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1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

CMT Engineering Laboratories (CMT) was retained to conduct a geotechnical subsurface study for a proposed Maverik Store. The site is situated at the northwest corner of the intersection of Sheldon Road and Stockton Boulevard in Elk Grove, California as shown in the Vicinity Map below.

1.2 Objectives, Scope and Authorization

The objectives and scope of our study were planned in communications between Mr. Russ Hamblin of Cardno, Inc., and Mr. Jeffrey Egbert of CMT Engineering Laboratories (CMT). In general, the objectives of this study were to define and evaluate the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions at the site, and provide appropriate foundation, earthwork, pavement and seismic recommendations to be utilized in the design and construction of the proposed development.

In accomplishing these objectives, field explorations were performed on the site by Cardno, which consisted of the drilling/logging/sampling of 6 bore holes. Our scope of work included performing laboratory testing on
samples of the subsurface soils collected in the bore holes as provided to us, and conducting an office program which included correlating available data, performing engineering analyses, and preparing this summary report.

1.3 Description of Proposed Construction

We understand that the proposed construction consists of a new Maverik convenience store and fuel station with accompanying fuel islands and canopies, truck scales and underground fuel storage tanks. We project that wall loads for the store building will not exceed 4,000 pounds per linear foot. Floor slab loads are anticipated to be relatively light, with an average uniform loading not exceeding 150 pounds per square foot.

The fuel island canopies will be supported by steel frames and columns extending to the foundation system. It is projected that the maximum canopy downward column loads will be on the order of 60,000 pounds. In addition, uplift and lateral loads will be imposed upon these foundations.

If the loading conditions are different than we have projected, please notify us so that any appropriate modifications to our conclusions and recommendations contained herein can be made.

We also understand the parking/drive paved areas will utilize both asphalt and concrete pavement. Concrete pavement will likely be installed in front of the proposed store structure, as well as in the canopy fuel islands and over the underground storage tank area. In other areas, asphalt concrete sections will likely be used. Traffic is projected to consist of mostly automobiles and light trucks, a few daily medium-weight delivery trucks, multiple fuel delivery trucks, a weekly garbage truck, and an occasional fire truck.

1.4 Executive Summary

The most significant geotechnical aspects regarding site development include the following:

1. Subsurface natural soils consisted of CLAY (CL), SILT (ML) and SAND (SC, SM), extending to the bottom of the bore holes.
2. Groundwater was observed at a depth of approximately 55 feet below the surface, which will not affect construction.
3. The potential for liquefaction to occur in the soils we encountered is low.
4. Foundations and floor slabs may be constructed on suitable undisturbed natural soils or on structural/engineered fill which extends to natural soils.

A qualified geotechnical engineer must assess that non-engineered fill (if encountered), topsoil, debris, disturbed or unsuitable soils have been removed and that suitable soils have been encountered prior to placing structural/site grading fills, footings, slabs, and pavements.

In the following sections, detailed discussions pertaining to the site and subsurface descriptions, geologic/seismic setting, earthwork, foundations, lateral resistance, lateral pressure, floor slabs, and pavements are provided.
2.0 FIELD EXPLORATION

2.1 General

In order to define and evaluate the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions, 6 bore holes were hand augered and/or drilled at the site to depths of approximately 5.5 to 71.5 feet below the existing ground surface. Locations of the bore holes are presented on Figure 1.

Samples of the subsurface soils encountered in the bore holes were collected at varying depths through the hollow stem drill augers. Relatively undisturbed samples of the subsurface soils were obtained by driving a split-spoon sampler with 2.5-inch outside diameter rings/liners into the undisturbed soils below the drill augers. Disturbed samples were collected utilizing a standard split spoon sampler. This standard split spoon sampler was driven 18 inches into the soils below the drill augers using a 140 pound hammer free-falling a distance of 30 inches. The number of hammer blows needed for each 6 inch interval was recorded. The sum of the hammer blows for the final 12 inches of penetration is known as a standard penetration test (SPT) and this ‘blow count’ was recorded on the bore hole logs. Where more than 50 blows occurred before the 6-inch interval was achieved, the sampling was terminated and the number of blows and inches penetrated by the sampler were recorded. The blow count provides a reasonable approximation of the relative density of granular soils, but only a limited indication of the relative consistency of fine grained soils because the consistency of these soils is significantly influenced by the moisture content.

The subsurface soil samples retrieved in the bore holes were classified in the field based upon visual and textural examination in general accordance with ASTM¹ D-2488. These field classifications were supplemented by subsequent examination and testing of select samples in our laboratory. Graphic logs of the bore holes, including a description of the soil strata encountered, are presented on the Bore Hole Logs, Figures 2 through 7, included in the Appendix. Sampling information and other pertinent data and observations are also included on the logs. In addition, a Key to Symbols defining the terms and symbols used on the logs is provided as Figure 8 in the Appendix.

2.2 Infiltration Testing

Infiltration testing was also performed in bore hole B-2 within natural clay soils. The testing consisted of drilling to 5 feet below the surface, removing the auger, filling the hole with water and measuring the rate of water drop over a certain time period (i.e. every 10 minutes). The final measured rate was approximately 7 minutes per inch.

3.0 LABORATORY TESTING

Selected samples of the subsurface soils were subjected to various laboratory tests to assess pertinent engineering properties, as follows:

¹American Society for Testing and Materials
1. Moisture Content, ASTM D-2216, Percent moisture representative of field conditions
2. Dry Density, ASTM D-2937, Dry unit weight representing field conditions
3. Atterberg Limits, ASTM D-4318, Plasticity and workability
4. Gradation Analysis, ASTM D-1140/C-117, Grain Size Analysis
5. One-Dimensional Consolidation, ASTM-2435-11, Settlement Characteristics

Laboratory test results are presented on the bore hole logs (Figures 2 through 7) and in the following Lab Summary Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bore Hole</th>
<th>Depth (feet)</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Soil Class</th>
<th>Moisture Content (%)</th>
<th>Dry Density (pcf)</th>
<th>Gradation</th>
<th>Atterberg Limits</th>
<th>Collapse (-) or Expansion (+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Rings</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29 15 14  +0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Rings</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Bag</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>ML</td>
<td>Rings</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NP NP +0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Bag</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Rings</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Rings</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>ML</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NP NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>ML</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NP NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>ML</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NP NP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.0 GEOLOGIC & SEISMIC CONDITIONS

4.1 Geologic Setting

The subject site is located within the northern half of the Central Valley in north-central California at an elevation of approximately 31 feet above sea level. The Central Valley is a large, flat valley approximately 450 miles long and up to 60 miles wide that dominates the geography of central California. The valley is bounded on the east by the Sierra Nevada Mountains and on the west by the Coast Range and is thought to have originated below sea level as an offshore area depressed by subduction of the Farallon Plate into a trench further offshore. The San Joaquin Fault is a notable seismic feature of the Central Valley that is located along the western margin of the central portion of the valley. The valley was enclosed by the uplift of the Coast Ranges, with its original drainage outlet into Monterey Bay. Over time, faulting moved the Coast Ranges, and a new drainage outlet developed near what is now San Francisco Bay. Over the millennia, the valley was filled by the sediments of these same ranges, as well as the rising Sierra Nevada to the east.
The geology of the Sacramento Quadrangle, that includes the location of the subject site, has been mapped by Wagner and others\textsuperscript{2}. The geology at the location of the site and adjacent properties is mapped as “Riverbank Formation (Alluvium)” (Map Unit Qr) loosely dated as early to middle Quaternary. The referenced map only describes Unit Qr as “Alluvium”. Refer to the Geologic Map, shown below.

\textbf{4.2 Faulting}

An interactive hazards map from the California Geological Survey\textsuperscript{3} was reviewed. No fault traces are shown on the referenced geologic map crossing, adjacent to, or projecting toward the subject site. The nearest mapped active (Holocene) fault appears to be the Dunnigan Hills Fault approximately 33 miles to the northwest.

\textbf{4.3 Seismicity}

\textbf{4.3.1 Site Class}

We understand that the State of California Building Code (SCBC) 2019 was adopted on January 1, 2020, which we anticipate will be the code for design of the structures at this site. SCBC 2019 refers to Chapter 20, Site

\textsuperscript{2} Wagner, D.L., Jennings, C.W., Bedrossian, T.L., and Bortugno, E.J., 1981, Geologic Map of the Sacramento Quadrangle, California; California Division of Mines and Geology, Regional Geologic Map Series, Map No. 1A, Sheet 1 of 4, Scale 1:250,000.

\textsuperscript{3} https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/DataViewer/
Classification Procedure for Seismic Design, of ASCE\(^4\) 7-16, which stipulates that the average values of shear wave velocity, blow count and/or shear strength within the upper 100 feet (30 meters) be utilized to determine seismic site class. Based on average blow counts and subsurface soils encountered within the maximum depth explored of 71.5 feet at the site, and presuming similar soils extend from 71.5 to 100 feet, it is our opinion the site best fits Site Class D – Stiff Soil (with data), which we recommend for seismic structural design.

### 4.3.2 Ground Motions

The seismic mapping utilized by the California Building Code provides values of peak ground, short period and long period spectral accelerations for the Site Class B/C boundary and the Risk-Targeted Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCE\(_R\)). This Site Class B/C boundary represents average bedrock values for the Western United States and must be corrected for local soil conditions at site grid coordinates of 38.4385 degrees north latitude and -121.4041 degrees west longitude. The following table summarizes the peak ground, short period and long period accelerations for the MCE\(_R\) event, and incorporates appropriate soil correction factors for a Site Class D (with data) soil profile:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECTRAL ACCELERATION PERIOD, T</th>
<th>SITE CLASS B/C BOUNDARY [mapped values] (g)</th>
<th>SITE COEFFICIENT</th>
<th>SITE CLASS D* [adjusted for site class effects] (g)</th>
<th>MULTIPLIER</th>
<th>DESIGN VALUES (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak Ground Acceleration</td>
<td>PGA = 0.232</td>
<td>(F_{pga} = 1.368)</td>
<td>PGA(_M) = 0.317</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>PGA(_M) = 0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 Seconds (Long Period Acceleration)</td>
<td>(S_\delta = 0.553)</td>
<td>(F_\delta = 1.358)</td>
<td>(S_{MS} = 0.751)</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>(S_{DS} = 0.501)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(no exceptions needed)</td>
<td>(F_\delta = (N/A))</td>
<td>(S_{MS} = (N/A))</td>
<td>(S_{DS} = (N/A))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 Second (Long Period Acceleration)</td>
<td>(S_1 = 0.247)</td>
<td>(F_\delta = N/A)</td>
<td>(S_{MS1} = N/A)</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>(S_{DS1} = N/A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Exception 2:)</td>
<td>(F_\delta = (2.106))</td>
<td>(S_{MS1} = (0.520))</td>
<td>(S_{DS1} = (0.347))</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:**
1. TL (seconds): 8
2. Site Class: D
3. Have data to verify? yes

As indicated in the above table, \(S_1\) is greater than 0.2 seconds and a site-specific ground motion hazard analysis (GMHA) is required for the site, unless the Exception 2 values shown are used for seismic design. If a site-specific GMHA is desired instead of using the higher exception values, please contact CMT for a proposal to perform the GMHA.

### 4.3.3 Liquefaction

Liquefaction is defined as the condition when saturated, loose, sandy soils lose their support capabilities because of excessive pore water pressure which develops during a seismic event. Clayey soils, even if saturated, will generally not liquefy during a major seismic event.

Groundwater was encountered at a depth of about 55 feet below the surface. Saturated soils below these depths consisted of hard to very hard silt and very dense sand lenses. Given these conditions, saturated sandy deposits will not liquefy due to a major seismic event.

\(^4\)American Society of Civil Engineers
4.4 Other Geologic Hazards

No landslide deposits or features, including lateral spread deposits, are mapped on or adjacent to the site. The site is not located within a known or mapped potential debris flow or rock fall hazard area. A Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map⁵ (FIRM) for the site and surrounding area indicates that the site is located in a “Zone X” flood hazard potential area defined as “minimal flood hazard.”

5.0 SITE CONDITIONS

5.1 Surface Conditions

At the time the bore holes were drilled, the site was undeveloped land vegetated with weeds. The site grade was relatively flat with a very slight slope downward to the south. Based on aerial photos dating back to 1993 that are readily available on the internet, the site has remained relatively unchanged since that time but may have been used for agricultural purposes. The site was bordered on the north by multi-family residences, on the east by Stockton Boulevard, on the south by Sheldon Road, and on the west by similar undeveloped land (see Vicinity Map in Section 1.1 above).

5.2 Subsurface Soils

Approximately 6 inches of topsoil or 6 to 12 inches of fill soils were encountered at the surface across the site. The fill soils, considered to be non-engineered fill, may be deeper at some locations and consisted of clay/sand/gravel with some concrete debris. The natural soils encountered below the topsoil/fill soils consisted of Silty CLAY with varying amounts of sand (CL), SILT with fine sand (ML), and Clayey to Silty SAND (SC to SM), extending to the maximum depth explored of 71.5 feet below the surface.

The clay and silt soils were slightly moist to wet, light to dark yellowish brown to grayish orange in color, and of very stiff to very hard consistency based upon the SPT blow counts. They also exhibited moderate over-consolidation and compressibility characteristics.

The natural sand soils were moist to wet, brown in color, and appear to be medium dense near the surface but at depth vary in relative density from dense to very dense based upon the SPT blow counts.

For a more descriptive interpretation of subsurface conditions, please refer to the bore hole logs, Figures 2 through 7, which graphically represent the subsurface conditions encountered. The lines designating the interface between soil types on the log generally represent approximate boundaries; in situ, the transition between soil types may be gradual.

⁵ https://msc.fema.gov/portal/search?AddressQuery=elk%20grove%20ca#searchresultsanchor
5.3 Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered only within the deepest bore hole, B-6, at a depth of about 55 feet below the surface. This depth to groundwater will not affect construction or tank excavations.

Groundwater levels can fluctuate seasonally. Numerous factors such as heavy precipitation, irrigation of neighboring land, and other unforeseen factors, may influence ground water elevations at the site. The detailed evaluation of these and other factors, which may be responsible for ground water fluctuations, is beyond the scope of this study.

5.4 Site Subsurface Variations

Based on the results of the subsurface explorations and our experience, variations in the continuity and nature of subsurface conditions should be anticipated. Due to the heterogeneous characteristics of natural soils, care should be taken in interpolating or extrapolating subsurface conditions between or beyond the exploratory locations.

6.0 SITE PREPARATION AND GRADING

6.1 General

All deleterious materials should be stripped from the site prior to commencement of construction activities. This includes loose and disturbed soils, topsoil, vegetation, etc. Based upon the conditions observed in the borings there is topsoil on the surface of the site which we estimated to be about 6 inches in thickness. When stripping and grubbing, topsoil should be distinguished by the apparent organic content and not solely by color; thus we estimate that topsoil stripping will need to include at least the upper 4 inches. However, given the past agricultural uses of the site, the upper 12 to 15 inches may have been disturbed during farming.

In addition, approximately 6 to 12 inches of undocumented fill is present on the surface of the site, but locally could be deeper. Variation in the depth and lateral extent of non-engineered fill must be anticipated. All undocumented fill shall be removed from beneath structures. Outside of building footprints, proper preparation of undocumented fill and disturbed soils shall consist of removing the upper 6 inches, scarifying to a minimum depth of 8 inches and compacting the soils in place. The exposed subgrade must then be proofrolled by passing moderate-weight rubber tire-mounted construction equipment over the surface at least twice. If excessively soft or loose soils are encountered, they must be removed (up to a maximum depth of 2 feet) and replaced with structural fill.

Fill placed over large areas to raise overall site grades can induce settlements in the underlying natural soils. If more than 3 feet of site grading fill is anticipated over the existing ground surface, we should be notified to assess potential settlements and provide additional recommendations as needed. These recommendations may include placement of the site grading fill far in advance to allow potential settlements to occur prior to construction.
6.2 Temporary Excavations

Excavations up to 16 feet deep for tank excavations are anticipated at the site. In clayey (cohesive) soils, temporary construction excavations not exceeding 4 feet in depth may be constructed with near-vertical side slopes. Temporary excavations up to 16 feet deep, above or below groundwater, may be constructed with side slopes no steeper than one horizontal to one vertical (1H:1V).

For sandy (cohesionless) soils, temporary construction excavations not exceeding 4 feet in depth should be no steeper than one-half horizontal to one vertical (0.5H:1V). For excavations up to 16 feet and above groundwater, side slopes should be no steeper than one horizontal to one vertical (1H:1V). Excavations encountering saturated cohesionless soils, though not anticipated, will be very difficult to maintain, and will require very flat side slopes and/or shoring, bracing and dewatering.

All excavations must be inspected periodically by qualified personnel. If any signs of instability or excessive sloughing are noted, immediate remedial action must be initiated. All excavations should be made following OSHA safety guidelines.

6.3 Fill Material

The table below contains our recommendations for the various fill types we anticipate will be used at this site:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FILL MATERIAL TYPE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>RECOMMENDED SPECIFICATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural Fill</td>
<td>Placed below structures, flatwork and pavement. Well-graded sand/gravel mixture, with maximum particle size of 4 inches, a minimum 70% passing 3/4-inch sieve, a maximum 20% passing the No. 200 sieve, and a maximum Plasticity Index of 10.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Grading Fill</td>
<td>Placed over larger areas to raise the site grade. Sandy to gravelly soil, with a maximum particle size of 6 inches, a minimum 70% passing 3/4-inch sieve, a maximum 50% passing No. 200 sieve and a maximum Plasticity Index of 15.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Structural Fill</td>
<td>Placed below non-structural areas, such as landscaping. On-site soils or imported soils, with a maximum particle size of 8 inches, including silt/clay soils not containing excessive amounts of degradable/organic material (see discussion below).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilization Fill</td>
<td>Placed to stabilize soft areas prior to placing structural fill and/or site grading fill. Coarse angular gravels and cobbles 1 inch to 8 inches in size. May also use 1.5- to 2.0-inch gravel placed on stabilization fabric, such as Mirafi RS280i or equivalent (see Section 6.6).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The natural soils at this site are not suitable for use as structural fill or site grading fill. All on-site soils could be used as non-structural fill but could also be more difficult to work with given their plasticity. If utilized, these soils should be compacted to the same requirements as imported engineered fill as recommended below.

All fill material should be approved by a geotechnical engineer prior to placement.
6.4 Fill Placement and Compaction

The various types of compaction equipment available have their limitations as to the maximum lift thickness that can be compacted. For example, hand operated equipment is limited to lifts of about 4 inches and most “trench compactors” have a maximum, consistent compaction depth of about 6 inches. Large rollers, depending on soil and moisture conditions, can achieve compaction at 8 to 12 inches. The full thickness of each lift should be compacted to at least the following percentages of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D-1557 (or AASHTO\textsuperscript{6} T-180) in accordance with the following recommendations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>TOTAL FILL THICKNESS (FEET)</th>
<th>MINIMUM PERCENTAGE OF MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beneath an area extending at least 4 feet beyond the perimeter of structures, and below flatwork and pavement (applies to structural fill and site grading fill) extending at least 2 feet beyond the perimeter</td>
<td>0 to 5</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site grading fill outside area defined above</td>
<td>0 to 5</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility trenches within structural areas</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadbase and subbase</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-structural fill</td>
<td>0 to 5</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Structural fills greater than 5 feet thick are not anticipated at the site. For best compaction results, we recommend that the moisture content for structural fill/backfill be within 2% of optimum. Field density tests should be performed on each lift as necessary to verify that proper compaction is being achieved.

6.5 Utility Trenches

For the bedding zone around the utility, we recommend utilizing sand bedding fill material that meets current local or APWA\textsuperscript{7} requirements.

All utility trench backfill material below structurally loaded facilities (foundations, floor slabs, flatwork, parking lots/drive areas, etc.) should be placed at the same density requirements established for structural fill in the previous section.

Most utility companies and local governments are requiring Type A-1a or A-1b (AASHTO Designation) soils (sand/gravel soils with limited fines) be used as backfill over utilities within public rights of way, and the backfill be compacted over the full depth above the bedding zone to at least 96% of the maximum dry density as determined by AASHTO T-180 (ASTM D-1557).

\textsuperscript{6} American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
\textsuperscript{7} American Public Works Association
6.6 Stabilization

The natural clayey soils, which predominated in the near surface soil profile, may be susceptible to rutting and pumping. The likelihood of disturbance or rutting and/or pumping is a function of the moisture content, the load applied to the surface, as well as the frequency of the load. Consequently, rutting and pumping can be minimized by avoiding concentrated traffic, minimizing the load applied to the surface by using lighter equipment and/or partial loads, by working in drier times of the year, or by providing a working surface for the equipment. Rubber-tired equipment particularly, because of high pressures, promotes instability in moist/wet, soft soils.

If rutting or pumping occurs, traffic should be stopped and the disturbed soils should be removed and replaced with stabilization material. Typically, a minimum of 18 inches of the disturbed soils must be removed to be effective. However, deeper removal is sometimes required.

To stabilize soft subgrade conditions a mixture of coarse, clean, angular gravels and cobbles and/or 1.5- to 2.0-inch clean gravel should be utilized. Often the amount of gravelly material can be reduced with the use of a geotextile fabric such as Mirafi RS280i, or equivalent. Its use will also help avoid mixing of the subgrade soils with the gravelly material. After excavating the soft/disturbed soils, the fabric should be spread across the bottom of the excavation and up the sides a minimum of 18 inches. Otherwise, it should be placed in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendation, including proper overlaps. The gravel material can then be placed over the fabric in compacted lifts as described above.

7.0 FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations have been developed on the basis of the previously described project characteristics, the subsurface conditions observed in the field and the laboratory test data, as well as common geotechnical engineering practice.

7.1 Foundation Recommendations

Based on our geotechnical engineering analyses, the proposed structures may be supported upon conventional spread and/or continuous wall foundations placed on suitable, undisturbed natural soils and/or on structural fill extending to suitable natural soils. Footings may be designed using a net bearing pressure of 2,000 psf if placed on suitable, undisturbed, natural soils or 2,500 psf if placed on a minimum 18 inches of structural fill. The term “net bearing pressure” refers to the pressure imposed by the portion of the structure located above lowest adjacent final grade, thus the weight of the footing and backfill to lowest adjacent final grade need not be considered. The allowable bearing pressure may be increased by 1/3 for temporary loads such as wind and seismic forces.

We also recommend the following:
1. Exterior footings subject to frost should be placed at least 12 inches below final grade.
2. Interior footings not subject to frost should be placed at least 8 inches below grade.
3. Continuous footing widths should be maintained at a minimum of 18 inches.
4. Spot footings should be a minimum of 24 inches wide.

7.2 Installation

Under no circumstances shall foundations be placed on non-engineered fill (if encountered), topsoil with organics, sod, rubbish, construction debris, other deleterious materials, frozen soils, or within ponded water. If unsuitable soils are encountered, they must be completely removed and replaced with properly compacted structural fill. The base of footing excavations and floor slab sub grades should be examined by a qualified geotechnical engineer to confirm that suitable bearing soils have been exposed.

All structural fill should meet the requirements for such, and should be placed and compacted in accordance with Section 6 above. The width of structural replacement fill below footings should be equal to the width of the footing plus 1 foot for each foot of fill thickness. For instance, if the footing width is 2 feet and the structural fill depth beneath the footing is 4 feet, the fill replacement width should be 6 feet, centered beneath the footing.

The minimum thickness of structural fill below footings should be equivalent to one-third the thickness of structural fill below any other portion of the foundations. For example, if the maximum depth of structural fill is 6 feet, all footings for the new structure should be underlain by a minimum 2 feet of structural fill.

7.3 Estimated Settlement

Foundations designed and constructed in accordance with our recommendations could experience some settlement, but we anticipate that total settlements of footings founded as recommended above will not exceed 1 inch, with differential settlements on the order of 0.5 inches over a distance of 25 feet. We expect approximately 50% of the total settlement to initially take place during construction.

7.4 Lateral Resistance

Lateral loads imposed upon foundations due to wind or seismic forces may be resisted by the development of passive earth pressures and friction between the base of the footings and the supporting soils. In determining frictional resistance, a coefficient of 0.30 for natural clayey soils and 0.40 for structural fill, may be utilized for design. Passive resistance provided by properly placed and compacted structural fill above the water table may be considered equivalent to a fluid with a density of 400 pcf. A combination of passive earth resistance and friction may be utilized if the friction component of the total is divided by 1.5.
8.0 LATERAL EARTH PRESSURES

We anticipate that below-grade walls up to 4 feet high may be constructed at this site. The lateral earth pressure values given in the table below are for a backfill material that will consist of drained sand/gravel soils (less than 10% passing No. 200 sieve) placed and compacted in accordance with the recommendations presented herein. If other soil types will be used as backfill, we should be notified so that appropriate modifications to these values can be provided, as needed.

The lateral pressures imposed upon subgrade facilities will depend upon the relative rigidity and movement of the backfilled structure. Following are the recommended lateral pressure values, which also assume that the soil surface behind the wall is horizontal and that the backfill within 3 feet of the wall will be compacted with hand-operated compacting equipment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>STATIC (psf/ft)*</th>
<th>SEISMIC (psf)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Pressure</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At-Rest Pressure</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Pressure</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Equivalent Fluid Pressure (applied at 1/3 Height of 4-foot High Wall)
**Uniform Pressure, Seismic Only (applied at 1/2 Height of 4-foot High Wall)

9.0 BOUYANT FORCES

Groundwater was encountered at a depth of approximately 55 feet below the surface at the proposed tank locations (B-6). Based upon this condition we anticipate that underground tanks will not need to be designed to resist buoyant forces.

10.0 FLOOR SLABS

Floor slabs may be established upon suitable, undisturbed natural soils and/or on structural fill extending to suitable, undisturbed natural soils (same as for foundations). Under no circumstances shall floor slabs be established directly on any topsoil, non-engineered fills, loose or disturbed soils, sod, rubbish, construction debris, other deleterious materials, frozen soils, or within ponded water.

In order to facilitate curing of the concrete, we recommend that floor slabs placed on structural fill be directly underlain by at least 4 inches of “free-draining” fill, such as “pea” gravel or 3/4-inch quarters to 1-inch minus, clean, gap-graded gravel. To help control normal shrinkage and stress cracking, the floor slabs should have the following features:
1. Adequate reinforcement for the anticipated floor loads with the reinforcement continuous through interior floor joints;
2. Frequent crack control joints; and
3. Non-rigid attachment of the slabs to foundation walls and bearing slabs.

11.0 DRAINAGE RECOMMENDATIONS

It is important to the long-term performance of foundations and floor slabs that water not be allowed to collect near the foundation walls and infiltrate into the underlying soils. We recommend the following:

1. All areas around the structures should be sloped to provide drainage away from the foundations. We recommend a minimum slope of 4 inches in the first 10 feet away from the structure. This slope should be maintained throughout the lifetime of the structure.

2. All roof drainage should be collected in rain gutters with downspouts designed to discharge at least 10 feet from the foundation walls or well beyond the backfill limits, whichever is greater.

3. Adequate compaction of the foundation backfill should be provided. We suggest a minimum of 90% of the maximum laboratory density as determined by ASTM D-1557. Water consolidation methods should not be used under any circumstances.

4. Landscape sprinklers should be aimed away from the foundation walls. The sprinkling systems should be designed with proper drainage and be well-maintained. Over watering should be avoided.

5. Other precautions that may become evident during construction.

12.0 PAVEMENTS

All pavement areas must be prepared as discussed above in Section 6.1, which will provide 2 feet of prepared subgrade beneath pavement areas. Under no circumstances shall pavements be established over topsoil, unprepared existing fill soils, loose or disturbed soils, sod, rubbish, construction debris, other deleterious materials, frozen soils, or within ponded water.

We anticipate the near surface clayey soils will exhibit poor pavement support characteristics when saturated or nearly saturated. Based on our laboratory testing experience with similar soils, our pavement design is based upon a Resistance (R) value of about 5 (approximate California Bearing Ratio of 3).

Given the projected traffic as discussed above in Section 1.3, the following pavement sections are recommended for the estimated Traffic Indices (TI):
Untreated base course (UTBC) should conform to city or Caltrans specifications. Material meeting our specification for structural fill can be used for subbase, as long as the fines content (percent passing No. 200 sieve) does not exceed 15%. Roadbase and subbase material should be compacted as recommended above in Section 6.4. Asphalt material generally should conform to Caltrans or APWA requirements.

Concrete pavement should be designed in accordance with the American Concrete Institute (ACI) and joint details should conform to the Portland Cement Association (PCA) guidelines. The concrete should have a minimum 28-day unconfined compressive strength of 4,000 pounds per square inch.

### 13.0 QUALITY CONTROL

We recommend that a comprehensive quality control testing and observation program be established during construction to help facilitate implementation of our recommendations and address, in a timely manner, any subsurface conditions encountered which vary from those described in this report. Without such a program CMT cannot be responsible for application of our recommendations to subsurface conditions which may vary from those described herein. This program may include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following:

#### 13.1 Field Observations

Observations should be completed during all phases of construction such as site preparation, foundation excavation, structural fill placement and concrete placement.

#### 13.2 Fill Compaction

Compaction testing is required for all structural supporting fill materials. Maximum Dry Density (Modified Proctor, ASTM D-1557) tests should be requested by the contractor immediately after delivery of any fill materials. The maximum density information should then be used for field density tests on each lift as necessary to ensure that the required compaction is being achieved.

#### 13.3 Excavations

All excavation procedures and processes should be observed by a geotechnical engineer. In addition, for the recommendations in this report to be valid, all backfill and structural fill placed in trenches and all pavements
should be density tested. We recommend that freshly mixed concrete be tested in accordance with ASTM designations.

14.0 LIMITATIONS

The recommendations provided herein were developed by evaluating the information obtained from the subsurface explorations and soils encountered therein. The exploration logs reflect the subsurface conditions only at the specific location at the particular time designated on the logs. Soil and ground water conditions may differ from conditions encountered at the actual exploration locations. The nature and extent of any variation in the explorations may not become evident until during the course of construction. If variations do appear, it may become necessary to re-evaluate the recommendations of this report after we have observed the variation.

Our professional services have been performed, our findings obtained, and our recommendations prepared in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering principles and practices. This warranty is in lieu of all other warranties, either expressed or implied.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If we can be of further assistance or if you have any questions regarding this project, please do not hesitate to contact us at (801) 492-4132.
**Soil Description**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Blows (N)</th>
<th>Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Dry Density (pcf)</th>
<th>Gradation</th>
<th>Atterberg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>FILL: sand/clay/gravel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yellowish Brown Silty CLAY with fine sand (CL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>slightly moist, medium stiff (estimated)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dark Yellowish-Orange Clayey SAND (SC), some calcification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>slightly moist, medium dense (estimated)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>END AT 5.5'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:** Groundwater not encountered during drilling.
### Soil Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Blows (N)</th>
<th>Total Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Dry Density (pcf)</th>
<th>Gradation</th>
<th>Atterberg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>FILL: sand/clay/gravel, some concrete debris</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yellowish Brown Silty CLAY with fine sand (CL) slightly moist, medium stiff (estimated)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Grayish-Orange Sandy CLAY (CL) slightly moist, very stiff</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8 11 17</td>
<td>28 12 109</td>
<td>29 15 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>grades yellowish brown</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 7 18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>END AT 16.5'</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8 26 31</td>
<td>57 21</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:** Groundwater not encountered during drilling.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>Soil Description</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Blows (N)</th>
<th>Total Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Dry Density (pcf)</th>
<th>Gravel %</th>
<th>Sand %</th>
<th>Fines %</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>PI</th>
<th>PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 0         | FILL: sand/clay/gravel  
Dark Yellowish Brown Silty CLAY with fine sand (CL)  
slightly moist, medium stiff (estimated) |            |          | 8         |                   |                  |         |        |        |    |    |    |
| 4         | Dark Yellowish-Orange Clayey SAND (SC), with calcified agglomerates  
moist, medium dense (estimated) |            |          | 9         | 16                | 35               |         |        |        |    |    |    |
| 8         | Yellowish Brown Silty CLAY with fine sand (CL)  
moot, very stiff  
hard |            |          | 10        | 17 25 33 | 58               | 11 32 36 | 68 16 | 36 24 12 | 36 | 24 | 12 |
| END AT 11' |                  |            |          |           |                  |                  |         |        |        |    |    |    |

Remarks: Groundwater not encountered during drilling.

Drilled By: Cascade  
Logged By: Michelle Bostrum  
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### Soil Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Blows (N)</th>
<th>Total Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Dry Density (pcf)</th>
<th>Gradation</th>
<th>Atterberg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>TOPSOIL</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.5'</td>
<td>END AT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks: Groundwater not encountered during drilling.
# Soil Description

## Topsoil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Blows (N)</th>
<th>Total Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Gradation</th>
<th>Atterberg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>TOPSOIL</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks: Groundwater not encountered during drilling.

---

**Boring Type:** Hand Auger  
**Total Depth:** 5.5'  
**Surface Elev. (approx):** N/A  
**Water Depth:** (see Remarks)  
**Date:** 7/16/20  
**Job #:** 14937

---

**Drilled By:** Hand Auger  
**Logged By:** Michelle Bostrum  
**Page:** 1 of 1
**Bore Hole Log**

**Maverik, Elk Grove CA**

NWC of Sheldon Road & Stockton Blvd, Elk Grove, CA

**Boring Type:** Hand Auger/Hollow-Stem Auger  
**Total Depth:** 71' 5"  
**Surface Elev. (approx):** N/A  
**Water Depth:** 55'  
**Date:** 7/17/20  
**Job #:** 14937

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Blows (N)</th>
<th>Total Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Dry Density (pcf)</th>
<th>Gradation</th>
<th>Atterberg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>TOPSOIL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dark Yellowish-Orange Fine Sandy CLAY (CL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>slightly moist, stiff (estimated)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dark Yellowish-Orange Silty SAND (SM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>slightly moist, medium dense (estimated)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grayish-Orange Sandy CLAY (CL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>moist, hard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dark Yellowish-Orange Silty SAND (SM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>moist, medium dense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light Yellowish Brown Silty CLAY with fine sand (CL), some calcified agglomerates up to 3/4&quot; size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>moist, hard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>grades with frequent seams of Silt and Fine Sand and oxidation staining</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:** Groundwater encountered during drilling at depth of 55 feet.
Maverik, Elk Grove CA
NWC of Sheldon Road & Stockton Blvd, Elk Grove, CA

Boring Type: Hand Auger/Hollow-Stem Auger
Surface Elev. (approx): N/A
Total Depth: 71.5'
Water Depth: 55'
Date: 7/17/20
Job #: 11/22/40

Soil Description

Depth (ft) | Sample Type | Blows (N) | Total Moisture (%) | Dry Density (pcf) | Gravel % | Sand % | Fines % | LL | PL | PI
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
28 | Light Yellowish Brown Silty CLAY with fine sand (CL) (Continued) | hard | 26 | 12 23 41 | 64 | 14 | 31 | 17 | 14
32 | very hard | 27 | 8 25 42 | 67 |
36 | Dark Yellowish Brown SILT with fine sand (ML), occasional 4" to 6" thick lenses of sand | hard | 28 | 13 24 50/4 |
40 | grades with frequent oxidation staining below 51' | wet | 31 | 11 29 65 25 |
44
48
52
56

Remarks: Groundwater encountered during drilling at depth of 55 feet.

Drilled By: Cascade
Logged By: Michelle Bostrum

Figure: 7
### Bore Hole Log

**Boring Type:** Hand Auger/Thin-Stem Auger  
**Total Depth:** 71.5'  
**Water Depth:** 55'  
**Date:** 7/17/20  
**Job #:** 11/22/40

---

**Maverik, Elk Grove CA**  
NWC of Sheldon Road & Stockton Blvd, Elk Grove, CA

**Soil Description**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Blows (N)</th>
<th>Total Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Dry Density (pcf)</th>
<th>Gradation</th>
<th>Atterberg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Dark Yellowish Brown SILT with fine sand (ML) (Continued)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Thinly Laminated Seams of SILT (ML) and Fine Silty SAND (SM), oxidation staining</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>50/5'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>END AT 71.5'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**  
Groundwater encountered during drilling at depth of 55 feet.

---

**Drilled By:** Cascade  
**Logged By:** Michelle Bostrum

---

**CMT ENGINEERING LABORATORIES**
The results of laboratory tests on the samples collected are shown on the logs at the respective sample depths. The subsurface conditions represented on the logs are for the locations specified. Caution should be exercised if interpolating between or extrapolating beyond the exploration locations.

The information presented on each log is subject to the limitations, conclusions, and recommendations presented in this report.

**UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (USCS)**

**MAJOR DIVISIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COARSE-GRAINED SOILS</th>
<th>FINE-GRAINED SOILS</th>
<th>HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRAVELS</td>
<td>SANDS</td>
<td>SILTS AND CLAYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Gravels</td>
<td>Clean Sands</td>
<td>Inorganic Silts and Very Fine Sands, Silty or Clayey Fine Sands or Clayey Silts with Slight Plasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravels with Fines</td>
<td>Sands with Fines</td>
<td>Inorganic Clays of Low to Medium Plasticity, Gravelly Clays, Sandy Clays, Silty Clays, Lean Clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Fines</td>
<td>All Fines</td>
<td>Organic Silts and Organic Silty Clays of Medium to High Plasticity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLEAN GRAVELS</th>
<th>GRAY</th>
<th>SILT</th>
<th>CLAY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GW</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>GM</td>
<td>GC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly-Graded Gravels, Gravel-Sand Mixtures, Little or No Fines</td>
<td>Silty Gravels, Gravel-Sand-Silt Mixtures</td>
<td>Clayey Gravels, Gravel-Sand-Clay Mixtures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYMBOLS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYMBOL</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GW</td>
<td>Gravels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP</td>
<td>Gravels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>Gravels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Gravels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>Sands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Sands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>Silts and Clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Silts and Clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>Silts and Clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>Silts and Clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Silts and Clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Silts and Clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Silts and Clays</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SAMPLE SYMBOLS**

- Block Sample
- Bulk/Bag Sample
- Modified California Sampler
- 3.5" OD, 2.42" ID D&M Sampler
- Rock Core
- Standard Penetration Split Spoon Sampler Thin Wall (Shelby Tube)

**WATER SYMBOL**

- Encountered Water Level
- Measured Water Level
- (see Remarks on Logs)

**SOIL DESCRIPTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>Graphic Log</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Blows (N)</th>
<th>Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Dry Density (pcf)</th>
<th>Gradation</th>
<th>Atterberg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STRAINIFICATION**

- Description
- Thickness
- MODIFIERS
- MOISTURE CONTENT
- STRATIFICATION MODIFIERS
- MOISTURE CONTENT

**Key to Symbols**

- GW: Gravels
- GP: Gravels
- GM: Gravels
- GC: Gravels
- SM: Sands
- SC: Sands
- ML: Silts and Clays
- CL: Silts and Clays
- OL: Silts and Clays
- MH: Silts and Clays
- CH: Silts and Clays
- OH: Silts and Clays
- PT: Highly Organic Soils

**Figure:** 8