufficiently diverse range of Sacramento residents to be approached with the non-response adjustment improving the reliability of the estimates particularly at district/neighborhood and race/ethnicity levels.

In the first step of weighting the responding sample, the pseudo-probability weights of selection of the two modes (Tables 3 & 4) is derived based on the strata populations (Table 1) and the responding sample sizes (Table 2).

$$w_{pseudo-probability} = \frac{N_{designstrata}}{n_{sample}} \quad (1)$$

Note that the internet survey pseudo-probability weight of selection initially applies at Sacramento city level (2nd column of Table 3) and is then adjusted further such that each Internet survey responding unit has the same input survey weight for the poststrata they represent (3rd column of Table 3) during calibration.

$$w_{adjusted-selection-weight} = \frac{N_{poststrata}}{n_{samplepoststrata}} \quad (2)$$

The area code of the face-to-face 10 design strata in Tables 1-4 contains a Police District (1-6) identifier and a neighborhood identifier.

Internet survey - number of respondents = 404

Face-to-Face survey - number of respondents = 701

Table 1. ACS based population benchmarks at strata level

Area code	Population
1	67,672
2a	6,765
2b	40,715
3	27,316
4	75,996
5a	12,526
5b	23,369
5c	26,592
6a	12,494
6b	76,008

Table 2. Sacramento Community Survey counts of respondents by survey mode at strata level

Area code	Internet survey counts	Face-to-face counts
1	71	59
2a	29	89
2b	43	73
3	81	47
4	54	79
5a	10	25
5b	11	138
5c	18	43
6a	32	85
6b	55	63

Table 3. Internet survey sample pseudo probability weight and adjusted strata level pseudo-probability weight

Area code	pseudo_prob_wgt	adj_sel_wgt
1	914.5	953.1
2a	914.5	233.3
2b	914.5	946.9
3	914.5	337.2
4	914.5	1,407.3
5a	914.5	1,252.6
5b	914.5	2,124.5
5c	914.5	1,477.3
6a	914.5	390.4
6b	914.5	1,382.0

Table 4. Face-to-face survey sample strata level pseudo-probability weight

Area code	pseudo_prob_wgt	adj_sel_wgt
1	1,146.98	1,146.98
2a	76.01	76.01
2b	557.74	557.74
3	581.19	581.19
4	961.97	961.97
5a	501.04	501.04
5b	169.34	169.34
5c	618.42	618.42
6a	146.99	146.99
6b	1,206.48	1,206.48

In this final weighting methodology, the two survey samples are initially allowed to combine by their poststrata level pseudo-probability weights. That is, to first order, the sample variances are chosen to be combined according to the relative sample sizes of the two survey modes at poststrata level. Noting that the poststrata for calibration in the final weighting methodology is the face-to-face survey 10 design strata.

$$\sim \frac{\text{var}(\text{total strata sample}) + n_{MSB} \cdot \text{var}(\text{internet sample}) + n_{F2F} \cdot \text{var}(\text{face - to - face sample})}{n_{MSB} + n_{F2F}} \quad (3)$$

This approach assumes that the non-response (and non-probability selection) characteristics of the internet and face-to-face survey modes sample are sufficiently alike to treat them as two similar surveys and make the non-response adjustments collectively on the combined sample.

Such an assumption isn't fully justified given the higher quality expected from face-to-face interviews per se compared to self-conducted (convenience sample) internet interviews but it can also be described that the Sacramento Community Survey face-to-face interview sampling was convenience cluster sampling given limited locations/times for the interviews that also affects survey data quality and estimate uncertainty.

In reality, other weighting methodologies were attempted on the dataset where the two samples were weighted separately (i.e. separate non-response treatment) and then combined together. Such more thorough weighting methods attempted to combine the two samples according to the relative variance of the (non-response adjusted) two survey mode samples at strata level. However, it was found that both the survey mode sample sizes were too small to justify individual non-response adjustment given the number of benchmarks and output strata required.

Calibration of initial survey weights to strata gender, age group and race/ethnicity benchmarks

Given the initial survey weights, non-response adjustment using iterative raking to marginal ACS benchmarks at gender, three broad age groups and five race/ethnicity levels within each strata, was conducted. The ACS benchmarks were derived from ACS census tract information using ArcGIS software (i) for marginal benchmarks at the 10 design strata and (ii) for cross tabulated benchmarks at the 6 Police Districts by J.S. Onesimo Sandoval, Associate Professor, Department of Sociology and Anthropology at Saint Louis University. The marginal benchmarks at the 10 design strata were then adjusted slightly by raking to be consistent with the cross tabulated Police District level benchmarks. Finally for two benchmark cells with zero survey sample, the Other Non-Hispanic ("O") benchmark was collapsed into the White Non-Hispanic ("W") benchmark. The 10 strata marginal benchmarks are given in Table 5.

The raking procedure for weighting was conducted using a r code implementation of calibration weighting (<https://github.com/emunozh/GREGWT>) by Dr. M. Esteban Munoz H. emunozh@gmail.com of generalized regression weighing (GREGWT) ([http://www.ausstats.abs.gov.au/Ausstats/subscriber.nsf/0/02784B0B80A4CD18CA25713000781B40/\\$File/1352055029_Jul2000.pdf](http://www.ausstats.abs.gov.au/Ausstats/subscriber.nsf/0/02784B0B80A4CD18CA25713000781B40/$File/1352055029_Jul2000.pdf)) by Philip Bell, Australian Bureau of Statistics. In the iterative raking, successive rounds of GREGWT calibration separately to age group, race/ethnicity and then gender for each strata were conducted until convergence of the weights was achieved.

For calibration to gender benchmarks, a separate calibration category of non-response to gender was included given people now also use non-binary gender designations. Essentially, the gender weighting steps used the male/female benchmark ratio to adjust the benchmark for weighted persons responding as male/female and the weighted question non-response ratio determined the gender non-response population.

For calibration to race/ethnicity benchmarks, the question level non-respondents were assigned as Other Non-Hispanic as a dump category given various possible motivations for race/ethnicity question non-response.

Table 5. ACS based marginal benchmarks for gender, age group and race/ethnicity at strata level. Zero benchmark cells arise from benchmark collapsing due to zero sample

Area.Code	1	2b	2a	3	4	5a	5b	5c	6b	6a
All	67672	40715	6765	27316	75996	12526	23369	26592	76008	12494
Male	32717	19778	3363	14694	35329	5762	11126	12543	36630	6105
Female	34955	20937	3402	12622	40667	6764	12243	14049	39378	6389
X18...34	25610	15897	2668	12615	21036	4561	8402	9970	28421	4788
X35...54	24904	14034	2421	7373	24654	4275	7325	9517	23133	4568
X55...over	17158	10784	1676	7328	30306	3690	7642	7105	24454	3138
W	24104	14011	1934	16517	31091	3283	4060	4275	39296	3758
A	13105	5522	968	2684	17130	2861	5734	8793	10993	1616
B	9010	5106	1201	2725	9670	3050	5251	5499	6644	2239
H	18277	13881	2102	5390	15189	3332	6331	6140	16069	4431
O	3176	2195	560	0	2916	0	1993	1885	3006	450

The GREGWT package was developed to actually solve the more complex case of simultaneous calibration to cross tabulated benchmarks and this method was also investigated for this dataset at the 6 Police District poststrata level. The Police District cross tabulated benchmarks are given in Table 6.

In the end, the 10 strata calibration using marginal benchmarks methodology was preferred to cross tabulated benchmarking because of (i) the interest in neighborhood level estimates and (ii) the cross tabulated methodology has a significantly higher number of constraints (i.e. 17 benchmarks versus 10 benchmarks per strata) and produced much lower minimum weights reducing the effective degrees of freedom of the weighted data.

Table 6. ACS based cross tabulated benchmarks for gender, age group and race/ethnicity by District. Zero benchmark cells arise from benchmark collapsing due to zero sample.

DISTRICT	1	2	3	4	5	6
popn	67,672	47,480	27,316	75,996	62,487	88,502
A18...34	5,215	3,225	1,109	4,444	6,468	5,395
A35...54	4,873	1,998	572	4,467	5,395	7,214
A55...over	3,017	1,267	1,003	8,219	5,525	0
B18...34	2,979	2,150	1,124	2,984	4,687	4,289
B35...54	3,740	1,969	881	3,196	4,738	2,475
B55...over	2,291	2,188	720	3,490	4,375	2,119
H18...34	8,443	7,614	3,154	6,080	6,834	9,440

H35...54	7,115	6,084	1,363	5,382	6,252	6,747
H55...over	2,719	2,285	873	3,727	2,717	4,313
W18...34	7,589	4,308	7,228	6,258	2,960	12,651
W35...54	7,945	5,401	4,557	10,579	3,076	13,495
W55...over	8,570	6,236	4,732	14,254	4,618	16,908
O18...34	1,384	1,268	0	1,270	1,984	1,434
O35...54	1,792	1,003	0	1,030	1,656	1,185
O55...over	0	484	0	616	1,202	837
Male	32,718	23,141	14,694	35,328	29,431	42,734
Female	34,954	24,339	12,622	40,668	33,056	45,768

The overall weighting code is contained in “jack_knife_final_full_sample_marginal_benchmarks_v4_withbenchmarkuncertaintycheckedpseudobmksinvestprorata_using_pseudo_prob_wgts.R” which reads the survey file, derives pseudo-probability weights at strata level for the sample, assigns sample unit variables for benchmark classes, sets up the main and replicate benchmarks and calls the iterative raking subroutine. The raking process is repeated for the jack knife replicate subsamples and finally the separate strata weighting results are concatenated are saved to file as well as attached to the survey data file. The repeated iterative raking subroutine is hard coded as “raking_age_raceeth_sex_subroutine_v2.R” and includes 6 rounds of raking to the benchmarks (sequential calls to GREGWT to calibrate one benchmark at a time with each round comprising age group, race/ethnicity then gender benchmarking) to allow for the slowest to weighting convergence strata.

Jack Knife replicate estimation of effects of non-response adjustment and ACS uncertainty

One common method to estimate the uncertainty in complex survey weighting estimates is jack knife replicate estimation where a unit or group of units are omitted (without replacement) and the remainder of the sample is reweighted to the benchmarks. The variability of the estimate due to the sample design and the influence of particular units (or groups of units) is then captured in the variance of the replicate estimates and it is observed that different estimate have differing margins of error (MOE). and 30 group jack knife replicate estimation was also conducted to produce individual standard errors to estimates using benchmarks adjusted by ACS uncertainty.

Note that margin of errors for proportions are lower than for counts since there is some cancellation of systematic errors between the numerator and denominator of a proportion estimate.

The variance formula for the 30 group jack knife estimation is

$$MOE_{weightedestimate} = 1.96 * \sqrt{\left(\frac{30-1}{30}\right) \left[\frac{\sum(jk_i - \bar{jk})^2}{(30-1)}\right]} \quad (4)$$

where jk_i are the 30 group jack knife estimates and \overline{jk} is the mean of the group estimates. The factor $\frac{(30-1)}{(30)}$ adjusts for the behavior than jack knife replicate subsamples slightly overestimate the variance of the full sample. Note the jack knife variance formula works for both counts and proportions.

Jack Knife replicate estimation check on margin of error (MOE) for main benchmarks (in the absence of ACS uncertainty)

In Tables 7-10, the jack knife calibration estimates were calculated not considering that the ACS benchmarks had uncertainty. These tables are produced to show that the benchmarking to 10 poststrata level worked as expected. That is, in the absence of benchmark uncertainty the weighted estimates at age group and race/ethnicity should tend to zero except for the design decision to collapse empty sample cells for Other Non-Hispanic with White Non-Hispanic. For gender benchmarks, the margin of error is not zero because of the treatment of gender question non-response as an “other gender” category.

- (i) in Table 7 jack knife MOE estimates shows that the weighted population estimates at poststrata level are being benchmarked as expected and jack knife estimation works.

Table 7. Margin of Error (MOE) poststrata population estimates (in absence of ACS benchmark uncertainty)

	1	2a	2b	3	4	5a	5b	5c	6a	6b
MOE counts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- (ii) in Table 8, the jack knife MOE estimates show the age group poststrata estimates are generally closely benchmarked except for poststrata 5a & 5c where the overall small sample size (n=35 & 61, see Table 2) meant some jack knife replicates did not contain many sample units within particular age groups. Note however, for example that the MOE, $119.4/9970=1.2\%$ for age group 18-34 years old in poststrata 5c, is still a very small MOE and the uncertainty in the ACS benchmarks at poststrata level to be discussed in the next section is higher than this error from sample size issues.

Table 8. Margin of Error (MOE) poststrata population by age group estimates (in absence of ACS benchmark uncertainty)

	1	2a	2b	3	4	5a	5b	5c	6a	6b
X18...34	1.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.4	47.0	1.2	119.4	0.1	0.5
X35...54	1.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	46.6	0.6	77.3	0.0	0.7
X55...over	2.6	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	35.5	1.2	57.8	0.1	0.9

- (ii) in Table 9, the jack knife MOE estimates show race/ethnicity weighted estimates are generally closely benchmarked except where some jack knife replicates had zero subsamples of Other Non-Hispanic sample units and GREGWT automatically collapsed the Other Non-Hispanic benchmark with the White Non-Hispanic benchmark within the poststrata 2a, 5c, 6b. The “NA” appearing in the poststrata 3 & 5a columns are consistent with Table 5 where zero Other Non-Hispanic responding sample was available for those poststrata.

Table 9. Margin of Error (MOE) poststrata population by Race/Ethnicity group estimates (in absence of ACS benchmark uncertainty)

	1	2a	2b	3	4	5a	5b	5c	6a	6b
A	0.2	0.0	0	0	0.2	11.2	0.1	16.0	0	0.1
B	0.4	0.1	0	0	0.0	2.8	0.0	13.0	0	0.1
H	0.2	0.0	0	0	0.2	18.9	0.2	6.2	0	0.1
O	0.4	197.0	0	NA	0.0	NA	0.2	663.6	0	1,057.6
W	0.1	197.0	0	0	0.0	7.1	0.1	663.9	0	1,057.6

(ii) in Table 10, the jack knife MOE estimates for benchmarked gender estimates show mostly non-zero MOEs due to the decision of creating “other” estimates as legitimate arising from non-response to the binary survey gender question “Male/Female?”. In poststrata 5a where there was zero non-response to the survey gender question the Male/Female estimates are perfectly benchmarks (in the absence of ACS benchmark uncertainty).

Table 10. Margin of Error (MOE) poststrata population by gender group estimates (in absence of ACS benchmark uncertainty)

	1	2a	2b	3	4	5a	5b	5c	6a	6b
F	102.7	4.3	55.7	31.9	43.2	0	8.8	68.3	11.3	49.0
M	96.1	4.3	52.6	37.1	37.5	0	8.0	61.0	10.8	45.6
Other	198.8	8.6	108.3	69.0	80.7	NA	16.9	129.3	22.0	94.7

So in summary for this subsection, the raking weighting procedure and the jack knife estimation of MOEs is producing sensible results for benchmarked population estimates. The uncertainty in the ACS benchmarks is now added to the jack knife calculations.

Census tract level uncertainty in ACS benchmarks at Sacramento city race/ethnicity by gender by fine age group level

The American Community Survey is the source of benchmark data at Census tract level since the 2010 Census. As a rolling sample survey combined with projection from the 2010 Census populations there is uncertainty in the benchmarks. One reference describing the uncertainty in the benchmarks is “Patterns and causes of uncertainty in the American Community Survey” S. E. Spielman, D Folch, and N Nagle Appl Geogr. 2014 Jan; 46: 147–157. doi: 10.1016/j.apgeog.2013.11.002 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4232960/>

For this Sacramento Community Survey weighting the usage of the census tract uncertainty has been simplified by standard error modelling in order to estimate ACS uncertainty for poststrata populations and the gender, age group and race/ethnicity benchmarks. The ACS uncertainty is then introduced into the weighting process by randomly adjusting the set 30 jack knife replicate

benchmarks for each poststrata (using the standard error modelling parameters) about the main benchmark values (Table 5).

Step 1: ACS uncertainty for poststrata total population

As with the benchmarks, the ACS uncertainty was tabulated for Sacramento city region by J.S. Onesimo Sandoval, Associate Professor, Department of Sociology and Anthropology at Saint Louis University and is given in Table 11. The nomenclature of the fine level estimates is (race/ethnicity & gender & age group) where the fine age groups are 18-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-44, 45-54, 55-64, 65 and Over. Two examples of the row names are “baf3” (Black or African American, Female, 25-29 yrs old) and “tam5” (total, Male, 35-44 yrs old). The “Total” column is the ACS benchmark, “Std..Err.” is the standard error of the ACS benchmark, and final two columns are the lower and upper bounds of the Margin of Error (MOE) of the ACS benchmark.

Table 11. ACS uncertainty race/ethnicity by gender by fine age group in Sacramento city region

group	Total	Std..Err.	X.95..Conf.	Interval.
bam2	4,591	1,064.7	2,478.6	6,703
bam3	2,678	312.0	2,058.9	3,297
bam4	1,833	267.1	1,303.1	2,363
bam5	3,662	452.1	2,765.1	4,559
bam6	3,563	369.6	2,829.7	4,296
bam7	3,364	365.7	2,638.5	4,089
bam8	2,882	318.7	2,249.6	3,514
baf2	3,844	592.8	2,667.9	5,020
baf3	2,245	273.8	1,701.7	2,788
baf4	2,266	302.1	1,666.7	2,865
baf5	4,132	459.0	3,221.4	5,043
baf6	4,814	514.8	3,792.7	5,835
baf7	4,511	466.4	3,585.6	5,436
baf8	3,990	483.5	3,030.8	4,949
ham2	8,488	852.8	6,796.1	10,180
ham3	6,105	578.1	4,958.1	7,252
ham4	5,077	461.1	4,162.1	5,992
ham5	9,098	822.3	7,466.6	10,729
ham6	7,121	558.9	6,012.2	8,230
ham7	3,973	322.6	3,332.9	4,613
ham8	3,323	317.8	2,692.4	3,954
haf2	8,814	777.9	7,270.7	10,357
haf3	6,058	520.1	5,026.2	7,090
haf4	5,480	463.4	4,560.6	6,399

215
 Sacramento Community Survey 2019

haf5	8,830	730.2	7,381.3	10,279
haf6	6,718	537.4	5,651.8	7,784
haf7	4,348	394.7	3,564.9	5,131
haf8	4,435	411.9	3,617.8	5,252
wam2	5,398	523.2	4,360.1	6,436
wam3	7,421	701.8	6,028.6	8,813
wam4	6,777	566.9	5,652.4	7,902
wam5	10,928	842.2	9,257.1	12,599
wam6	10,808	791.5	9,237.6	12,378
wam7	11,945	768.0	10,421.3	13,469
wam8	12,314	986.2	10,357.4	14,271
waf2	5,469	602.5	4,273.6	6,664
waf3	6,767	630.2	5,516.7	8,017
waf4	6,747	598.9	5,558.7	7,935
waf5	10,292	798.7	8,707.5	11,877
waf6	10,555	773.8	9,019.8	12,090
waf7	12,660	933.8	10,807.5	14,513
waf8	16,310	1,360.3	13,611.2	19,009
oam2	1,469	263.4	946.5	1,992
oam3	781	197.3	389.5	1,173
oam4	1,381	270.7	844.0	1,918
oam5	1,794	235.5	1,326.8	2,261
oam6	1,220	191.5	840.1	1,600
oam7	1,034	169.8	697.2	1,371
oam8	671	130.5	412.0	930
oaf2	1,630	214.5	1,204.5	2,056
oaf3	1,021	185.6	652.8	1,389
oaf4	1,615	297.2	1,025.4	2,205
oaf5	1,461	187.7	1,088.6	1,833
oaf6	1,663	206.3	1,253.7	2,072
oaf7	1,208	179.0	852.8	1,563
oaf8	892	191.4	512.3	1,272
tam2	24,410	1,906.6	20,627.4	28,193
tam3	20,953	1,204.6	18,563.2	23,343
tam4	18,662	929.2	16,818.4	20,506
tam5	30,561	1,536.4	27,512.8	33,609
tam6	27,250	1,169.2	24,930.4	29,570
tam7	24,826	995.9	22,850.3	26,802

216
Sacramento Community Survey 2019

tam8	24,330	1,374.7	21,602.6	27,057
taf2	23,850	1,745.6	20,386.7	27,313
taf3	20,299	1,173.7	17,970.4	22,628
taf4	19,845	1,018.5	17,824.2	21,866
taf5	29,727	1,573.6	26,605.1	32,849
taf6	28,780	1,361.2	26,079.4	31,481
taf7	28,110	1,345.4	25,440.8	30,779
taf8	32,198	1,951.3	28,326.7	36,069




Table 12. Standard error model for Sacramento city region race/ethnicity by gender by fine age group ACS benchmark data

Intercept	log(ACS population)
0.8256	-0.3671

As shown in the above figure, this modelling smooths the tract/poststrata ACS benchmark uncertainty somewhat but given the low scatter about the real values they are good approximations and allow an easier implementation with jack knife replicates. Using the above model the poststrata 30 jack knife replicate benchmarks were randomly varied about the ACS populations (second row Table 5) using the standard error formula

$$\text{ACS jack knife MOE} = 1.96 \cdot \exp(0.8256267 + (1 - 0.3670669) * \ln(\text{ACS population})) \quad (5)$$

Table 13. %MOE of poststrata ACS benchmarks based on standard error modelling of ACS benchmark uncertainty

	V1	V2	V3	V4	V5	V6	V7	V8	V9	V10
Area code	1	2a	2b	3	4	5a	5b	5c	6a	6b
ACS benchmark	67672	6765	40715	27316	75996	12526	23369	26592	12494	76008
ACS %MOE	7.5	17.6	9.1	10.5	7.2	14.0	11.1	10.6	14.0	7.2

In three poststrata, the random selected jack knife replicates benchmarks that were produced were too inconsistent with the above model MOEs, so a minor scaling step was used on the 30 jackknife replicate values for those three poststrata to get the MOE within 2% of the equation (5) value shown in Table 13.

Step 2: ACS benchmark uncertainty for poststrata proportions of subgroups, i.e. within gender, age group & race/ethnicity subgroups

In the above first step the uncertainty in the total population at poststrata level has been included in the weighting. By only doing that step, the subgroup ratios of Male/Female, 18..34/35..54/55..over and WhiteN, BlackN, AsianN, OtherN and Hispanic remained fixed within each jack knife replicate for each poststrata which is not realistic. So in this second ACS uncertainty weighting step, the ACS uncertainty is approximated for the subgroup proportions of gender, age group & race/ethnicity and also introduced into the jack knife replicate benchmarks.

In isolation the standard error model equation (5) can be used with Table 5 benchmarks for gender, age group & race/ethnicity to estimate the standard error of each marginal benchmark. For a benchmark with only two groups, e.g. Male/Female, that information is sufficient to then estimate the standard error of the Male/(Male+Female) proportion and vice versa for its complement. Random estimates of Male proportions can then be selected for each jack knife replicate (and consistently (1-Male proportion) for the Female proportion) and the combination of the jack knife total population and the jack knife proportion gives a good approximation of the ACS uncertainty in the Male/Female ratio within a poststrata.

However, when there are more than two subgroups (3 for age group and 5 for race/ethnicity) a naive method of uncorrelated random estimates between subgroups fails. The solution to this problem already exists and consistent random proportions for multinomial subgroups can be derived using random multinomial distributions. The r code employed for this weighting involved the use of random sampling function `rmultinom(n, size, prob.)` <https://stat.ethz.ch/R-manual/R-devel/library/stats/html/Multinom.html> where

The use of multinomial probability random sampling of proportion does allow some variation in the order of highest to lowest proportions but on averaging reproduces the input probabilities of the main benchmark. For example, Table 14 presents the jack knife group estimates of proportions for poststrata 6a.

Table 14. Random Multinomial selected 30 jack knife replicate proportions for subgroups in poststrata 6a roughly aligned to ACS uncertainty standard error model

Male	Female	X18...34	X35...54	X55...over	W	A	B	H	O
0.484	0.516	0.414	0.362	0.224	0.274	0.142	0.188	0.348	0.048
0.472	0.528	0.380	0.396	0.224	0.310	0.126	0.192	0.334	0.038
0.522	0.478	0.374	0.382	0.244	0.288	0.126	0.196	0.352	0.038
0.482	0.518	0.398	0.366	0.236	0.298	0.106	0.206	0.352	0.038
0.482	0.518	0.332	0.376	0.292	0.324	0.136	0.154	0.348	0.038

0.478	0.522	0.392	0.348	0.260	0.316	0.124	0.180	0.356	0.024
0.512	0.488	0.408	0.358	0.234	0.308	0.130	0.186	0.342	0.034
0.498	0.502	0.410	0.370	0.220	0.304	0.162	0.144	0.350	0.040
0.494	0.506	0.412	0.340	0.248	0.284	0.122	0.192	0.360	0.042
0.478	0.522	0.410	0.316	0.274	0.322	0.110	0.182	0.352	0.034
0.452	0.548	0.386	0.344	0.270	0.332	0.144	0.162	0.330	0.032
0.492	0.508	0.372	0.362	0.266	0.300	0.132	0.184	0.346	0.038
0.470	0.530	0.374	0.350	0.276	0.306	0.150	0.170	0.348	0.026
0.490	0.510	0.364	0.350	0.286	0.294	0.152	0.144	0.376	0.034
0.470	0.530	0.350	0.404	0.246	0.312	0.134	0.180	0.340	0.034
0.484	0.516	0.400	0.366	0.234	0.324	0.138	0.154	0.348	0.036
0.450	0.550	0.378	0.366	0.256	0.302	0.142	0.166	0.352	0.038
0.498	0.502	0.410	0.338	0.252	0.296	0.110	0.180	0.368	0.046
0.482	0.518	0.386	0.384	0.230	0.288	0.132	0.166	0.374	0.040
0.508	0.492	0.352	0.394	0.254	0.314	0.138	0.198	0.314	0.036
0.530	0.470	0.366	0.368	0.266	0.272	0.126	0.168	0.402	0.032
0.498	0.502	0.378	0.348	0.274	0.292	0.114	0.176	0.372	0.046
0.506	0.494	0.350	0.366	0.284	0.328	0.108	0.168	0.364	0.032
0.482	0.518	0.406	0.366	0.228	0.304	0.150	0.172	0.336	0.038
0.506	0.494	0.362	0.388	0.250	0.304	0.144	0.172	0.358	0.022
0.484	0.516	0.366	0.368	0.266	0.316	0.132	0.176	0.348	0.028
0.460	0.540	0.418	0.322	0.260	0.320	0.094	0.180	0.366	0.040
0.508	0.492	0.378	0.334	0.288	0.302	0.152	0.150	0.360	0.036
0.494	0.506	0.394	0.346	0.260	0.320	0.126	0.148	0.372	0.034
0.504	0.496	0.432	0.340	0.228	0.274	0.138	0.190	0.368	0.030

In the weighting code once the jack knife replicates proportions are derived they are converted into benchmark counts using the random jack knife replicate total population

$$ACS_{jk \text{ subgroup bmk}} = ACS_{jk \text{ poststrata random total bmk}} \cdot ACS_{jk \text{ poststrata subgroup random proportion}} \quad (6)$$

and so both the ACS uncertainty of the total poststrata population and the poststrata subgroup proportions is incorporated into the survey estimate MOEs.

Jack Knife replicate estimation margin of error (MOE) for including ACS uncertainty

In the following figures, proportion estimates and MOEs of proportion estimates are displayed for the final weighted survey with 30 group jack knife estimates of MOE.

It should be remarked that MOEs of proportion estimates are lower than %MOEs of estimates of counts. In particular, for proportions some sources of survey error are correlated between the numerator and the denominator and so are cancelled out for the estimate of proportion. So while the Sacramento Community Survey has %MOEs of poststrata population counts between 7%-17% in Table 13, many MOEs of proportion estimates within poststrata (and aggregated to city level) will naturally be lower than those %MOEs of counts. Which is of course the benefit of concentrating on estimates of proportion.


A simple approximate formula that illustrates this phenomena for proportions (but not ratios in general) is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p} &= \frac{\hat{x}}{\hat{y}} && x \subset y \\ \frac{\sqrt{\text{var}(p)}}{\hat{p}} &= \sqrt{\frac{\text{var}(x)}{\hat{x}^2} - 2 \frac{\text{cov}(x,y)}{\hat{x}\hat{y}} + \frac{\text{var}(y)}{\hat{y}^2}} \\ &\approx \sqrt{\frac{\text{var}(x)}{\hat{x}^2} - 2 \frac{\frac{\hat{x}}{\hat{y}} \text{var}(y)}{\hat{x}\hat{y}} + \frac{\text{var}(y)}{\hat{y}^2}} && x \subset y \\ &\rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\text{var}(x)}{\hat{x}^2} - \frac{\text{var}(y)}{\hat{y}^2}} && x \subset y \end{aligned}$$

for ratios in general the numerator and denominator are not strongly correlated (i.e. $\text{cov}(x,y) \neq \frac{\hat{x}}{\hat{y}} \text{var}(y)$). Note that the 30 group jack knife estimates of MOE use the above approximate formula but a cancellation of some of the correlated error between numerator and denominator will occur in similar fashion.

In the first table is a scatterplot of poststrata level proportion MOE estimates compared to the proportion estimate for several survey questions, compared to the simple random sampling (SRS) MOE estimate of proportion for n=1105 (total sample).


combined log-log scatterplot of estimates & MOEs across several poststrata tables



As can be seen with the use of calibration to poststrata benchmarks, the estimates of proportions have MOEs outperform their sample size which is the intended benefit of performing calibration of the non-response adjustment is sufficiently successful.

For poststrata proportions of estimates based on breakdown of single survey questions the equivalent SRS performance is similar to total sample size (and MOE ~ 3% for $\hat{p} = 0.5$)

In the second figure, the MOEs of fine level employment status as proportions estimates of race/ethnicity within the 10 poststrata are displayed collectively along with simple random sampling (SRS) estimates of proportion for $n=1105$ (total sample) and $n=110$ (average sample per poststrata).




For poststrata by race/ethnicity proportions of estimates based on breakdown of single survey questions the equivalent SRS performance is lower than for total sample size but better than the average sample size per poststrata. Such three-way tables, poststrata x race/ethnicity x question category has lower sample sizes in the denominator and hence higher MOEs (i.e. uncertainty).

In the third and fourth figures, the MOEs proportions estimates of race/ethnicity at city level are displayed collectively along with simple random sampling (SRS) estimates of proportion for $n=1105$ (total sample). The third figure uses tables where the question categories have been collapsed from 5 to 3 while the fourth shows proportion estimates with the original 5 question categories.

As can be seen with the use of calibration to poststrata race/ethnicity benchmarks, the estimates of proportions have MOEs that outperform their raw sample size.

combined log-log scatterplot of estimates for 3 question categories & MOEs across several race/eth tables



combined log-log scatterplot of race/ethnicity based estimates for 5 question categories & MOEs across city tables




Table 15. Employment status as proportion of total population

	employment status
Working full-time	43.0
Working part-time	10.0
Student	9.3
Homemaker	3.2
Fully-retired	14.1
Semi-retired	2.7
Self-employed	6.0
Not working (unemployed)	11.7

Table 16. Proportion Margin of Error for employment status as proportion of total population

	%MOE
Working full-time	1.41
Working part-time	0.51
Student	0.62
Homemaker	0.38
Fully-retired	1.06
Semi-retired	0.31
Self-employed	0.52
Not working (unemployed)	0.78