





- SIRC Combined Maps
- Commissioner Submitted Maps
- Public Submitted Maps
 - Visual of All Public Maps
 - Public Map Evaluation Matrix
 - Start of Individual Public Maps
 - Submitted Letters of Support
 - List of Neighborhoods Split by Plan # (public submitted)





- Overall Range Deviation: 9.49%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 10
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 9
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.16 - 0.50
- Population Stability (% not change district): 63.87%



CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 12/02/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statelibraryofcalifornia.org/databases/statewide-database-official-2021-redistricting-data/>)

Map A - Population Summary Report


District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
D1	62,797	65,815	-3,018	-4.59	16,949	13,814	8,726	17,820	692	448
D2	62,400	65,815	-3,415	-5.19	15,600	24,251	8,755	8,524	1,086	395
D3	66,562	65,815	747	1.13	38,238	12,355	4,426	5,487	229	650
D4	62,903	65,815	-2,912	-4.42	15,410	21,806	9,278	10,894	909	480
D5	68,649	65,815	2,834	4.31	16,032	24,101	10,552	11,909	1,434	504
D6	66,706	65,815	891	1.35	23,162	20,976	4,965	12,458	928	379
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	12,570	7,652	14,870	483	421
D8	68,560	65,815	2,745	4.17	7,106	21,578	12,647	20,287	2,531	444

TOTAL Population:	526,520
Mean Target Population:	65,815
Mean Deviation:	2,336
Mean Percent Deviation:	3.55
Largest Positive Deviation:	2,834
Largest Negative Deviation:	-3,415
Overall Range in Deviation:	6,249
Overall Range in Deviation Percentage:	9.49

Map A - Demographic Report

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	62,797	65,815	-3,018	-4.59	16,949	8,726	199	17,820	692	448	13,814	4,149
					26.99%	13.90%	0.32%	28.38%	1.10%	0.71%	22.00%	6.61%
D2	62,400	65,815	-3,415	-5.19	15,600	8,755	444	8,524	1,086	395	24,251	3,345
					25.00%	14.03%	0.71%	13.66%	1.74%	0.63%	38.86%	5.36%
D3	66,562	65,815	747	1.13	38,238	4,426	371	5,487	229	650	12,355	4,806
					57.45%	6.65%	0.56%	8.24%	0.34%	0.98%	18.56%	7.22%
D4	62,903	65,815	-2,912	-4.42	15,410	9,278	292	10,894	909	480	21,806	3,834
					24.50%	14.75%	0.46%	17.32%	1.45%	0.76%	34.67%	6.10%
D5	68,649	65,815	2,834	4.31	16,032	10,552	370	11,909	1,434	504	24,101	3,747
					23.35%	15.37%	0.54%	17.35%	2.09%	0.73%	35.11%	5.46%
D6	66,706	65,815	891	1.35	23,162	4,965	349	12,458	928	379	20,976	3,489
					34.72%	7.44%	0.52%	18.68%	1.39%	0.57%	31.45%	5.23%
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	7,652	212	14,870	483	421	12,570	5,086
					39.22%	11.26%	0.31%	21.89%	0.71%	0.62%	18.50%	7.49%
D8	68,560	65,815	2,745	4.17	7,106	12,647	249	20,287	2,531	444	21,578	3,718
					10.36%	18.45%	0.36%	29.59%	3.69%	0.65%	31.47%	5.42%

Map_A



County of Sacramento, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, METI/NASA, USGS, Bureau of Land Management, EPA, NPS, USDA

NAME

American River Parkway

CSUS

Curtis Park

Fairgrounds

Land Park

Meadowview


Parkway

Robla


Valley Hi / North Laguna

Village 5

< all other values >




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 18.64%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 10
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 10
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.17 - 0.51
- Population Stability (% not change district): 74.85%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 12/02/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statelibraryofcalifornia.org/databases/redistricting2021/>)

Map B - Population Summary Report


District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
D1	62,797	65,815	-3,018	-4.59	16,949	13,814	8,726	17,820	692	448
D2	62,400	65,815	-3,415	-5.19	15,600	24,251	8,755	8,524	1,086	395
D3	66,728	65,815	913	1.39	38,317	12,403	4,429	5,512	233	650
D4	62,903	65,815	-2,912	-4.42	15,410	21,806	9,278	10,894	909	480
D5	74,665	65,815	8,850	13.45	27,527	22,087	8,285	10,248	794	549
D6	66,540	65,815	725	1.10	23,083	20,928	4,962	12,433	924	379
D7	65,316	65,815	-499	-0.76	15,296	15,771	10,159	17,997	1,252	394
D8	65,171	65,815	-644	-0.98	6,964	20,391	12,407	18,821	2,402	426

TOTAL Population:	526,520
Mean Target Population:	65,815
Mean Deviation:	2,622
Mean Percent Deviation:	3.98
Largest Positive Deviation:	8,850
Largest Negative Deviation:	-3,415
Overall Range in Deviation:	12,265
Overall Range in Deviation Percentage:	18.64

Map B - Demographic Report

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	62,797	65,815	-3,018	-4.59	16,949	8,726	199	17,820	692	448	13,814	4,149
					26.99%	13.90%	0.32%	28.38%	1.10%	0.71%	22.00%	6.61%
D2	62,400	65,815	-3,415	-5.19	15,600	8,755	444	8,524	1,086	395	24,251	3,345
					25.00%	14.03%	0.71%	13.66%	1.74%	0.63%	38.86%	5.36%
D3	66,728	65,815	913	1.39	38,317	4,429	372	5,512	233	650	12,403	4,812
					57.42%	6.64%	0.56%	8.26%	0.35%	0.97%	18.59%	7.21%
D4	62,903	65,815	-2,912	-4.42	15,410	9,278	292	10,894	909	480	21,806	3,834
					24.50%	14.75%	0.46%	17.32%	1.45%	0.76%	34.67%	6.10%
D5	74,665	65,815	8,850	13.45	27,527	8,285	383	10,248	794	549	22,087	4,792
					36.87%	11.10%	0.51%	13.73%	1.06%	0.74%	29.58%	6.42%
D6	66,540	65,815	725	1.10	23,083	4,962	348	12,433	924	379	20,928	3,483
					34.69%	7.46%	0.52%	18.69%	1.39%	0.57%	31.45%	5.23%
D7	65,316	65,815	-499	-0.76	15,296	10,159	198	17,997	1,252	394	15,771	4,249
					23.42%	15.55%	0.30%	27.55%	1.92%	0.60%	24.15%	6.51%
D8	65,171	65,815	-644	-0.98	6,964	12,407	250	18,821	2,402	426	20,391	3,510
					10.69%	19.04%	0.38%	28.88%	3.69%	0.65%	31.29%	5.39%

Map_B



County of Sacramento, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, METI/NASA, USGS, Bureau of Land Management, EPA, NPS, USDA

NAME

American River Parkway

CSUS

Curtis Park

Fairgrounds

Land Park

Meadowview


Parkway

Robla


Valley Hi / North Laguna

Village 5

< all other values >




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 8.83%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 7
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 7
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-PopperTest): 0.23 - 0.42
- Population Stability (% not change district): 70.45%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	62,708	65,815	-3,107	-4.72	16,781	8,878	197	18,016	664	445	13,592	4,135
					26.76%	14.16%	0.31%	28.73%	1.06%	0.71%	21.68%	6.59%
D2	68,522	65,815	2,707	4.11	19,154	9,007	465	9,309	1,128	428	25,422	3,609
					27.95%	13.14%	0.68%	13.59%	1.65%	0.62%	37.10%	5.27%
D3	65,923	65,815	108	0.16	16,852	9,842	363	10,581	927	629	22,531	4,198
					25.56%	14.93%	0.55%	16.05%	1.41%	0.95%	34.18%	6.37%
D4	67,408	65,815	1,593	2.42	38,673	3,957	343	5,855	395	495	13,107	4,583
					57.37%	5.87%	0.51%	8.69%	0.59%	0.73%	19.44%	6.80%
D5	65,508	65,815	-307	-0.47	24,373	6,595	306	9,679	745	479	19,187	4,144
					37.21%	10.07%	0.47%	14.78%	1.14%	0.73%	29.29%	6.33%
D6	67,271	65,815	1,456	2.21	21,695	6,284	367	12,172	792	436	21,707	3,818
					32.25%	9.34%	0.55%	18.09%	1.18%	0.65%	32.27%	5.68%
D7	64,833	65,815	-982	-1.49	14,541	9,906	228	17,635	1,376	368	16,545	4,234
					22.43%	15.28%	0.35%	27.20%	2.12%	0.57%	25.52%	6.53%
D8	64,347	65,815	-1,468	-2.23	7,077	12,532	217	19,002	2,265	441	19,360	3,453
					11.00%	19.48%	0.34%	29.53%	3.52%	0.69%	30.09%	5.37%

Plan submitted on Sat Nov 20 2021 10:45:11 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Darren Conly

Username: Darren

Organization:


Plan Information

Plan name: DC_fromMap029


Description: DC variation of original submission of map SAC_029.

Plan Objectives

This map is based on public submission SAC_029, with the following tweaks made: Set Steelhead Crk as divider between D2 and D3; D1 population low due to expected growth; Keeps River Park and E. Sac together; Unites North and Central Oak Park; Puts Stockton Corridor in same district.




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 9.81%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 12
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 8
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.19 - 0.43
- Population Stability (% not change district): 70.08%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

Map Date: 11/23/2021
 DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>
 SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statelibraryofcalifornia.gov/data-repository/statewide-database-official-2021-redistricting-data/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	66,200	65,815	385	0.58	18,142	9,379	196	19,905	735	482	12,803	4,558
					27.40%	14.17%	0.30%	30.07%	1.11%	0.73%	19.34%	6.89%
D2	68,865	65,815	3,050	4.63	16,600	9,534	467	9,808	1,233	433	27,254	3,536
					24.11%	13.84%	0.68%	14.24%	1.79%	0.63%	39.58%	5.13%
D3	66,725	65,815	910	1.38	40,194	3,563	262	5,453	292	541	11,734	4,686
					60.24%	5.34%	0.39%	8.17%	0.44%	0.81%	17.59%	7.02%
D4	68,796	65,815	2,981	4.53	20,330	10,231	440	8,753	788	670	23,083	4,501
					29.55%	14.87%	0.64%	12.72%	1.15%	0.97%	33.55%	6.54%
D5	62,409	65,815	-3,406	-5.18	14,759	9,343	359	10,389	1,194	409	22,424	3,532
					23.65%	14.97%	0.58%	16.65%	1.91%	0.66%	35.93%	5.66%
D6	63,810	65,815	-2,005	-3.05	21,549	4,760	338	12,186	908	356	20,420	3,293
					33.77%	7.46%	0.53%	19.10%	1.42%	0.56%	32.00%	5.16%
D7	62,653	65,815	-3,162	-4.80	20,333	7,602	189	16,206	673	349	12,735	4,566
					32.45%	12.13%	0.30%	25.87%	1.07%	0.56%	20.33%	7.29%
D8	67,062	65,815	1,247	1.89	7,239	12,589	235	19,549	2,469	481	20,998	3,502
					10.79%	18.77%	0.35%	29.15%	3.68%	0.72%	31.31%	5.22%

Plan submitted on Tue Nov 23 2021 15:40:25 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Jesus Hernandez

Username: jchresearch

Organization:

Plan Information

Plan name: jch4

Description:

Plan Objectives

This approach used for map revisions considers the legal guidelines for maps presented in commission meetings with a primary focus on population count, communities of interest and neighborhood boundaries. And to the extent possible, revisions incorporate comments from commissioners and public comments received during commission meetings. Further adjustments to districts were then made to meet the integrity checks included with the mapping tool.

One important point continually expressed by the public is the need to protect Sacramento's numerous Communities of Interest. Although a number of such communities were identified by the public, locations in the city with concentrations of poverty, low resources and a persistently compromised economic productivity have not been adequately captured in the community of interest discussion. Concentrations of poverty and place-specific differences in economic productivity are closely connected to the social determinants of health – the bundle of social goods that affect our ability to earn income and maintain health. The level of public infrastructure investment in each neighborhood directly impacts the ability to access social goods, or in a more commonly used term, access to opportunity. **These are the places prioritized in this approach to redistricting.**

Data and historical information used to identify these communities of interest neglected by public investment can be found in the report "Race and Place in Sacramento," a report commissioned by the City of Sacramento to study how past discriminatory housing and land use practices may have impacted the city. The report supports many of the comments received from the public regarding the need for an equity lens in the redistricting process and can be obtained from the City's website (See [Race Place Nov-2021.pdf\(cityofsacramento.org\)](#)). The report provides evidence for presenting places of concentrated poverty and under-resourced areas in the city as distinct communities of interest that deserve representation. Given the degree of place-based economic disparities noted in the report, it is clear that elected officials can play an important role in ensuring equitable public infrastructure investment. Therefore, it is important that every effort is made to provide lower resourced neighborhoods with adequate political representation without compromising any legal guidelines.

district 1

Population increases due to recent growth require the SIRC to modify its boundaries in order to bring the population of this district to within the allowable range of plus or minus 5% of the 65,815 average for all districts. Annexation of the Panhandle area to the northwest in 2019 that was attached to the northeastern corner of District 1 has led to a slight increase in the size of the population of the district. Following the lead of maps 9, 11, 14, 20 and 29 submitted by the public, changes to this district move the Natomas Crossing area into district 4.

district 2

Minimal changes to this district were made. Public and commissioner comments expressed concern with moving Robla and Strawberry Manor into district 1, which is avoided here. A portion of the district just south of the Woodlake area is moved into district 3. District 2, similar to districts 5 and 8, has been severely impacted by inequitable infrastructure investment and historical urban planning policies.

district 3

Current population count exceeds the allowable limit. Changes to this district reduces the population count while connecting neighborhoods that are actively focused on physical, architectural, and economic preservation. They are concerned with the effects of upzoning, preserving their property values, and preserving their schools. Neighborhood associations in this area also collaborate on public awareness efforts regarding issues affecting them, often acting as an organized community of interest. These changes also keep East Sacramento, the Alhambra corridor, and River Park in the same district.

district 4.

Changes to this district keep intact a community of interest identified repeatedly in public comments. The new boundaries connect South Natomas with the Northgate and Gardenland neighborhoods - populations that have experienced intense poverty and long-term public infrastructure neglect. The changes keep the river district intact to the extent possible. Adjustments could be made to the southeast border of this district to accommodate the needs of communities of interest focused on downtown/midtown.

district 5

The current district 5 is perhaps the district most impacted by the history of inequitable urban planning policy in the city. The combination of race covenants, redlining, redevelopment, and downtown displacement have severely set back residents of this district for decades. This is the district most impacted by constraints on employment and economic productivity, COVID-19, school closures, and public infrastructure disinvestment. Now, parts of the district are experiencing displacement from gentrification driven by city development plans. Changes to this district also take into consideration recent city policy directions on the housing element, upzoning policies, and proposed homeless shelters. District 5 will be most affected – adversely - by these city plans. Proposed changes to this district will keep neighborhoods that will be most affected by Aggie Square development in the coming years all in the proposed district boundaries. The proposed changes also keep the Fairgrounds neighborhood intact. Comments from commissioners and the public note that the oddly shaped geographical configuration of this district contained in a number of map submissions do not make much sense. However, if recent census changes and the history of this area are taken into full consideration, this configuration actually reflects a connection to a larger community of interest that is divided by the county line and highway 99. Historical communities of interest do not adhere to the boundaries of the city, county or administratively designated districts. Similarly, public policy that creates inequity does not recognize administrative boundaries.

district 6

Changes remove the UC Davis Medical Center from the district as most of the impact from Aggie Square development will be experienced in district 5. CSUS and the surrounding area is kept in district 6.



district 7

Changes align the district with neighborhoods that experienced similar historical suburban growth patterns – South Land Park, Little Pocket, Greenhaven/Pocket, Farmdale. Greenhaven/Pocket has no common interests with Meadowview, Valley Hi and North Laguna residents. The existing southeast portion of the district has been reduced to the extent possible given constraints due to population requirements.


district 8

Changes to this district focus on a population of similar interests facing critical problems such as housing, high concentrations of covid cases, chronic underemployment and low wages, and predatory finance. The changes keep Valley Hi, North Laguna, and Parkway in the same district and South Sacramento hospitals in same district. However, the increased population count means adjusting the district boundaries in Meadowview to decrease the district population to an acceptable amount. Because of the increasing population in these neighborhoods, keeping all of Meadowview, Valley Hi, North Laguna and Parkway in the same district is difficult. Also, the population count in district 7 required that a portion of current district 7 remain located south

The balance between district 5 and district 8 is the most difficult problem facing this proposed map. However, as proposed, the map would maximize representation for neighborhoods facing similar long-term patterns of economic distress. I am interested in receiving any advice from commissioners and the public on how to best find this population balance between the two proposed districts.



- Overall Range Deviation: 9.14%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 9
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 8
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.27 - 0.42
- Population Stability (% not change district): 71.34%



CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 12/03/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statewidedatabase.org/redistricting2021/>)


SAC_038 Population Summary Report

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
D1	62,315	65,815	-3,500	-5.32	17,206	12,447	8,825	18,318	641	454
D2	67,354	65,815	1,539	2.34	16,325	26,548	9,409	9,444	1,207	431
D3	62,714	65,815	-3,101	-4.71	16,093	21,836	9,353	9,646	865	595
D4	65,453	65,815	-362	-0.55	38,603	11,959	3,779	5,439	202	541
D5	68,333	65,815	2,518	3.83	14,349	24,586	10,421	12,745	1,668	457
D6	65,692	65,815	-123	-0.19	22,581	20,848	4,864	12,295	919	372
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	12,570	7,652	14,870	483	421
D8	66,716	65,815	901	1.37	7,340	20,657	12,698	19,492	2,307	450

TOTAL Population:	526,520
Mean Target Population:	65,815
Mean Deviation:	1,772
Mean Percent Deviation:	2.69
Largest Positive Deviation:	2,518
Largest Negative Deviation:	-3,500
Overall Range in Deviation:	6,018
Overall Range in Deviation Percentage:	9.14

SAC_038 Demographic Report

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	62,315	65,815	-3,500	-5.32	17,206	8,825	197	18,318	641	454	12,447	4,227
					27.61%	14.16%	0.32%	29.40%	1.03%	0.73%	19.97%	6.78%
D2	67,354	65,815	1,539	2.34	16,325	9,409	458	9,444	1,207	431	26,548	3,532
					24.24%	13.97%	0.68%	14.02%	1.79%	0.64%	39.42%	5.24%
D3	62,714	65,815	-3,101	-4.71	16,093	9,353	353	9,646	865	595	21,836	3,973
					25.66%	14.91%	0.56%	15.38%	1.38%	0.95%	34.82%	6.34%
D4	65,453	65,815	-362	-0.55	38,603	3,779	316	5,439	202	541	11,959	4,614
					58.98%	5.77%	0.48%	8.31%	0.31%	0.83%	18.27%	7.05%
D5	68,333	65,815	2,518	3.83	14,349	10,421	386	12,745	1,668	457	24,586	3,721
					21.00%	15.25%	0.56%	18.65%	2.44%	0.67%	35.98%	5.45%
D6	65,692	65,815	-123	-0.19	22,581	4,864	347	12,295	919	372	20,848	3,466
					34.37%	7.40%	0.53%	18.72%	1.40%	0.57%	31.74%	5.28%
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	7,652	212	14,870	483	421	12,570	5,086
					39.22%	11.26%	0.31%	21.89%	0.71%	0.62%	18.50%	7.49%
D8	66,716	65,815	901	1.37	7,340	12,698	217	19,492	2,307	450	20,657	3,555
					11.00%	19.03%	0.33%	29.22%	3.46%	0.67%	30.96%	5.33%



County of Sacramento, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, METI/NASA, USGS, Bureau of Land Management, EPA, NPS, USDA



SAC_038

NAME


- American River Parkway
- CSUS

- Curtis Park
- Gateway West
- Land Park
- Meadowview

- Pell/Main Industrial Park
- Robla
- Southern Pacific / Richards
- < all other values >



- Overall Range Deviation: 8.52%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 7
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 8
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.19 - 0.42
- Population Stability (% not change district): 70.46%




SAC_039 Population Summary Report

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
D1	64,122	65,815	-1,693	-2.57	17,271	14,097	9,004	18,213	684	457
D2	62,338	65,815	-3,477	-5.28	15,501	24,203	8,741	8,614	1,102	394
D3	65,923	65,815	108	0.16	16,852	22,531	9,842	10,581	927	629
D4	65,453	65,815	-362	-0.55	38,603	11,959	3,779	5,439	202	541
D5	67,284	65,815	1,469	2.23	14,270	24,291	10,370	12,288	1,629	448
D6	65,692	65,815	-123	-0.19	22,581	20,848	4,864	12,295	919	372
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	12,570	7,652	14,870	483	421
D8	67,765	65,815	1,950	2.96	7,419	20,952	12,749	19,949	2,346	459

TOTAL Population:	526,520
Mean Target Population:	65,815
Mean Deviation:	1,414
Mean Percent Deviation:	2.15
Largest Positive Deviation:	2,128
Largest Negative Deviation:	-3,477
Overall Range in Deviation:	5,605
Overall Range in Deviation Percentage:	8.52

SAC_039 Demographic Report

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	64,122	65,815	-1,693	-2.57	17,271	9,004	211	18,213	684	457	14,097	4,185
					26.93%	14.04%	0.33%	28.40%	1.07%	0.71%	21.98%	6.53%
D2	62,338	65,815	-3,477	-5.28	15,501	8,741	434	8,614	1,102	394	24,203	3,349
					24.87%	14.02%	0.70%	13.82%	1.77%	0.63%	38.83%	5.37%
D3	65,923	65,815	108	0.16	16,852	9,842	363	10,581	927	629	22,531	4,198
					25.56%	14.93%	0.55%	16.05%	1.41%	0.95%	34.18%	6.37%
D4	65,453	65,815	-362	-0.55	38,603	3,779	316	5,439	202	541	11,959	4,614
					58.98%	5.77%	0.48%	8.31%	0.31%	0.83%	18.27%	7.05%
D5	67,284	65,815	1,469	2.23	14,270	10,370	360	12,288	1,629	448	24,291	3,628
					21.21%	15.41%	0.54%	18.26%	2.42%	0.67%	36.10%	5.39%
D6	65,692	65,815	-123	-0.19	22,581	4,864	347	12,295	919	372	20,848	3,466
					34.37%	7.40%	0.53%	18.72%	1.40%	0.57%	31.74%	5.28%
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	7,652	212	14,870	483	421	12,570	5,086
					39.22%	11.26%	0.31%	21.89%	0.71%	0.62%	18.50%	7.49%
D8	67,765	65,815	1,950	2.96	7,419	12,749	243	19,949	2,346	459	20,952	3,648
					10.95%	18.81%	0.36%	29.44%	3.46%	0.68%	30.92%	5.38%



SAC_039

NAME

American River Parkway

CSUS

Curtis Park

Land Park

Meadowview

Robla

Southern Pacific / Richards

< all other values >

Sacramento Redistricting 2021 - Submitted Maps




MAP ID	Submitter	Organization	Plan Name	Date Submitted	Description	Objectives
SAC_001	Shaun Dillon	N/A	Dillon1	10/4/2021	N/A	N/A
SAC_002	Cory Shrecengost	N/A	Cory Shrecengost's Plan	10/6/2021	N/A	N/A
SAC_003	Michael Lopez	N/A	Michael Lopez - Valley View Acres Resident	10/12/2021	Proposed City Redistricting Map	Focus on fair distribution of the districts along logical, geographical and historical basis.
SAC_004	Michael Lopez	Valley View Acres Community Association member	Malo104 ValleyViewAcres Resident	10/12/2021	2021 Redistricting Map for City Council Districts with comments on District #1	See map package
SAC_005	Shaun Dillon	N/A	Dillon2	10/21/2021	N/A	N/A
SAC_006	Maggie Coulter	N/A	District 6 south of 50 east of 99.pdf	10/13/2021	Partial Plan	PDF Submission
SAC_007	Geographic Equity	N/A	Geo Equity	11/2/2021	first pass	Geographic equity
SAC_008	Phil Pluckebaum	SacFAIR	Retro Map 4-3	11/2/2021	N/A	See map package
SAC_009	Phil Pluckebaum	SacFAIR	Retro Map 3-4	11/2/2021	N/A	See map package
SAC_010	Phil Pluckebaum	SacFAIR	Central City United 4-3	11/2/2021	N/A	See map package
SAC_011	Phil Pluckebaum	SacFAIR	Central City United 3-4	11/2/2021	N/A	See map package
SAC_012	River District	River District	River District Map Support	11/4/2021	N/A	Keeps the central city together with continuity of representation
SAC_013	Tamara R	N/A	District Map_v3 (Compact and Related Districts)	11/5/2021	N/A	See map package
SAC_014	Bruce Pierini	Sierra-Curtis Neighborhood Association	Sierra-Curtis Neighborhood Association Plan for District 5	11/6/2021	See map package	See map package
SAC_015	Daphne Harris	N/A	Updates to D7 and D5 - d8neighborhoodvine	11/7/2021	N/A	Based more on the zip codes and commonality in the communities.
SAC_016	Daphne Harris	N/A	Updates to District 4 and 5 - D8neighborhoodvine	11/7/2021	N/A	N/A
SAC_017	Jay Heiman	RPCA	Central City United 4-3 Robla variation	11/7/2021	RPCA Plan	See map package
SAC_018	Stuart Gow	Private resident of Woodlake in District 2	STUART_GOW_D2_RESIDENT	11/7/2021	See map package	See map package
SAC_019	Daphne Harris	D8NeighborhoodVine	D8NeighborhoodVine a Call to ACTION - 11052021	11/7/2021	See map package	See map package

Sacramento Redistricting 2021 - Submitted Maps




MAP ID	Submitter	Organization	Plan Name	Date Submitted	Description	Objectives
SAC_020	Jennifer Holden	N/A	South Sac Equity 2	11/7/2021	See map package	See map package
SAC_021	Lauren Hammond	NAACP	SouthSac Equity 2.1	11/7/2021	N/A	See map package
SAC_022	Kaitlyn Johnson	N/A	District Map for 2021-2031	11/7/2021	District Map for 2021-2031	Preserving neighborhood integrity and continuity.
SAC_023	Marbella Sala	South Natomas Ad Hoc Committee Gardenland Northgate Neighborhood Association	Central City United 4-3 South Natomas Variation	11/7/2021	See map package	See map package
SAC_024	Marbella Sala	South Natomas Ad Hoc Committee	Retro Map 3-4 South Natomas	11/7/2021	See map package	See map package
SAC_025	Jenn Knapp	N/A	Map 1	11/7/2021	See map package	See map package
SAC_026	Manuel Buenrostro	The Latino Economic Council in collaboration with Latino organizations and community leaders	LECS and Other Latino Orgs Proposal	11/7/2021	See map package	See map package
SAC_027	Andrew Hernandez	N/A	Andy Hernandez - Final Submission	11/7/2021	See map package	See map package
SAC_028	Kevin Sullivan	N/A	Problems_of_Population	11/7/2021	See map package	See map package
SAC_029	Andrés Ramos	N/A	Central City-East Sac	11/7/2021	N/A	See map package
SAC_030	Andrés Ramos	N/A	Central City-South Natomas	11/7/2021	N/A	See map package
SAC_031	Andrés Ramos	N/A	Central City-Curtis Park-Woodlake	11/7/2021	N/A	See map package
SAC_032	Andrew Becker	N/A	Fair Redistricting Map	11/7/2021	N/A	See map package
SAC_033	GEOMAP	N/A	GEOMAP	11/8/2021	N/A	PDF Submission
SAC_034	Larry Lee	Detroit Community Association	DCA	11/7/2021	N/A	N/A
SAC_035	Jeff Baldwin	N/A	N/A	11/6/2021	Partial Plan	See map package

2021 Sacramento Redistricting – All Submitted Maps




2021 Sacramento Redistricting – All Submitted Maps




2021 Sacramento Redistricting – All Submitted Maps



2021 Sacramento Redistricting – All Submitted Maps




2021 Sacramento Redistricting – All Submitted Maps




Sacramento Redistricting 2021 - Map Matrix

Map_ID	Overall Range Deviation %	Contiguity (yes/no)	Neighborhoods (#split)	Community Plan Areas (#split)	Compactness (Polsby-Popper Test)	Population Stability (% not changing districts)
Sac_001	2.03	Y	9	10	0.21 - 0.38	88.5%
Sac_002	4.75	Y	21	8	0.23 - 0.49	73.1%
Sac_003	2.41	Y	12	9	0.25 - 0.48	73.1%
Sac_004	2.41	Y	12	9	0.25 - 0.48	73.1%
Sac_005	2.7	Y	6	10	0.22 - 0.46	80.2%
Sac_006	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sac_007	5.73	Y	18	10	0.21 - 0.44	87.20%
Sac_008	6.89	Y	11	7	0.16 - 0.41	67%
Sac_009	6.89	Y	11	7	0.16 - 0.41	72.50%
Sac_010	9.35	Y	10	8	0.16 - 0.50	65.50%
Sac_011	9.35	Y	10	8	0.16 - 0.49	73%
Sac_012	9.35	Y	10	8	0.16 - 0.50	65.50%
Sac_013	3.68	Y	9	7	0.28 - 0.42	64.85%
Sac_014	8.87	Y	13	10	0.18 - 0.35	92.14%
Sac_015	4.4	Y	33	10	0.14 - 0.30	69.76%
Sac_016	5.97	Y	33	10	0.16 - 0.32	68.12%
Sac_017	9.94	Y	6	9	0.25 - 0.51	64.26%
Sac_018	8.39	Y	10	7	0.16 - 0.41	73.23%
Sac_019	8.23	Y	30	10	0.16 - 0.32	70.11%
Sac_020	9.79	Y	6	9	0.24 - 0.51	65.90%
Sac_021	8.5	Y	12	9	0.17 - 0.39	80.31%
Sac_022	7.47	Y	16	10	0.18 - 0.37	91.75%
Sac_023	9.97	Y	10	7	0.16 - 0.38	63.75%
Sac_024	8.33	Y	11	7	0.16 - 0.41	72.48%
Sac_025	0.68	Y	14	7	0.18 - 0.45	64.56%
Sac_026	4.34	Y	12	10	0.18 - 0.37	86.45%
Sac_027	8.39	Y	10	7	0.16 - 0.41	73.23%
Sac_028	8.22	Y	10	9	0.27 - 0.51	56.22%
Sac_029	4.16	Y	4	7	0.22 - 0.48	71.54%
Sac_030	4.2	Y	4	8	0.26 - 0.43	49.33%
Sac_031	2.18	Y	12	9	0.18 - 0.49	69.65%
Sac_032	1.71	Y	10	9	0.19 - 0.48	76.19%
Sac_033	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sac_034	7.21	Y	16	9	0.12 - 0.45	66.24%
Sac_035	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 2.03%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 9
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 10
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.21 - 0.38
- Population Stability (% not change district): 88.55%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table


 Redistricting 2021
 Map Date: 11/8/2021
 DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>
 SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statelibraryofcalifornia.org/databases/redistricting2021/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	65,636	65,815	-179	-0.27	18,111	9,243	194	19,625	709	474	12,730	4,550
					27.59%	14.08%	0.30%	29.90%	1.08%	0.72%	19.39%	6.93%
D2	65,699	65,815	-116	-0.18	15,663	9,156	446	9,524	1,206	412	25,955	3,337
					23.84%	13.94%	0.68%	14.50%	1.84%	0.63%	39.51%	5.08%
D3	65,833	65,815	18	0.03	22,805	7,844	308	8,005	736	467	21,745	3,923
					34.64%	11.91%	0.47%	12.16%	1.12%	0.71%	33.03%	5.96%
D4	66,458	65,815	643	0.98	34,555	6,144	382	6,376	339	686	13,109	4,867
					52.00%	9.24%	0.57%	9.59%	0.51%	1.03%	19.73%	7.32%
D5	66,111	65,815	296	0.45	23,372	7,073	350	9,379	674	480	20,689	4,094
					35.35%	10.70%	0.53%	14.19%	1.02%	0.73%	31.29%	6.19%
D6	65,813	65,815	-2	-0.00	22,508	4,873	352	12,209	973	379	20,936	3,583
					34.20%	7.40%	0.53%	18.55%	1.48%	0.58%	31.81%	5.44%
D7	65,121	65,815	-694	-1.05	14,754	9,864	189	18,860	1,268	391	15,526	4,269
					22.66%	15.15%	0.29%	28.96%	1.95%	0.60%	23.84%	6.56%
D8	65,849	65,815	34	0.05	7,378	12,804	265	18,271	2,387	432	20,761	3,551
					11.20%	19.44%	0.40%	27.75%	3.62%	0.66%	31.53%	5.39%

Plan submitted on Mon Oct 04 2021 08:57:14 GMT-0700 (Pacific Daylight Time)

Contact Information

Name: Shaun Dillon

Username: sdillon



Organization:

Plan Information

Plan name: Dillon1


Description:

Plan Objectives



- Overall Range Deviation: 4.75%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 21
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 8
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.23 - 0.49
- Population Stability (% not change district): 73.06%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

City of SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opendata>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statelibraryofcalifornia.gov/data-repository/statewide-database-official-2021-redistricting-data/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	67,340	65,815	1,525	2.32	18,177	9,618	198	20,599	793	497	12,836	4,622
					26.99%	14.28%	0.29%	30.59%	1.18%	0.74%	19.06%	6.86%
D2	64,216	65,815	-1,599	-2.43	14,790	9,027	430	9,533	1,211	397	25,594	3,234
					23.03%	14.06%	0.67%	14.85%	1.89%	0.62%	39.86%	5.04%
D3	64,955	65,815	-860	-1.31	18,867	9,331	410	7,608	727	608	23,230	4,174
					29.05%	14.37%	0.63%	11.71%	1.12%	0.94%	35.76%	6.43%
D4	66,451	65,815	636	0.97	38,771	3,624	313	5,855	374	480	12,606	4,428
					58.35%	5.45%	0.47%	8.81%	0.56%	0.72%	18.97%	6.66%
D5	65,572	65,815	-243	-0.37	24,639	6,633	308	9,360	744	479	19,241	4,168
					37.58%	10.12%	0.47%	14.27%	1.13%	0.73%	29.34%	6.36%
D6	67,016	65,815	1,201	1.82	21,770	6,100	373	12,163	788	437	21,657	3,728
					32.48%	9.10%	0.56%	18.15%	1.18%	0.65%	32.32%	5.56%
D7	65,517	65,815	-298	-0.45	14,997	10,026	237	17,726	1,356	375	16,487	4,313
					22.89%	15.30%	0.36%	27.06%	2.07%	0.57%	25.16%	6.58%
D8	65,453	65,815	-362	-0.55	7,135	12,642	217	19,405	2,299	448	19,800	3,507
					10.90%	19.31%	0.33%	29.65%	3.51%	0.68%	30.25%	5.36%

Plan submitted on Wed Oct 06 2021 16:10:34 GMT-0700 (Pacific Daylight Time)

Contact Information

Name: Cory Shrecengost

Username: cshrecengost


Organization:

Plan Information


Plan name: Cory Shrecengost's Plan

Description:

Plan Objectives




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 2.41%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 12
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 9
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.25 - 0.48
- Population Stability (% not change district): 73.14%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	65,395	65,815	-420	-0.64	17,907	9,341	189	19,730	746	486	12,495	4,501
					27.38%	14.28%	0.29%	30.17%	1.14%	0.74%	19.11%	6.88%
D2	65,887	65,815	72	0.11	15,298	9,238	440	9,645	1,215	402	26,311	3,338
					23.22%	14.02%	0.67%	14.64%	1.84%	0.61%	39.93%	5.07%
D3	65,222	65,815	-593	-0.90	18,401	9,497	412	8,310	764	629	22,972	4,237
					28.21%	14.56%	0.63%	12.74%	1.17%	0.96%	35.22%	6.50%
D4	65,208	65,815	-607	-0.92	37,180	4,199	304	5,657	228	553	12,492	4,595
					57.02%	6.44%	0.47%	8.68%	0.35%	0.85%	19.16%	7.05%
D5	66,796	65,815	981	1.49	24,785	7,259	343	9,314	763	473	19,624	4,235
					37.11%	10.87%	0.51%	13.94%	1.14%	0.71%	29.38%	6.34%
D6	65,779	65,815	-36	-0.05	23,238	4,743	344	12,375	913	353	20,413	3,400
					35.33%	7.21%	0.52%	18.81%	1.39%	0.54%	31.03%	5.17%
D7	66,623	65,815	808	1.23	15,055	10,136	237	18,129	1,390	382	16,927	4,367
					22.60%	15.21%	0.36%	27.21%	2.09%	0.57%	25.41%	6.55%
D8	65,610	65,815	-205	-0.31	7,282	12,588	217	19,089	2,273	443	20,217	3,501
					11.10%	19.19%	0.33%	29.09%	3.46%	0.68%	30.81%	5.34%

Plan submitted on Tue Oct 12 2021 19:30:47 GMT-0700 (Pacific Daylight Time)

Contact Information

Name: Michael Lopez

Username: lopezm916

Organization:



Plan Information

Plan name: Michael Lopez - Valley View Acres Resident


Description: Proposed City Redistricting Map

Plan Objectives

Focus on fair distribution of the districts along logical, geographical and historical basis.



- Overall Range Deviation: 2.41%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 12
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 9
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.25 - 0.48
- Population Stability (% not change district): 73.14%



District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	65,395	65,815	-420	-0.64	17,907	9,341	189	19,730	746	486	12,495	4,501
					27.38%	14.28%	0.29%	30.17%	1.14%	0.74%	19.11%	6.88%
D2	65,887	65,815	72	0.11	15,298	9,238	440	9,645	1,215	402	26,311	3,338
					23.22%	14.02%	0.67%	14.64%	1.84%	0.61%	39.93%	5.07%
D3	65,222	65,815	-593	-0.90	18,401	9,497	412	8,310	764	629	22,972	4,237
					28.21%	14.56%	0.63%	12.74%	1.17%	0.96%	35.22%	6.50%
D4	65,208	65,815	-607	-0.92	37,180	4,199	304	5,657	228	553	12,492	4,595
					57.02%	6.44%	0.47%	8.68%	0.35%	0.85%	19.16%	7.05%
D5	66,796	65,815	981	1.49	24,785	7,259	343	9,314	763	473	19,624	4,235
					37.11%	10.87%	0.51%	13.94%	1.14%	0.71%	29.38%	6.34%
D6	65,779	65,815	-36	-0.05	23,238	4,743	344	12,375	913	353	20,413	3,400
					35.33%	7.21%	0.52%	18.81%	1.39%	0.54%	31.03%	5.17%
D7	66,623	65,815	808	1.23	15,055	10,136	237	18,129	1,390	382	16,927	4,367
					22.60%	15.21%	0.36%	27.21%	2.09%	0.57%	25.41%	6.55%
D8	65,610	65,815	-205	-0.31	7,282	12,588	217	19,089	2,273	443	20,217	3,501
					11.10%	19.19%	0.33%	29.09%	3.46%	0.68%	30.81%	5.34%

Plan submitted on Tue Oct 12 2021 20:36:19 GMT-0700 (Pacific Daylight Time)

Contact Information

Name: Michael Lopez

Username: Malo104

Organization: Valley View Acres Community Association member



Plan Information

Plan name: Malo104 ValleyViewAcres Resident


Description: 2021 Redistricting Map for City Council Districts with comments on District #1.

Plan Objectives

City wide Redistricting Maps with special comments for District #1, related to special interest group of rural estate/small farm living.



- Overall Range Deviation: 2.70%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 6
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 10
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.22 - 0.46
- Population Stability (% not change district): 80.16%



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

City of SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statewidedatabase.org/redistricting2021/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	65,636	65,815	-179	-0.27	18,111	9,243	194	19,625	709	474	12,730	4,550
					27.59%	14.08%	0.30%	29.90%	1.08%	0.72%	19.39%	6.93%
D2	65,699	65,815	-116	-0.18	15,663	9,156	446	9,524	1,206	412	25,955	3,337
					23.84%	13.94%	0.68%	14.50%	1.84%	0.63%	39.51%	5.08%
D3	65,833	65,815	18	0.03	22,805	7,844	308	8,005	736	467	21,745	3,923
					34.64%	11.91%	0.47%	12.16%	1.12%	0.71%	33.03%	5.96%
D4	66,458	65,815	643	0.98	34,555	6,144	382	6,376	339	686	13,109	4,867
					52.00%	9.24%	0.57%	9.59%	0.51%	1.03%	19.73%	7.32%
D5	64,848	65,815	-967	-1.47	23,167	7,017	350	9,292	666	478	19,832	4,046
					35.73%	10.82%	0.54%	14.33%	1.03%	0.74%	30.58%	6.24%
D6	65,813	65,815	-2	-0.00	22,508	4,873	352	12,209	973	379	20,936	3,583
					34.20%	7.40%	0.53%	18.55%	1.48%	0.58%	31.81%	5.44%
D7	66,623	65,815	808	1.23	15,055	10,136	237	18,129	1,390	382	16,927	4,367
					22.60%	15.21%	0.36%	27.21%	2.09%	0.57%	25.41%	6.55%
D8	65,610	65,815	-205	-0.31	7,282	12,588	217	19,089	2,273	443	20,217	3,501
					11.10%	19.19%	0.33%	29.09%	3.46%	0.68%	30.81%	5.34%

Plan submitted on Thu Oct 21 2021 16:23:54 GMT-0700 (Pacific Daylight Time)

Contact Information

Name: Shaun Dillon

Username: sdillon

Organization:


Plan Information


Plan name: Dillon2

Description:


Plan Objectives

Partial Map – D6
Total Population: 65,626






Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 5.73%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 18
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 10
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.21 - 0.44
- Population Stability (% not change district): 87.24%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

City of SACRAMENTO
 Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statewidedatabase.org/redistricting2021/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	67,340	65,815	1,525	2.32	18,177	9,618	198	20,599	793	497	12,836	4,622
					26.99%	14.28%	0.29%	30.59%	1.18%	0.74%	19.06%	6.86%
D2	64,753	65,815	-1,062	-1.61	14,479	9,317	368	10,397	1,299	415	25,224	3,254
					22.36%	14.39%	0.57%	16.06%	2.01%	0.64%	38.95%	5.03%
D3	64,434	65,815	-1,381	-2.10	19,948	8,727	460	6,635	634	589	23,304	4,137
					30.96%	13.54%	0.71%	10.30%	0.98%	0.91%	36.17%	6.42%
D4	68,057	65,815	2,242	3.41	38,917	4,763	310	5,946	319	557	12,420	4,825
					57.18%	7.00%	0.46%	8.74%	0.47%	0.82%	18.25%	7.09%
D5	65,906	65,815	91	0.14	22,250	7,291	376	9,229	783	470	21,419	4,088
					33.76%	11.06%	0.57%	14.00%	1.19%	0.71%	32.50%	6.20%
D6	65,060	65,815	-755	-1.15	23,243	4,617	320	12,312	809	370	19,961	3,428
					35.73%	7.10%	0.49%	18.92%	1.24%	0.57%	30.68%	5.27%
D7	64,290	65,815	-1,525	-2.32	15,016	9,585	202	18,118	1,210	369	15,529	4,261
					23.36%	14.91%	0.31%	28.18%	1.88%	0.57%	24.15%	6.63%
D8	66,680	65,815	865	1.31	7,116	13,083	252	19,013	2,445	454	20,758	3,559
					10.67%	19.62%	0.38%	28.51%	3.67%	0.68%	31.13%	5.34%

Plan submitted on Tue Nov 02 2021 18:12:47 GMT-0700 (Pacific Daylight Time)

Contact Information

Name: geographic equity

Username: geographicequity

Organization:


Plan Information

Plan name: Geo Equity 1


Description: first pass

Plan Objectives

Geographic equity




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 6.89%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 11
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 7
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.16 - 0.41
- Population Stability (% not change district): 66.96%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	64,533	65,815	-1,282	-1.95	17,661	9,090	191	19,476	699	467	12,495	4,454
					27.37%	14.09%	0.30%	30.18%	1.08%	0.72%	19.36%	6.90%
D2	63,171	65,815	-2,644	-4.02	14,718	8,883	427	9,380	1,191	403	24,994	3,175
					23.30%	14.06%	0.68%	14.85%	1.89%	0.64%	39.57%	5.03%
D3	64,198	65,815	-1,617	-2.46	37,444	3,163	283	5,485	225	487	12,712	4,399
					58.33%	4.93%	0.44%	8.54%	0.35%	0.76%	19.80%	6.85%
D4	65,350	65,815	-465	-0.71	18,128	10,142	421	8,721	804	631	22,356	4,147
					27.74%	15.52%	0.64%	13.35%	1.23%	0.97%	34.21%	6.35%
D5	68,649	65,815	2,834	4.31	16,032	10,552	370	11,909	1,434	504	24,101	3,747
					23.35%	15.37%	0.54%	17.35%	2.09%	0.73%	35.11%	5.46%
D6	64,116	65,815	-1,699	-2.58	21,408	4,872	333	12,121	925	364	20,645	3,448
					33.39%	7.60%	0.52%	18.90%	1.44%	0.57%	32.20%	5.38%
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	7,652	212	14,870	483	421	12,570	5,086
					39.22%	11.26%	0.31%	21.89%	0.71%	0.62%	18.50%	7.49%
D8	68,560	65,815	2,745	4.17	7,106	12,647	249	20,287	2,531	444	21,578	3,718
					10.36%	18.45%	0.36%	29.59%	3.69%	0.65%	31.47%	5.42%

Plan submitted on Tue Nov 02 2021 20:59:53 GMT-0700 (Pacific Daylight Time)

Contact Information

Name: Phil Pluckebaum

Username: Pluckebaum

Organization: SacFAIR


Plan Information

Plan name: Retro Map 4-3


Description:

Plan Objectives

SacFAIR's mission is to keep neighborhoods and business districts whole and protect Sacramento's broad and diverse Communities of Interest. The Retro Map derives its name from its similarities to the maps that were in effect from 1991 through 2010. This map keeps Districts 1 and 2 pretty much the same as the current City map, but substantially changes Districts 3 and 4, reuniting the entire South Natomas Community into one district (District 4) with the River District and Downtown, Alkali and Mansion Flats instead of East Sacramento. The Retro Map adds a portion of North Natomas (Natomas Crossing and Natomas Marketplace) to District 4 to equalize district populations and to recognize that these neighborhoods were previously connected to South Natomas until 2011. The Retro Map also restores District 3 to its vintage 1990 and 2000 versions, combining Midtown, East Sacramento, Arden Fair/Swanston Estates, and Campus Commons; keeps the southeast neighborhoods in District 6 together; combines Land Park, Little Pocket, the Pocket and Greenhaven into one district (District 7) on the west side of the city along the Sacramento River (the "other" river district); keeps Oak Park and Curtis Park together and combines them with other South Sac neighborhoods surrounding the Airport and adjacent to the Freeport/99 corridor and the unincorporated Fruitridge pocket (District 5); and finally eliminates the three-decade boundary between Districts 7 and 8 that tucks the southeastern edge of District 7 into the middle of District 8, while still keeping Meadowview together.




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 6.89%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 11
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 7
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.16 - 0.41
- Population Stability (% not change district): 72.48%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	64,533	65,815	-1,282	-1.95	17,661	9,090	191	19,476	699	467	12,495	4,454
					27.37%	14.09%	0.30%	30.18%	1.08%	0.72%	19.36%	6.90%
D2	63,171	65,815	-2,644	-4.02	14,718	8,883	427	9,380	1,191	403	24,994	3,175
					23.30%	14.06%	0.68%	14.85%	1.89%	0.64%	39.57%	5.03%
D3	65,350	65,815	-465	-0.71	18,128	10,142	421	8,721	804	631	22,356	4,147
					27.74%	15.52%	0.64%	13.35%	1.23%	0.97%	34.21%	6.35%
D4	64,198	65,815	-1,617	-2.46	37,444	3,163	283	5,485	225	487	12,712	4,399
					58.33%	4.93%	0.44%	8.54%	0.35%	0.76%	19.80%	6.85%
D5	68,649	65,815	2,834	4.31	16,032	10,552	370	11,909	1,434	504	24,101	3,747
					23.35%	15.37%	0.54%	17.35%	2.09%	0.73%	35.11%	5.46%
D6	64,116	65,815	-1,699	-2.58	21,408	4,872	333	12,121	925	364	20,645	3,448
					33.39%	7.60%	0.52%	18.90%	1.44%	0.57%	32.20%	5.38%
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	7,652	212	14,870	483	421	12,570	5,086
					39.22%	11.26%	0.31%	21.89%	0.71%	0.62%	18.50%	7.49%
D8	68,560	65,815	2,745	4.17	7,106	12,647	249	20,287	2,531	444	21,578	3,718
					10.36%	18.45%	0.36%	29.59%	3.69%	0.65%	31.47%	5.42%

Plan submitted on Tue Nov 02 2021 21:10:46 GMT-0700 (Pacific Daylight Time)

Contact Information

Name: Phil Pluckebaum

Username: Pluckebaum

Organization: SacFAIR


Plan Information

Plan name: Retro Map 3-4


Description:

Plan Objectives

SacFAIR's mission is to keep neighborhoods and business districts whole and protect Sacramento's broad and diverse Communities of Interest. The Retro Map derives its name from its similarities to the maps that were in effect from 1991 through 2010. This map keeps Districts 1 and 2 pretty much the same as the current City map, but substantially changes Districts 3 and 4, reuniting the entire South Natomas Community into one district (District 4) with the River District and Downtown, Alkali and Mansion Flats instead of East Sacramento. The Retro Map adds a portion of North Natomas (Natomas Crossing and Natomas Marketplace) to District 4 to equalize district populations and to recognize that these neighborhoods were previously connected to South Natomas until 2011. The Retro Map also restores District 3 to its vintage 1990 and 2000 versions, combining Midtown, East Sacramento, Arden Fair/Swanston Estates, and Campus Commons; keeps the southeast neighborhoods in District 6 together; combines Land Park, Little Pocket, the Pocket and Greenhaven into one district (District 7) on the west side of the city along the Sacramento River (the "other" river district); keeps Oak Park and Curtis Park together and combines them with other South Sac neighborhoods surrounding the Airport and adjacent to the Freeport/99 corridor and the unincorporated Fruitridge pocket (District 5); and finally eliminates the three-decade boundary between Districts 7 and 8 that tucks the southeastern edge of District 7 into the middle of District 8, while still keeping Meadowview together.




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 9.35%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 10
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 8
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.16 - 0.50
- Population Stability (% not change district): 65.51%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	62,500	65,815	-3,315	-5.04	17,342	8,742	182	18,661	671	459	12,152	4,291
					27.75%	13.99%	0.29%	29.86%	1.07%	0.73%	19.44%	6.87%
D2	62,968	65,815	-2,847	-4.33	15,699	8,819	447	8,726	1,115	397	24,399	3,366
					24.93%	14.01%	0.71%	13.86%	1.77%	0.63%	38.75%	5.35%
D3	66,562	65,815	747	1.13	38,238	4,426	371	5,487	229	650	12,355	4,806
					57.45%	6.65%	0.56%	8.24%	0.34%	0.98%	18.56%	7.22%
D4	62,632	65,815	-3,183	-4.84	14,918	9,198	306	9,851	901	467	23,320	3,671
					23.82%	14.69%	0.49%	15.73%	1.44%	0.75%	37.23%	5.86%
D5	68,649	65,815	2,834	4.31	16,032	10,552	370	11,909	1,434	504	24,101	3,747
					23.35%	15.37%	0.54%	17.35%	2.09%	0.73%	35.11%	5.46%
D6	66,706	65,815	891	1.35	23,162	4,965	349	12,458	928	379	20,976	3,489
					34.72%	7.44%	0.52%	18.68%	1.39%	0.57%	31.45%	5.23%
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	7,652	212	14,870	483	421	12,570	5,086
					39.22%	11.26%	0.31%	21.89%	0.71%	0.62%	18.50%	7.49%
D8	68,560	65,815	2,745	4.17	7,106	12,647	249	20,287	2,531	444	21,578	3,718
					10.36%	18.45%	0.36%	29.59%	3.69%	0.65%	31.47%	5.42%

Plan submitted on Tue Nov 02 2021 21:13:19 GMT-0700 (Pacific Daylight Time)

Contact Information

Name: Phil Pluckebaum

Username: Pluckebaum

Organization: SacFAIR


Plan Information

Plan name: Central City United 4-3


Description:

Plan Objectives

SacFAIR's mission is to keep neighborhoods and business districts whole and protect Sacramento's broad and diverse Communities of Interest. The Central City United Map places three whole districts north of the American River and five to the south, while placing the entire Central City into one district for the very first time. The 2011 map came close to having a single district for the Central City, but still divided most of the River District and all the Railyards from the rest of the Central City, placing them instead in District 3 with East Sacramento and South Natomas. As with the Retro Map, this map labels the district encompassing the Central City as District 3, but the Commission may consider switching the designations of Districts 3 and 4.




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 9.35%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 10
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 8
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.16 - 0.49
- Population Stability (% not change district): 72.99%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	62,500	65,815	-3,315	-5.04	17,342	8,742	182	18,661	671	459	12,152	4,291
					27.75%	13.99%	0.29%	29.86%	1.07%	0.73%	19.44%	6.87%
D2	62,968	65,815	-2,847	-4.33	15,699	8,819	447	8,726	1,115	397	24,399	3,366
					24.93%	14.01%	0.71%	13.86%	1.77%	0.63%	38.75%	5.35%
D3	62,632	65,815	-3,183	-4.84	14,918	9,198	306	9,851	901	467	23,320	3,671
					23.82%	14.69%	0.49%	15.73%	1.44%	0.75%	37.23%	5.86%
D4	66,562	65,815	747	1.13	38,238	4,426	371	5,487	229	650	12,355	4,806
					57.45%	6.65%	0.56%	8.24%	0.34%	0.98%	18.56%	7.22%
D5	68,649	65,815	2,834	4.31	16,032	10,552	370	11,909	1,434	504	24,101	3,747
					23.35%	15.37%	0.54%	17.35%	2.09%	0.73%	35.11%	5.46%
D6	66,706	65,815	891	1.35	23,162	4,965	349	12,458	928	379	20,976	3,489
					34.72%	7.44%	0.52%	18.68%	1.39%	0.57%	31.45%	5.23%
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	7,652	212	14,870	483	421	12,570	5,086
					39.22%	11.26%	0.31%	21.89%	0.71%	0.62%	18.50%	7.49%
D8	68,560	65,815	2,745	4.17	7,106	12,647	249	20,287	2,531	444	21,578	3,718
					10.36%	18.45%	0.36%	29.59%	3.69%	0.65%	31.47%	5.42%

Plan submitted on Tue Nov 02 2021 21:15:42 GMT-0700 (Pacific Daylight Time)

Contact Information

Name: Phil Pluckebaum

Username: Pluckebaum

Organization: SacFAIR


Plan Information

Plan name: Central City United 3-4


Description:

Plan Objectives

SacFAIR's mission is to keep neighborhoods and business districts whole and protect Sacramento's broad and diverse Communities of Interest. The Central City United Map places three whole districts north of the American River and five to the south, while placing the entire Central City into one district for the very first time. The 2011 map came close to having a single district for the Central City, but still divided most of the River District and all the Railyards from the rest of the Central City, placing them instead in District 3 with East Sacramento and South Natomas. As with the Retro Map, this map labels the district encompassing the Central City as District 3, but the Commission may consider switching the designations of Districts 3 and 4.




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 9.35%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 10
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 8
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.16 - 0.50
- Population Stability (% not change district): 65.51%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	62,500	65,815	-3,315	-5.04	17,342	8,742	182	18,661	671	459	12,152	4,291
					27.75%	13.99%	0.29%	29.86%	1.07%	0.73%	19.44%	6.87%
D2	62,968	65,815	-2,847	-4.33	15,699	8,819	447	8,726	1,115	397	24,399	3,366
					24.93%	14.01%	0.71%	13.86%	1.77%	0.63%	38.75%	5.35%
D3	66,562	65,815	747	1.13	38,238	4,426	371	5,487	229	650	12,355	4,806
					57.45%	6.65%	0.56%	8.24%	0.34%	0.98%	18.56%	7.22%
D4	62,632	65,815	-3,183	-4.84	14,918	9,198	306	9,851	901	467	23,320	3,671
					23.82%	14.69%	0.49%	15.73%	1.44%	0.75%	37.23%	5.86%
D5	68,649	65,815	2,834	4.31	16,032	10,552	370	11,909	1,434	504	24,101	3,747
					23.35%	15.37%	0.54%	17.35%	2.09%	0.73%	35.11%	5.46%
D6	66,706	65,815	891	1.35	23,162	4,965	349	12,458	928	379	20,976	3,489
					34.72%	7.44%	0.52%	18.68%	1.39%	0.57%	31.45%	5.23%
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	7,652	212	14,870	483	421	12,570	5,086
					39.22%	11.26%	0.31%	21.89%	0.71%	0.62%	18.50%	7.49%
D8	68,560	65,815	2,745	4.17	7,106	12,647	249	20,287	2,531	444	21,578	3,718
					10.36%	18.45%	0.36%	29.59%	3.69%	0.65%	31.47%	5.42%

Plan submitted on Thu Nov 04 2021 14:15:04 GMT-0700 (Pacific Daylight Time)

Contact Information

Name: River District

Username: RiverDistrict

Organization: River District


Plan Information

Plan name: River District Map Support


Description:

Plan Objectives

Keeps the central city together with Contiguity of representation




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 3.68%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 9
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 7
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.28 - 0.42
- Population Stability (% not change district): 64.85%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



City of SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statewidedatabase.org/redistricting2021/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	66,875	65,815	1,060	1.61	17,999	9,574	194	20,515	784	491	12,763	4,555
					26.91%	14.32%	0.29%	30.68%	1.17%	0.73%	19.08%	6.81%
D2	65,816	65,815	1	0.00	15,712	9,172	446	9,524	1,206	417	25,992	3,347
					23.87%	13.94%	0.68%	14.47%	1.83%	0.63%	39.49%	5.09%
D3	65,217	65,815	-598	-0.91	38,339	3,070	303	4,982	405	429	13,363	4,326
					58.79%	4.71%	0.46%	7.64%	0.62%	0.66%	20.49%	6.63%
D4	66,954	65,815	1,139	1.73	19,778	10,052	433	8,105	734	677	22,830	4,345
					29.54%	15.01%	0.65%	12.11%	1.10%	1.01%	34.10%	6.49%
D5	65,820	65,815	5	0.01	26,501	6,038	263	9,832	711	481	17,697	4,297
					40.26%	9.17%	0.40%	14.94%	1.08%	0.73%	26.89%	6.53%
D6	64,868	65,815	-947	-1.44	18,685	6,427	393	12,160	797	403	22,519	3,484
					28.80%	9.91%	0.61%	18.75%	1.23%	0.62%	34.72%	5.37%
D7	64,531	65,815	-1,284	-1.95	14,936	9,919	229	17,474	1,329	367	16,013	4,264
					23.15%	15.37%	0.35%	27.08%	2.06%	0.57%	24.81%	6.61%
D8	66,439	65,815	624	0.95	7,196	12,749	225	19,657	2,326	456	20,274	3,556
					10.83%	19.19%	0.34%	29.59%	3.50%	0.69%	30.52%	5.35%

Plan submitted on Fri Nov 05 2021 16:15:22 GMT-0700 (Pacific Daylight Time)

Contact Information

Name: Tamara R

Username: tamrex

Organization:



Plan Information

Plan name: Compact and Related Districts


Description:

Plan Objectives

Compact districts containing similar neighborhoods. Incorporation of many physical elements as district boundaries. Minimal population deviation. South Natomas combined, eastern neighborhoods combined, 'park' neighborhoods combined, and south sac neighborhoods not gerrymandered (as they are currently). Central city splits necessitated for equal district populations at least along known corridors.



- Overall Range Deviation: 8.87%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 13
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 10
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.18 - 0.35
- Population Stability (% not change district): 92.14%



City of SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statelibraryofcalifornia.gov/data-repository/california-statewide-database-official-2021-redistricting-data/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	64,533	65,815	-1,282	-1.95	17,661	9,090	191	19,476	699	467	12,495	4,454
					27.37%	14.09%	0.30%	30.18%	1.08%	0.72%	19.36%	6.90%
D2	68,865	65,815	3,050	4.63	16,600	9,534	467	9,808	1,233	433	27,254	3,536
					24.11%	13.84%	0.68%	14.24%	1.79%	0.63%	39.58%	5.13%
D3	65,452	65,815	-363	-0.55	22,516	8,231	358	7,669	739	578	21,213	4,148
					34.40%	12.58%	0.55%	11.72%	1.13%	0.88%	32.41%	6.34%
D4	65,447	65,815	-368	-0.56	31,422	6,546	326	8,075	393	586	13,252	4,847
					48.01%	10.00%	0.50%	12.34%	0.60%	0.90%	20.25%	7.41%
D5	64,999	65,815	-816	-1.24	25,681	6,399	351	7,603	605	467	19,896	3,997
					39.51%	9.84%	0.54%	11.70%	0.93%	0.72%	30.61%	6.15%
D6	66,254	65,815	439	0.67	23,227	4,812	350	12,526	963	381	20,531	3,464
					35.06%	7.26%	0.53%	18.91%	1.45%	0.58%	30.99%	5.23%
D7	63,027	65,815	-2,788	-4.24	14,718	9,250	191	17,992	1,207	353	15,195	4,121
					23.35%	14.68%	0.30%	28.55%	1.92%	0.56%	24.11%	6.54%
D8	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	7,321	13,139	252	19,100	2,453	456	21,615	3,607
					10.78%	19.34%	0.37%	28.11%	3.61%	0.67%	31.81%	5.31%

Plan submitted on Sat Nov 06 2021 17:36:07 GMT-0700 (PDT)

Contact Information

Name: Bruce Pierini

Username: Cioni1

Organization: Sierra-Curtis Neighborhood Association

Plan Information

Plan name: Sierra-Curtis Neighborhood Association Plan for District 5

Description: We see our COI more centered around the central part of Sacramento and not, as it was moreso, including parts of south Sacramento. We see our COI with neighborhoods along our northern border because of common interest in the WX/Broadway developments and to the north east of Oak Park since the UCD Med Center will affect District 5 greatly. We also had to add population to get to the final numbers on our map which is close to the required numbers for each district.


Plan Objectives

The map, including proposed changes to District 5, has maintained the basic outlines of the district. In order to adjust for some population loss, SCNA has added new portions and deleted other portions drawing on the concept of “communities of interest”. It is worth noting that South Land Park now identifies their COI with Land Park in District 4. The removal of South Land Park from District 5 additions to maintain the 65,000 figure set by the RC for each of the 8 Council Districts. That demographic driver gave impetus to add the following areas that fit District 5’s COI: Areas to the north and east like Newton Booth, Elmhurst, parts of southern east Sacramento and neighborhoods immediately adjacent to the east of Oak Park at Stockton Boulevard. (See accompanying map) The SCNA Redistricting Sub-Committee reasoned that Curtis Park and District 5 have much in common with the above areas and they are immediately adjacent to present District 5 boundaries, meeting the “contiguous” requirement. At the recent Oak Park Neighborhood Association forum on redistricting, RC Chair Horiuchi noted that “freeways should not be the only or major determinant of district lines.” District 5’s “social and economic” common interests include:


Plan Objectives Cont.

- further developments of homeless sites along the W/X Highway 50 freeway,
- the Broadway Corridor (the last major unimproved business corridor in the central city),
- the continuing expansion of the UC Med Center named Aggie Square along Stockton Boulevard which will affect not only Oak Park but Elmhurst and neighborhoods to their north up to Folsom Boulevard.

The map reflects dropping certain areas south of Curtis Park with which we have little or no direct common interests. These include: South Land Park, and two areas just above and below Florin Road (the Z/Berg Park between Pocket and Meadowview and a small section above Florin Road that more appropriately belongs in District 8. Also, there is a small tract just west of Stockton Boulevard which should be with District 6. 6.



Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 4.40%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 33
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 10
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.14 - 0.30
- Population Stability (% not change district): 69.76%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	66,256	65,815	441	0.67	19,445	9,081	214	18,819	742	481	12,892	4,582
					29.35%	13.71%	0.32%	28.40%	1.12%	0.73%	19.46%	6.92%
D2	65,581	65,815	-234	-0.36	14,618	9,650	308	12,904	1,353	455	22,730	3,563
					22.29%	14.71%	0.47%	19.68%	2.06%	0.69%	34.66%	5.43%
D3	65,907	65,815	92	0.14	19,307	9,010	513	5,980	634	567	25,920	3,976
					29.29%	13.67%	0.78%	9.07%	0.96%	0.86%	39.33%	6.03%
D4	63,789	65,815	-2,026	-3.08	35,944	4,736	296	5,647	254	535	11,875	4,502
					56.35%	7.42%	0.46%	8.85%	0.40%	0.84%	18.62%	7.06%
D5	65,998	65,815	183	0.28	23,663	7,115	344	8,892	680	460	20,703	4,141
					35.85%	10.78%	0.52%	13.47%	1.03%	0.70%	31.37%	6.27%
D6	66,672	65,815	857	1.30	23,460	4,762	356	12,443	962	393	20,773	3,523
					35.19%	7.14%	0.53%	18.66%	1.44%	0.59%	31.16%	5.28%
D7	65,635	65,815	-180	-0.27	15,485	9,883	236	17,819	1,277	373	16,235	4,327
					23.59%	15.06%	0.36%	27.15%	1.95%	0.57%	24.74%	6.59%
D8	66,682	65,815	867	1.32	7,224	12,764	219	19,745	2,390	457	20,323	3,560
					10.83%	19.14%	0.33%	29.61%	3.58%	0.69%	30.48%	5.34%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 03:38:35 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Daphne Harris

Username: d8neighborhoodvine

Organization:



Plan Information

Plan name: Updates to D7 and D5 - d8neighborhoodvine


Description:

Plan Objectives

Based more on the zip codes and commonality in the communities.



- Overall Range Deviation: 5.97%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 33
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 10
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.16 - 0.32
- Population Stability (% not change district): 68.12%



City of SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statelibraryofcalifornia.org/databases/statewide-database-official-2021-redistricting-data/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	66,256	65,815	441	0.67	19,445	9,081	214	18,819	742	481	12,892	4,582
					29.35%	13.71%	0.32%	28.40%	1.12%	0.73%	19.46%	6.92%
D2	65,581	65,815	-234	-0.36	14,618	9,650	308	12,904	1,353	455	22,730	3,563
					22.29%	14.71%	0.47%	19.68%	2.06%	0.69%	34.66%	5.43%
D3	65,907	65,815	92	0.14	19,307	9,010	513	5,980	634	567	25,920	3,976
					29.29%	13.67%	0.78%	9.07%	0.96%	0.86%	39.33%	6.03%
D4	67,037	65,815	1,222	1.86	36,988	5,428	328	5,827	274	577	12,915	4,700
					55.18%	8.10%	0.49%	8.69%	0.41%	0.86%	19.27%	7.01%
D5	65,333	65,815	-482	-0.73	23,535	6,661	324	9,352	672	436	20,208	4,145
					36.02%	10.20%	0.50%	14.31%	1.03%	0.67%	30.93%	6.34%
D6	66,617	65,815	802	1.22	23,421	4,762	356	12,443	962	391	20,760	3,522
					35.16%	7.15%	0.53%	18.68%	1.44%	0.59%	31.16%	5.29%
D7	63,107	65,815	-2,708	-4.11	14,608	9,645	224	17,179	1,265	357	15,703	4,126
					23.15%	15.28%	0.35%	27.22%	2.00%	0.57%	24.88%	6.54%
D8	66,682	65,815	867	1.32	7,224	12,764	219	19,745	2,390	457	20,323	3,560
					10.83%	19.14%	0.33%	29.61%	3.58%	0.69%	30.48%	5.34%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 03:51:11 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Daphne Harris

Username: d8neighborhoodvine


Organization:

Plan Information


Plan name: Updates to District 4 and 5 - D8neighborhoodvine

Description:

Plan Objectives




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 9.94%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 6
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 9
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.25 - 0.51
- Population Stability (% not change district): 64.26%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	62,797	65,815	-3,018	-4.59	16,949	8,726	199	17,820	692	448	13,814	4,149
					26.99%	13.90%	0.32%	28.38%	1.10%	0.71%	22.00%	6.61%
D2	62,400	65,815	-3,415	-5.19	15,600	8,755	444	8,524	1,086	395	24,251	3,345
					25.00%	14.03%	0.71%	13.66%	1.74%	0.63%	38.86%	5.36%
D3	65,855	65,815	40	0.06	37,988	4,359	359	5,388	226	642	12,150	4,743
					57.68%	6.62%	0.55%	8.18%	0.34%	0.97%	18.45%	7.20%
D4	62,903	65,815	-2,912	-4.42	15,410	9,278	292	10,894	909	480	21,806	3,834
					24.50%	14.75%	0.46%	17.32%	1.45%	0.76%	34.67%	6.10%
D5	68,456	65,815	2,641	4.01	26,690	7,287	368	8,774	605	513	19,700	4,519
					38.99%	10.64%	0.54%	12.82%	0.88%	0.75%	28.78%	6.60%
D6	66,706	65,815	891	1.35	23,162	4,965	349	12,458	928	379	20,976	3,489
					34.72%	7.44%	0.52%	18.68%	1.39%	0.57%	31.45%	5.23%
D7	68,459	65,815	2,644	4.02	15,863	10,580	249	18,235	1,452	400	17,249	4,431
					23.17%	15.45%	0.36%	26.64%	2.12%	0.58%	25.20%	6.47%
D8	68,944	65,815	3,129	4.75	7,484	13,051	226	20,156	2,394	464	21,505	3,664
					10.86%	18.93%	0.33%	29.24%	3.47%	0.67%	31.19%	5.31%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 13:49:57 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Jay Heiman

Username: jayheiman

Organization: RPCA



Plan Information

Plan name: Central City United 4-3 Robla variation


Description: RPCA Plan

Plan Objectives

This proposed map is submitted by the Robla Park Community Association. The historical boundaries known as Robla in the North area of Sacramento starts North of I-80 to the Sacramento City limits, McClellan Park on the East to the North East Levee Road/Northgate Boulevard on the West. Within this large geographic area is a diverse population as well as many types of neighborhoods – from horse and cattle property, to new housing developments, to industrial hubs, to open land teeming with migratory birds and other wildlife. This area is geographically and developmentally unlike any other in Sacramento. While Robla has been included in District 2 for a number of cycles now, the connection there is tenuous at best. District 2 is also very diverse, but the core of the district is higher density and more urban. Robla, with its horse trails, bike trails, and open land has a different set of challenges. Our proposed map includes Robla in District 1, as well as keeping South Natomas as D1 as the most contiguous geographic area. District 1 west of I-5 could then be connected to the proposed D4 (in the SacFAIR Sacramentoans for Fair and Inclusive Redistricting). This configuration would meet the population goals for District 1. For many Robla residents who most often go to Natomas for shopping, banking, and other business, this would make the most sense. The Twin Rivers School District already covers both areas.



- Overall Range Deviation: 8.39%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 10
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 7
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.16 - 0.41
- Population Stability (% not change district): 73.23%



District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	64,533	65,815	-1,282	-1.95	17,661	9,090	191	19,476	699	467	12,495	4,454
					27.37%	14.09%	0.30%	30.18%	1.08%	0.72%	19.36%	6.90%
D2	65,839	65,815	24	0.04	15,717	9,175	446	9,524	1,206	420	26,003	3,348
					23.87%	13.94%	0.68%	14.47%	1.83%	0.64%	39.49%	5.09%
D3	63,752	65,815	-2,063	-3.13	17,374	9,926	409	8,525	802	623	22,069	4,024
					27.25%	15.57%	0.64%	13.37%	1.26%	0.98%	34.62%	6.31%
D4	63,128	65,815	-2,687	-4.08	37,199	3,087	276	5,537	212	478	11,990	4,349
					58.93%	4.89%	0.44%	8.77%	0.34%	0.76%	18.99%	6.89%
D5	68,649	65,815	2,834	4.31	16,032	10,552	370	11,909	1,434	504	24,101	3,747
					23.35%	15.37%	0.54%	17.35%	2.09%	0.73%	35.11%	5.46%
D6	64,116	65,815	-1,699	-2.58	21,408	4,872	333	12,121	925	364	20,645	3,448
					33.39%	7.60%	0.52%	18.90%	1.44%	0.57%	32.20%	5.38%
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	7,652	212	14,870	483	421	12,570	5,086
					39.22%	11.26%	0.31%	21.89%	0.71%	0.62%	18.50%	7.49%
D8	68,560	65,815	2,745	4.17	7,106	12,647	249	20,287	2,531	444	21,578	3,718
					10.36%	18.45%	0.36%	29.59%	3.69%	0.65%	31.47%	5.42%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 14:14:45 GMT-0800 (PST)

Contact Information

Name: Stuart Gow

Username: StuartGow

Organization: Private resident of Woodlake in District 2


Plan Information

Plan name: STUART_GOW_D2_RESIDENT


Description: The mapping plan presented here is based on SacFair's submission but with alterations to Districts 2, 3 and 4. In this plan the Ben Ali neighborhood remains in District 2 while Alkali and Mansion Flats are placed in District 4. My first reason for this is Ben Ali would be disenfranchised in D4. My second reason is this plan keeps Woodlake and it's surrounding business parks together in one district.

Plan Objectives

The mapping plan presented here is based on SacFair's submission but with alterations to districts 2, 3 and 4. The current District 3 is renamed here as District 4. In this plan the Ben Ali neighborhood remains in District 2 while Alkali and Mansion Flats are placed in District 4. My first reason for this is that Ben Ali would be isolated and disenfranchised in D4. Importantly this plan also puts Woodlake and its surrounding business parks back together in one district. Thank you for your time and consideration. Stuart Gow




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 8.23%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 30
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 10
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.16 - 0.32
- Population Stability (% not change district): 70.11%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	66,256	65,815	441	0.67	19,445	9,081	214	18,819	742	481	12,892	4,582
					29.35%	13.71%	0.32%	28.40%	1.12%	0.73%	19.46%	6.92%
D2	65,581	65,815	-234	-0.36	14,618	9,650	308	12,904	1,353	455	22,730	3,563
					22.29%	14.71%	0.47%	19.68%	2.06%	0.69%	34.66%	5.43%
D3	65,907	65,815	92	0.14	19,307	9,010	513	5,980	634	567	25,920	3,976
					29.29%	13.67%	0.78%	9.07%	0.96%	0.86%	39.33%	6.03%
D4	63,789	65,815	-2,026	-3.08	35,944	4,736	296	5,647	254	535	11,875	4,502
					56.35%	7.42%	0.46%	8.85%	0.40%	0.84%	18.62%	7.06%
D5	68,526	65,815	2,711	4.12	24,540	7,353	356	9,532	692	476	21,235	4,342
					35.81%	10.73%	0.52%	13.91%	1.01%	0.69%	30.99%	6.34%
D6	66,672	65,815	857	1.30	23,460	4,762	356	12,443	962	393	20,773	3,523
					35.19%	7.14%	0.53%	18.66%	1.44%	0.59%	31.16%	5.28%
D7	63,107	65,815	-2,708	-4.11	14,608	9,645	224	17,179	1,265	357	15,703	4,126
					23.15%	15.28%	0.35%	27.22%	2.00%	0.57%	24.88%	6.54%
D8	66,682	65,815	867	1.32	7,224	12,764	219	19,745	2,390	457	20,323	3,560
					10.83%	19.14%	0.33%	29.61%	3.58%	0.69%	30.48%	5.34%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 15:44:22 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Daphne Harris

Username: d8neighborhoodvine

Organization: D8NeighborhoodVine



Plan Information

Plan name: D8NeighborhoodVine a Call to ACTION - 11052021


Description: Reaching out to all people in District 8, 7, 6 and 2. It is time to make sure our neighborhood infrastructures are given priority! Tired of driving on potholes and damaged roads caused by the 5G upgrade. Let's get the City to spend our bond monies in our neighborhoods. This call is to South Sacramento, Meadowview, Parkway, Glen Elder, Elder Creek, Del Paso Heights, Rio Linda and all the other underserved communities.

Plan Objectives

Our plan is based on Zoning Improvement Plan codes (ZIP codes) and keeping communities together. Those paying the same billing tax and living under the same conditions will have common interest and should have representation on the City Council. Remember our pillars 1) CENSUS 2) ELECTIONS 3) MAPS-REDISTRICTING 4) REPRESENTATION 5) ZONING IMPROVEMENT PLAN (ZIP) CODES. For more information contact us www.d8neighborhoodvine.com or visit our facebook page <https://www.facebook.com/D8NeighborhoodVine>



- Overall Range Deviation: 9.79%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 6
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 9
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.24 - 0.51
- Population Stability (% not change district): 65.90%



City of SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opendata>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statelibraryofcalifornia.gov/data-repository/caldatabasedatabase.org/redistricting2021/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	62,500	65,815	-3,315	-5.04	17,342	8,742	182	18,661	671	459	12,152	4,291
					27.75%	13.99%	0.29%	29.86%	1.07%	0.73%	19.44%	6.87%
D2	63,068	65,815	-2,747	-4.17	15,749	8,838	447	8,726	1,115	400	24,425	3,368
					24.97%	14.01%	0.71%	13.84%	1.77%	0.63%	38.73%	5.34%
D3	65,855	65,815	40	0.06	37,988	4,359	359	5,388	226	642	12,150	4,743
					57.68%	6.62%	0.55%	8.18%	0.34%	0.97%	18.45%	7.20%
D4	62,532	65,815	-3,283	-4.99	14,868	9,179	306	9,851	901	464	23,294	3,669
					23.78%	14.68%	0.49%	15.75%	1.44%	0.74%	37.25%	5.87%
D5	68,456	65,815	2,641	4.01	26,690	7,287	368	8,774	605	513	19,700	4,519
					38.99%	10.64%	0.54%	12.82%	0.88%	0.75%	28.78%	6.60%
D6	66,706	65,815	891	1.35	23,162	4,965	349	12,458	928	379	20,976	3,489
					34.72%	7.44%	0.52%	18.68%	1.39%	0.57%	31.45%	5.23%
D7	68,459	65,815	2,644	4.02	15,863	10,580	249	18,235	1,452	400	17,249	4,431
					23.17%	15.45%	0.36%	26.64%	2.12%	0.58%	25.20%	6.47%
D8	68,944	65,815	3,129	4.75	7,484	13,051	226	20,156	2,394	464	21,505	3,664
					10.86%	18.93%	0.33%	29.24%	3.47%	0.67%	31.19%	5.31%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 16:38:07 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Jennifer Holden

Username: JenHolden

Organization:


Plan Information

Plan name: South Sac Equity 2


Description: While the North Area of th map is very similar to the North Area of other maps, my map's South Area criteria are:1) Place 2 major South Area resources together in the same district (D8) - Delta Shores and Kaiser South in order to keep major far South Area resource revenues in D8 which needs the resources;2) Keep neighborhoods/business districts whole;3) Make D5, D7, and D8 more compact while balancing ethnic proportions equitably;4) Place South Area high resource areas w/ low resources

Plan Objectives

While the North Area of my map is very similar to the North Area of other maps, my map's South Area criteria are:1) Place two major South Area resources together in the same district (D8) - Delta Shores and Kaiser South in order to keep major far South Area resource revenues in D8 which needs the resources;2) Keep neighborhoods and business districts whole;3) Make D5, D7, and D8 more compact while balancing ethnic proportions equitably;4) Place South Area high resource areas in the same district as low resource areas to distribute resources more widely; and5) Keep as much of the Central City together in one district as possible.




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 8.50%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 12
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 9
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.17 - 0.39
- Population Stability (% not change district): 80.31%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	66,200	65,815	385	0.58	18,142	9,379	196	19,905	735	482	12,803	4,558
					27.40%	14.17%	0.30%	30.07%	1.11%	0.73%	19.34%	6.89%
D2	68,865	65,815	3,050	4.63	16,600	9,534	467	9,808	1,233	433	27,254	3,536
					24.11%	13.84%	0.68%	14.24%	1.79%	0.63%	39.58%	5.13%
D3	65,146	65,815	-669	-1.02	38,894	3,590	242	5,544	295	507	11,478	4,596
					59.70%	5.51%	0.37%	8.51%	0.45%	0.78%	17.62%	7.05%
D4	66,015	65,815	200	0.30	18,896	9,962	432	8,453	784	642	22,559	4,287
					28.62%	15.09%	0.65%	12.80%	1.19%	0.97%	34.17%	6.49%
D5	63,267	65,815	-2,548	-3.87	21,271	7,008	353	9,288	667	458	20,267	3,955
					33.62%	11.08%	0.56%	14.68%	1.05%	0.72%	32.03%	6.25%
D6	66,540	65,815	725	1.10	23,083	4,962	348	12,433	924	379	20,928	3,483
					34.69%	7.46%	0.52%	18.69%	1.39%	0.57%	31.45%	5.23%
D7	65,316	65,815	-499	-0.76	15,296	10,159	198	17,997	1,252	394	15,771	4,249
					23.42%	15.55%	0.30%	27.55%	1.92%	0.60%	24.15%	6.51%
D8	65,171	65,815	-644	-0.98	6,964	12,407	250	18,821	2,402	426	20,391	3,510
					10.69%	19.04%	0.38%	28.88%	3.69%	0.65%	31.29%	5.39%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 16:41:03 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Lauren Hammond

Username: LHammond

Organization: NAACP



Plan Information

Plan name: SouthSac Equity 2.1


Description:

Plan Objectives

This map shows how you can draw a plan that keeps the integrity of South Sacramento using equity as well as the criteria for redistricting.



- Overall Range Deviation: 7.47%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 16
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 10
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.18 - 0.37
- Population Stability (% not change district): 91.75%



City of SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statewidedatabase.org/redistricting2021/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	64,533	65,815	-1,282	-1.95	17,661	9,090	191	19,476	699	467	12,495	4,454
					27.37%	14.09%	0.30%	30.18%	1.08%	0.72%	19.36%	6.90%
D2	66,848	65,815	1,033	1.57	15,527	9,377	451	9,708	1,231	413	26,738	3,403
					23.23%	14.03%	0.67%	14.52%	1.84%	0.62%	40.00%	5.09%
D3	67,469	65,815	1,654	2.51	23,589	8,388	374	7,769	741	598	21,729	4,281
					34.96%	12.43%	0.55%	11.51%	1.10%	0.89%	32.21%	6.35%
D4	65,447	65,815	-368	-0.56	31,422	6,546	326	8,075	393	586	13,252	4,847
					48.01%	10.00%	0.50%	12.34%	0.60%	0.90%	20.25%	7.41%
D5	64,999	65,815	-816	-1.24	25,681	6,399	351	7,603	605	467	19,896	3,997
					39.51%	9.84%	0.54%	11.70%	0.93%	0.72%	30.61%	6.15%
D6	66,254	65,815	439	0.67	23,227	4,812	350	12,526	963	381	20,531	3,464
					35.06%	7.26%	0.53%	18.91%	1.45%	0.58%	30.99%	5.23%
D7	63,027	65,815	-2,788	-4.24	14,718	9,250	191	17,992	1,207	353	15,195	4,121
					23.35%	14.68%	0.30%	28.55%	1.92%	0.56%	24.11%	6.54%
D8	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	7,321	13,139	252	19,100	2,453	456	21,615	3,607
					10.78%	19.34%	0.37%	28.11%	3.61%	0.67%	31.81%	5.31%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 17:27:29 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Kaitlyn Johnson

Username: kj1990

Organization: N/A



Plan Information

Plan name: District Map for 2021-2031


Description: District Map for 2021-2031

Plan Objectives

Preserving neighborhood integrity and continuity.



- Overall Range Deviation: 9.97%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 10
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 7
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.16 - 0.38
- Population Stability (% not change district): 63.75%



City of SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statelibraryofcalifornia.gov/data-repository/statewide-database-official-2021-redistricting-data/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	62,089	65,815	-3,726	-5.66	16,952	8,656	202	17,398	656	449	13,754	4,022
					27.30%	13.94%	0.33%	28.02%	1.06%	0.72%	22.15%	6.48%
D2	62,338	65,815	-3,477	-5.28	15,501	8,741	434	8,614	1,102	394	24,203	3,349
					24.87%	14.02%	0.70%	13.82%	1.77%	0.63%	38.83%	5.37%
D3	66,562	65,815	747	1.13	38,238	4,426	371	5,487	229	650	12,355	4,806
					57.45%	6.65%	0.56%	8.24%	0.34%	0.98%	18.56%	7.22%
D4	63,673	65,815	-2,142	-3.25	15,506	9,362	299	11,226	929	480	21,914	3,957
					24.35%	14.70%	0.47%	17.63%	1.46%	0.75%	34.42%	6.21%
D5	68,649	65,815	2,834	4.31	16,032	10,552	370	11,909	1,434	504	24,101	3,747
					23.35%	15.37%	0.54%	17.35%	2.09%	0.73%	35.11%	5.46%
D6	66,706	65,815	891	1.35	23,162	4,965	349	12,458	928	379	20,976	3,489
					34.72%	7.44%	0.52%	18.68%	1.39%	0.57%	31.45%	5.23%
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	7,652	212	14,870	483	421	12,570	5,086
					39.22%	11.26%	0.31%	21.89%	0.71%	0.62%	18.50%	7.49%
D8	68,560	65,815	2,745	4.17	7,106	12,647	249	20,287	2,531	444	21,578	3,718
					10.36%	18.45%	0.36%	29.59%	3.69%	0.65%	31.47%	5.42%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 17:47:40 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Marbella Sala

Username: mmnayark

Organization: South Natomas Ad Hoc Committee Gardenland Northgate Neighborhood Association



Plan Information

Plan name: Central City United 4-3 South Natomas Variation


Description:

Plan Objectives

The populations of North Natomas and North Sacramento, east of the East Main Drain Canal are larger than the allowed variance for a district, so both overarching neighborhoods must yield population to the third north-of-the-river district. The Central City United 3-4 South Natomas Map solves this population distribution problem by moving Natomas Marketplace and Natomas Gateway as part of South Natomas and the northwest corner of District 2, the Robla Community to form part of District 1. These neighborhoods share common socioeconomic and social interest and are together in the Twin Rivers School District.



- Overall Range Deviation: 8.33%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 11
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 7
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.16 - 0.41
- Population Stability (% not change district): 72.48%



City of SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statelibraryofcalifornia.org/databases/redistricting2021/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	64,533	65,815	-1,282	-1.95	17,661	9,090	191	19,476	699	467	12,495	4,454
					27.37%	14.09%	0.30%	30.18%	1.08%	0.72%	19.36%	6.90%
D2	63,171	65,815	-2,644	-4.02	14,718	8,883	427	9,380	1,191	403	24,994	3,175
					23.30%	14.06%	0.68%	14.85%	1.89%	0.64%	39.57%	5.03%
D3	65,350	65,815	-465	-0.71	18,128	10,142	421	8,721	804	631	22,356	4,147
					27.74%	15.52%	0.64%	13.35%	1.23%	0.97%	34.21%	6.35%
D4	64,198	65,815	-1,617	-2.46	37,444	3,163	283	5,485	225	487	12,712	4,399
					58.33%	4.93%	0.44%	8.54%	0.35%	0.76%	19.80%	6.85%
D5	68,649	65,815	2,834	4.31	16,032	10,552	370	11,909	1,434	504	24,101	3,747
					23.35%	15.37%	0.54%	17.35%	2.09%	0.73%	35.11%	5.46%
D6	64,116	65,815	-1,699	-2.58	21,408	4,872	333	12,121	925	364	20,645	3,448
					33.39%	7.60%	0.52%	18.90%	1.44%	0.57%	32.20%	5.38%
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	7,652	212	14,870	483	421	12,570	5,086
					39.22%	11.26%	0.31%	21.89%	0.71%	0.62%	18.50%	7.49%
D8	68,560	65,815	2,745	4.17	7,106	12,647	249	20,287	2,531	444	21,578	3,718
					10.36%	18.45%	0.36%	29.59%	3.69%	0.65%	31.47%	5.42%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 17:55:51 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Marbella Sala

Username: mmnayark

Organization: South Natomas Ad Hoc Committee


Plan Information

Plan name: Retro Map 3-4 South Natomas


Description:

Plan Objectives

The Retro Map derives its name from its similarities to the maps that were in effect from 1991 through 2010. This map keeps Districts 1 and 2 pretty much the same as the current City map, but substantially changes Districts 3 and 4, reuniting the entire South Natomas Community into one district (District 4) with the River District and Downtown, Alkali and Mansion Flats instead of East Sacramento. The Retro Map adds a portion of North Natomas (Natomas Crossing and Natomas Marketplace) to District 4 to equalize district populations and to recognize that these neighborhoods were previously connected to South Natomas until 2011.




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 0.68%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 14
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 7
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.18 - 0.45
- Population Stability (% not change district): 64.56%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

City of SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statewidedatabase.org/redistricting2021/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	65,807	65,815	-8	-0.01	18,065 27.45%	9,204 13.99%	196 0.30%	19,901 30.24%	770 1.17%	487 0.74%	12,635 19.20%	4,549 6.91%
D2	65,837	65,815	22	0.03	13,305 20.21%	9,422 14.31%	356 0.54%	11,410 17.33%	1,348 2.05%	398 0.60%	26,313 39.97%	3,285 4.99%
D3	65,678	65,815	-137	-0.21	34,695 52.83%	4,453 6.78%	387 0.59%	4,884 7.44%	492 0.75%	433 0.66%	16,246 24.74%	4,088 6.22%
D4	65,876	65,815	61	0.09	25,114 38.12%	8,569 13.01%	422 0.64%	6,704 10.18%	517 0.78%	659 1.00%	19,349 29.37%	4,542 6.89%
D5	66,071	65,815	256	0.39	26,837 40.62%	6,120 9.26%	276 0.42%	9,905 14.99%	705 1.07%	511 0.77%	17,402 26.34%	4,315 6.53%
D6	65,626	65,815	-189	-0.29	18,891 28.79%	6,536 9.96%	395 0.60%	12,281 18.71%	799 1.22%	408 0.62%	22,768 34.69%	3,548 5.41%
D7	65,799	65,815	-16	-0.02	15,006 22.81%	10,055 15.28%	233 0.35%	17,908 27.22%	1,367 2.08%	378 0.57%	16,527 25.12%	4,325 6.57%
D8	65,826	65,815	11	0.02	7,233 10.99%	12,642 19.21%	221 0.34%	19,256 29.25%	2,294 3.48%	447 0.68%	20,211 30.70%	3,522 5.35%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 20:44:41 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Jenn Knapp

Username: JKRDmap2

Organization:


Plan Information

Plan name: Map 1


Description: Reducing gerrymandered districts and working to unite neighborhoods with commonalities

Plan Objectives

Objectives include eliminating gerrymandered districts and keeping neighborhoods together as much as possible.




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 4.34%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 12
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 10
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.18 - 0.37
- Population Stability (% not change district): 86.45%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	64,832	65,815	-983	-1.49	18,044	9,033	201	19,163	692	483	12,888	4,328
					27.83%	13.93%	0.31%	29.56%	1.07%	0.75%	19.88%	6.68%
D2	64,977	65,815	-838	-1.27	15,329	9,274	442	9,021	1,173	402	25,951	3,385
					23.59%	14.27%	0.68%	13.88%	1.81%	0.62%	39.94%	5.21%
D3	66,108	65,815	293	0.45	26,997	6,659	298	6,455	638	458	20,640	3,963
					40.84%	10.07%	0.45%	9.76%	0.97%	0.69%	31.22%	5.99%
D4	65,448	65,815	-367	-0.56	28,868	7,477	397	8,807	530	683	13,880	4,806
					44.11%	11.42%	0.61%	13.46%	0.81%	1.04%	21.21%	7.34%
D5	65,322	65,815	-493	-0.75	25,524	6,312	347	8,835	506	480	19,071	4,247
					39.07%	9.66%	0.53%	13.53%	0.77%	0.73%	29.20%	6.50%
D6	65,527	65,815	-288	-0.44	22,193	4,973	338	12,252	934	376	20,906	3,555
					33.87%	7.59%	0.52%	18.70%	1.43%	0.57%	31.90%	5.43%
D7	67,689	65,815	1,874	2.85	15,233	10,545	214	19,631	1,294	410	15,875	4,487
					22.50%	15.58%	0.32%	29.00%	1.91%	0.61%	23.45%	6.63%
D8	66,617	65,815	802	1.22	6,958	12,728	249	18,085	2,525	429	22,240	3,403
					10.44%	19.11%	0.37%	27.15%	3.79%	0.64%	33.38%	5.11%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 20:58:20 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Manuel Buenrostro

Username: manuelbuenrostro

Organization: The Latino Economic Council in collaboration with other Latino organizations and community leaders.

Plan Information



Plan name: LECS and Other Latino Orgs Proposal

Description: This map is created in through a collaboration of the Latino Economic Council and other Latino organizations and community leaders. Map aims at keeping intact neighborhoods and commercial corridors with highest proportion of Hispanics while holding deviation lower than 3%. Areas proposed for keeping together (regardless of district) include El Camino, Benito Juarez Association, Robla, Del Paso Boulevard, Gardenland, Discovery Park, Northgate, Southside Park, Washington, Frankling Boulevard, South Oak Park, Central Oak Park, North Oak Park, Colonia Heights, Hollywood Park, North City Farms, South City Farms, Lawrence Park, Tahoe Park, Tallac Village, Fruitridge Manor, Colonia Manor, Valley High, Laguna/Parkway, CSU, and the UCD Medical Center complex. This will ensure that neighborhoods with the highest proportion of Hispanics are not split up and that vital economic assets are not diluted.


Plan Information Cont.

Plan Objectives

The objective is to keep intact key neighborhoods with a high proportion of Latinos and economic assets of interest to the community. The key neighborhoods and areas that we urge the commission to prioritize for keeping together (regardless of what district they end up in) include El Camino, Benito Juarez Association, Robla, Del Paso Boulevard, Gardenland, Discovery Park, Northgate, Southside Park, Washington, Frankling Boulevard, South Oak Park, Central Oak Park, North Oak Park, Colonia Heights, Hollywood Park, North City Farms, South City Farms, Lawrence Park, Tahoe Park, Tallac Village, Fruitridge Manor, Colonia Manor, Valley High, Laguna/Parkway, CSU, and the UCD Medical Center complex. This will ensure that the neighborhoods with the highest proportion of Latinos are not split up and that vital economic assets are not diluted. This plan also seeks to ensure that there is equitable allocation of economic assets and that areas of environmental importance are kept together.



- Overall Range Deviation: 8.39%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 10
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 7
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.16 - 0.41
- Population Stability (% not change district): 73.23%



City of SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statelibraryofcalifornia.org/databases/redistricting2021/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	64,533	65,815	-1,282	-1.95	17,661	9,090	191	19,476	699	467	12,495	4,454
					27.37%	14.09%	0.30%	30.18%	1.08%	0.72%	19.36%	6.90%
D2	65,839	65,815	24	0.04	15,717	9,175	446	9,524	1,206	420	26,003	3,348
					23.87%	13.94%	0.68%	14.47%	1.83%	0.64%	39.49%	5.09%
D3	63,752	65,815	-2,063	-3.13	17,374	9,926	409	8,525	802	623	22,069	4,024
					27.25%	15.57%	0.64%	13.37%	1.26%	0.98%	34.62%	6.31%
D4	63,128	65,815	-2,687	-4.08	37,199	3,087	276	5,537	212	478	11,990	4,349
					58.93%	4.89%	0.44%	8.77%	0.34%	0.76%	18.99%	6.89%
D5	68,649	65,815	2,834	4.31	16,032	10,552	370	11,909	1,434	504	24,101	3,747
					23.35%	15.37%	0.54%	17.35%	2.09%	0.73%	35.11%	5.46%
D6	64,116	65,815	-1,699	-2.58	21,408	4,872	333	12,121	925	364	20,645	3,448
					33.39%	7.60%	0.52%	18.90%	1.44%	0.57%	32.20%	5.38%
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	7,652	212	14,870	483	421	12,570	5,086
					39.22%	11.26%	0.31%	21.89%	0.71%	0.62%	18.50%	7.49%
D8	68,560	65,815	2,745	4.17	7,106	12,647	249	20,287	2,531	444	21,578	3,718
					10.36%	18.45%	0.36%	29.59%	3.69%	0.65%	31.47%	5.42%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 22:06:37 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Andrew Hernandez

Username:

Organization:


Plan Information

Plan name: Andy Hernandez - Final Submission


Description: This map uses the SacFair submission as a base and adds the areas in the Woodlake COI that are not included into D2. These areas are Ericksson Industrial Park to the West of Business 90 and South of Arden Way and Railroad Ave. to the North of Del Paso Blvd and to the East of Northgate.

Plan Objectives

This map uses the SacFair submission as a base and adds the areas in the Woodlake COI, that are not included in that map, back into D2. These areas are Ericksson Industrial Park to the West of Business 80 and South of Arden Way and Railroad Ave. to the North of Del Paso Blvd and to the East of Northgate. Neither of these areas have voters, so the change does not fundamentally alter the original submission, but satisfies the desire of the Woodlake COI to retain these areas within the same district as Woodlake.




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 8.22%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 10
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 9
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.27 - 0.51
- Population Stability (% not change district): 56.22%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	64,216	65,815	-1,599	-2.43	17,465	9,267	189	19,427	709	481	12,266	4,412
					27.20%	14.43%	0.29%	30.25%	1.10%	0.75%	19.10%	6.87%
D2	65,299	65,815	-516	-0.78	15,325	9,073	437	9,692	1,211	395	25,824	3,342
					23.47%	13.89%	0.67%	14.84%	1.85%	0.60%	39.55%	5.12%
D3	63,817	65,815	-1,998	-3.04	17,354	9,301	391	8,426	800	607	22,910	4,028
					27.19%	14.57%	0.61%	13.20%	1.25%	0.95%	35.90%	6.31%
D4	66,269	65,815	454	0.69	39,483	3,715	305	5,100	385	447	12,456	4,378
					59.58%	5.61%	0.46%	7.70%	0.58%	0.67%	18.80%	6.61%
D5	69,223	65,815	3,408	5.18	20,443	6,828	434	12,535	821	455	23,855	3,852
					29.53%	9.86%	0.63%	18.11%	1.19%	0.66%	34.46%	5.56%
D6	65,419	65,815	-396	-0.60	26,302	6,021	273	9,757	698	502	17,596	4,270
					40.21%	9.20%	0.42%	14.91%	1.07%	0.77%	26.90%	6.53%
D7	65,148	65,815	-667	-1.01	15,489	10,384	237	17,115	1,272	375	15,926	4,350
					23.78%	15.94%	0.36%	26.27%	1.95%	0.58%	24.45%	6.68%
D8	67,129	65,815	1,314	2.00	7,285	12,412	220	20,197	2,396	459	20,618	3,542
					10.85%	18.49%	0.33%	30.09%	3.57%	0.68%	30.71%	5.28%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 22:48:45 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Kevin Sullivan

Username: ksullivan

Organization:

Plan Information



Plan name: Problems_of_Population

Description: Attempting to tackle the problem of population and geography, this proposed map respects many of the proposed Communities of Interest (COI), while seeking to maintain a reasonable delta in population. Maintains North Natomas in District 1 as a cohesive COI. Similarly, District 2 keeps Robla as a distinct COI along with a significant amount of existing District 2 geography. The plan maintains District 3 as an American River / American River Parkway focused District, but ensures that nearby residents on both the north and south sides of the river are constituents of the Council Member with responsibility, all the while respecting continuity of Old North Sacramento (Woodlake, Del Paso Boulevard, etc.). District 4 represents the middle slice of the city from Old Sacramento to La Riviera Drive. District 5/6 (the number designation is semantic) represents the majority of Sacramento east of Highway 99 and South of US 50. District 6/5 reunites the North and South Land Park neighborhoods, while ensuring that Broadway and W/X are represented with a single voice. District 7 returns Pocket and Little Pocket to a single District while compacting the geography of the prior boundaries. Similar to District 7, District 8 is compacted around the natural geographies of the Sacramento River and Meadowview Road.

Plan Information Cont.


Plan Objectives

Attempting to tackle the problem of population and geography, this proposed map respects many of the proposed Communities of Interest (COI), while seeking to maintain a reasonable delta in population. Maintains North Natomas in District 1 as a cohesive COI. Similarly, District 2 keeps Robla as a distinct COI along with a significant amount of existing District 2 geography. The plan maintains District 3 as an American River / American River Parkway focused District, but ensures that nearby residents on both the north and south sides of the river are constituents of the Council Member with responsibility, all the while respecting continuity of Old North Sacramento (Woodlake, Del Paso Boulevard, etc.). District 4 represents the middle slice of the city from Old Sacramento to La Riviera Drive. District 5/6 (the number designation is semantic) represents the majority of Sacramento east of Highway 99 and South of US 50. District 6/5 reunites the North and South Land Park neighborhoods, while ensuring that Broadway and W/X are represented with a single voice. District 7 returns Pocket and Little Pocket to a single District while compacting the geography of the prior boundaries. Similar to District 7, District 8 is compacted around the natural geographies of the Sacramento River and Meadowview Road.



- Overall Range Deviation: 4.16%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 4
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 7
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.22 - 0.48
- Population Stability (% not change district): 71.54%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

City of SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statelibraryofcalifornia.gov/data-repository/california-statewide-database-official-2021-redistricting-data/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	64,533	65,815	-1,282	-1.95	17,661	9,090	191	19,476	699	467	12,495	4,454
					27.37%	14.09%	0.30%	30.18%	1.08%	0.72%	19.36%	6.90%
D2	67,270	65,815	1,455	2.21	21,339	8,354	436	8,824	1,013	457	23,256	3,591
					31.72%	12.42%	0.65%	13.12%	1.51%	0.68%	34.57%	5.34%
D3	64,832	65,815	-983	-1.49	14,936	9,495	338	9,596	988	442	25,276	3,761
					23.04%	14.65%	0.52%	14.80%	1.52%	0.68%	38.99%	5.80%
D4	65,110	65,815	-705	-1.07	35,889	4,650	412	5,665	395	623	12,944	4,532
					55.12%	7.14%	0.63%	8.70%	0.61%	0.96%	19.88%	6.96%
D5	67,081	65,815	1,266	1.92	24,908	7,284	343	9,321	765	485	19,717	4,258
					37.13%	10.86%	0.51%	13.90%	1.14%	0.72%	29.39%	6.35%
D6	65,461	65,815	-354	-0.54	22,076	5,404	312	12,149	769	422	20,619	3,710
					33.72%	8.26%	0.48%	18.56%	1.17%	0.64%	31.50%	5.67%
D7	66,623	65,815	808	1.23	15,055	10,136	237	18,129	1,390	382	16,927	4,367
					22.60%	15.21%	0.36%	27.21%	2.09%	0.57%	25.41%	6.55%
D8	65,610	65,815	-205	-0.31	7,282	12,588	217	19,089	2,273	443	20,217	3,501
					11.10%	19.19%	0.33%	29.09%	3.46%	0.68%	30.81%	5.34%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 23:35:56 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Andrés Ramos

Username: AndresRamos

Organization:


Plan Information

Plan name: Central City-East Sac


Description:

Plan Objectives

This map keeps most neighborhoods together and is compact. It unifies South Natomas into one district and keeps the Latino community together by connecting large Latino populations in South Natomas, Gardenland, and Noralto. It keeps the Central City, a community of interest of renters, Queer people, and others, together and connects it with East Sacramento and River Park, which all share common recreation interests along the American River Parkway. This map unifies Land Park, from upper to south Land Park, into one district. The map keeps a large Asian population in Pocket/Greenhaven, Z'Berg Park, and Meadowview together. Lastly, it unifies the Valley Hi/North Laguna community, which keeps a significant population of the Black community together in that district.




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 4.20%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 4
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 8
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.26 - 0.43
- Population Stability (% not change district): 49.33%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	64,554	65,815	-1,261	-1.92	17,671	9,099	191	19,476	699	467	12,497	4,454
					27.37%	14.10%	0.30%	30.17%	1.08%	0.72%	19.36%	6.90%
D2	64,799	65,815	-1,016	-1.54	13,540	9,060	357	10,048	1,310	448	26,837	3,199
					20.90%	13.98%	0.55%	15.51%	2.02%	0.69%	41.42%	4.94%
D3	65,722	65,815	-93	-0.14	31,226	5,110	423	5,294	595	390	18,838	3,846
					47.51%	7.78%	0.64%	8.06%	0.91%	0.59%	28.66%	5.85%
D4	65,963	65,815	148	0.22	27,138	8,253	394	8,644	488	676	15,594	4,776
					41.14%	12.51%	0.60%	13.10%	0.74%	1.02%	23.64%	7.24%
D5	65,461	65,815	-354	-0.54	22,076	5,404	312	12,149	769	422	20,619	3,710
					33.72%	8.26%	0.48%	18.56%	1.17%	0.64%	31.50%	5.67%
D6	67,098	65,815	1,283	1.95	14,092	9,832	379	12,692	1,678	457	24,335	3,633
					21.00%	14.65%	0.56%	18.92%	2.50%	0.68%	36.27%	5.41%
D7	67,313	65,815	1,498	2.28	26,121	7,655	213	14,857	480	418	12,514	5,055
					38.81%	11.37%	0.32%	22.07%	0.71%	0.62%	18.59%	7.51%
D8	65,610	65,815	-205	-0.31	7,282	12,588	217	19,089	2,273	443	20,217	3,501
					11.10%	19.19%	0.33%	29.09%	3.46%	0.68%	30.81%	5.34%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 23:47:38 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Andres Ramos

Username: AndresRamos

Organization:


Plan Information

Plan name: Central City-South Natomas


Description:

Plan Objectives

This map keeps most neighborhoods together and is compact. It keeps the Central City, a community of interest of renters, Queer people, and others, together and connects it with western South Natomas, with which it shares high populations of renters and multifamily housing. It keeps a large population of Latino people together in eastern South Natomas. This map unifies Land Park, from upper to south Land Park, into one district and combines it with Pocket/Greenhaven and Z'Berg Park, keeping a large Asian population together. Those communities also share common natural resources and recreation along the Sacramento River and common transportation along I-5 corridor. It keeps the Meadowview community together and with other communities between a Freeport Blvd and Highway 99 corridor. Lastly, it unifies the Valley Hi/North Laguna community, which keeps a significant population of the Black community together in that district.




Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 2.18%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 12
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 9
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.18 - 0.49
- Population Stability (% not change district): 69.65%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	66,318	65,815	503	0.76	18,213 27.46%	9,284 14.00%	196 0.30%	20,039 30.22%	773 1.17%	487 0.73%	12,760 19.24%	4,566 6.89%
D2	66,385	65,815	570	0.87	27,438 41.33%	6,444 9.71%	373 0.56%	7,646 11.52%	813 1.22%	449 0.68%	19,255 29.01%	3,967 5.98%
D3	65,292	65,815	-523	-0.79	15,691 24.03%	9,573 14.66%	321 0.49%	9,699 14.85%	1,058 1.62%	470 0.72%	24,785 37.96%	3,695 5.66%
D4	65,624	65,815	-191	-0.29	29,424 44.84%	6,905 10.52%	469 0.71%	5,967 9.09%	286 0.44%	677 1.03%	17,351 26.44%	4,545 6.93%
D5	66,683	65,815	868	1.32	23,015 34.51%	7,785 11.67%	363 0.54%	9,467 14.20%	888 1.33%	461 0.69%	20,469 30.70%	4,235 6.35%
D6	65,248	65,815	-567	-0.86	23,326 35.75%	4,621 7.08%	321 0.49%	12,339 18.91%	814 1.25%	368 0.56%	20,021 30.68%	3,438 5.27%
D7	65,360	65,815	-455	-0.69	14,757 22.58%	9,801 15.00%	226 0.35%	18,003 27.54%	1,387 2.12%	366 0.56%	16,593 25.39%	4,227 6.47%
D8	65,610	65,815	-205	-0.31	7,282 11.10%	12,588 19.19%	217 0.33%	19,089 29.09%	2,273 3.46%	443 0.68%	20,217 30.81%	3,501 5.34%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 23:58:43 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Andres Ramos

Username: AndresRamos

Organization:



Plan Information

Plan name: Central City-Curtis Park-Woodlake


Description:

Plan Objectives

This map puts the Central City with other nearby neighborhoods that also have higher concentrations of renter households or multifamily housing, such as Oak Park and North Sacramento/Noralto.



- Overall Range Deviation: 1.71%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 10
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 9
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.19 - 0.48
- Population Stability (% not change district): 76.19%



City of SACRAMENTO
Redistricting 2021

Map Date: 11/8/2021

DISCLAIMER: All maps & data provided are subject to Terms of Use identified in the City of Sacramento Open Data Policy at <http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/opa/data>

SOURCE: California Statewide Database Official 2021 Redistricting Data (<https://statelibraryofcalifornia.gov/data-repository/california-statewide-database-official-2021-redistricting-data/>)

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	66,318	65,815	503	0.76	18,213 27.46%	9,284 14.00%	196 0.30%	20,039 30.22%	773 1.17%	487 0.73%	12,760 19.24%	4,566 6.89%
D2	65,314	65,815	-501	-0.76	14,666 22.45%	9,411 14.41%	431 0.66%	10,488 16.06%	1,226 1.88%	409 0.63%	25,393 38.88%	3,290 5.04%
D3	65,739	65,815	-76	-0.12	21,490 32.69%	8,266 12.57%	343 0.52%	7,468 11.36%	706 1.07%	473 0.72%	23,000 34.99%	3,993 6.07%
D4	65,298	65,815	-517	-0.79	35,414 54.23%	5,626 8.62%	380 0.58%	5,562 8.52%	335 0.51%	675 1.03%	12,577 19.26%	4,729 7.24%
D5	66,062	65,815	247	0.38	24,403 36.94%	6,648 10.06%	341 0.52%	9,129 13.82%	650 0.98%	467 0.71%	20,272 30.69%	4,152 6.29%
D6	65,512	65,815	-303	-0.46	22,186 33.87%	4,970 7.59%	338 0.52%	12,251 18.70%	934 1.43%	376 0.57%	20,905 31.91%	3,552 5.42%
D7	65,859	65,815	44	0.07	15,578 23.65%	10,056 15.27%	233 0.35%	17,655 26.81%	1,342 2.04%	378 0.57%	16,279 24.72%	4,338 6.59%
D8	66,418	65,815	603	0.92	7,196 10.83%	12,740 19.18%	224 0.34%	19,657 29.60%	2,326 3.50%	456 0.69%	20,265 30.51%	3,554 5.35%

Plan submitted on Sun Nov 07 2021 23:55:15 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)

Contact Information

Name: Andrew Becker

Username: AndrewScotBecker

Organization:

Plan Information


Plan name: Fair Redistricting Map


Description:

Plan Objectives


D1: North Natomas District D2: North Sacramento District D3: River District D4: Downtown Central District D5: Parks District D6: Folsom Blvd District D7: Pockets District D8: South Sacramento District This plan seeks to unify communities of interest and thematically similar neighborhoods in a compact way that eliminates the quirky shapes of the current district map.

PDF Map Submission
Name: GEOMAP






Total Population by Council District



- Overall Range Deviation: 7.21%
- Contiguity: Yes
- Neighborhoods (# split): 16
- Community Plan Areas (# split): 9
- Compactness of Territory (Polsby-Popper Test): 0.12 - 0.45
- Population Stability (% not change district): 66.24%

Race/Ethnicity Demographics* by Council District



* Demographics variables shown are from the P2 Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race Table

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Hispanic	Two or more minority race
D1	66,262	65,815	447	0.68	17,985	9,489	194	20,017	737	490	12,763	4,587
					27.14%	14.32%	0.29%	30.21%	1.11%	0.74%	19.26%	6.92%
D2	68,628	65,815	2,813	4.27	15,584	9,347	458	9,930	1,288	408	28,228	3,385
					22.71%	13.62%	0.67%	14.47%	1.88%	0.59%	41.13%	4.93%
D3	63,880	65,815	-1,935	-2.94	37,783	2,925	294	4,855	385	415	13,000	4,223
					59.15%	4.58%	0.46%	7.60%	0.60%	0.65%	20.35%	6.61%
D4	65,886	65,815	71	0.11	20,131	9,930	422	8,983	704	684	20,696	4,336
					30.55%	15.07%	0.64%	13.63%	1.07%	1.04%	31.41%	6.58%
D5	64,844	65,815	-971	-1.48	14,068	9,129	322	13,806	1,491	454	22,045	3,529
					21.70%	14.08%	0.50%	21.29%	2.30%	0.70%	34.00%	5.44%
D6	65,297	65,815	-518	-0.79	20,997	5,968	343	12,063	770	419	21,054	3,683
					32.16%	9.14%	0.53%	18.47%	1.18%	0.64%	32.24%	5.64%
D7	65,546	65,815	-269	-0.41	25,741	7,380	204	14,488	456	411	11,914	4,952
					39.27%	11.26%	0.31%	22.10%	0.70%	0.63%	18.18%	7.55%
D8	66,177	65,815	362	0.55	6,857	12,833	249	18,107	2,461	440	21,751	3,479
					10.36%	19.39%	0.38%	27.36%	3.72%	0.66%	32.87%	5.26%

Contact Information

Name: Larry Lee

Username:


Organization: Detroit Community Association

Plan Information

Plan name: DCA

Description:

Plan Objectives



Partial Map – D4
Total Population: 1,807

Originally in District 1, my area was moved into District 4.

We do not want to move to yet another district because I would like consistency of representation which would not occur if moved into a new district. The area issue would change once again, and I would not support yet new bundle of issues.

West Natomas is an area which has more in common with areas that are developing, than an older area like District 3. I am very much against being forced into District 3 which I don't feel is part of my community.

West Natomas is a Sacramento River community, and I am very concerned about issues relating to Sacramento River developments such as what is going to happen in Old Sacramento, the new Bridges across the Sacramento River, and opening the levees in both the Little Pocket and Big Pocket. Remaining in District 4 gives me a voice in what I care about the most. Being moved into District 3 takes my vested interest away.

There is a small tract in District 1 above I-80 which could be added to District 4, and District 4 would be balanced without major changes for thousands of Sacramento residents. There is an old saying that if it isn't broke, don't fix it, which is how I feel about staying in District 4. It is not required for major changes to be made to districts when minor changes can accomplish the objective.

People in District 3 should not be deciding for those living District 4, specifically West Natomas, that they need to move to a new district. I have seen many comments posted about putting South Natomas back together. There is nothing to reassemble. We are two distinct areas without common interests.

Instead of making minor changes to District 4 where few people are impacted, the District 3 people want to tear apart multiple districts, and create a District 3 to fit their agenda and support their needs, not the needs of the thousands being forced into a new district. Redistricting should be accomplished with minimal impact on existing districts.

Just as I-80 is a boundary which separates North and South Natomas, I-5 is a boundary which separates West Natomas from South Natomas. West Natomas has more in common with North Natomas than it does with South Natomas. It also has more in common with other communities along the Sacramento River.

When I go shopping or to a restaurant, I never consider which district it resides within to direct where I am going. I am more interested in a store or restaurant which meets my personal needs, and have no interest which district it resides within.

It is concerning to me Natomas Magazine published a map in their November 2021 issue with its preference for redistricting when I have had no opportunity to see any of the other suggested maps for redistricting my area. I feel the media is being used to force a specific redistrict upon my community without my community's input.

Jeff Baldwin

Valley View Acres Neighborhood
Special Interest Group: Rural Living/Small Farming
City Council District #1

Valley View Acres is the oldest neighborhood in North Natomas. Our neighborhood sits on the North Eastern boarder of City Council District #1. Its eastern border is the East Levee Road that is a man-made levee protecting neighborhoods from floods during the rainy months. This levee is an important geographic border that makes VVA's neighborhood a natural to be in City Council District #1.

Valley View Acres is a unique neighborhood, zoned primarily Rural Estates and some Agricultural due to most homes sitting on 1 acre parcels. There are a handful of 2 to 20 acre parcels, and all owners are allowed to raise large gardens and have various livestock animals. Over the history of our neighborhood we have had to fight (politically) for our rights to remain as a Rural Living Lifestyle community and keep our rights to raise livestock animals.

Over the years Valley View Acres has been in District #1, our active volunteer neighborhood association has developed strong positive relationships with many other North Natomas neighborhoods and their associations. All these neighborhoods in North Natomas have common issues such as flooding, pollution and traffic which we have worked together with City Officials to resolve many issues as they have arisen.

Important Points;

- Valley View Acres was the **first** Community in North Natomas
- VVA has history, Natomas grew up around us and we should always be part of that same district.
- In District 2 VVA would be separated by the levee.
- VVA has formed many alliances with neighboring communities and community associations.
- VVA share the same concerns with District 1 when it involves flood protection, Pollution and Traffic issues.
- It would make more sense to incorporate other parts of north Sacramento to include into District 2 in lieu of VVA.

It would be a disservice to my neighbors and our community to reassign Valley View Ares's a new City Council District other than District #1. I respectfully request that SIRC take the above factors into consideration when adopting the next decades redistricting maps for the City of Sacramento.

Sincerely
Michael A. Lopez Sr.

SacFAIR
Sacramentans for Fair and Inclusive Redistricting

November 2, 2021

Dear Members of the Sacramento Independent Redistricting Commission,

On behalf of Sacramentans for Fair and Inclusive Redistricting (SacFAIR), we are pleased to submit these comments and proposed maps to assist your deliberations. As you know, the final district maps will have a tremendous effect on City Council elections through 2030 as well as the policy decisions made by future Councils.


SacFAIR's mission is to keep neighborhoods and business districts whole and protect Sacramento's broad and diverse Communities of Interest. To achieve this important mission, we assembled a diverse coalition of neighborhood, business, labor, and community leaders representing every corner of our great City, many of whom have had extensive experience in redistricting over past decades. Over the last two months, we have met regularly and engaged in extensive give and take to arrive at a consensus which, while not perfect, offers the Commission a solid foundation upon which to complete its important work.

Having engaged collectively in this decennial exercise on many occasions, we know how difficult the Commission's final decision will be. Therefore, rather than submit a single map, SacFAIR offers two alternatives, along with a candid discussion of the pros and cons of each. Both maps start from the obvious but important premise that the city is much longer than it is wide, with Highway 50 dividing the City in half, both in terms of geographic area and population, while the American River divides the City roughly 60/40 (south/north). With some exceptions to equalize populations and keep neighborhoods whole, our proposed Map 1 (the "Retro Map") uses Highway 50 as the dividing line, placing four districts north of 50 and four districts south of 50. Conversely, Map 2 (the "Central City United Map") uses the American River as the dividing line, drawing three districts largely north of the river, and five districts south of the river.

Despite their differences, several overriding themes pervade both alternatives:

- 1) Keep neighborhoods and business districts whole;
- 2) Protect Sacramento's broad and diverse Communities of Interest;
- 3) Correct the existing map's adverse impact on South Natomas;
- 4) Fix the distorted boundary between Districts 7 and 8; and
- 5) Keep as much of the Central City together in one district as possible.

1. Retro Map



Summary

The Retro Map derives its name from its similarities to the maps that were in effect from 1991 through 2010. This map keeps Districts 1 and 2 pretty much the same as the current City map, but substantially changes Districts 3 and 4, reuniting the entire South Natomas Community into one district (District 4) with the River District and Downtown, Alkali and Mansion Flats instead of East Sacramento. The Retro Map adds a portion of North Natomas (Natomas Crossing and Natomas Marketplace) to District 4 to equalize district populations and to recognize that these neighborhoods were previously connected to South Natomas until 2011. The Retro Map also restores District 3 to its vintage 1990 and 2000 versions, combining Midtown, East Sacramento, Arden Fair/Swanston Estates, and Campus Commons; keeps the southeast neighborhoods in District 6 together; combines Land Park, Little Pocket, the Pocket and Greenhaven into one district (District 7) on the west side of the city along the Sacramento River (the “other” river district); keeps Oak

Park and Curtis Park together and combines them with other South Sac neighborhoods surrounding the Airport and adjacent to the Freeport/99 corridor and the unincorporated Fruitridge pocket (District 5); and finally eliminates the three-decade boundary between Districts 7 and 8 that tucks the southeastern edge of District 7 into the middle of District 8, while still keeping Meadowview together.

As an important note, we have labeled the district encompassing most of the Central City and East Sacramento as District 3 and the district encompassing South Natomas as District 4, but the Commission may consider switching the designations of the two districts. The applicable rules do not preclude reversing the two designations.


North of 50 (Districts 1-4)

South Natomas, including Gardenland, Northgate, Central South Natomas, and West Natomas (AKA River Oaks), has been in one community plan area since 1968. From the inception of City Council districts in 1971, South Natomas was always part of District 1 until the build out and population explosion of North Natomas in the early 2000s forced South Natomas into another district. Unfortunately, the 2011 map bifurcated South Natomas into two districts: District 3 and District 4. Unlike North Natomas with a population over 60,000, South Natomas's population of 45,000 is not sufficient to create a separate district. Downtown and adjacent neighborhoods north and south of this area were viewed as more logical candidates to combine into one district than East Sacramento which has only tangential connections to South Natomas (District 4). The River District, Alkali and Mansion Flats are all connected to South Natomas by both I-5 and Highway 160, as well as Jibboom Street and the future DNA light rail line to the Airport. These areas straddle and share the American River Parkway and Discovery Park. The residential portion of the River District shares both a trustee area and a school in the Twin Rivers Unified School District. (The other half of the River District is in the Sacramento City Unified School District but has no schools and few students.) The adjacent neighborhood to the north is Natomas Crossing, which is connected to South Natomas by Truxel Road and shares Natomas High School and the Natomas Unified School District with South Natomas. In addition, many downtown employees reside in South Natomas and several state offices have now moved to South Natomas, further connecting it with the Capitol core of downtown. Finally, the Retro map connects the Southside neighborhood to Midtown to reflect their shared low to mid-rise residential mixed-use character, as opposed to the denser, high-rise Downtown/Railyards/River corridor.

South of 50 (Districts 5-8)

South of 50, the Retro Map is the same as Map 2 (the Central City United Map) with one notable exception: in the Retro Map, Campus Commons and Sierra Oaks revert to District 3 (or 4) where they were historically placed until 2011, while in Map 2, Elmhurst moves to District 3 (or 4). The southern half boundaries are discussed in greater detail under Map 2 below.

2. Central City United Map



Summary

The Central City United Map places three whole districts north of the American River and five to the south, while placing the entire Central City into one district for the very first time. The 2011 map came close to having a single district for the Central City, but still divided most of the River District and all the Railyards from the rest of the Central City, placing them instead in District 3 with East Sacramento and South Natomas. As with the Retro Map, this map labels the district encompassing the Central City as District 3, but the Commission may consider switching the designations of Districts 3 and 4.

South of 50, this alternative (as well as the Retro Map with the one major exception noted in the Map 1 discussion above) keeps the southeast neighborhoods in District 6 together and adds Sac State; combines Land Park, Little Pocket, the Pocket and Greenhaven into one district (District 7) on the west side of the city along the Sacramento River; keeps Oak Park and Curtis Park together and combines them with other South Sac neighborhoods surrounding the Airport and adjacent to the Freeport/99 corridor and the unincorporated Fruitridge pocket (District 5).

Both maps eliminate the three-decade boundary between Districts 7 and 8 that tucks the southeastern edge of District 7 into the middle of District 8, while keeping Meadowview wholly within District 8. The current configuration of District 7 is not viable due to a lack of contiguity between Pocket-Greenhaven and Valley Hi, requiring travel through District 8 on Meadowview Road or through the city of Elk Grove on Cosumnes River Blvd, nor does it meet the City Charter's top five redistricting criteria.

North of the American River (Districts 1, 2, and 3 or 4)

In both our proposed maps, Districts 1 and 2 would remain largely the same. However, because South Natomas (District 3 or 4) is no longer combined with either the Central City or East Sacramento, Map 2 combines it with portions of Districts 1 and 2 to meet requisite population targets. Therefore, a portion of North Natomas near the Arena and the northwest corner of District 2 would be folded into the same district as South Natomas to achieve population balance.

Areas north of the river total approximately 2.9 districts worth of target population, excluding Campus Commons and Sierra Oaks which lack contiguity to other northern city neighborhoods because of the unincorporated Arden-Arcade sphere of influence area on the city's northeastern border. Community interests and demographics vary widely between neighborhoods north and south of the river. In addition, it is impossible to cross the river without breaking up a large, closely connected neighborhood, as contiguity rules would require that a district spanning the river either break up East Sacramento or the Central City.

While creating three districts wholly north of the river yields districts a bit smaller than the target (62,000+), they are still within the allowed variance. The populations of North Natomas and North Sacramento, east of the East Main Drain Canal are larger than the allowed variance for a district, so both overarching neighborhoods must yield population to the third north-of-the-river district. The Central City United Map solves this population distribution problem by moving the southern boundary of District 1 further north while maintaining its North Natomas base and combining South Natomas with the northwest corner of District 2, a portion of Robla east of the East Main Drain Canal. This has the effect of dividing Robla, a working-class neighborhood with significant challenges, and moving it to District 3 or 4 along with the current District 2 neighborhoods of Northpointe and Glenwood Meadows. That these neighborhoods share common socioeconomic, ethnic, and race

demographics with the neighborhoods of Northgate and Gardenland in the South Natomas community plan area helps to mitigate this concern. In addition, all these neighborhoods are together in the Twin Rivers (K-12) School District. In particular, the Robla Elementary School District demographics closely mirror those of the Gardenland, Northgate and South Natomas neighborhoods.

Central City (District 3 or 4)

As Sacramento's urban core, the Central City is a major employment, economic, cultural, and recreational center for the entire city, as well as the whole Sacramento region. The Central City also contains a variety of unique neighborhoods, with a common experience of co-existing with the amenities associated with an urban core. The history of the Central City during the last fifty or more years mirrors the experience of many city cores with population depletion and disinvestment associated with post-war suburbanization and the resurgence of urban living that has accelerated with the onset of the twenty-first century.

The Central City poses distinct challenges with redistricting, which may explain why different portions of the Central City have been divided and linked to neighboring council districts during much of the history of the city since council districts began in 1971. Since 1971, the Central City has only had the population to be part of a council district. Today, its population (including the River District and Railyards) is approximately 40,000 residents – still not enough to meet the target district population of 65,800 residents.

As discussed above, the shape of the city and fundamental dividing lines, such as Highway 50 and the American River pose challenges with crafting equal districts serving numerous communities across the city. The challenges associated with the "Fruitridge Pocket," discussed below, also affect the options available to unite the Central City. These geographic realities have contributed to historic divisions of the Central City into numerous council districts.

Over the years, various neighborhood and preservation advocates have advocated for uniting the Central City, with the focus that Central City neighborhoods share more Communities of Interest with each other than they do with other neighborhoods in the city. Among these shared interests are the Central City's long-time Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer (LGBTQ+) identity, its high renter population, and its role originating Sacramento's preservation movement. At the same time, Sacramento's urban core serves as a "nerve center" for the entire city/region. City investments have sought to serve both the residents of the Central City and the city at large. Because of the Central City's dual role, residents from other parts of the city have feared the Central City receives an outsized portion of city investments.

In response to the dual role of city investment in the Central City, many argue that the Central City is best served by being divided among more than one council

district. This position considers that more council members representing the Central City means more elected representatives with a direct stake in Central City investments and more even distribution of resources. In fact, this consideration was consequential ten years ago when the Central City was ostensibly united into one council district, even as the Railyards and the River District were included in a separate council district.

There are strong arguments, on the other hand, for creating a district that ensures unified representation for the whole of the Central City. The Central City is now experiencing extensive economic, cultural, and population growth with the new urbanism of the twenty-first century. These trends are consistent with urban development patterns to combat “sprawl” and reduce vehicle miles traveled and greenhouse gas emissions among other initiatives now framed in the Mayors’ Climate Action Plan, adopted in 2018. Accelerating urbanization over the last decade to accomplish urban initiatives to realize these goals strongly affect Central City residents. As in other parts of the city, residents often raise concerns associated with change and densification, including neighborhood character, traffic congestion, and economic dislocation, can be a barrier to robust planning. These can be a barrier to robust planning especially important with the Central City’s dual role.

The Central City strongly needs attentive, cohesive representation to address issues associated with intensifying the urbanization of the core. The urban core’s importance to climate mitigation is just one example of the Central City’s importance citywide. Strong representation from uniting most of the Central City has contributed to numerous initiatives supporting this result. One example is the Central City Specific Plan, a successful urban planning initiative that both answered the needs of residents while improving the planning environment for intensifying the Central City. (In fact, the renaming of the plan is evidence of the area’s elevated engagement on planning matters.)

South of 50 (Districts 5-8)

Redistricting neighborhoods south of 14th Avenue, along Franklin, Stockton, and Martin Luther King Boulevards, is extremely challenging due to the intrusive geography of the unincorporated “Fruitridge Pocket” that slices through the heart of the city, severing District 5 and District 6 neighborhoods for several miles east of 99.

District 5 is most impacted, essentially “land-locked” by this highly urbanized, long-recognized sphere of influence where one side of the street is served by City Police and the other by County Sheriffs, where children attend the same schools, yet one side of the street is City and the other unincorporated county. Oak Park, Curtis Park, and Hollywood Park are not big enough to form their own district and must combine with adjoining neighborhoods to form District 5. Moving east is undesirable because it would split Tahoe Park and disrupt District 6. Moving north into the Central City or East Sacramento is not a good option either because it would require splitting either or both of those communities of interest. While some have

suggested joining Curtis and Oak Park with Land Park, this would adversely impact the historic core of Oak Park, Curtis Park, and Hollywood Park, as well as the city side of the Franklin Blvd corridor neighborhoods of City Farms. It would also significantly impact and reduce the Latino populations of Oak Park, City Farms, Hollywood Park that enabled the election of two Latinos to the Sacramento City Council. Therefore, the best and only option left is for District 5 to move further south to capture areas south of Florin Road. While the proposed District 5 shape appears somewhat odd, this is primarily because the shape of the city itself is odd due to the Fruitridge pocket. If one imagines the Fruitridge finger filled in, District 5 could assume a much more compact shape.

Under both our proposed maps, District 6 remains very similar to its current boundaries and retains Tahoe Park as the historic core of the district. The current City map includes most of the neighborhoods most associated with Sacramento State (College Town, College/Glenn and Campus Commons) into District 6, but not Sacramento State itself, which this map corrects. In addition, we keep the neighborhoods east of the Fruitridge pocket whole. To compensate, population-wise, the Central City United Map moves Elmhurst into the same district as East Sacramento, with which it shares historic connections and similar architectural, socio-economic and demographic characteristics despite the freeway that split them years ago.

Both maps also move Old Land Park, South Land Park, Z'berg Park, and the Little Pocket into one district (District 7) along the Sacramento River (the other "river district") with the Pocket and Greenhaven neighborhoods that have historically been in District 7. All of these neighborhoods share common roadway, bike and transit routes, as well as similar socio-economic and geographic characteristics.

Both maps also ensure that Meadowview retains its prominence as the essential core of District 8, which it has maintained for several redistricting cycles and is supported by its historic and cultural importance to South Sacramento. Moving Meadowview to an adjacent district would leave its residents as a distinct minority in terms of raw population, which would be exacerbated by the higher voting rates of more affluent neighborhoods. The population of South Sacramento (bounded by Florin to the North and Freeport/I-5 to the west) would fill 1.4 council districts. Parkway and eastern Valley Hi are more demographically and socioeconomically similar to nearby District 5 neighborhoods than Meadowview is to Pocket-Greenhaven or greater Land Park. This map therefore retains the electoral strength of the diverse, multi-ethnic communities of color in Meadowview, as opposed to an alternative map merging Meadowview with the wealthier neighborhoods of Pocket and Greenhaven.

Conclusion

Both the Retro Map and the Central City United Map meet constitutionally mandated criteria (within five percent of deviation of the 65,815 target population; consistent with U.S. and California Constitutions and Voting Rights Act; and geographically contiguous), as well as the criteria set forth in the City Charter:

- Respect existing neighborhood boundaries;
- Serve several Communities of Interest;
- Honor the integrity and compactness of the territory;
- Respect geography and topography;
- Respect natural and artificial boundaries; and
- Preserve population cores that have consistently been associated with council districts.

Both maps will also accommodate expected future City population growth. However, we do note one area of concern. Despite our spirited efforts to avoid splitting neighborhoods, we have been unable to develop a citywide map that does not adversely impact at least one neighborhood. In the case of the Retro Map, it divides the Central City into two districts, though it does so in a logical way that protects all its residential neighborhoods. In the case of the Central City United Map, a portion of Robla is split from District 2, along with Northpointe and Glenwood Meadows, though they are joined with similar neighborhoods such as Gardenland and Northgate in the South Natomas district. We hope that the Commission can find an even “more perfect” solution.

Finally, as detailed above, the greatest challenge in drawing districts south of Broadway has always been and continues to be the unincorporated Fruitridge Pocket that vertically slices into the middle of the city all the way from 14th Avenue to Elk Grove Blvd. District 5 is most directly impacted as it is landlocked by the largely Latino communities of South Oak Park and neighborhoods along Franklin Blvd in the Fruitridge Pocket. The area between 14th Avenue and 47th Avenue is highly urbanized, has been in the City of Sacramento’s sphere of influence for several decades, and meets all of LAFCO’s criteria for annexation, yet it remains unincorporated. While our group is cognizant of the political and potential fiscal challenges of annexation, we urge city officials to engage in meaningful efforts with the County, as well as affected residents and special districts to move forward with annexation. Failure to do so will create greater problems with future city redistricting efforts.¹

¹ Though less problematic than the Fruitridge Pocket, the Arden-Arcade area also isolates and disconnects portions of City Council districts on the city’s eastern boundary north of the American River. For this reason, we recommend that the city consider annexation of its Arden-Arcade sphere of influence area as well.

If you have any questions, please contact Steve Cohn at (916) 616-7003, or steve@stevecohn.org.

Yours truly,

SacFAIR Coalition Participants

Deborah Ortiz, former State Senator, Assembly Member | Sacramento City Councilmember D5, current Los Rios Community College District Trustee, President
Heather Fargo, former Mayor, Sacramento City Councilmember D1
Rob Kerth, SMUD Board member | former Sacramento City Councilmember D2
Steve Cohn, former Sacramento City Councilmember D3
Rob Fong, former Sacramento City Councilmember D4
Rosanna Herber, SMUD Board member | Curtis Park resident
Phil Pluckebaum, City Planning and Design Commissioner | River Park resident
Alan LoFaso, Newton Booth resident
Devin Lavelle, City Parks Commissioner D7 | Greenhaven/Pocket resident
Emel Wadhvani, Midtown resident
Marbella Sala, Gardenland Northgate Neighborhood Association Board member
Sue Brown, College Greens resident
Tamie Dramer, Organize Sacramento Chair | College Greens resident
Trina Dotar, South Natomas resident
Annette Emory, former Twin Rivers School Board member | South Natomas resident
Kevin Ferreira, Sacramento Sierra Building Trades Council
Isaac Gonzalez, Tahoe Park Neighborhood Association
Sotiris Kolokotronis, infill developer
Pat Fong Kushida, President & CEO, Sacramento Asian Pacific Chamber of Commerce
Tracey Schaal, Intrépide Enterprises
Cathy Rodriguez, Sacramento Hispanic Chamber of Commerce
Frank Louie, business owner
Bill Magavern, Southside resident
Sachiko Konatsu, Natomas Community Association
Adrian Rehn, Oak Park Neighborhood Association, (OPNA)
Michael Benjamin, OPNA
Michael Blair, OPNA
Andriana "Azizza" Garcia, OPNA
Luis Jimenez, OPNA
Caity Maple, OPNA
Lavinia "Gracie" Phillips, OPNA
Maya Wallace, City Planning and Design Commissioner | Midtown resident
Sean Wright, Alkali and Mansion Flats Neighborhood Association
Tricia Stevens, East Sacramento Improvement Association
Jennifer Holden, Mangan Park resident
Kathleen Travers, Campus Commons resident
Enoch Yeung, City Planning and Design Commissioner | D8 resident
Christine Roybal, College Greens resident

South Sacramento Equity

November 7, 2021

Sacramento Independent Redistricting Commission

915 I Street

Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Commissioners:

South Sacramento Equity is a group of concerned neighbors, business owners and residents of districts 5, 7 and 8. We have come together to draw a map that maintains the equity of South Sacramento. It is not our intention to draw super districts of privileged neighborhoods. We only want to keep the ties that bind us.

We know submittals from another group places the Parkway neighborhood in District 5. That was enough to bring us together. Ten years ago, the City Council put the Central City in one district. For years these neighbors, business owners and the LGBTQ+ had asked to be in one district. But that caused the South Natomas, Gardenland and Northgate communities to be placed in Council District 3. Previously they had always been associated with downtown.

The other group has drawn maps to pair the whole Central City with East Sacramento the richest neighborhood in the city. To accomplish this goal a neighborhood would have to be reassigned due to the unincorporated county that snakes through south Sacramento. That is when the Parkway neighborhood became the new sacrificial lamb. This community is already bifurcated by that same unincorporated county pocket on the east and Morrison Creek on the west. It is already isolated. The neighbors are working class people of color who have always identified with the communities south of Florin Road. The Mack Road Partnership and Florin Road Partnership work with the businesses, neighbors and schools to make the communities of South Sacramento stronger. They have done a wonderful job. You should see the Mack Road/Center Parkway community center. This is where the young people hang out. The programming there is effective.


Adhering to the criteria your commission must follow we submit two maps and the population summary for your consideration.

The districts are:

- Compact and contiguous
- Do not harm the communities of color via the Voting Rights Act
- Respect existing neighborhood boundaries as much as possible
- Reflect the Communities of Interest of South Sacramento

- Preserve population cores that have consistently been associated with council districts.

SouthSac Equity 2.1 Map



Population Summary Report


District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White (%)	Hispanic (%)	Black (%)	Asian (%)	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (%)	Some other race (%)
D1	66,200	65,815	385	0.58	27.40	19.34	14.17	30.07	1.11	0.73
D2	68,865	65,815	3,050	4.63	24.11	39.58	13.84	14.24	1.79	0.63
D3	65,146	65,815	-669	-1.02	59.70	17.62	5.51	8.51	0.45	0.78
D4	66,015	65,815	200	0.30	28.62	34.17	15.09	12.80	1.19	0.97
D5	63,267	65,815	-2,548	-3.87	33.62	32.03	11.08	14.68	1.05	0.72
D6	66,540	65,815	725	1.10	34.69	31.45	7.46	18.69	1.39	0.57
D7	65,316	65,815	-499	-0.76	23.42	24.15	15.55	27.55	1.92	0.60
D8	65,171	65,815	-644	-0.98	10.69	31.29	19.04	28.88	3.69	0.65

TOTAL Population:	526,520
Mean Target Population:	65,815
Mean Deviation:	1,090
Mean Percent Deviation:	1.66
Largest Positive Deviation:	3,050
Largest Negative Deviation:	-2,548
Overall Range in Deviation:	5,598
Overall Range in Deviation Percentage:	8.51

The second map does make some changes to District 7 and 8 but the population totals and percentages are less than 2% for the communities of color in the current district configuration. Our criteria which we believe is compelling includes:

- Place two major South Area resources together in the same district (D8) - Delta Shores and Kaiser South in order to keep major South Area resource revenues in D8 which needs the resources;
- Keep neighborhoods and business districts whole;
- Make D5, D7, and D8 more compact while balancing ethnic proportions equitably;
- Place South Area high resource areas in the same district as low resource areas to distribute resources more widely; and
- Keep as much of the Central City together in one district as much as possible.

South Sac Equity 2



Population Summary Report

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White (%)	Hispanic (%)	Black (%)	Asian (%)	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (%)	Some other race (%)	Deviation from the target (%)
D1	62,500	65,815	-3,315	-5.04	27.75	19.44	13.99	29.86	1.07	0.73	-5.30
D2	63,068	65,815	-2,747	-4.17	24.97	38.73	14.01	13.84	1.77	0.63	-4.36
D3	65,855	65,815	40	0.06	57.68	18.45	6.62	8.18	0.34	0.97	0.06
D4	62,532	65,815	-3,283	-4.99	23.78	37.25	14.68	15.75	1.44	0.74	-5.25
D5	68,456	65,815	2,641	4.01	38.99	28.78	10.64	12.82	0.88	0.75	3.86
D6	66,706	65,815	891	1.35	34.72	31.45	7.44	18.68	1.39	0.57	1.34
D7	68,459	65,815	2,644	4.02	23.17	25.20	15.45	26.64	2.12	0.58	3.86
D8	68,944	65,815	3,129	4.75	10.86	31.19	18.93	29.24	3.47	0.67	4.54

TOTAL Population:	526,520
Mean Target Population:	65,815
Mean Deviation:	2,336
Mean Percent Deviation:	3.55
Largest Positive Deviation:	3,129
Largest Negative Deviation:	-3,315
Overall Range in Deviation:	6,444
Overall Range in Deviation Percentage:	9.79

We hope you seriously consider our submittals. If you have any questions, please contact Lauren Hammond at 916-765-0047.

Sincerely,

Lauren Hammond, Former Sacramento City Councilmember D5

Betty Williams, President, Greater Sacramento NAACP

Jennifer Holden, Mangan Park Neighborhood Association

Barbara Falcon, Valley Hi Neighbor D7

Richard Falcon, Valley Hi Neighbor D7

Jesse Reese, President, Meadowview Neighborhood Association D8

Dale McKinney, South Sacramento Neighbor and President, WCIC

Dr. Deborah Wilds, Ph.D., South Sacramento Neighbor

Dorothy Benjamin, Pocket Neighbor, D7

Bill Knowlton, Mack Road Partnership D7 an D8

Cassandra Jennings, St. Hope, D5

Helen Hewitt, Cabrillo Park, D8

Roz Meyers, Meadowview Neighborhood Association, Blessed Child Community Organizer, D8

Jeff Solomon, Meadowview Neighborhood Association, D8

Faye Wilson Kennedy, Sacramento Poor Peoples Campaign

Emonjamal Usher, Oak Park, D5

THE RIVER DISTRICT



November 3, 2021

Sacramento Independent Redistricting Commission
C/O Sacramento City Hall
915 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95811

Dear Commission Members,

The River District, a community of interest (COI) and business district, is pleased to submit the attached map for consideration by the Commission. In creating this map, the River District seeks to understand and adhere to the mission set forth under the Commission's charter set out as follows:

- Council districts will be substantially equal in population
- The final map shall comply with the U.S. Constitution; the Federal Voting Rights Act, commencing at 42 U.S.C. section 1972; the California Constitution; and any other requirement of federal or state law applicable to charter cities
- Each council district is geographically contiguous.

Additionally, the River District took into consideration the following the criteria which are set out in the Commission's mission in this order of priority:

- (1) Respect and maintain existing neighborhoods and community boundaries;
- (2) Consider Communities of interest which are defined as a contiguous population that shares common social and economic interests;
- (3) Integrity and compactness of territory;
- (4) Geography and topography;
- (5) Natural and artificial barriers and boundaries;
- (6) Preservation of population cores that have consistently been associated with each council district
- (7) Other commission-adopted criteria that do not conflict with the other requirements and criteria listed in this section or with state or federal law.
- (8) The commission shall not consider place of residence of any individual, including any incumbent or political candidate, in the creation of a map.
- (9) The commission shall number each council district such that, for as many residents as possible, the number of the council district they reside in remains the same.

The River District currently is home to approximately four thousand residents and our residential population as a neighborhood is on the cusp of substantial growth. New residential developments such as Mirasol Village, The Grower's District and Township 9, which will come out of the ground between 2022 and 2024, are moving us from our primarily industrial past towards an eclectic neighborhood future. Along with the residential units, the River District is also seeing a rush of new commercial and office investment resulting in thousands of new jobs being created in the River District. This long-planned housing is meant to provide a variety of market rate and affordable housing choices for those who work in the River District, Railyards or in the close by downtown and midtown areas and we think it will be a draw city-wide.

The River District feels strongly that we are aligned with the momentum in the Central City and bonded with the unique neighborhoods that surround it, sharing a common experience of co-existing and

enhancing the amenities associated with an urban core. In order for this growth to continue, we need continuity of representation. District 3 has been the driving force behind all of the projects currently underway in the River District and Railyards and, in fact, critical in helping to secure several in the downtown and midtown areas. We also ask that the District be united and not split among multiple council districts. We view the Railyards, Downtown, and Midtown as our like-minded communities of interest and have forged a kinship with them based on similar challenges of urban life. We would like to remain affiliated with them in a District 3 that includes all of the Central City in order to better support and maintain our trajectory.


Accordingly, creating a district 3 that ensures unified representation for the whole of the Central City, including the River District and Railyards, is the best option and the map attached accomplishes that goal while meeting the Commission's goals and mission. As the SacFAIR coalition so aptly stated in their letter *"The Central City is now experiencing extensive economic, cultural, and population growth with the new urbanism of the twenty-first century. These trends are consistent with urban development patterns to combat "sprawl" and reduce vehicle miles traveled and greenhouse gas emissions among other initiatives now framed in the Mayors' Climate Action Plan, adopted in 2018. The Central City strongly needs attentive, cohesive representation to address issues associated with intensifying the urbanization of the core"*

The River District respectfully submits that the attached map accomplishes the Commission's charter. It creates council districts that are substantially equal in population, complies with the various constitutional requirements and each council district is contiguous. Our suggested map also maintains existing neighborhood boundaries, keeps communities of interest unified and preserves most of the population cores affiliated with the current council district 3, ensuring that most neighborhood will have continuity of representation. We ask that you give the attached map your consideration and invite your questions, should you have any.

Sincerely,



Jenna Abbott
Executive Director, River District
CC: River District Board of Directors
SacFAIR



District 1
62500

District 4
62633

District 2
62967

District 3
66564

District 6
66730

District 7
67943

District 5
68625

District 8
68559

Population Summary Report

District No.	TOTAL Population	Target Population	Target Deviation	Target Deviation (%)	White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
D1	62,500	65,815	-3,315	-5.04	17,343	12,151	8,742	18,661	671	459
D2	62,967	65,815	-2,848	-4.33	15,699	24,399	8,818	8,726	1,115	397
D3	66,564	65,815	749	1.14	38,238	12,356	4,427	5,487	229	650
D4	62,633	65,815	-3,182	-4.83	14,918	23,320	9,198	9,851	902	467
D5	68,625	65,815	2,810	4.27	16,023	24,093	10,553	11,908	1,433	503
D6	66,730	65,815	915	1.39	23,171	20,984	4,965	12,459	929	379
D7	67,943	65,815	2,128	3.23	26,649	12,570	7,652	14,870	483	421
D8	68,559	65,815	2,744	4.17	7,106	21,578	12,646	20,287	2,531	444

TOTAL Population:	526,521
Mean Target Population:	65,815
Mean Deviation:	2,336
Mean Percent Deviation:	3.55
Largest Positive Deviation:	2,810
Largest Negative Deviation:	-3,315
Overall Range in Deviation:	6,125
Overall Range in Deviation Percentage:	9.31



November 3, 2021

Sacramento Independent Redistricting Commission

Dear Commission Members:

Thank you for all of your hard work on this challenging and important project of redrawing Sacramento's political boundaries. The East Sacramento Improvement Association is pleased to provide our input into the City's redistricting process. We recognize the importance of reapportionment and the effect that it will have on representation. We are writing in support of four key principles we respectfully request be reflected in the maps being considered by the Sacramento Independent Redistricting Commission:

1. East Sacramento is a cohesive neighborhood and should remain intact and within one Council district. Any efforts to divide East Sacramento should be rejected. We also request that the Alhambra Corridor business district is included in the East Sacramento Community of Interest area as the Alhambra Corridor if part of our Association boundaries and an integral part of our community.
2. The East Sacramento and River Park neighborhoods should be kept together. The adjacency of River Park to East Sacramento has created a strong bond between the two neighborhoods, and any map that proposes to put the two neighborhoods into different Council districts would be dividing a Community of Interest with a long-standing historical connection.
3. East Sacramento and River Park should remain in City Council District 3 as we always have. We request continuity of representation and "preservation of population cores that have consistently been associated with each council district" as the City Charter recommends.
4. ESIA supports both maps presented by the SacFAIR group, with a preference for the map entitled "Central City United."

Thank you very much for your consideration. Please contact Tricia Stevens, ESIA President, at tricias056@gmail.com or (916) 698-4592 if you have questions.

Sincerely,



Tricia Stevens
President
East Sacramento Improvement Association (ESIA)

East Sacramento Improvement Association Board Members

Tricia Stevens	President
Nick Kufasimes	Vice-President
Brian Holloway	Treasurer
Sarah Huchel	Secretary
Paul Noble	Past-President
Kathy Mannion	
Clint Beers	
Tom Griffith	
Chris Little	

Support maps that keep River District with
East Sac and in District 3



November 3, 2021

Sacramento Independent Redistricting Commission

Dear Commissioners,

On behalf of the River Park Neighborhood Association (RPNA) and the Members of the River Park Neighborhood Association's Board, we write to express our formal positions on the City's redistricting proposals under consideration.

The neighborhood of River Park, is opposed to any redistricting proposals to remove River Park from East Sacramento. River Park has historically been a fundamental part of East Sacramento. We are one community, therefore we oppose any changes that would remove our neighborhood from a community that we have worked so hard to support and advance.

River Park has been a longstanding part of City Council District 3, along with the East Sacramento neighborhood, therefore we are opposed to any changes that would remove River Park from District 3.

We understand the purpose and role of the Sacramento Independent Redistricting Commission is to establish City Council district boundaries, and create City Council districts that are balanced. However, we strongly feel that the River Park and East Sacramento neighborhoods, together, are one community and should remain together. Any redistricting proposals to separate or our two neighborhoods would be dividing our community.

Thank you in advance for your consideration. Please do not hesitate to contact me at (916) 769-1600 or Karla.Mendoza777@gmail.com should the Commission have any questions or need further information.

Sincerely,

Karla J. Mendoza
RPNA President

Supports Maps that include Central City in
one council district



November 10, 2021

Sacramento Independent Redistricting Commission
C/O Sacramento City Hall
915 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95811

RE: Comments – Sacramento City Redistricting

Dear Commission Members:

On behalf of the Central City Property Based Improvement Districts (PBIDs), we are writing to request that any maps produced and adopted consider the importance of drawing the entire Central City within one council district.

Over the course of the last decade, numerous planning and policy issues have been discussed and implemented that consider the Central City as a whole. Important planning documents such as the Central City Specific Plan, the Central City Mobility Plan, the Historic District Plan, and the Downtown Housing Initiative all consider and have made crucial decisions based on having the neighborhoods that make up the Central City working collaboratively and together as one. As we look to the future, it is important that we continue to have unified representation to address key initiatives for Sacramento's growing urban core around innovative transportation, housing development, cultural experiences, workforce opportunities, and economic vitality.

Furthermore, the Central City represents a community of interest in accordance with the redistricting criteria and should be considered when drawing the final map. Under the City Charter set forth for a community of interest, the Central City "...is a contiguous population that shares common social and economic interest that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation."

As we grapple with challenges on the path of pandemic related recovery and look toward the long-term trajectory of enhancing Sacramento's urban core, we respectfully ask the commission to uphold cohesive representation for the vibrant communities that make up the Central City.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Michael T. Ault in black ink.

Michael T. Ault
Executive Director
Downtown Sacramento Partnership

Handwritten signature of Emily Baime Michaels in black ink.

Emily Baime Michaels
Executive Director
Midtown Association

Handwritten signature of Michelle Smira in blue ink.

Michelle Smira
District Administrator
R Street Partnership

Handwritten signature of Joan M. Borucki in blue ink.

Joan Borucki
Executive Director
Greater Broadway District

cc: Councilmember Jeff Harris
Councilmember Katie Valenzuela
City Manager Howard Chan

Sacramento Redistricting 2021 - Neighborhood Split Analysis

Plan ID	Neighborhoods Split by Plan
SAC_001	American River Parkway, East Sacramento, Elmhurst, Fairgrounds, Land Park, Meadowview, Parkway, Valley Hi / North Laguna, Willowcreek
SAC_002	Alkali Flat, Campus Commons, Central Oak Park, College Town, East Sacramento, Erikson Industrial Park, Fairgrounds, Gateway West, Mansion Flats, Meadowview, Med Center, New Era Park, North Oak Park, Old North Sacramento, Old Sacramento, Parkway, Ramona Village, River Park, Sierra Oaks, Southside Park, Tahoe Park
SAC_003	Arden Fair, Curtis Park, Downtown, East Sacramento, Erikson Industrial Park, Gateway West, Land Park, Mansion Flats, Natomas Crossing, New Era Park, Old North Sacramento, Swanston Estates
SAC_004	Arden Fair, Cal Expo, Curtis Park, Downtown, East Sacramento, Erikson Industrial Park, Gateway West, Land Park, Mansion Flats, Natomas Crossing, New Era Park, Old North Sacramento
SAC_005	American River Parkway, East Sacramento, Elmhurst, Fairgrounds, Land Park, Willowcreek
SAC_006	N/A
SAC_007	Alhambra Triangle, Ben Ali, Colonial Heights, CSUS, East Sacramento, Fairgrounds, Gardenland, Gateway West, Meadowview, Med Center, Natomas Crossing, Noralto, Parkway, South Hagginwood, South Natomas, Swanston Estates, Valley Hi / North Laguna, West Tahoe Park
SAC_008	American River Parkway, CSUS, Curtis Park, Downtown, Erikson Industrial Park, Fairgrounds, Land Park, Meadowview, Old Sacramento, Parkway, Valley Hi / North Laguna
SAC_009	American River Parkway, CSUS, Curtis Park, Downtown, Erikson Industrial Park, Fairgrounds, Land Park, Meadowview, Old Sacramento, Parkway, Valley Hi / North Laguna
SAC_010	American River Parkway, CSUS, Curtis Park, Fairgrounds, Land Park, Meadowview, Parkway, Robla, Valley Hi / North Laguna, Village 5
SAC_011	American River Parkway, CSUS, Curtis Park, Fairgrounds, Land Park, Meadowview, Parkway, Robla, Valley Hi / North Laguna, Village 5
SAC_012	American River Parkway, CSUS, Curtis Park, Fairgrounds, Land Park, Meadowview, Parkway, Robla, Valley Hi / North Laguna, Village 5
SAC_013	American River Parkway, Gateway West, Meadowview, Newton Booth, Parkway, Point West, Ramona Village, Richmond Grove, Southside Park
SAC_014	American River Parkway, Colonial Heights, Curtis Park, East Sacramento, Elmhurst, Fairgrounds, Greenhaven, Land Park, Meadowview, Midtown / Winn Park / Capital Avenue, Richmond Grove, South Land Park, Valley Hi / North Laguna
SAC_015	Alhambra Triangle, Alkali Flat, Ben Ali, Cannon Industrial Park, Colonial Heights, Curtis Park, East Sacramento, Elmhurst, Freeport Manor, Gardenland, Gateway Center, Greenhaven, Hagginwood, Land Park, Mansion Flats, Meadowview, Natomas Crossing, Natomas Park, New Era Park, Northgate, Old Sacramento, Parkway, River Park, South Hagginwood, South Land Park, South Natomas, Southern Pacific / Richards, Strawberry Manor, Tahoe Park, Upper Land Park, Village 12, Village 5, West Del Paso Heights
SAC_016	Alkali Flat, Ben Ali, Cannon Industrial Park, Central Oak Park, Colonial Heights, Curtis Park, East Sacramento, Elmhurst, Gardenland, Gateway Center, Greenhaven, Hagginwood, Land Park, Mansion Flats, Meadowview, Med Center, Natomas Crossing, Natomas Park, New Era Park, North Oak Park, Northgate, Old Sacramento, Parkway, River Park, South Hagginwood, South Natomas, Southern Pacific / Richards, Strawberry Manor, Tahoe Park, Upper Land Park, Village 12, Village 5, West Del Paso Heights
SAC_017	American River Parkway, CSUS, Fairgrounds, Meadowview, Robla, Village 5
SAC_018	American River Parkway, CSUS, Curtis Park, Downtown, Fairgrounds, Land Park, Meadowview, Parkway, Point West, Valley Hi / North Laguna

Plan ID	Neighborhoods Split by Plan
SAC_019	Alkali Flat,Ben Ali,Cannon Industrial Park,Colonial Heights,Curtis Park,East Sacramento,Elmhurst,Gardenland,Gateway Center,Greenhaven,Hagginwood,Land Park,Mansion Flats,Meadowview,Natomas Crossing,Natomas Park,New Era Park,Northgate,Old Sacramento,Parkway,River Park,South Hagginwood,South Natomas,Southern Pacific / Richards,Strawberry Manor,Tahoe Park,Upper Land Park,Village 12,Village 5,West Del Paso Heights
SAC_020	American River Parkway,CSUS,Fairgrounds,Meadowview,Robla,Village 5
SAC_021	American River Parkway,Boulevard Park,CSUS,Downtown,Fairgrounds,Land Park,Meadowview,Natomas Crossing,New Era Park,Old Sacramento,Parkway,Valley Hi / North Laguna
SAC_022	American River Parkway,Colonial Heights,Curtis Park,East Sacramento,Elmhurst,Erikson Industrial Park,Fairgrounds,Greenhaven,Land Park,Meadowview,Midtown / Winn Park / Capital Avenue,Old North Sacramento,Richmond Grove,South Land Park,Tahoe Park,Valley Hi / North Laguna
SAC_023	American River Parkway,CSUS,Curtis Park,Fairgrounds,Land Park,Meadowview,Parkway,Robla,Valley Hi / North Laguna,Village 5
SAC_024	American River Parkway,CSUS,Curtis Park,Downtown,Erikson Industrial Park,Fairgrounds,Land Park,Meadowview,Old Sacramento,Parkway,Valley Hi / North Laguna
SAC_025	American River Parkway,Cannon Industrial Park,Gateway West,Granite Regional Park,Hagginwood,Meadowview,Natomas Crossing,Northgate,Old Sacramento,Parkway,Ramona Village,South Hagginwood,South Natomas,Village 5
SAC_026	Alhambra Triangle,American River Parkway,CSUS,East Sacramento,Land Park,Meadowview,Pell/Main Industrial Park,Point West,Raley Industrial Park,Robla,Sundance Lake,Valley Hi / North Laguna
SAC_027	American River Parkway,CSUS,Curtis Park,Downtown,Fairgrounds,Land Park,Meadowview,Parkway,Point West,Valley Hi / North Laguna
SAC_028	Ben Ali,College Town,College/Glen,East Sacramento,Gateway West,Meadowview,Med Center,Old North Sacramento,Parkway,Point West
SAC_029	American River Parkway,College Town,Curtis Park,Land Park
SAC_030	American River Parkway,College Town,Land Park,South Natomas
SAC_031	American River Parkway,CSUS,Erikson Industrial Park,Greenhaven,Land Park,Med Center,Natomas Crossing,Point West,Robla,Village 5,West Del Paso Heights,West Tahoe Park
SAC_032	Ben Ali,CSUS,Curtis Park,East Sacramento,Land Park,Meadowview,North Oak Park,Old North Sacramento,Parkway,Village 5
SAC_033	N/A
SAC_034	American River Parkway,Central Oak Park,College Town,College/Glen,Curtis Park,Erikson Industrial Park,Freeport Manor,Land Park,Meadowview,Natomas Crossing,Old North Sacramento,Parkway,Richmond Grove,South Land Park,South Natomas,Valley Hi / North Laguna
SAC_035	N/A