

City Auditor's Whistleblower Hotline Activity Report: July - December 2025

Farishta Ahrary, City Auditor

Investigative Audits Division

March 2026

Report # 2025/26-09



City of
SACRAMENTO
Office of the City Auditor



Our Mission

To provide a catalyst for improvements of municipal operations and promote a credible, efficient, effective, equitable, fair, focused, transparent, and fully accountable City government.

Our Vision

To improve City services by providing independent, objective, and reliable information regarding the City's ability to meet its goals and objectives and establish an adequate system of internal controls, root out improper governmental activities (i.e., fraud, waste, or abuse), and address racial, gender, and ethnic inequities.

Suggest an Audit

The Office of the City Auditor conducts performance audits of the City of Sacramento's operations to determine whether these operations and programs are operating efficiently and effectively. If you would like to offer ideas for audits to save the City money, increase revenues, or improve the efficiency and effectiveness of City operations and programs, please fill out our online form:

https://sacramento.formstack.com/forms/oca_suggest_an_audit_form

Whistleblower Hotline

In the interest of public accountability and being responsible stewards of public funds, the City has established a whistleblower hotline. The hotline protects the anonymity of those leaving tips to the extent permitted by law. The service is available 24 hours a day, 7 days week, 365 days per year. Through this service, all phone calls and emails will be received anonymously by third party staff.

Report online at <http://www.cityofsacramento.ethicspoint.com> or call toll-free: 888-245-8859.

The City of Sacramento's Office of the City Auditor can be contacted by phone at 916-808-1166 or at the address below:

915 I Street
MC09100
Historic City Hall, Floor 2
Sacramento, CA 95814

Contents

Infographic	4
Whistleblower Hotline Program	5
Contact the City’s Whistleblower Hotline.....	5
Whistleblower Protection Policy.....	5
The City Auditor’s Independence, Authority, and Responsibility.....	6
Understanding the Investigative Audit and Referral Process	7
Allegations Covered by Other City Departments.....	7
Allegations Covered by Non-City Agencies	7
Whistleblower Procedures Prioritize High-Risk Allegations	8
Whistleblower Hotline Dashboards	9
Status of Investigations.....	9
Annual Number of Whistleblower Allegations Received (2012–2025)	9
148 Cases Were Closed During the Period	10
Of the 148 Cases Closed During the Period, 5 Were Substantiated	14
Appendix A: Contact Information for Various City Departments	16
Appendix B: Contact Information for Various Non-City Agencies	17



Whistleblower Hotline Activity Report: July - December 2025

Open Cases as
of July 1, 2025

30

Cases Received
During the Period

139


Cases Closed
During the Period

148


Open Cases as
of January 1,
2026

21

Priority of Closed Cases



Disposition of Closed Cases



Types of Allegations in Closed Cases



*Of the cases classified as "Unrelated to the City," 44 resulted from repeated spam submissions by a single source and are not indicative of typical reporting trends.

Since 2012, the City of Sacramento's Whistleblower Hotline has received more than **2,000** allegations with an estimated benefit of more than **\$1.0 million**.

To report fraud, waste, or abuse to the Office of the City Auditor, please call toll-free **1-888-245-8859** or submit the concern online at **www.cityofsacramento.ethicspoint.com**

Whistleblower Hotline Program

The City Auditor's Whistleblower Hotline promotes good government by providing City employees and members of the public with a way to report allegations of improper government activities, defined as fraud, waste, or abuse. California Government Code 53087.6 defines fraud, waste, or abuse in the context of a Whistleblower program as

“...any activity by a local agency, employee, or contractor or subcontractor that may be in violation of any local, state, or federal law, ordinance, or regulation relating to corruption, malfeasance, bribery, theft of government property, fraudulent claims, fraud, coercion, conversion, malicious prosecution, misuse or misappropriation of government property, funds, or resources, or willful omission to perform a duty, is economically wasteful, or involves gross misconduct.”

This report contains the disposition of alleged improper government activity reported through the City's Whistleblower Hotline from July through December 2025; disposition is listed for cases that were closed during the reporting period. Additionally, this report provides a high-level description of how the City's Whistleblower Hotline operates; additional details can be found in the City Auditor's Policies and Procedures Manual.¹

Contact the City's Whistleblower Hotline

City staff or members of the public may submit reports by calling the Whistleblower Hotline's toll-free number [1-888-245-8859](tel:1-888-245-8859) or online at www.cityofsacramento.ethicspoint.com. City staff or members of the public may also submit whistleblower reports directly to any Auditor in person, over the phone, by voicemail, by e-mail, or by mail. The identity of those who provide whistleblower information will be kept confidential to the extent permitted by law unless the individual waives confidentiality in writing.

Sacramento Office of the City Auditor
915 "I" Street
Historic City Hall, 2nd floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

Whistleblower Protection Policy

The City's Whistleblower Protection Policy states “No City official or employee shall take retaliatory action against any employee who, in good faith, has made a complaint or allegation concerning improper governmental action.” The policy defines retaliatory action as “any adverse change in an employee employment status or the terms and conditions of employment resulting from an employee's good faith complaint or allegation of an improper governmental action.”

¹ <https://www.cityofsacramento.gov/content/dam/portal/auditor/Policies-and-Procedures/City%20Auditor%27s%20Policies%20and%20Procedures.pdf>

The City Auditor’s Independence, Authority, and Responsibility

The Auditor reports directly to the Mayor and City Council, and is independent of other City departments and agencies. This independence reduces the threat of coercion, influence, or conflict of interest during investigative audits.

California Government Code Section 53087.6 authorizes the City to create a whistleblower hotline to receive calls from persons who have information regarding fraud, waste, or abuse or improper governmental activity. Under this authority, City Code 2.18.050.B requires the Auditor to manage the City’s Whistleblower Hotline and determine if allegations of fraud, waste, abuse, or illegal acts need further investigation. Key points of Government Code section 53087.6 are outlined in Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 1: Key Points of California Government Code 53087.6



Understanding the Investigative Audit and Referral Process

While California law sets certain requirements for establishing and managing a whistleblower hotline, local auditors have broad discretion in how to operate their programs. The Auditor thoroughly reviews each allegation submitted through the Whistleblower Hotline and determines the appropriate avenue for resolution. While this may result in office staff conducting investigative audits, in certain circumstances, it may instead be appropriate to refer allegations to other City departments for investigation.

Upon completion of an investigative audit which substantiates an allegation, an investigative summary will be prepared for inclusion in the semi-annual Whistleblower Activity Report. State law mandates that, even in the event of a substantiated allegation, personnel information is to remain confidential.

Allegations Covered by Other City Departments

The intent of establishing a Whistleblower Hotline is not to replace or limit other reporting options, as some allegations are more appropriately investigated by other City departments or offices. For example, many Human Resources-related issues should be reported to the appropriate Human Resources staff; labor grievances, allegations of workplace discrimination, and workers' compensation claims should be reported using City procedures established for that purpose. Similarly, complainants may need to report legal issues to the City Attorney's Office or code enforcement violations to the Community Development Department.

As appropriate, the Auditor will refer cases to other City departments or offices.² However, it may still be appropriate for staff to gather initial information to better understand issues involved and to review the outcomes, responses, and investigation documents from other City departments or offices to ensure the allegations were addressed.

Allegations Covered by Non-City Agencies

By nature of being the State Capitol as well as the County Seat, the City of Sacramento is home to a large number of Federal, State, and County agencies. As a result, some complaints made to the City's Whistleblower Hotline may be partly or solely related to government agencies external to the City of Sacramento.

Allegations involving an external government agency or employee will be referred in whole or in part to the most appropriate government entity.³ Complaint investigation services are available at many government agencies and may include fraud, waste, and abuse whistleblower programs, internal affairs programs, judicial oversight authorities, offices of professional responsibility, etc.

² See Appendix A for contact information for various City departments and divisions, such as the Equal Employment Office, Labor Relations Division, the Risk Management Division, the City Attorney's Office, and the Sacramento Ethics Commission.

³ See Appendix B for contact information for various government agencies such as the California State Auditor, the Sacramento County Auditor-Controller, and the California Office of the Attorney General.

Whistleblower Procedures Prioritize High-Risk Allegations

Due to the Auditor's limited staff and its main responsibility to conduct performance audits, research, and analysis in accordance with the City Council-approved workplan, conducting full investigative audits of all allegations is not feasible. Instead, the Auditor applies a risk-based approach to investigate whistleblower allegations to focus investigative efforts on those allegations that represent the greatest risk or loss to the City. The following guidance applies when rating allegations:

High Priority

Allegations may be considered high priority if they include a health, safety, or welfare concern, loss⁴ to the City of more than \$75,000, criminal activity resulting in a loss of at least \$400, high-level involvement, collusion of multiple wrongdoers, major department-wide issue, or need for immediate action to stop a potentially major issue. Addressing these items could take priority over other investigations and audits, at the Auditor's discretion.

Medium Priority

This category includes loss to the City of more than \$25,000, abuse of authority, medium to low-level employee involvement, minor department-wide issues, or patterns of small problems that could become serious when summed. Some medium-priority items could be referred to a department for their review.

Low Priority

This category includes loss to the City of less than \$25,000, isolated instances of time abuse, wasteful practices that would lead to limited gains in efficiencies if corrected, or allegations that lack credibility and evidence. The office would aim to investigate items in this category but may not do so because of limited resources. However, if the same or similar issues were reported multiple times, low-priority items may become a higher priority. Additionally, some low-priority allegations could be referred to a department for their review.

Unrelated to the City

Some allegations received through the Whistleblower Hotline do not involve City departments or employees. The Auditor assesses these allegations for any City involvement. If no City involvement can be determined, those allegations are closed as "Unrelated to the City"; some allegations not related to the City are referred to other jurisdictions.

⁴ Loss could entail actual or potential loss of money, waste, or inefficiencies.

Whistleblower Hotline Dashboards


The Auditor maintains several Whistleblower Hotline dashboards on its public website. City Officials and the public can use these dashboards to see statistics on the types of cases received through the City’s Whistleblower Hotline, the disposition of closed cases, brief descriptions of substantiated cases, actions taken on substantiated cases, and the monetary benefit of the hotline. The dashboards are typically updated semi-annually with the release of each Whistleblower Hotline Activity Report and can be found at: <https://www.cityofsacramento.gov/auditor/our-reports/whistleblower-activity>

Status of Investigations

Annual Number of Whistleblower Allegations Received (2012–2025)

Since the hotline’s inception in 2012, the Auditor has received more than 2,000 complaints. Use of the Auditor’s Whistleblower Hotline grew significantly in the first few years and has been steady since 2014. Exhibit 2 illustrates the number of allegations received per year since the program’s inception.

Exhibit 2: Whistleblower Allegations Received Per Year



Notes: Historical case totals reflected in this report differ slightly from prior hotline reports due to the removal of canceled and test cases that were previously included in reported totals. Historical data has been adjusted to reflect valid cases only.

* A report was received in 2011; however, investigation activity did not begin until 2012 following formal approval of the Whistleblower Hotline program.

** Of the total cases received, 44 resulted from repeated duplicate submissions by a single source and may not accurately reflect typical reporting trends.

148 Cases Were Closed During the Period

At the beginning of the July 2025 through December 2025 reporting period, the Auditor had 31 open cases. During this reporting period, 139 new reports were received through the hotline, and the City Auditor processed and closed 148 cases; 21 cases remained open at the end of the reporting period. Exhibit 3 illustrates the number of closed cases during the period by primary type of allegation. Of the cases classified as “Unrelated to the City,” 44 resulted from repeated duplicate submissions by a single source and are not indicative of typical reporting trends.

Exhibit 3: 148 Closed Cases by Primary Type of Allegation




Exhibit 4 provides the primary type of allegation, priority, and disposition of the 148 cases closed during the period.

Exhibit 4: 148 Closed Cases Details Table

Case #	Primary Type of Allegation	Priority	Disposition
1872	Abuse of Position or Authority	High	Substantiated and Referred
1920	Insufficient Action by City	Medium	Substantiated and Referred
1951	Fraud	Medium	Substantiated and Referred
1963	Payroll and Timecard Issues	Low	Unsubstantiated
1966	Employee Relations	Medium	Informational Referral
1968	Conflict of Interest	Low	Unsubstantiated
1990	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2002	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Medium	Substantiated and Referred
2008	Wasteful Practice	Low	Informational Referral
2012	Not Enough Information Provided	Low	Informational Referral
2015	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Low	Unsubstantiated
2017	Fraud	Low	Informational Referral
2020	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2021	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Medium	Informational Referral

Case #	Primary Type of Allegation	Priority	Disposition
2024	Safety and Sanitation Issues	Low	Informational Referral
2026	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2027	Safety and Sanitation Issues	Low	Duplicate
2028	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2029	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2030	Theft of Goods or Services	Low	Resolved Prior To or During Investigation
2031	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2032	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2033	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2034	Contested City Charges	Low	Informational Referral
2035	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2036	Contested City Charges	Low	Duplicate
2037	Not Enough Information Provided	Low	Not Enough Information Provided
2038	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2039	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2040	Theft of Goods or Services	Low	Informational Referral
2041	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2042	Contested City Charges	Low	Informational Referral
2043	Safety and Sanitation Issues	Low	Informational Referral
2044	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Low	Substantiated and Referred
2045	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Low	Unsubstantiated
2046	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2047	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Low	Informational Referral
2048	311	Low	Informational Referral
2049	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Low	Informational Referral
2050	Contested City Charges	Low	Not Enough Information Provided
2051	Safety and Sanitation Issues	Low	Informational Referral
2052	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral	Low	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral
2053	Contested City Charges	Low	Informational Referral
2054	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2055	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Low	Informational Referral
2056	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2057	311	Low	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral
2058	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Medium	Informational Referral
2059	Safety and Sanitation Issues	Low	Resolved Prior To or During Investigation
2060	311	Low	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral
2061	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Low	Informational Referral
2062	311	Low	Informational Referral
2063	Safety and Sanitation Issues	Low	Resolved Prior To or During Investigation
2064	311	Low	Informational Referral

Case #	Primary Type of Allegation	Priority	Disposition
2065	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2066	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral	Low	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral
2067	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Medium	Informational Referral
2068	Abuse of Position or Authority	Low	Informational Referral
2069	Abuse of Position or Authority	Low	Duplicate
2070	Abuse of Position or Authority	Low	Duplicate
2071	Employee Relations	Low	Informational Referral
2072	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral	Low	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral
2073	Abuse of Position or Authority	Low	Duplicate
2074	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral	Low	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral
2075	Abuse of Position or Authority	Low	Duplicate
2076	Abuse of Position or Authority	Low	Duplicate
2077	Employee Relations	Low	Informational Referral
2078	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Low	Not Enough Information Provided
2079	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Low	Not Enough Information Provided
2080	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral	Low	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral
2081	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral	Low	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral
2082	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Low	Informational Referral
2083	Abuse of Position or Authority	Medium	Unsubstantiated
2084	Corruption, Bribery, and Kickbacks	Low	Not Enough Information Provided
2085	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral	Low	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral
2086	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2087	Not Enough Information Provided	Low	Not Enough Information Provided
2088	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral	Low	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral
2089	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral	Low	Duplicate
2090	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2091	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2092	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2093	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2094	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2095	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2096	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2097	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2098	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2099	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2100	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2101	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2102	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2103	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2104	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City

Case #	Primary Type of Allegation	Priority	Disposition
2105	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2106	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2107	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2108	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2109	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2110	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2111	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2112	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2114	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2115	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2116	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2117	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2118	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2119	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2120	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2121	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2122	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2123	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2124	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2125	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2126	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2127	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2128	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2129	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2130	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2131	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2132	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2133	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2134	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2135	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral	Low	Non-Jurisdictional, Non-Referral
2136	Safety and Sanitation Issues	Low	Not Enough Information Provided
2137	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Low	Informational Referral
2138	Employee Relations	Low	Informational Referral
2139	Employee Relations	Low	Informational Referral
2140	Employee Relations	Medium	Informational Referral
2141	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2142	Safety and Sanitation Issues	Low	Informational Referral
2143	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City	Unrelated to the City
2145	Not Enough Information Provided	Low	Not Enough Information Provided
2146	Employee Relations	Medium	Informational Referral

Case #	Primary Type of Allegation	Priority	Disposition
2147	Not Enough Information Provided	Low	Not Enough Information Provided
2148	Violate City Policy, Local, State, or Federal Law	Low	Informational Referral
2149	Abuse of Position or Authority	Low	Duplicate
2150	Not Enough Information Provided	Low	Not Enough Information Provided
2151	311	Low	Informational Referral
2153	Employee Relations	Low	Duplicate
2154	Employee Relations	Low	Duplicate
2156	Employee Relations	Medium	Informational Referral
2157	311	Low	Informational Referral
2159	311	Low	Informational Referral
2160	Employee Relations	Low	Informational Referral
2161	Employee Relations	Low	Informational Referral
2163	Employee Relations	Medium	Informational Referral
2164	Safety and Sanitation Issues	Low	Informational Referral

Of the 148 Cases Closed During the Period, 5 Were Substantiated

For cases that are substantiated, the Office of the City Auditor requests departments to report back on actions taken as a result of the investigations and any recommendations made in the investigative audit within 60 days of receiving the completed investigative audit report. Of the 148 cases closed during the reporting period, five allegations were substantiated. Exhibit 5 provides a brief summary of these cases and the actions reported by the responsible department.

Exhibit 5: Five Allegations Were Substantiated During the Period

Case #	Case Summary
1872	We received a complaint containing several allegations related to Sacramento Fire Department personnel improperly using their position, City time, and City equipment for financial gain. An investigation was conducted and several of the allegations were substantiated. The Department took corrective action to address the allegation.
1920	The allegations in this complaint were handled as part of the Audit of the Sacramento Ethics Commission. The Department remedied the issue and agreed with City Auditor recommendations to improve internal controls over the complaint in-take processes.
1951	We received an allegation that a Fire Department employee did not consistently adhere to scheduled work hours and failed to record these deviations on their timesheets. It was also alleged that the employee occasionally did not accompany their crew on emergency calls, leaving the crew short-staffed. An investigation found this allegation to be substantiated. The Department took corrective action to address the allegation.
2002	We received a report alleging that a Parking Enforcement employee was parked in a red curb zone for an extended period. An investigation confirmed the allegation, and corrective action was taken.

2044

We received a complaint that a Department of Utilities employee was conducting personal business while on City time and while using City resources. An investigation was conducted, and the allegation was substantiated. The Department is taking corrective actions to prevent future incidents.

Appendix A: Contact Information for Various City Departments

- **Risk Management for workers' compensation information:**
916-808-5741
<https://www.cityofsacramento.gov/HR>
- **Risk Management for environmental health and safety programs like OSHA and DOT compliance:**
916-808-5278
<https://www.cityofsacramento.gov/HR>
- **Risk Management for liability claims:**
916-960-0980
<https://www.cityofsacramento.gov/HR/hr-documents-resources>
- **Labor Relations for union grievance procedures:**
916-808-5424
<https://www.cityofsacramento.gov/HR/hr-documents-resources>
- **Equal Employment Opportunity for the grievance procedures alleging discrimination:**
916-808-5825
<https://www.cityofsacramento.gov/HR/equal-employment-opportunity>
- **Other Human Resources related issues:**
916-808-5731
<https://www.cityofsacramento.gov/HR>
- **City Attorney:**
916-808-5346
<https://www.cityofsacramento.gov/attorney>
- **Code Enforcement for neighborhood code, housing and dangerous buildings, business compliance, landscape requirements, rental house standards, and anti-graffiti:**
311 or 916-808-5011 (*inside City limits*)
916-264-5011 (*outside of City limits*)
<https://www.cityofsacramento.gov/information-technology/311>
- **Sacramento Ethics Commission:**
916-808-7200
<https://www.cityofsacramento.gov/clerk/good-governance-and-compliance/filing-an-ethics-complaint>
- **Police for non-emergencies:**
916-808-5471
<https://www.cityofsacramento.gov/police>

Appendix B: Contact Information for Various Non-City Agencies

Jurisdiction	Organization	Reporting	Methods
County of Sacramento	County Auditor-Controller	Fraud Hotline	916-874-7822 audits@saccounty.net Fraud Hotline - Confidential County of Sacramento 10481 Armstrong Ave., Mather, CA 95655 https://www.saccounty.gov/us/en/Fraud-Hotline.html#gsc.tab=0
State of California	California State Auditor	Whistleblower Hotline	800-952-5665 Investigations California State Auditor P.O. Box 1019 Sacramento, CA 95812 https://www.auditor.ca.gov/whistleblower/
Health, Safety, and other complaints against employers	US Department of Labor (OSHA)	Whistleblower Hotline	800-321-OSHA (6742) https://www.whistleblowers.gov/
Consumer Complaints Against a Business	California Office of the Attorney General	Comment/ Complaint Form	https://oag.ca.gov/contact/consumer-complaint-against-business-or-company
Consumer Complaints Against a Business	Better Business Bureau	Complaints	https://www.bbb.org/file-a-complaint
California Attorneys	State Bar of California	Complaints	800-843-9053 http://www.calbar.ca.gov/Public/Complaints-Claims
California Judges	Commission on Judicial Performance	Complaints	Commission on Judicial Performance 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 14400 San Francisco, California 94102 http://cjp.ca.gov/file_a_complaint/